

3756

IL.19-2

IL.19

C9

MEMORANDUM

TO: FILE [3pgs]

DATE 11/11/87

FROM: Andrew Wall

SUBJECT: Elimination of Kaiser Aluminum Co.

SITE NAME: Kaiser Aluminum Corp. ALTERNATE NAME:

CITY: Dalton STATE: IL

OWNER(S) Past: Kaiser Aluminum Current:
Owner contacted yes no; if yes, date contacted

TYPE OF OPERATION

 Research & Development Facility Type

- Production scale testing
 - Pilot Scale
 - Bench Scale Process
 - Theoretical Studies
 - Sample & Analysis
 - one time Production of extruded U
 - Production
 - Disposal/Storage
- Manufacturing
 - University
 - Research Organization
 - Government Sponsored Facility
 - Other

TYPE OF CONTRACT

- Prime
- Subcontractor
- Purchase Order

- Other information (i.e., cost + fixed fee, unit price, time & material, etc)

Contract/Purchase Order #

CONTRACTING PERIOD: Identify Records of only one extrusion operation in 1959 for RUL

OWNERSHIP:

	AEC/MED OWNED	AEC/MED LEASED	GOVT OWNED	GOVT LEASED	CONTRACTOR OWNED	CONTRACTOR LEASED
LANDS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
BUILDINGS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
EQUIPMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ORE OR RAW MATL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FINAL PRODUCT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
WASTE & RESIDUE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Kaiser extruded some fuel elements (normal Uranium) for ANL Reactor CP-5.

AEC/MED INVOLVEMENT AT SITE

Control

- AEC/MED managed operations
- AEC/MED responsible for accountability
- AEC/MED overviewed operations
- Contractor had total control
- unknown

ANL Monitored the operation and found no residual radioactivity

MATERIALS HANDLED:

TYPE (on basis of records reviewed)

- No Radioactive
- Natural Radioactive from Feed Materials Production
 - Ore
 - Refined Source Material
 - Residue
- Natural Radioactive Material from Non-Nuclear Activities
- Man-Made
- Other

Comment Normal Uranium Oxide

Quantities (on the basis of records reviewed)

- None
- Production Quantities
- Small Amounts

Comment Extracted several billets total quantity unknown
appears to involve only a few days of activity

OTHER PERTINENT FACTS:

- Facility was Licensed

- During AEC/MED-Related Operations
- For Similar Activities
- For Other Activities

Comment

- Commercial Production Involving Radioactive Material during AEC/MED Operations

- Facility was Decontaminated and Released

- Availability of Close Out Records

None Some Sufficient

Radioactive Status:

	YES	MAYBE	PROBABLY	NOT
--	-----	-------	----------	-----

NOT

Contaminated	---	---	---	X
Potential for Exposure (accessible)	---	---	---	X

Based on ANL Survey Report

QUANTITY OF RECORDS AVAILABLE:

Very Little Some Sufficient

PROBABILITY OF FINDING ADDITIONAL RECORDS:

Low Possible High

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Eliminate
 Consider for Remedial Action
 Collect More Data

Comment This operation was only for a few days and five months, leaving
undetectable residual activity.

REFERENCES:

A. Hecht

SUMMARY This site was evaluated because of some extrusion work
(Uranium hexafluoride) done for Hall for 5 weeks. The period of
time was small and the Hall Survey indicated no residual
activity.
The Kaiser Company was also considered by the AEC as a participant
in the program to develop sources of Uranium (from a pilot plant).
However, it appears they were not selected to participate.

alternate

Page No. 1
11/06/87

KAISER ALUMINUM

DATE	FILE#	FROM	TO	SUBJECT	SITES	BOX #	
08/19/54	3.1RMD REPORT	DISTRIBUTION		RECOMMENDATIONS ON CERTAIN PHASES OF THE PROCESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	ARMOUR & CO., A.D. LITTLE, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, DOW, BATTELLE, INTERNATIONAL MINERALS & CHEMICAL CORP., ROHM & HAAS, DAVISON CHEMICAL CO., KAISER ALUMINUM CO.	4/8	1862
03/20/59	IL.19	MCKEE, C.	NOVAK, J.	EXTRUSION OF BILLETS, KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION, DOLTON, IL (CONTAINS AIR SAMPLE DATA)	KAISER	X22	2178
06/30/59	NR.1	REPORT		MANAGER'S DATA BOOK - HANFORD	HANFORD BLAW-KNOX, KAISER, UNION 1604 CARBIDE, SPEER CARBON, CHARLES T. MAIN		3591

Can't find

Chicago
Occupational
Health & Safety
JOF II 6.35
Perx X 22, Bldy 14
162 DG
TELEGRAM WUX LB LEMONT, ILL.

OPERATED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

BOX 299 LEMONT, ILL.

TELEPHONE LEMONT 800

March 20, 1959

11.19
TRIAL HYGIENE & SAFETY

RECD MAR 20 1959

TELETYPE LEMONT, ILL. 1710

Reply

Log

File KAISER AL

To: J. R. Novak

Industrial Hygiene & Safety

From: C. S. McKee

Industrial Hygiene & Safety

Subject: Extrusion of Billets, Kaiser Aluminum Corporation, Dolton, Illinois

C. S. McKee monitored the extrusion of three CP-5 type fuel elements on February 17, 1959. The extrusion operation was accomplished at the Kaiser Aluminum Corporation, Dolton, Illinois. The extrusions were carried out by Kaiser personnel under the supervision of L. C. Hymes (MET) and T. N. Czaplicki (MET). Normal U₃O₈ was used in the elements.

The billets had been transported to the plant by Mr. Hymes on February 16. The billets had been placed in the furnace overnight in order to obtain the proper temperature for extrusion.

Mr. McKee made a preliminary survey of the area around the press and run-out table before the operation began. No activity was detected in this survey.

An air sample was collected during the entire operation. This sample revealed no long lived airborne activity.

A survey of the area around the press and run-out table was made following the operation. No activity was detected in this survey.

The press, furnace and run-out table were the only facilities used.

C. S. McKee

CSM:ni

Att.

cc: L. C. Hymes, w/att.
A. G. Januska, w/att.
G. T. Lonergan, w/att.
R. A. Noland, w/att.
Reading File, w/o att.
File, w/att.
CF, w/o att.

<u>Location</u>	<u>Requestor</u>	<u>Surveyor</u>	<u>Request</u>		<u>Results</u>
<u>BILLET #</u>	<u>TIME EXTRUDED</u>	<u>TAPE SMEAR OF PLATE</u>	PORTABLE INSTRUMENT SURVEY		<u>REMARKS</u>
			BETA-GAMMA	ALPHA	
F2	0925	NAD	11 $\frac{1}{4}$ " HFS	NAD	SLIGHT RIPPLING OF CLADDING
PUSHED CLEANOUT BILLET	1000	NAD	NAD	NAD	EXTRUDED TUBE SURVEYED BOTH INSIDE & OUTSIDE.
F1	1015	NAD	15 $\frac{1}{4}$ " HFS	3-4 M	BAD TEARING OF CLADDING
F3	1020	NAD	14 $\frac{1}{4}$ " HFS	10-20 M	ROUGH BLISTERING OF CLADDING.
PUSHED CLEANOUT	1030	NAD	NAD	NAD	SURVEYED BOTH INSIDE AND OUTSIDE.

AIR SAMPLE DATA

1. DATE 2-17-59	5. TIME ON 0925	6. TIME OFF 1115	7. RUN TIME 110 MIN	SUSPECTED ACTIVITY 11. URANIUM (Nor.)	14. PROTECTION WORN SUPPLIED AIR <input type="checkbox"/> ASSAULT MASKS <input type="checkbox"/> RESPIRATORS <input type="checkbox"/>	16. FIRST COUNT $\frac{\beta\gamma}{\alpha} =$ FACTOR a
2. ROOM 8000 T Press	6. FLOW RATE $15 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr} + 60$	8. cfm $\div 35.4$ } = $25 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$		12. MPC OPC $\alpha - 70$ d/m/M ³	18.	16. FINAL COUNT FRACTION OF $a \frac{\beta\gamma}{\alpha}$
3. BLDG. KAISER ALUMINUM	9. SAMPLER TYPE F.Q.			10. VOLUME 27.5 M ³	MPC OPC $\beta - 2200$ d/m/M ³	NONE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

17. REMARKS: TAKEN DURING EXTRUSION OF THE CP-5 TYPE FUEL RODS
CONTAINING NORMAL U₃O₈ AT KAISER ALUMINUM CORP, DOLTON, ILL.

18. DATE AND TIME OF COUNT	GROSS COUNT					BKGD	NET	29. COUNT YIELD SELF ABSORPTION PER MINUTE	30. DISINTEGRATIONS PER MINUTE	31. d/m/M ³	32. PER CENT OF MPC OR OPC	33. TYPE OF ACTIVITY	34. DECAY TIME	
	19. RECORDERS	20. SCALERS	21. TOTAL COUNTS	22. COUNT TIME (MINUTES)	23. COUNTS PER MINUTE	24. COUNTS PER MINUTE	25. COUNTS PER MINUTE							
2-17(1357)	-	37	37	2	19	2	17	.17	1.3	130	4.7	6.7%	α	2H 43M Clm
2-17(1359)	-	115	115	2	58	32	26	.020	-	1300	47	2.1%	β	2H 45M Clm

3.1 Rnd

4/8 Rnd

P. W. Dierckx
D. W. Kentro
A. E. Brown
J. C. Johnson

August 10, 1953

ARCH & DEVELOPMENT

121
Jah 823
Baud 192

As a result of the meeting held last Wednesday, August 11th, in Mr. Johnson's office, the following action is recommended concerning certain phases of the process development program.

1. Armour and Company

The small development contract we have with Armour is expiring September 30th. It will be permitted to expire at that time. However, should Armour wish to continue some studies on their own, using the Government equipment which we have at Armour, permission will be granted to do so.

2. Arthur D. Little, Inc.

They will continue at the approved level on support work on alkaline processes for the Grand Junction pilot plant. However, the work on the modified char process for recovering uranium from Plateau ores will be brought to a conclusion as soon as practicable. Discussions will be held to determine the possibilities of utilizing the modified char technique to recover uranium from leached zone. It is felt that it is particularly important that this approach be studied because at the moment a modified char technique holds promise of being the best method of recovering uranium from phosphate pulps. RIP techniques do not appear encouraging because of the general failure of using ion exchange resins in phosphate solutions. In addition, the preliminary investigations of solvent extraction on leached zone pulps did not prove satisfactory due to bad emulsion formation. To implement the above change in program, Arthur D. Little will be contacted and a meeting arranged to discuss the reorientation of their program.

Vice President

HAdler/ek-Fncl.

10/2/53

approved rate. However, close supervision will be required to insure that time schedules are met as closely as possible. The two programs are the laboratory work on alkaline processing for the pilot plant and the non-aqueous (HCl-acetone) process. The latter holds promise of high uranium recovery at lower capital cost.

4. Columbia University

It is estimated that it will require approximately two years, with an expenditure of \$1,000,000 a year, to develop a process up to but not including pilot plant scale to recover uranium from shale. Because of the ~~im~~ probability that by the end of two years we will be in a position to go directly into pilot plant operation, it is deemed advisable to consider a stretch-out of the shale program; the advantages being that less money ~~more information from other researches to feed into the program~~ will be spent annually and at the conclusion of the laboratory scale program, if it is stretched out, we ~~will~~ ^{may} be close to the time when piloting will be necessary. For the immediate picture, Columbia will be contacted regarding cutting back current operations from the approved level which was a million dollars a year to a rate equivalent to last year's expenditures which was \$700,000 a year.

To implement this action, a visit will be made to Prof. Hassialis to discuss these new plans.

5. Dow Chemical Company

The approved program on solvent extraction and assistance to unsulfuric acid recovery units and TIA pilot plant will continue at the approved level. The proposed program for working on an alkaline approach to recover uranium and phosphate chemicals from leached zone will be re-evaluated, because of

Vice President

Hadler/ek-Fncl.

J. C. G. / J. H.

3. Battelle Memorial Institute

The two programs Battelle is undertaking will continue at the approved rate. However, close supervision will be required to insure that time schedules are met as closely as possible. The two programs are the laboratory work on alkaline processing for the pilot plant and the non-aqueous (HCl-acetone) process. The latter holds promise of high uranium recovery at lower capital cost.

4. Columbia University

It is estimated that it will require approximately two years, with an expenditure of \$1,000,000 a year, to develop a process up to but not including pilot plant scale to recover uranium from shale. Because of the probability that by the end of two years we will be in a position to go directly into pilot plant operation, it is deemed advisable to consider a stretch-out of the shale program; the advantages being that less money will be spent annually and at the conclusion of the laboratory scale program, if it is stretched out, we ^{may} be close to the time when piloting will be necessary. For the immediate picture, Columbia will be contacted regarding cutting back current operations from the approved level which was a million dollars a year to a rate equivalent to last year's expenditures which was \$700,000 a year.

To implement this action, a visit will be made to Prof. Nassialis to discuss these new plans.

5. Dow Chemical Company

The approved program on solvent extraction and assistance to phosphoric acid recovery units and TMA pilot plant will continue at the approved level. The proposed program for working on an alkaline approach to recover uranium and phosphate chemicals from leached sand will be re-evaluated. Suggestion of

the personal commercial interest that Dow may have in this work, their interest in pursuing the work at their own expense will be solicited. This phase of the program will probably be cut back as soon as practicable.

Because of Dow's background in the phosphate program, it is possible that they may be of some value in conducting some studies perhaps in conjunction with Davison and Merrill on recovering uranium alone from leached zone ~~particularly ore from the Clark James + body~~.

To implement the above action, Dow will be contacted and a meeting arranged to discuss the reorientation of this year's program.

6. International Minerals & Chemical Corporation

IMC will wind-up the work they are doing on a one-product system. In order to do this a meeting will be held sometime in September to study the results of the laboratory work for the purpose of determining whether or not it is advisable to develop a process through pilot plant work. If the laboratory work does not indicate any possibility of favorable results from this source, the pilot plant activities will not be undertaken. In any event, it is hoped that the entire project will be concluded by the end of Fiscal Year 1955.

The initial action for setting up the meeting in September has already been taken.

7. Rohm and Haas Company

The Rohm & Haas program will be reviewed to determine the advisability of continuing to support activities which may be of interest to the commercial aspects of the company. Rohm & Haas has already been presented with the problem and they are reviewing the situation from their point of view and will advise us of their recommendations in the near future.

In addition to the above, two proposed contracts were discussed at this meeting:

1. Davison Chemical Company Leached Zone Project: It is recommended that they be contacted and encouraged to continue the work on their leached zone at their own expense, because of the commercial interest they may have in this source. We have already contacted Davison and told them that any further action concerning the leached zone program would have to be delayed until we get the final exploration report. Since the preliminary results of this exploration report are exceeding our highest expectations, we believe that a different course of action might be advisable. Therefore, as soon as the final report of the exploration program is received, a meeting will be held with Davison personnel to discuss leached zone development.

No action will be taken to financially support Davison on this work at this time.

2. Kaiser Aluminum Company: We have already indicated to Kaiser that we are inclined to favor entering into a development contract at this time to provide for their testing certain alkaline approaches on leached zone in their pilot plant. However, as a result of the meeting, it is recommended that Kaiser be notified that due to possible budget cuts and program reorientation, we will be unable to enter into a contract with them for this work. In addition, it will be pointed out to them the advantages to them of carrying on the work at their own expense and they will be encouraged to do so. We, in turn, will supply them with all

Hadler/ek-Fael.

Vice President

J. E. Schaff

the information and cooperation that we can in order to develop the leached zone as a source of alumina and uranium. To implement this recommendation, Kaiser will be contacted and a meeting arranged where the above items will be presented to them.

No action will be taken to financially support Kaiser on this work at this time.

about
on
a
lab's
a larger project (not by itself)

HAdler/ek-Fncl.

Vice President

L. L. H.

MAR 18, 1985

LIST OF ALL PART 30 LICENSES

PAGE 348

NAME AND ADDRESS	DOCKET NUMBER	LICENSE NUMBER	PROGRAM CODE	EXPIRATION DATE	STATUS
JOLIET DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING LTD. 330 NORTH MADISON ST. JOLIET IL 60435	030-18577	12-24394-01	02200	01/31/90	0
JOSEPH J KOZMA MD 1440 W. WALNUT JACKSONVILLE IL 62650	030-01492	12-08943-01	02200	11/30/73	4
JUSTICE, DEPARTMENT OF 723 MAIN POST OFFICE BLDG. 433 CHICAGO IL 60607	030-04278	12-13358-01	03120	07/31/79	4
KABRE, MD.D., NEELIMA D. 600 E. FIRST STREET SPRING VALLEY IL 61362	030-28569	78105	02201	/ /	3
KAISER CHEMICALS 14200 COTTAGE GROVE DOLTON IL 60419	030-10076	12-15941-01	03120	02/28/79	4
KARIM S. VALIKA MD. 1559 WEATHERSTONE LANE ELGIN IL 60120	030-11756	59694	02200	/ /	4
KATALCO CORP. 4099 W. 71ST STREET CHICAGO IL 60629	030-20871	12-20220-02	03120	12/31/88	0
KATALCO CORPORATION 4099 WEST 71ST STREET CHICAGO IL 60629	030-18111	12-20220-01	03120	01/31/87	4
KATHERINE SHAW BETHEA HOSPITAL 403 EAST FIRST STREET DIXON IL 61021	030-13069	12-17622-01	02120	10/31/87	0

MAR 18, 1985

LIST OF ALL PART 30 LICENSES

PAGE 349

NAME AND ADDRESS	DOCKET NUMBER	LICENSE NUMBER	PROGRAM CODE	EXPIRATION DATE	STATUS
KAY-RAY INC 516 W. CAMPUS DRIVE ARLINGTON HTS IL 60004	030-11023	X-12-718	03800	11/01/76	4