

5/1
2/13/84

memorandum

file

DATE: APR 30 1984

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: NE-24

SUBJECT: Radiological Survey for Maywood Vicinity Properties on Grove Avenue and Park Way

TO: E. L. Keller, Director
Technical Services Division
Oak Ridge Operations Office

In response to your memorandum to DeLaney/Whitman dated 3/21/84, we are in agreement with your consideration of 8 of the 15 properties for remedial action for the reasons stated. Although the rationale for remedial action is stated in the transmittal memorandum, it should not appear in the property report. Therefore, please reword the last paragraph in the reports of those properties requiring remedial action to reflect this philosophy. Also, delete and/or rewrite the sentences in the reports that state the results exceed the criteria, e.g., page 14, paragraph 4, last sentence, page 24, same as page 14, page 19, paragraph 3, last sentence, etc.

The statement in the various property reports indicating the NRC 10 CFR 20.105 as permitting 60 uR/h (about 500 mrem/yr) continuous exposure is not correct. The exposure rate noted in this regulation is not for continuous exposure. This statement should be reworded to correctly reflect the intent of 10 CFR 20.105.

The results of the various properties should be compiled so that they may "stand alone" (similar to the Middlesex Phase II reports), that is, so they may be sent to the individual property owners without including information on other properties. Each individual property report should include the Introduction, Survey Methods, Summary of Results and a sketch of the property with surveyed areas and results noted.

If there are any questions, call Arthur Whitman on FTS 233-5439.

Edward DeLaney

Edward G. DeLaney, Manager
FUSRAP/Surplus Facilities Group
Division of Remedial Action Projects
Office of Terminal Waste Disposal
and Remedial Action
Office of Nuclear Energy