DOE–LM/GJ1103–2006

# Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project

# Sitewide Environmental Monitoring Quarterly Progress Report for the Young - Rainey STAR Center October Through December 2005

January 2006

U.S. Department of Energy

Office of Legacy Management

*Work Performed Under DOE Contract No. DE–AC01–02GJ79491*
*for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management.*
*Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.*

DOE−LM/GJ1103−2006

# Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project
# Sitewide Environmental Monitoring
# Quarterly Progress Report
# for the
# Young - Rainey STAR Center

**October through December 2005**

January 2006

Work Performed by S.M. Stoller Corporation under DOE Contract No. DE–AC01–02GJ79491
for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado

# Contents

**Page**

Acronyms and Abbreviations ..... iv

1.0 Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Building 100 Area ..... 2
1.2 Northeast Site ..... 3
1.3 WWNA/Building 200 Area ..... 5
1.4 Site Update ..... 6
1.5 Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention ..... 6
1.6 Quarterly Site Activities ..... 7
2.0 Water-Level Elevations ..... 7
2.1 Work Conducted and Methods ..... 7
2.2 Ground Water Flow ..... 7
3.0 Ground Water Sampling and Analytical Results ..... 8
3.1 Work Performed ..... 8
3.2 Analytical Results ..... 9
3.2.1 Northeast Site (PIN15) ..... 9
3.2.2 Building 100 Area (PIN06, PIN09, PIN10, PIN12, and PIN21) ..... 9
3.2.3 Wastewater Neutralization Area (PIN18) ..... 10
3.3 Quality Assurance/Quality Control ..... 10
4.0 Treatment System and Recovery Well Performance ..... 11
4.1 Building 100 ..... 11
4.2 Wastewater Neutralization Area ..... 12
5.0 Conclusions ..... 12
6.0 Tasks to be Performed Semi-Annually ..... 12
7.0 References ..... 13

# Figures

Figure 1. Young - Rainey STAR Center Location ..... 15
Figure 2. Location of STAR Center Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) ..... 16
Figure 3. Ground Water Elevations and Shallow Surficial Aquifer Flow, Northeast Site, October 2005 ..... 17
Figure 4. Ground Water Elevations and Deep Surficial Aquifer Flow, Northeast Site, October 2005 ..... 18
Figure 5. Ground Water Elevations and Shallow Surficial Aquifer Flow, Building 100 Area, October 2005 ..... 19
Figure 6. Ground Water Elevations and Deep Surficial Aquifer Flow, Building 100 Area, October 2005 ..... 20
Figure 7. Northeast Site Total COPC Concentrations October 2005 Sampling Event ..... 21
Figure 8. Building 100 Area Total COPC Concentrations October 2005 Sampling Event ..... 22
Figure 9. WWNA Total COPC Concentrations October 2005 Sampling Event ..... 23
Figure 10. Building 100 Ground Water Recovery and VOC Mass Removal ..... 24
Figure 11. WWNA Ground Water and Arsenic Mass Removal ..... 24

# Tables

Table 1. WWNA Recovery Well Arsenic Concentrations ..... 25
Table 2. Water-Level Data at the STAR Center ..... 27
Table 3. Floridan Aquifer Monitoring Well Water Elevations ..... 31
Table 4. Vertical Hydraulic Differential ..... 31
Table 5. Surface Water Elevations ..... 31
Table 6. Dissolved Gas and Bacteria ..... 32
Table 7. Field Measurements of Samples Collected at the STAR Center ..... 33
Table 8. Sitewide Arsenic Measurements ..... 35
Table 9. COPC Concentrations at the Northeast Site ..... 36
Table 10. COPC Concentrations at the Building 100 Area ..... 40
Table 11. COPC Concentrations at the Wastewater Neutralization Area ..... 43
Table 12. Relative Percent Difference (RPD) for Duplicate Samples ..... 45
Table 13. Summary of Analytical Results for the Building 100 Area Treatment System ..... 46
Table 14. Summary of Historical Ground Water Recovery from the Building 100 Recovery Wells ..... 47
Table 15. Estimated Mass of VOCs Recovered from the Building 100 Recovery Wells During October, November, and December 2005 ..... 48

# Plates

Plate 1 Sitewide Shallow Surficial Aquifer Contours
Plate 2 Sitewide Deep Surficial Aquifer Contours

# Appendices

Appendix A Laboratory Reports—October 2005 Quarterly Results
Appendix B Laboratory Reports for Building 100 Treatment System—October through December 2005
Appendix C Laboratory Reports for WWNA—October through December 2005

# Acronyms and Abbreviations

| | |
|---|---|
| bls | below land surface |
| °C | degrees Celsius |
| CMS | Corrective Measures Study |
| CMIP | Corrective Measures Implementation Plan |
| COPC | contaminants of potential concern |
| CRDL | contract required detection limit |
| DCE | dichloroethene |
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| EA | environmental assessment |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| FDEP | Florida Department of Environmental Protection |
| FONSI | Finding of No Significant Impacts |
| ft | feet |
| ft/ft | feet per foot |
| gpm | gallons per minute |
| HSWA | Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendment |
| HRC | Hydrogen Release Compound® |
| ICM | interim corrective measures |
| IDL | instrument detection limit |
| IMW | Interim Measures Work (Plan) |
| IWNF | Industrial Wastewater Neutralization Facility |
| MCL | maximum contaminant level |
| MSL | mean sea level |
| µmhos/cm | micromhos per centimeter |
| µg/L | micrograms per liter |
| mg/L | milligrams per liter |
| mV | millivolt |
| NAPL | non-aqueous phase liquid |
| NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act |
| NGVD | national geodetic vertical datum |
| NTU | Nephelometric Turbidity Units |
| PCIC | Pinellas County Industrial Council |
| QA/QC | quality assurance/quality control |
| RBCA | Risk Based Corrective Action |
| RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act |
| RFA | RCRA Facility Assessment |
| RPD | relative percent difference |
| STAR Center | Young - Rainey Science, Technology, and Research Center |
| SWMU | solid-waste management unit |
| TCE | trichloroethene |
| TCOPC | total contaminants of potential concern |
| VOCs | volatile organic compounds |
| WWNA | Wastewater Neutralization Area |

# 1.0 Introduction

The Young - Rainey Science, Technology, and Research Center (STAR Center) is a former U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) facility constructed in the mid-1950s in Pinellas County, Florida. The 99-acre STAR Center is located in Largo, Florida, and lies in the northeast quarter of Section 13, Township 30 South, Range 15 East (Figure 1). The STAR Center, while owned by DOE, primarily manufactured neutron generators for nuclear weapons. Other products manufactured at the STAR Center have included radioisotopically powered thermoelectric generators, thermal batteries, specialty capacitors, crystal resonators, neutron detectors, lightning-arrestor connectors, and vacuum-switch tubes. In 1987, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) performed a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Facility Assessment (RFA) (EPA 1988) at the site to gather information on potential releases of hazardous materials. In February of 1990, EPA issued a Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendment (HSWA) permit to DOE, enabling DOE to investigate and perform remediation activities in those areas contaminated by hazardous materials resulting from DOE operations. On March 17, 1995, DOE sold the facility to the Pinellas County Industrial Council (PCIC). The sales contract included clauses to ensure continued compliance with Federal, State, and local regulations while DOE remediates the site. On July 1, 1999, the PCIC was disestablished and ownership of the STAR Center changed to the Pinellas County government. In November 2000, the State of Florida received HSWA authorization from the EPA. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) issued a new HSWA permit to DOE in January 2002.

Administration of DOE activities at the facility is the responsibility of the DOE Office of Legacy Management in Grand Junction, Colorado. S.M. Stoller Corporation (Stoller), a prime contractor to DOE's Office of Legacy Management in Grand Junction, provides technical support to DOE for remediation and closure of all active solid-waste management units (SWMUs) on site.

The EPA RFA Report and the HSWA permit identified 15 sites at the former DOE facility that may have experienced environmental contamination as a result of past activities. Upon completion of the RCRA Facility Investigation (DOE 1991), 11 of the 15 SWMUs were recommended by DOE and approved by EPA Region IV and the FDEP for no further action (DOE 1994). A twelfth site, the Former Pistol Range Site, was remediated in 1993 and recommended by DOE and approved by EPA Region IV and the FDEP for no further action.

Two additional SWMUs, the West Fenceline Site and the Wastewater Neutralization Area/ Building 200 (WWNA/Building 200), were identified after the HSWA permit was issued, bringing the total to 17 SWMUs that have been identified and investigated at the STAR Center. Remediation of the West Fenceline Site was completed in 1997 and DOE recommended, and EPA Region IV and FDEP approved, no further action. A Corrective Measures Study (CMS)/Corrective Measures Implementation Plan (CMIP) was prepared and submitted in 1997 to EPA Region IV and FDEP to address the contamination at the WWNA/Building 200 Area.

Therefore, there are currently four sites that have contamination in the surficial aquifer ground water at levels in excess of protective standards. These four SWMUs, the Old Drum Storage Site (PIN06), the Industrial Drain Leaks-Building 100 Area (PIN12), the Northeast Site (PIN15), and the WWNA/Building 200 Area (PIN18), are undergoing remediation activities. Two SWMUs, PIN06 and PIN12, are currently being remediated together because of their similar ground water contamination and proximity. These two SWMUs are collectively known as the Building 100

Area. Figure 2 depicts the location of the four SWMUs. Additional background information relative to each SWMU is briefly described below.

This document also serves as the quarterly progress report for each of these four SWMUs. The results of monitoring activities, a summary of the treatment system performance, and a summary of ongoing and projected work are provided in this report.

## 1.1 Building 100 Area

The Building 100 Area (PIN06 and PIN12) is located in the southeast portion of the STAR Center. The Old Drum Storage Site is the former location of a concrete storage pad equipped with a drain and containment system used to store hazardous waste including dichloromethane (also known as methylene chloride), ignitable liquids, arsenic, and calcium chromate solids (DOE 1987a). Empty drums containing residual waste solvents were also stored in this area (DOE 1987b). The concrete pad was located near the northwest corner of Building 100. The pad was removed in October 1983 in accordance with an FDEP closure permit (DOE 1987a), and a closure report was submitted to the FDEP in August 1986 (DOE 1986). The decommissioning of the pad and the cessation of drum storage effectively removed the potential for a future contaminant source at PIN06.

Building 100 is the largest building at the STAR Center and covers approximately 11 acres. In the past, offices, laboratories, and production facilities for the DOE were housed in the building. SWMU PIN12 consists of the liquid waste drainage system that formerly served Building 100. Four individual drainage systems (sanitary, chemical, health physics, and storm water) were present within the building. In 1989, all four drainage systems were investigated, including verifying the system routing and the condition of underground and above-ground piping and ancillary equipment (EMC 1989). As a result of this investigation, the health physics and chemical drainage systems were flushed, grouted, and abandoned (DOE 1997). Some of the chemical drain lines were replaced by an above-ground system currently used by tenants of the building.

A CMS and CMIP were completed and approved for the Building 100 Area because volatile organic compounds (VOCs) concentrations measured in ground water at the Old Drum Storage Site (PIN06) and one monitoring well located at the northwest corner of Building 100 (PIN12) exceeded the Safe Drinking Water Act and FDEP maximum contaminant levels (MCLs). Subsequent investigations revealed elevated VOCs concentrations under Building 100 and downgradient to the southeast as well. On August 15, 2000, EPA approved the Building 100 CMIP Addendum. FDEP approved this same document on November 15, 1999.

In May 2001, DOE began an analysis of the potential remediation strategies for the three Building 100 Area tasks: plume control, source treatment, and dissolved phase treatment. The *Building 100 Area Remediation Technology Screening Report* (DOE 2001) assembled a list of remediation technologies, categorized them into the remediation tasks, and conducted an initial screening of the technologies. This initial screening eliminated the technologies that obviously would not work and recommended technologies that should be retained for detailed evaluation at a later time. The final technology for each task will be identified at a later date.

The *Building 100 Area Plume Control Technology Selection Report*, prepared in February 2002, conducted a detailed evaluation of five plume control technologies and recommended that enhanced bioremediation should be implemented for plume control at the Building 100 Area.

In-situ enhanced bioremediation to control the plume of dissolved contaminants at the Building 100 Area began as a pilot study on March 11, 2003. Hydrogen Release Compound® (HRC) was injected through nine injection points surrounding each of three monitoring wells. Ground water samples were collected from each of the three monitoring wells at approximately 2-month intervals through May 2004 to track the progress of HRC at remediating site contaminants. HRC was selected because it is an effective technology for optimizing degradation rates of chlorinated hydrocarbons dissolved in ground water. The continuous hydrogen source provided by the HRC can reduce the concentration of dissolved phase chlorinated hydrocarbons by greatly enhancing the reductive declorination process that occurs naturally at the Building 100 Area. The *In-Situ Enhanced Bioremediation Technology to Control the Plume of Dissolved Contaminants at the Building 100 Area of the Young - Rainey STAR Center Pilot Test* final report was received from the subcontractor on April 5, 2004. The results of the pilot test indicate that the injection of HRC had a limited influence in the pilot test area. This conclusion is based on increasing concentrations of the metabolic acids (as produced from HRC) and the decreasing concentrations of sulfate and iron and the observation of ethene at one location. A supplemental sampling event was conducted in May 2004, after which the pilot test was considered complete.

## 1.2 Northeast Site

In the late 1960s, before construction of the East Pond, drums of waste and construction debris were disposed of in the swampy area of the Northeast Site. The East Pond was excavated in 1968 as a borrow pit. In 1986, an expansion of the East Pond was initiated to create additional storm-water retention capacity. Excavation activities ceased when contamination was detected directly west of the East Pond. EPA identified the Northeast Site as a SWMU (EPA 1992). An Interim Corrective Measures (ICM) Study was developed and submitted to EPA and approval of this document was received in October 1991. An interim ground water recovery system for the Northeast Site was installed, and operation commenced in January 1992.

The ground water treatment system, as initially installed, consisted of four recovery wells equipped with pneumatic recovery pumps, a holding tank, centrifugal transfer pumps, and approximately 2,500 feet (ft) of transfer and secondary containment piping. During 1993, DOE proposed a reconfigured system for the site consisting of four shallow and three deep recovery wells. After EPA approved the upgrade, the system was reconfigured and became operational on March 1, 1994.

Between August and October 1995, after EPA and FDEP approval, a portion of the Northeast Site was excavated to remove debris and other materials that could inhibit future corrective measures. Location of the areas of excavation was based primarily on the results of a geophysical survey and knowledge of existing utility locations. Detailed descriptions of the debris removal activities were submitted to EPA and FDEP as part of the *Northeast Site Interim Measures Quarterly Progress Report* (DOE 1996).

In 1996, DOE submitted a CMIP to EPA Region IV and FDEP. This plan was approved by both regulatory agencies in 1997. As part of the Northeast Site CMS and CMIP, a pump-and-treat

system in conjunction with a subsurface hydrogeologic barrier wall to prevent migration of the contaminant plume was identified as the best available technology. A pretreatment system for iron removal, an air stripper unit, and a tank for holding treated ground water before discharge to the Pinellas County Publicly Owned Treatment Works were recommended. The treatment system was constructed in early 1997 and became operational by July 1997 with seven Northeast Site recovery wells and two Building 100 recovery wells pumping to the system influent tank. Subsequently several additional recovery wells were installed, and some of the old recovery wells were abandoned.

During 1997, anaerobic bioremediation and rotary steam stripping pilot tests were conducted in the northern and southern portions of the Northeast Site, respectively. These tests were designed by an Innovative Treatment Remediation Demonstration group of regulatory and industry members to provide remedial options at the STAR Center. At the conclusion of the field tests in July 1997, pump-and-treat technology resumed at the Northeast Site.

An *Interim Measures Work* (IMW) *Plan for Remediation of Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids at the Northeast Site* was submitted to FDEP in late November 2001. The purpose of this document was to present the plan for the interim measure to remediate non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPLs) at the Northeast Site. An ICM is warranted because it supports the long-term corrective action to remediate the dissolved phase contamination in the surficial aquifer to FDEP drinking water MCLs. Without this measure, NAPLs will continue to act as a source of dissolved contamination, resulting in contaminant concentrations in ground water well above the MCLs. FDEP approved this document on January 10, 2002.

Concurrent with the preparation of the IMW Plan, a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Environmental Checklist recommending a Categorical Exclusion was approved by DOE on December 19, 2001. Categorically excluding the Area A pilot test activity was approved based upon the fact that the NAPL remediation of Area A was a small-scale, short-term cleanup action and the siting, construction, and operation of treatment facilities were temporary and pilot-scale in size. Additionally, activities of this nature were evaluated in the 1995 *Environmental Assessment of Corrective Action at the Northeast Site* (EA) (DOE 1995).

A NEPA Action Review was conducted for the interim measure source removal action at Area B in October of 2002. A summary of the review concluded that Area B remediation would impact an area of approximately 38,000 square ft. The footprint of the above ground treatment system would be about 80 ft by 80 ft, and an estimated 84,000 gallons per day of ground water would be processed over a 24-week period of operation. The proposed interim measure, although not specifically identified in the 1995 EA, was determined to be within the scope of the proposed actions. The remedial activity would occur within the same physical boundaries and address the same contaminants identified in the EA, but in a more concentrated form. Because the EA provided for “design modifications to reflect technological advances or site-specific conditions,” it was determined that the NAPL remediation of Area B was within the scope of the existing EA. However, this flexibility was not mentioned in the Finding of No Significant Impacts (FONSI) document signed in May 1995 (Glass 1995). Therefore, it was determined that the appropriate action under NEPA would require an amendment to the FONSI to include the broader scope of activities from the EA and any additional impacts from the NAPL removal action. The FONSI was amended, reviewed by the DOE-Idaho NEPA Planning Board, and approved by the DOE Grand Junction Office NEPA Compliance Officer on February 24, 2003.

Construction of the NAPL Area A treatment system began in late May 2002, and system startup occurred on September 26, 2002. NAPL treatment was completed on February 28, 2003. Three post-treatment sampling events occurred in March, May, and August 2003. Demobilization activities began in early March and were completed in September 2003. The *Northeast Site Area A NAPL Remediation Final Report* (DOE 2003), describing thermal remediation of Area A, was sent to stakeholders on September 25, 2003.

At the end of February 2004, a contract was awarded for the remediation of NAPL Area B using Electro-Thermal Dynamic Stripping Process. Construction of the NAPL Area B treatment system began in July 2004, and was completed in early August 2005. Operations began on August 16, 2005. Significant events associated with NAPL remediation during this reporting period are presented in the *Northeast Site Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids Interim Measures Progress Report October through December 2005* (DOE 2006).

## 1.3 WWNA/Building 200 Area

The WWNA/Building 200 Area includes the active Industrial Wastewater Neutralization Facility (IWNF), the area around Building 200, and the area south of the neutralization facility. The IWNF refers to the physical treatment facility that currently receives sanitary and industrial wastewater and has been in operation since 1957.

A CMS Report and CMIP were completed in 1997 for this SWMU because vinyl chloride, trichloroethene (TCE), and arsenic were detected in surficial aquifer ground water at concentrations above Federal and State MCLs. The recommended remediation alternative for the WWNA/Building 200 Area was ground water recovery with the Building 100 Area wells and an additional recovery well located in the WWNA. The CMIP recommended that recovered water from the additional well be discharged directly to the IWNF and that the recovery well in the WWNA/Building 200 Area will withdraw surficial aquifer ground water directly from the arsenic plume and thereby reduce the contaminant mass and prevent contaminant migration.

FDEP response to the CMS/CMIP concerning arsenic contamination in the upper 2 ft of soil suggested that a treatment technology, air sparging, was eliminated too early. DOE then proposed a multi-phased Interim Action that included operating the recovery well for 6 months, then pulsing the system, as well as performing geochemical analyses and leaching studies of the site. On January 21, 1999, FDEP approved the proposed interim remedial action.

Additionally, EPA Region IV also approved the interim remedial action and concurred with the FDEP's position regarding the arsenic contamination. EPA also requested an addendum or modification to the CMIP that addresses DOE's final selection of the remediation technology and a timeline for the completion of these activities.

In early June 1999, the WWNA recovery well commenced operation. All arsenic concentrations in water from the WWNA recovery well, PIN18−RW01, were below the STAR Center's daily maximum discharge standard for arsenic in wastewater of 0.20 milligrams per liter (mg/L) until shutdown.

Additional details concerning the impacts of ground water extraction are reported in the WWNA/Building 200 Area CMIP Addendum (DOE 2000b). Modifications to the recovery of ground water were proposed based on data collected through November 1999 and consisted of the installation of two new recovery wells screened at shallow intervals and the abandonment of RW01. The CMIP Addendum was submitted to the regulators and approved by FDEP and EPA in 2000. A Statement of Basis (DOE 2000a) was issued by DOE in late September 2000. This document provides a summary of environmental investigations and proposed cleanup alternatives for the WWNA/Building 200 Area. In 2003, monitoring well PIN18−0501 was converted to a recovery well. Current activities through late December 2005 at the WWNA include ground water extraction from three recovery wells, PIN18−RW02, −RW03, and −RW0501, that discharge to the STAR Center's wastewater system. Table 1 lists the results of the analysis of arsenic in ground water that is being recovered from these three wells.

## 1.4 Site Update

Risk Based Corrective Action (RBCA) legislation is currently being evaluated for applicability to assist in expediting closure at some or all of the SWMUs at the STAR Center. Technical discussions between FDEP and DOE regarding RBCA as the proposed final action continued. Part of DOE's proposed final action for the WWNA was to shut down the three extraction wells and begin a 2-year monitoring period. Verbal approval from FDEP to shut down the WWNA extraction well system was received on December 20, 2005, thus commencing DOE's 2-year monitoring period.

## 1.5 Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention

Based on the Federal Pollution Prevention Act and requirements in the HSWA Operations Permit, waste minimization efforts at the STAR Center are documented and reported annually. For 2005, several significant waste minimization and pollution prevention activities were successful. The majority of these activities resulted from the removal of a large concrete slab that provided a foundation to a ground water treatment system at the 4.5 Acre Site, and the construction of the Area B DNAPL treatment system, and includes the following materials that were recycled:

- Corrugated cardboard—about 100 boxes or 400 pounds of cardboard,
- Sixteen empty plastic 55-gallon drums totaling about 400 pounds,
- Seventy-five wooden pallets (3,000 pounds), and
- One hundred twenty-two metric tons of concrete.

## 1.6 Quarterly Site Activities

- Obtained water-level measurements from all accessible monitoring wells, recovery wells, and ponds on October 14, 2005.
- Conducted the semi-annual sampling event in October 2005. The sampling event included collecting water samples from 43 monitoring and recovery wells. VOCs samples were collected at 22 wells and arsenic was sampled at 23 wells.
- Reported the results of the semi-annual sampling events (this document).
- Bioremediation parameters were collected at 10 wells.

# 2.0 Water-Level Elevations

## 2.1 Work Conducted and Methods

Within an 8-hour period on October 14, 2005, depth-to-water measurements were taken at all accessible monitoring wells and extraction wells at the STAR Center. The water levels were measured with an electronic water-level indicator. Ground water and surface-water elevations are listed in Table 2.

## 2.2 Ground Water Flow

Ground water and surface-water elevations were used to construct sitewide ground water contour maps of the shallow and deep surficial aquifers (Plates 1 and 2, respectively). Individual contour maps were also constructed for the shallow and deep surficial aquifers at the Northeast Site and the Building 100 Area (Figure 3 through Figure 6, respectively).

The water levels throughout the STAR Center indicate that the water table is highest in the general area around the West Pond (Plates 1 and 2). As ground water flows from this recharge area, it disperses to the west, south, and east. These flow patterns are similar for both the shallow and deep surficial aquifers, and are consistent with previously observed flow patterns.

At the Northeast Site, a new flow pattern was observed in October 2005. As can be seen in Plates 1 and 2, a large ground water capture zone has been developed around Area B. Ground water is flowing toward this area from all directions in response to the extraction occurring as part of the Area B NAPL project. Ground water extraction in Area B is expected to continue until March 2006. Along the northern boundary of the Northeast Site, the contours near the slurry wall for the past several years have indicated that the wall has been a significant barrier to ground water flow. This pattern was observed again in October 2005. As seen on Figure 4, in October there was a differential of about 1.8 ft between the downgradient and upgradient sides of the wall as measured in monitoring wells PIN15−M24D and −M33D, respectively. This differential is slightly less than the historical range of about 2 to 5 ft. Water-table elevations indicate that the East Pond was recharging the shallow surficial aquifer in October 2005 (Figure 3).

In the shallow surficial aquifer at the Northeast Site, the hydraulic gradient ranged from approximately 0.015 to 0.021 feet per foot (ft/ft), with flow toward the area of ground water

extraction in Area B (Plate 1). Using Darcy's Law, along with approximations of 1 ft/day for hydraulic conductivity and 0.3 for effective porosity, ground water at the Northeast Site is estimated to move about 18 to 26 ft/year. This velocity is consistent with historical estimates of 17 and 22 ft/year. Similar flow patterns were observed in the deep surficial aquifer (Plate 2).

In the south-central part of the STAR Center, surficial aquifer flow is influenced by ground water withdrawals from recovery wells PIN18−RW02, −RW03, and –RW0501 at the WWNA, and recovery wells PIN12−RW01 and –RW02 at Building 100, and the resulting capture zones can be seen in Figures 5 and 6. For the past 3 years, shallow ground water beneath Building 100 has been observed to flow to the southeast under a very slight gradient. This flow pattern was observed again in October 2005. The hydraulic gradient at the Building 100 Area was about 0.001 ft/ft. Using the approximations mentioned above, ground water flow velocity in this area is estimated to be less than 2 ft/year. Shallow ground water at the WWNA flows to the southeast, except where affected by recovery well withdrawals.

Water-level elevations in the three wells screened in the upper part of the Floridan aquifer are presented in Table 3. The water levels in these wells indicate that the potentiometric surface of the Floridan aquifer at the site was about 1.7 ft lower in October 2005 than in July 2005.

A downward vertical hydraulic differential of approximately 7.7 ft existed between the surficial aquifer wells and Floridan aquifer wells at the Northeast Site. Table 4 illustrates the vertical hydraulic differential. This differential is the same as that observed in July 2005 and is consistent with the historical range of 5 to 9 ft.

Surface-water elevations were recorded from the East, South, and Southwest Ponds at the site and are presented in Table 5. A surface water elevation for the West Pond could not be read because construction activities damaged the staff gauge in the West Pond. It is expected that a new staff gauge will be installed in early 2006. The ponds are hydraulically connected to the shallow surficial aquifer system (Plate 1). The South and Southwest Ponds elevations have always been essentially the same.

# 3.0 Ground Water Sampling and Analytical Results

## 3.1 Work Performed

During semi-annual sampling in October 2005, ground water samples were collected from 43 monitoring and recovery wells. VOCs analyses were performed on 23 samples using EPA SW-846 Method 8260. Arsenic was analyzed in 22 samples using EPA SW-846 Method 6010. Laboratory reports are provided in Appendix A.

During the period of October 1 to December 31, 2005, VOCs, iron, and hardness (as $CaCO_3$) were measured for the Building 100 treatment system and results are provided in Appendix B. Laboratory reports for the three recovery wells and the two effluent samples from the WWNA are provided in Appendix C.

Samples were also collected for dissolved gases and microbial activity analyses. The dissolved gases are ethene, ethane, hydrogen, methane, and carbon dioxide. The microbiological analysis is

for dehalococcoides ethenogenes. Analytical results for these gases and this microorganism are summarized in Table 6.

All samples were collected in accordance with the Stoller *Sampling Procedures for the Young - Rainey STAR Center and 4.5 Acre Site* (DOE 2004), using FDEP procedures. All samples collected were submitted to Accutest Laboratories in Orlando, Florida, for analysis. Accutest is accredited by the Florida Department of Health in accordance with the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference, certification number E83510. All monitoring wells were micropurged using a dedicated bladder pump, and sampling was performed when the field measurements stabilized.. Extraction wells were sampled using their associated flowlines with dedicated sampling ports. Table 7 lists field measurements of pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, turbidity, and temperature recorded at the time the samples were collected. Measurements were made with a flow cell and a multiparameter instrument.

## 3.2 Analytical Results

### 3.2.1 Northeast Site (PIN15)

Table 8 shows the results of arsenic sampling at four Northeast Site wells. Arsenic concentrations ranged from nondetect to 0.0233 mg/L in PIN15−M14S.

Concentrations of contaminants of potential concern (COPCs) in samples collected from wells at the Northeast Site (PIN15) are included in Table 9, which also shows the previous four quarters of data for comparison purposes. Figure 7 shows the total COPCs (TCOPCs) concentrations.

No COPCs were detected in the four monitoring wells listed below:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| PIN15−0561 | PIN15−0562 | PIN15−0564 | PIN15−0565 |

The 11 monitoring wells listed below contained detectable COPCs:

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| PIN15−0560 | PIN15−0566 | PIN15−0569 | PIN15−0574 | PIN15−0576 |
| PIN15−0563 | PIN15−0567 | PIN15−0573 | PIN15−0575 | PIN15−0577 |
| | | | | PIN15−0578 |

TCOPCs concentrations ranged from below detection limit to 23.1 micrograms per liter (µg/L) in PIN15−0574. The COPC compound detected at the highest concentration was cis-1,2-DCE at 13.5 µg/L.

### 3.2.2 Building 100 Area (PIN06, PIN09, PIN10, PIN12, and PIN21)

Table 7 shows the results of arsenic sampling in the Building 100 Area. Arsenic values ranged from nondetect to 0.0569 mg/L in PIN12−S68B.

TCOPCs concentrations in samples collected from the seven wells sampled at the Building 100 Area are included in Table 10, which also shows the previous four quarters of data for comparison purposes. Figure 8 shows the TCOPCs concentrations.. All seven wells sampled contained detectable COPCs. The wells are:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| PIN12−0514 | PIN12−0526 | PIN12−RW02 | PIN12−S73C |
| PIN12−0524 | PIN12−RW01 | PIN12−S35B | |

TCOPCs concentrations ranged from 4.8 to 57,956 µg/L. The COPC compound detected at the highest concentration was cis-1,2-DCE at 33,700 µg/L in PIN12−S35B.

### 3.2.3 Wastewater Neutralization Area (PIN18)

The volatile COPC at the WWNA is vinyl chloride. No VOC sampling was performed at the WWNA during this quarter.

Arsenic samples were collected from seven monitoring wells and three recovery wells. Results of arsenic samples from the three recovery wells that are sampled monthly are presented in Table 1. Concentrations of COPCs from quarterly sampling are listed in Table 11 and TCOPCs (arsenic and vinyl chloride) are shown in Figure 9.

No arsenic was detected in the three wells listed below.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PIN18–0521 | PIN18−0523 | PIN18−0524 |

The seven monitoring and recovery wells listed below had detectable arsenic concentrations.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| PIN18–0500 | PIN18−0522 | PIN18–RW02 | PIN18–RW0501 |
| PIN18–0502 | PIN18–0525 | PIN18–RW03 | |

The highest concentration of arsenic detected was 344 µg/L in PIN18−RW0501 (note that the units for arsenic are converted from mg/L to µg/L so that TCOPCs for this area could be calculated using consistent units).

## 3.3 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

The analytical results from the analytical laboratory, Accutest, were checked for quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) through duplicate samples and trip blanks. Detected analytes (VOCs and arsenic) for each duplicate sample are listed in Table 12. The duplicate sample results were compared and the relative percent differences (RPDs) between the results were calculated. Three duplicates were analyzed for VOCs, and three for arsenic.

A total of 111 duplicate analyses for individual analytes were performed. All data passed QA/QC criteria at a Class A level, indicating that the data may be used for quantitative and qualitative purposes.

Duplicate samples should be collected at a frequency of one duplicate for every 20 or fewer samples. There were 22 ground water samples analyzed for VOCs, with three duplicate VOC

samples collected. There were 23 ground water samples analyzed for arsenic, with three duplicate samples. The duplicate requirements for this sampling event were met.

There were 15 trip blanks collected during this event and all were nondetect for volatile compounds.

A data validation software module for identifying and tracking anomalous ground water data points within the SeePRO database was used to print a report of analytical results that fall outside of historical minimum or maximum values.

Anomalous results identified during previous events include location PIN12−S73B, which has been tracked since April 2004 because toluene was detected where it had not previously been present. After follow-up evaluation it was determined that this value should be considered valid since subsequently there have been two positive low level results as well as several estimated values of toluene detected in recent sampling events.

During the July 2005 event, one well, PIN15−0566 showed an anomalous level of TCE of 160 µg/L. Previous levels of TCE seen in this well have been at, or near the detection limit. TCE concentrations will continue to be tracked at this well.

During the October 2005 event several wells showed anomalous field parameter values. Well PIN12−0526 had a dissolved oxygen value of 7.62 mg/L. Because this well failed to meet stability criteria for dissolved oxygen and for temperature, the dissolved oxygen value will be flagged “R” as unusable. Other suspect values for oxidation reduction potential were seen at wells PIN12−S31B. –S32B, −S35B, PIN15−0569, −0573, and –0574. Follow-up tracking of these wells during subsequent sampling events will be used to determine whether or not the data should be qualified.

# 4.0 Treatment System and Recovery Well Performance

## 4.1 Building 100

From October 1 through December 31, 2005, 471,778 gallons of ground water were processed by the Building 100 treatment system from the Building 100 Area recovery wells. For the month of October, 150,639 gallons of ground water were recovered and 2.8 pounds of VOCs were removed. In November, 162,089 gallons of ground water were recovered and 3.1 pounds of VOCs were removed. For December, 159,050 gallons of ground water were recovered and 4.74 pounds of VOCs were removed. Operations for this quarter were interrupted twice; once due to a power outage at the Building 100 recovery wells in late October and once due to heavy rainfall in mid-December.

Figure 10 presents the historical monthly volume of ground water recovered and mass of VOCs removed. Analytical results of samples collected from the Building 100 Area treatment system influent and effluent streams are listed in Table 13.

Since startup of the Building 100 treatment system, a summary of ground water recovery volume from the Building 100 Area recovery wells is shown in Table 14. Table 15 presents the

calculated mass of selected analytes recovered with the Building 100 Area treatment system for each month of this reporting period. These monthly results are based on the influent ground water concentration and flow.

## 4.2 Wastewater Neutralization Area

Two WWNA recovery wells (PIN18−RW02 and −RW03) are targeted to each produce approximately 2.5 gallons per minute (gpm) continuously with an electrical submersible pump set in each well at approximately 12 ft below land surface (bls). The third recovery well, PIN18−RW0501 is operating at approximately 0.8 gpm with a submersible electric pump installed at 15 ft below top of casing. Ground water recovery from PIN18−RW0501 was started on June 11, 2003. The effluent ground water from each well is combined into a common header pipe and discharged into the industrial wastewater-receiving tank at the IWNF.

The three recovery wells at the WWNA operated from October 1 through December 20; the wells were shut off December 20 as discussed in Section 1.4. During this period, 432,703 gallons of ground water were recovered from the subsurface. For the month of October, 174,394 gallons of ground water and 0.08 pounds of arsenic were removed. In November, 159,641 gallons of ground water and 0.05 pounds of arsenic were removed. In December, 98,668 gallons of ground water and 0.03 pounds of arsenic were removed. Figure 11 presents the monthly ground water and arsenic mass recovery since the start of WWNA operations. To date, there have been no exceedances of the WWNA discharge permit limits for arsenic.

# 5.0 Conclusions

The following conclusions are based on the quarterly sampling conducted in July.

- The surficial ground water flow rate and flow direction throughout the site were similar to those observed previously.
- The highest concentration of COPCs was detected at the Building 100 Area in well PIN12−S35B.

# 6.0 Tasks to be Performed Semi-Annually

The following tasks are expected to be conducted during the next semi-annual period (October 2005 through March 2006):

- Semi-annual sampling and analysis of ground water in March 2006.
- Collect water level measurements in January 2006 and March 2006.
- Shutdown of the WWNA extraction well system such that it can be restarted with minimal effort.
- Monthly sampling and analysis of the Building 100 treatment system will continue in order to provide compliance and system operations data.
- Utilization of the dedicated bladder pumps for semi-annual sampling using the micropurging technique will continue.

# 7.0 References


DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1986. *Old Drum Storage Pad Closure Certification*, U.S. Department of Energy, Pinellas Plant, Largo, Florida.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1987a. *Draft Environmental Survey Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Pinellas Plant, Largo, Florida*, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Audit, July 24.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1987b. *Phase 1. Installation Assessment Pinellas Plant*, Draft, Comprehensive Environmental Assessment and Response Program, U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque Field Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, June.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1991. *Environmental Restoration Program, RCRA Facility Investigation Report, Pinellas Plant* [DRAFT]. U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico. September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1994. *Statement of Basis for Twelve Solid Waste Management Units Recommended for No Further Action*, U.S. Department of Energy, Pinellas Plant, Largo, Florida, January.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1995. *Environmental Assessment of Corrective Action at the Northeast Site* [DRAFT]. U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, February.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1996. *Northeast Site Interim Measures Quarterly Progress Report*, U.S. Department of Energy, January.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1997. *Pinellas Plant Environmental Baseline Report*, prepared by Lockheed Martin Specialty Components, Inc. for U.S. Department of Energy, Pinellas Area Office, June.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2000a. *Statement of Basis Wastewater Neutralization Area/Building 200 Area*, MAC−PIN 12.3.1, prepared by MACTEC−ERS, Grand Junction, Colorado, for U.S. Department of Energy, September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2000b. *Wastewater Neutralization Area/Building 200 Area Corrective Measures Implementation Plan Addendum*, prepared by MACTEC−ERS, Grand Junction, Colorado for U.S. Department of Energy, January.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2001. *Building 100 Area Remediation Technology Screening Report*, GJO−2001−248−TAR, U.S. Department of Energy Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, August.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2003. *Northeast Site Area A NAPL Remediation Final Report*, GJO−2003−482−TAC, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2004. *Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project Sampling Procedures for the Young - Rainey STAR Center and 4.5 Acre Site*, DOE-LM/GJ718-2004, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2006. *Northeast Site Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids Interim Measures Progress Report October through December 2005*, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, January.

EMC, 1989. *Conceptual Design Report to Upgrade the Existing Drain System, U.S. Department of Energy, Pinellas Plant*, prepared by EMC Engineers, Inc., for General Electric Company, Neutron Devices Department, Pinellas Plant, Pinellas County, Largo, Florida, June.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 1988. *RCRA Facility Assessment Department of Energy - Fl6 890 090 008*, June.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 1992. Letter to DOE, Gerald W. Johnson, Approval of Pinellas Site Remedial Facility Investigation Report. April.

Glass, R.E., 1995. Letter from R.E. Glass, U.S. Department of Energy, Pinellas Area Office, Largo, Florida, to B. Twining, U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, May 19, 1995.

Hammond, R. W., 1992. Letter from R.W. Hammond, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV, Atlanta, Georgia, to G.W. Johnson, U.S. Department of Energy, Pinellas Plant, Largo, Florida, April 16, 1992.




*Figure 1. Young - Rainey STAR Center Location*




m:\pin\041\0010\04\n00646\n0064600.apr smithw 7/29/2003, 15:50

N0064600-01

*Figure 2. Location of STAR Center Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs)*




*Figure 3. Ground Water Elevations and Shallow Surficial Aquifer Flow, Northeast Site, October 2005*




*Figure 4. Ground Water Elevations and Deep Surficial Aquifer Flow, Northeast Site, October 2005*




*Figure 5. Ground Water Elevations and Shallow Surficial Aquifer Flow, Building 100 Area, October 2005*




*Figure 6. Ground Water Elevations and Deep Surficial Aquifer Flow, Building 100 Area, October 2005*




*Figure 7. Northeast Site Total COPC Concentrations October 2005 Sampling Event*




*Figure 8. Building 100 Area Total COPC Concentrations October 2005 Sampling Event*




*Figure 9. WWNA Total COPC Concentrations October 2005 Sampling Event*




*Figure 10. Building 100 Ground Water Recovery and VOC Mass Removal*




*Figure 11. WWNA Ground Water and Arsenic Mass Removal*

*Table 1. WWNA Recovery Well Arsenic Concentrations*
*(reported in milligrams per liter)*

| Sample Date | RW02 | RW03 | RW0501[a] | RW02/RW03/RW0501 combined effluent |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2/26/2001 | 0.08 | 0.1 | | 0.095 |
| 2/27/2001 | 0.074 | 0.1 | | 0.091 |
| 2/28/2001 | 0.074 | 0.091 | | 0.074 |
| 3/1/2001 | 0.084 | 0.096 | | 0.088 |
| 3/2/2001 | 0.088 | 0.095 | | 0.089 |
| 3/5/2001 | 0.13 | 0.22 | | 0.1 |
| 3/12/2001 | 0.37 | 0.11 | | 0.13 |
| 3/19/2001 | 0.42 | 0.12 | | 0.12 |
| 3/26/2001 | 0.15 | 0.16 | | 0.8 |
| 4/2/2001 | 0.18 | 0.12 | | 0.13 |
| 4/16/2001 | 0.18 | 0.17 | | 0.13 |
| 5/1/2001 | 0.16 | 0.071 | | 0.1 |
| 5/15/2001 | 0.14 | 0.15 | | 0.093 |
| 5/30/2001 | 0.13 | 0.07 | | 0.16 |
| 6/11/2001 | 0.11 | 0.068 | | 0.083 |
| 6/26/2001 | 0.13 | 0.067 | | 0.096 |
| 7/9/2001 | 0.14 | 0.054 | | 0.087 |
| 7/23/2001 | 0.14 | 0.25 | | 0.074 |
| 8/6/2001 | 0.11 | 0.2 | | 0.18 |
| 8/21/2001 | 0.13 | 0.074 | | 0.084 |
| 9/5/2001 | 0.13 | 0.054 | | 0.091 |
| 10/8/2001 | 0.11 | 0.14 | | 0.07 |
| 11/6/2001 | 0.095 | 0.053 | | 0.076 |
| 12/7/2001 | 0.13 | 0.081 | | 0.084 |
| 1/10/2002 | 0.11 | 0.081 | | 0.076 |
| 2/5/2002 | 0.11 | 0.055 | | 0.075 |
| 3/6/2002 | 0.12 | 0.05 | | 0.076 |
| 4/2/2002 | 0.084 | 0.055 | | 0.069 |
| 4/15/2002 | -- | 0.049 | | -- |
| 4/16/2002 | 0.078 | -- | | -- |
| 5/8/2002 | 0.11 | 0.048 | | 0.071 |
| 6/4/2002 | 0.095 | 0.078 | | 0.058 |
| 7/3/2002 | 0.16 | 0.056 | | 0.074 |
| 7/15/2002 | 0.098 | 0.057 | | -- |
| 8/8/2002 | 0.0036J | 0.11 | | 0.065 |
| 9/10/2002 | 0.12 | 0.097 | | 0.07 |
| 10/3/2002 | 0.097 | 0.054 | | 0.071 |
| 11/22/2002 | 0.11 | 0.067 | | 0.057 |
| 12/11/2002 | 0.11 | 0.056 | | 0.07 |
| 1/2/2003 | 0.097 | 0.049 | | 0.064 |
| 1/13/2003 | 0.082 | 0.061 | | -- |
| 2/4/2003 | 0.12 | 0.047 | | 0.063 |
| 3/4/2003 | 0.079 | 0.19 | | 0.059 |
| 4/7/2003 | 0.081 | 0.071 | | 0.054 |
| 5/5/2003 | 0.074 | 0.038 | | 0.052 |
| 6/3/2003 | 0.089 | 0.042 | | 0.054 |
| 6/11/2003 | 0.07 | 0.044 | 0.42 | 0.073 |
| 6/12/2003 | 0.074 | 0.048 | 0.32 | 0.066 |
| 6/13/2003 | 0.072 | 0.075 | 0.21 | 0.066 |

*Table 1 (continued). WWNA Recovery Well Arsenic Concentrations*
*(reported in milligrams per liter)*

| Sample Date | RW02 | RW03 | RW0501[a] | RW02/RW03/RW0501 combined effluent |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6/16/2003 | 0.071 | 0.3 | 0.28 | 0.063 |
| 6/17/2003 | 0.068 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.066 |
| 6/24/2003 | 0.07 | 0.039 | 0.18 | 0.071 |
| 7/1/2003 | 0.059 | 0.038 | 0.18 | 0.064 |
| 7/10/2003 | 0.062 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.058 |
| 7/11/2003 | 0.056 | 0.034 | 0.24 | 0.054 |
| 7/14/2003 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.065 |
| 7/15/2003 | 0.071 | 0.038 | 0.19 | 0.055 |
| 7/16/2003 | 0.11 | 0.038 | 0.18 | 0.051 |
| 7/22/2003 | 0.15 | 0.041 | 0.16 | 0.054 |
| 7/31/2003 | 0.056 | 0.036 | 0.17 | 0.059 |
| 8/6/2003 | 0.069 | 0.041 | 0.16 | 0.062 |
| 9/3/2003 | 0.092 | 0.041 | 0.19 | 0.054 |
| 10/2/2003 | 0.13 | 0.032 | 0.14 | 0.054 |
| 11/5/2003 | 0.054 | 0.053 | 0.18 | 0.056 |
| 12/3/2003 | 0.076 | 0.044 | 0.18 | 0.062 |
| 1/7/2004 | 0.0363 | 0.0374 | 0.131 | 0.0467 |
| 2/3/2004 | 0.0433 | 0.0665 | 1.02 | 0.0481 |
| 3/3/2004 | 0.169 | 0.0435 | 0.142 | 0.0429 |
| 4/6/2004 | 0.0507 | 0.0483 | 0.147 | 0.0404 |
| 5/5/2004 | 0.0309 | 0.437 | 0.536 | 0.0706 |
| 6/1/2004 | 0.0541 | 0.23 | 3.13 | 0.0434 |
| 7/6/2004 | 0.564 | 0.698 | 0.123 | 0.0498 |
| 8/10/2004 | 0.049 | 0.0479 | 0.158 | 0.0339 |
| 9/9/2004 | 0.0427 | 0.0422 | 0.199 | 0.047 |
| 10/5/2004 | 0.0643 | 0.0353 | 0.116 | 0.0404 |
| 11/9/2004 | 0.0382 | 0.0385 | 0.137 | 0.036 |
| 12/7/2004 | 0.046 | 0.0341 | 0.0997 | 0.036 |
| 1/6/2005 | 0.0346 | 0.0312 | 0.0888 | 0.042 |
| 2/2/2005 | 0.0355 | 0.034 | 0.0985 | 0.0402 |
| 3/11/2005 | 0.0393 | 0.0336 | 0.0874 | 0.0487 |
| 4/5/2005 | 0.0509 | 0.0838 | 0.0916 | 0.0559 |
| 5/6/2005 | 0.0298 | 0.035 | 0.202 | 0.0374 |
| 6/6/2005 | 0.0383 | 0.0363 | 0.108 | 0.0427 |
| 7/6/2005 | 0.0335 | 0.0488 | 0.24 | 0.0331 |
| 8/4/2005 | 0.06 | 0.029 | 0.11 | 0.0386 |
| 9/7/2005 | 0.362 | 0.0395 | 0.0344 | 0.0037B |
| 10/4/2005 | 0.0466 | 0.0986 | 0.344 | 0.0541 |
| 11/3/2005 | 0.457 | 0.0582 | 0.11 | 0.0387 |
| 12/5/2005 | 0.157 | 0.0427 | 0.366 | 0.0391 |

[a]Recovery well RW0501 was brought online on June 11, 2003.
B Estimated value for inorganics; result is between the instrument detection limit and the reporting limit.
-- = Not Measured.

*Table 2. Water-Level Data at the STAR Center*

| Location | Measurement | | Water Depth From Land Surface (ft) | Ground Water Elevation (ft NGVD) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Date | Time | | |
| **PIN06** | **Industrial Drain Leaks Bldg 100 / Old Drum Storage Site** | | | |
| 0500 | 10/14/2005 | 10:59 | 4.45 | 13.55 |
| 0501 | 10/14/2005 | 11:04 | 4.84 | 13.46 |
| **PIN09** | | | | |
| 0500 | 10/14/2005 | 10:56 | 4.27 | 13.70 |
| **PIN10** | | | | |
| 0500 | 10/14/2005 | 11:01 | 4.15 | 13.75 |
| **PIN12** | | | | |
| 0508 | 10/14/2005 | 11:20 | 4.10 | 14.26 |
| 0509 | 10/14/2005 | 11:18 | 3.99 | 14.05 |
| 0510 | 10/14/2005 | 11:16 | 3.76 | 14.30 |
| 0511 | 10/14/2005 | 13:58 | 4.22 | 13.58 |
| 0512 | 10/14/2005 | 14:02 | 3.31 | 13.50 |
| 0513 | 10/14/2005 | 14:45 | 5.21 | 13.29 |
| 0514 | 10/14/2005 | 14:46 | 5.16 | 13.34 |
| 0515 | 10/14/2005 | 14:06 | 4.57 | 13.33 |
| 0516 | 10/14/2005 | 14:04 | 4.68 | 13.32 |
| 0517 | 10/14/2005 | 14:30 | 4.08 | 13.82 |
| 0518 | 10/14/2005 | 14:27 | 4.21 | 13.73 |
| 0520 | 10/14/2005 | 11:00 | 4.49 | 13.52 |
| 0521 | 10/14/2005 | 10:57 | 5.05 | 13.00 |
| 0522 | 10/14/2005 | 11:06 | 5.56 | 12.64 |
| 0523 | 10/14/2005 | 11:08 | 5.66 | 12.50 |
| 0524 | 10/14/2005 | 14:50 | 4.05 | 13.36 |
| 0525 | 10/14/2005 | 14:51 | 4.07 | 13.35 |
| 0526 | 10/14/2005 | 13:55 | 3.94 | 12.88 |
| 0527 | 10/14/2005 | 12:40 | 12.35 | 5.72 |
| 0528 | 10/14/2005 | 14:22 | 11.79 | 5.81 |
| RW01 | 10/14/2005 | 09:24 | 15.51 | 2.74 |
| RW02 | 10/14/2005 | 09:26 | 13.06 | 5.27 |
| S29C | 10/14/2005 | 10:26 | 5.10 | 13.41 |
| S30B | 10/14/2005 | 10:45 | 5.05 | 13.46 |
| S31B | 10/14/2005 | 10:23 | 5.03 | 13.48 |
| S32B | 10/14/2005 | 10:30 | 5.12 | 13.39 |
| S33C | 10/14/2005 | 10:34 | 5.21 | 13.30 |
| S35B | 10/14/2005 | 10:52 | 4.93 | 13.58 |
| S36B | 10/14/2005 | 10:18 | 4.91 | 13.60 |
| S37B | 10/14/2005 | 10:37 | 4.93 | 13.58 |
| S54D | 10/14/2005 | 10:54 | 4.77 | 13.74 |
| S55B | 10/14/2005 | 10:59 | 4.58 | 13.93 |
| S55C | 10/14/2005 | 11:00 | 4.54 | 13.97 |
| S56B | 10/14/2005 | 11:07 | 4.64 | 13.87 |
| S56C | 10/14/2005 | 11:08 | 4.63 | 13.88 |
| S56D | 10/14/2005 | 11:09 | 4.61 | 13.90 |

*Table 2 (continued). Water-Level Data at the STAR Center*

| Location | Measurement | | Water Depth From Land Surface (ft) | Ground Water Elevation (ft NGVD) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Date | Time | | |
| S57B | 10/14/2005 | 11:03 | 4.56 | 13.95 |
| S57C | 10/14/2005 | 11:04 | 4.60 | 13.91 |
| S57D | 10/14/2005 | 11:04 | 4.68 | 13.83 |
| S59B | 10/14/2005 | 09:42 | 4.68 | 13.83 |
| S59C | 10/14/2005 | 09:43 | 4.67 | 13.84 |
| S59D | 10/14/2005 | 09:40 | 4.75 | 13.76 |
| S60B | 10/14/2005 | 09:49 | 4.83 | 13.68 |
| S60C | 10/14/2005 | 09:50 | 4.88 | 13.63 |
| S60D | 10/14/2005 | 09:51 | 4.95 | 13.56 |
| S67B | 10/14/2005 | 09:58 | 4.81 | 13.66 |
| S67C | 10/14/2005 | 10:01 | 4.78 | 13.69 |
| S67D | 10/14/2005 | 10:02 | 4.88 | 13.60 |
| S68B | 10/14/2005 | 15:09 | 4.41 | 13.49 |
| S68C | 10/14/2005 | 15:12 | 4.67 | 13.23 |
| S68D | 10/14/2005 | 15:07 | 4.68 | 13.22 |
| S69B | 10/14/2005 | 14:13 | 3.15 | 12.85 |
| S69C | 10/14/2005 | 14:13 | 3.16 | 12.84 |
| S69D | 10/14/2005 | 14:12 | 3.26 | 12.74 |
| S70B | 10/14/2005 | 14:27 | 3.49 | 13.21 |
| S70C | 10/14/2005 | 14:27 | 3.49 | 13.21 |
| S70D | 10/14/2005 | 14:25 | 3.64 | 13.06 |
| S71B | 10/14/2005 | 14:39 | 5.15 | 13.25 |
| S71C | 10/14/2005 | 14:37 | 5.23 | 13.17 |
| S71D | 10/14/2005 | 14:35 | 5.20 | 13.20 |
| S72B | 10/14/2005 | 13:34 | 5.43 | 12.77 |
| S72C | 10/14/2005 | 13:36 | 5.40 | 12.80 |
| S72D | 10/14/2005 | 13:37 | 5.47 | 12.73 |
| S73B | 10/14/2005 | 13:41 | 4.19 | 12.81 |
| S73D | 10/14/2005 | 13:44 | 4.46 | 12.54 |
| TE03 | 10/14/2005 | 14:00 | 3.39 | 13.61 |
| **PIN15** | **Northeast Site** | | | |
| 0506 | 10/14/2005 | 10:03 | 3.61 | 13.39 |
| 0507 | 10/14/2005 | 10:02 | 3.64 | 13.36 |
| 0510 | 10/14/2005 | 13:03 | 3.95 | 13.57 |
| 0513 | 10/14/2005 | 09:54 | 11.89 | 5.71 |
| 0514 | 10/14/2005 | 08:52 | 6.82 | 10.68 |
| 0515 | 10/14/2005 | 08:53 | 6.75 | 10.75 |
| 0518 | 10/14/2005 | 09:46 | 5.10 | 12.70 |
| 0520 | 10/14/2005 | 09:59 | 3.75 | 13.45 |
| 0523 | 10/14/2005 | 09:28 | 4.46 | 13.54 |
| 0530 | 10/14/2005 | 08:58 | 5.70 | 11.70 |
| 0534 | 10/14/2005 | 09:58 | 3.97 | 13.33 |
| 0535 | 10/14/2005 | 08:57 | 6.23 | 11.37 |
| 0537 | 10/14/2005 | 08:36 | 7.91 | 10.69 |
| 0557 | 10/14/2005 | 08:30 | 8.72 | 10.38 |

*Table 2 (continued). Water-Level Data at the STAR Center*

| Location | Measurement | | Water Depth From Land Surface (ft) | Ground Water Elevation (ft NGVD) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Date | Time | | |
| 0559 | 10/14/2005 | 13:16 | 5.73 | 13.06 |
| 0560 | 10/14/2005 | 09:04 | 7.09 | 10.91 |
| 0561 | 10/14/2005 | 09:05 | 6.19 | 11.81 |
| 0562 | 10/14/2005 | 09:35 | 5.43 | 12.37 |
| 0563 | 10/14/2005 | 09:36 | 4.97 | 12.83 |
| 0564 | 10/14/2005 | 09:44 | 4.96 | 12.24 |
| 0565 | 10/14/2005 | 09:43 | 4.58 | 12.62 |
| 0566 | 10/14/2005 | 10:22 | 6.34 | 11.16 |
| 0567 | 10/14/2005 | 10:23 | 5.26 | 12.24 |
| 0569 | 10/14/2005 | 12:48 | 5.46 | 12.92 |
| 0570 | 10/14/2005 | 12:59 | 4.56 | 13.42 |
| 0571 | 10/14/2005 | 13:13 | 3.93 | 13.54 |
| 0572 | 10/14/2005 | 13:11 | 4.09 | 13.42 |
| 0573 | 10/14/2005 | 10:36 | 6.58 | 11.80 |
| 0574 | 10/14/2005 | 13:34 | 7.03 | 11.39 |
| 0575 | 10/14/2005 | 10:31 | 5.70 | 12.14 |
| 0576 | 10/14/2005 | 10:32 | 5.67 | 11.81 |
| 0577 | 10/14/2005 | 10:38 | 5.94 | 11.70 |
| 0578 | 10/14/2005 | 10:37 | 5.48 | 12.04 |
| 0579 | 10/14/2005 | 08:20 | 7.69 | 10.71 |
| 0580 | 10/14/2005 | 08:23 | 8.18 | 10.22 |
| 0582 | 10/14/2005 | 08:14 | 7.01 | 10.39 |
| 0583 | 10/14/2005 | 08:10 | 8.15 | 11.05 |
| E001 | 10/14/2005 | 10:10 | 2.55 | 13.47 |
| M03D | 10/14/2005 | 08:18 | 5.55 | 12.55 |
| M03S | 10/14/2005 | 08:16 | 5.51 | 12.59 |
| M12D | 10/14/2005 | 09:50 | 3.82 | 13.38 |
| M12S | 10/14/2005 | 09:51 | 4.25 | 13.25 |
| M14D | 10/14/2005 | 09:32 | 4.23 | 13.77 |
| M14S | 10/14/2005 | 09:31 | 4.13 | 13.87 |
| M16D | 10/14/2005 | 08:24 | 6.23 | 11.97 |
| M16S | 10/14/2005 | 08:28 | 6.16 | 12.04 |
| M24D | 10/14/2005 | 09:38 | 5.50 | 12.30 |
| M27D | 10/14/2005 | 10:20 | 5.61 | 11.99 |
| M27S | 10/14/2005 | 10:18 | 4.74 | 12.86 |
| M29D | 10/14/2005 | 08:48 | 8.85 | 8.75 |
| M29S | 10/14/2005 | 08:49 | 6.62 | 10.98 |
| M30D | 10/14/2005 | 09:10 | 6.90 | 11.00 |
| M30S | 10/14/2005 | 09:05 | 6.37 | 11.43 |
| M31D | 10/14/2005 | 08:40 | 8.50 | 9.50 |
| M31S | 10/14/2005 | 08:41 | 8.53 | 9.47 |
| M32D | 10/14/2005 | 08:45 | 6.42 | 11.38 |
| M32S | 10/14/2005 | 08:43 | 6.08 | 11.72 |
| M33D | 10/14/2005 | 09:38 | 3.53 | 14.07 |

*Table 2 (continued). Water-Level Data at the STAR Center*

| Location | Measurement | | Water Depth From Land Surface (ft) | Ground Water Elevation (ft NGVD) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Date | Time | | |
| **PIN18** | **Wastewater Neutralization Area** | | | |
| 0500 | 10/14/2005 | 10:35 | 7.83 | 12.27 |
| 0502 | 10/14/2005 | 10:40 | 7.87 | 12.13 |
| 0503 | 10/14/2005 | 13:50 | 4.23 | 13.45 |
| 0504 | 10/14/2005 | 10:41 | 5.75 | 13.85 |
| 0508 | 10/14/2005 | 10:45 | 5.59 | 13.91 |
| 0509 | 10/14/2005 | 13:53 | 4.55 | 13.28 |
| 0510 | 10/14/2005 | 13:55 | 4.31 | 13.45 |
| 0511 | 10/14/2005 | 13:28 | 4.74 | 14.06 |
| 0512 | 10/14/2005 | 13:24 | 4.54 | 14.06 |
| 0513 | 10/14/2005 | 13:23 | 4.63 | 14.17 |
| 0514 | 10/14/2005 | 13:44 | 4.10 | 13.68 |
| 0515 | 10/14/2005 | 13:41 | 4.80 | 13.61 |
| 0516 | 10/14/2005 | 13:42 | 4.68 | 13.73 |
| 0517 | 10/14/2005 | 14:12 | 4.73 | 13.52 |
| 0518 | 10/14/2005 | 14:14 | 4.64 | 13.56 |
| 0519 | 10/14/2005 | 14:11 | 4.73 | 13.55 |
| 0520 | 10/14/2005 | 10:32 | 4.90 | 13.10 |
| 0521 | 10/14/2005 | 10:31 | 5.82 | 12.28 |
| 0522 | 10/14/2005 | 10:34 | 5.83 | 12.27 |
| 0523 | 10/14/2005 | 10:28 | 6.22 | 13.18 |
| 0524 | 10/14/2005 | 10:29 | 5.92 | 13.08 |
| 0525 | 10/14/2005 | 10:53 | 5.76 | 13.14 |
| 0526 | 10/14/2005 | 13:33 | 4.80 | 13.80 |
| RW02 | 10/14/2005 | 09:17 | 11.40 | 8.70 |
| RW03 | 10/14/2005 | 09:16 | 10.29 | 8.01 |
| RW0501 | 10/14/2005 | 09:19 | 10.99 | 9.01 |
| **PIN21** | **Perimeter Monitoring Wells** | | | |
| 0500 | 10/14/2005 | 13:25 | 5.06 | 13.04 |
| 0501 | 10/14/2005 | 13:23 | 5.12 | 12.88 |
| 0502 | 10/14/2005 | 14:01 | 3.04 | 12.16 |
| 0503 | 10/14/2005 | 14:00 | 3.02 | 12.18 |
| 0504 | 10/14/2005 | 14:38 | 4.57 | 13.03 |
| 0505 | 10/14/2005 | 14:37 | 4.29 | 13.11 |
| 0512 | 10/14/2005 | 14:20 | 4.49 | 12.81 |
| **PIN23** | **Southwest Pond** | | | |
| SW01 | 10/14/2005 | 10:41 | | 13.12 |
| **PIN37** | **South Pond** | | | |
| S001 | 10/14/2005 | 14:42 | | 13.05 |

*Table 3. Floridan Aquifer Monitoring Well Water Elevations*

| Well Identification | July 2005 Water Level Elevation (ft, MSL) | October 2005 Water Level Elevation (ft, MSL) |
|---|---|---|
| PIN15−0513 | 7.45 | 5.71 |
| PIN12−0527 | 7.41 | 5.72 |
| PIN12−0528 | 7.60 | 5.81 |

*Table 4. Vertical Hydraulic Differential*

| Water Level Measured From | Well Identification | Water Level Elevation (ft, MSL) |
|---|---|---|
| Deep Surficial Aquifer | PIN15−M12D | 13.38 |
| Floridan Aquifer | PIN15−0513 | 5.71 |

*Table 5. Surface Water Elevations*

| Pond Location | July 2005 Water Level Elevation (ft, MSL) | October 2005 Water Level Elevation (ft, MSL) |
|---|---|---|
| East Pond | 14.25 | 13.47 |
| South Pond | 13.57 | 13.05 |
| West Pond | Not measured | Not measured |
| Southwest Pond | 13.57 | 13.12 |

*Table 6. Dissolved Gas and Bacteria*

| Location | | Date Sampled | Ethane (µg/L) | Ethene (µg/L) | Hydrogen (nmol/L) | Methane (µg/L) | Carbon dioxide (mg/L) | Dehalococcoides ethenogenes (copy numbers/L) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Industrial Drain Leaks Bldg. 100/Old Drum Storage Site** | | | | | | | | |
| PIN12 | 0514 | 10/6/2005 | 0.2 | 2 | 1.5 | 9,600 | 120 | Not Detected |
| | 0524 | 10/10/2005 | 0.33 | 19 | 0.75 | 4,200 | 120 | 14,300,000 |
| | 0526 | 10/10/2005 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 180 | 4,500 | 280 | 41,100 |
| | S35B | 10/7/2005 | 120 | 1,200 | 0.83 | 3,100 | 150 | 1,660,000 |
| | S73C | 10/7/2005 | 0.021 | 0.48 | 7.5 | 7,400 | 220 | 1,190,000 |
| **Pinellas Northeast Site** | | | | | | | | |
| PIN15 | 0567 | 10/5/2005 | 9.4 | 0.032 | 1.6 | 150 | 140 | 921,000 |
| | 0569 | 10/6/2005 | 1,000 | <0.01 | 0.69 | 3,000 | 130 | 745,000 |
| | 0574 | 10/5/2005 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2,700 | 84 | 16,900,000 |
| | 0576 | 10/5/2005 | 2.9 | 0.23 | 1.5 | 3,700 | 75 | 4,000,000 |
| | 0577 | 10/5/2005 | 130 | 21 | 1.4 | 4,200 | 270 | 2,460,000 |

"<"=not detected above the associated value

*Table 7. Field Measurements of Samples Collected at the STAR Center*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft bls) | Temperature (°C) | Specific Conductance (µmhos/cm)[a] | Turbidity (NTU) | pH | Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV) | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **PIN06** | **Industrial Drain Leaks Bldg 100 / Old Drum Storage Site** | | | | | | |
| 0501 | 3–13 | 28.6 | 1,157 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 20 | 0.82 |
| **PIN09** | | | | | | | |
| 0500 | 3–13 | 30.2 | 847 | 4.7 | 6.77 | -74.1 | 0.68 |
| **PIN10** | | | | | | | |
| 0500 | 3–13 | 27.4 | 614 | 4.9 | 6.64 | -33.8 | 0.59 |
| **PIN12** | | | | | | | |
| 0514 | 30–40 | 25.84 | 1,482 | 212 | 6.53 | -14.8 | 0.38 |
| 0524 | 27–37 | 27.35 | 1,515 | 2.3 | 6.51 | 19.7 | 0.23 |
| 0525 | 12–22 | 28.31 | 780 | 6.62 | 6.78 | -75 | 0.61 |
| 0526 | 19.5–29.5 | 31.58 | 2,054 | 20.3 | 6.39 | -286.9 | 7.62 |
| S31B | 5–15 | 25 | 562 | 12.4 | 6.71 | 179.4 | 0.41 |
| S32B | 5.5–15.5 | 22.97 | 1,739 | 2.62 | 6.69 | 198 | 0.94 |
| S33C | 11–21 | 24.1 | 1,336 | 78.9 | 6.64 | 84.3 | 0.31 |
| S35B | 5–15 | 22 | 1,659 | 13.3 | 6.36 | 182.2 | 0.34 |
| S68B | 10–20 | 27.23 | 861 | 11.6 | 6.63 | -59.9 | 0.75 |
| S73C | 20–30 | 30.01 | 1,905 | 76.4 | 6.48 | -70.9 | 0.9 |
| **PIN15** | **Northeast Site** | | | | | | |
| 0560 | 19–28.5 | 28 | 1,082 | 7.3 | 6.51 | -65.9 | 0.74 |
| 0561 | 5–14.5 | 27.8 | 1,409 | 2.7 | 6.54 | -43.6 | 0.82 |
| 0562 | 20–29.5 | 28.68 | 1,150 | 4.67 | 6.61 | -34.2 | 1.15 |
| 0563 | 5–14.5 | 29.14 | 1,711 | 2.54 | 6.46 | -10.4 | 1.09 |
| 0564 | 20–29.5 | 27.91 | 1,610 | 10.1 | 6.64 | -21 | 0.94 |
| 0565 | 5–14.5 | 28.37 | 922 | 7.24 | 6.56 | -9.6 | 1.01 |
| 0566 | 19–28.5 | 30.1 | 1,455 | 259 | 6.57 | -66.4 | 0.8 |
| 0567 | 5–14.5 | 30.12 | 1,236 | 15.6 | 6.72 | -51.5 | 0.77 |
| 0569 | 20–30 | 27.44 | 1,472 | 63.8 | 6.54 | 46 | 0.67 |
| 0573 | 5–15 | 32.96 | 1,702 | 3.4 | 6.77 | 56.5 | 0.66 |
| 0574 | 18–28 | 33.68 | 1,161 | 13.5 | 6.91 | -44.3 | 0.47 |
| 0575 | 5–15 | 32.98 | 1,756 | 10.5 | 7.24 | -149.8 | 0.56 |
| 0576 | 20–30 | 36.55 | 1,422 | 7.75 | 6.99 | -41.2 | 0.39 |
| 0577 | 5–15 | 32.49 | 2,115 | 5.36 | 6.75 | -120 | 0.59 |
| 0578 | 20–30 | 33.3 | 1,194 | 10 | 6.03 | 7.1 | 1.38 |
| M03S | 2.5–12 | 27.5 | 898 | 6.2 | 6.76 | -49.2 | 0.61 |
| M14S | 4–14 | 27.1 | 770 | 12.7 | 6.85 | -36.1 | 0.52 |
| M32S | 3–13 | 28.2 | 800 | 6.7 | 6.71 | -10.3 | 0.8 |

*Table 7 (continued). Field Measurements of Samples Collected at the STAR Center*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft bls) | Temperature (°C) | Specific Conductance (µmhos/cm)[a] | Turbidity (NTU) | pH | Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV) | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **PIN18** | **Wastewater Neutralization Area** | | | | | | |
| 0500 | 11–16 | 27.6 | 435 | 7 | 7.34 | -124.8 | 0.51 |
| 0502 | 11–16 | 27.7 | 697 | 4.8 | 6.81 | -55.6 | 0.83 |
| 0521 | 20–30 | 25.7 | 838 | 2 | 6.92 | -67.5 | 0.54 |
| 0522 | 5–15 | 27.6 | 679 | 8.5 | 6.79 | -34.9 | 0.63 |
| 0523 | 32.5–42.5 | 25.8 | 1,160 | 23.7 | 6.82 | -44.2 | 0.67 |
| 0524 | 20–30 | 26.3 | 676 | 8.9 | 6.89 | -67.4 | 0.53 |
| 0525 | 5–15 | 28.1 | 324 | 14.1 | 6.66 | 89.8 | 0.91 |

[a]Temperature corrected to 25°C.
-- Not measured.

*Table 8. Sitewide Arsenic Measurements*

| Location | Sample Date | Concentration (mg/L) |
|---|---|---|
| **PIN06** | **Industrial Drain Leaks Bldg. 100/Old Drum Storage Site** | |
| 0501 | 10/6/2005 | 0.0168 |
| **PIN09** | | |
| 0500 | 10/6/2005 | 0.0224 |
| **PIN10** | | |
| 0500 | 10/6/2005 | 0.0118 |
| **PIN12** | | |
| 0525 | 10/7/2005 | 0.0201 |
| S31B | 10/7/2005 | 0.033 |
| S32B | 10/7/2005 | 0.0094B |
| S33C | 10/7/2005 | 0.0067B |
| S35B | 10/7/2005 | 0.0085B |
| S68B | 10/7/2005 | 0.0569 |
| **PIN15** | **Northeast Site** | |
| 0567 | 10/5/2005 | 0.0118 |
| M03S | 10/5/2005 | 0.0057B |
| M14S | 10/5/2005 | 0.0233 |
| M32S | 10/6/2005 | 0.0089B |
| **PIN18** | **Wastewater Neutralization Area** | |
| 0500 | 10/5/2005 | 0.0523 |
| 0502 | 10/5/2005 | 0.0337 |
| 0521 | 10/5/2005 | <0.0029 |
| 0522 | 10/5/2005 | 0.0137 |
| 0523 | 10/5/2005 | <0.0029 |
| 0524 | 10/5/2005 | 0.0089B |
| 0525 | 10/5/2005 | 0.118 |
| RW02 | 10/4/2005 | 0.0466 |
| RW03 | 10/4/2005 | 0.0986 |
| RW0501 | 10/4/2005 | 0.344 |

B = Inorganic result is between the IDL and CRDL
"<" values are method detection limits.

*Table 9. COPC Concentrations at the Northeast Site*
*(reported in micrograms per liter)[a]*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft) | Date Sampled | TCE | cis-1,2-DCE | Total 1,2-DCE[b] | Vinyl chloride | Methylene chloride | Benzene | Toluene | Total COPC[c] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FDEP MCL** | | | **3** | **70** | **63** | **1** | **5** | **1** | **1,000** | |
| **PIN15** | **Northeast Site** | | | | | | | | | |
| 0506 | 12–21.5 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0507 | 5–14.5 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0510 | 4–13.5 | 4/8/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0513 | 135–149.6 | 4/14/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0514 | 15.5–25.5 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | 15.7 | <0.5 | 15.7 |
| 0515 | 7.6–17.6 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0516 | 0.3–10.3 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0518 | 23–28 | 4/8/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0520 | 5–14.5 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0523 | 5–14.5 | 4/14/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0530 | 5–14.5 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 11 | <1 | <1 | <0.5 | 11 |
| 0534 | 19.5–29 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0535 | 20.5–30 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0537 | 17.5–30 | 4/6/2005 | 1.3 | 129 | 130.5 | 413 | <1 | 3.2 | <0.5 | 548 |
| 0557 | 21–31 | 10/8/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 2.9 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.9 |
| | | 1/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 3.1 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 3.1 |
| | | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0559 | 22–31.5 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 1.4 | <1 | 3.1 | <0.5 | 4.5 |
| 0560 | 19–28.5 | 10/12/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 1/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 10/10/2005 | 0.86J | 0.95J | 0.95J | 2.2 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.2 |
| 0561 | 5–14.5 | 10/12/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 1/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 10/10/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0562 | 20–29.5 | 10/7/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 1/11/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | 1.1J | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 10/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0563 | 5–14.5 | 10/8/2004 | <0.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.1 |
| | | 1/11/2005 | <0.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.4 |
| | | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.3 |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.1 |
| | | 10/7/2005 | 0.51J | 1.3 | 1.3 | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.3 |

*Table 9 (continued). COPC Concentrations at the Northeast Site (reported in micrograms per liter)[a]*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft) | Date Sampled | TCE | cis-1,2-DCE | Total 1,2-DCE[b] | Vinyl chloride | Methylene chloride | Benzene | Toluene | Total COPC[c] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FDEP MCL** | | | **3** | **70** | **63** | **1** | **5** | **1** | **1,000** | |
| 0564 | 20–29.5 | 10/12/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 1/11/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 4/14/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 10/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0565 | 5–14.5 | 10/12/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 1/11/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 4/14/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 10/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0566 | 19–28.5 | 10/12/2004 | <0.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.5 | <1 | 1.5 | <0.5 | 10.7 |
| | | 1/12/2005 | <0.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | <1 | 1.3 | <0.5 | 8.9 |
| | | 4/14/2005 | <0.5 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | <1 | 1.4 | <0.5 | 11.1 |
| | | 7/18/2005 | 160 | 83 | 84.3 | 20.5 | <1 | 2 | <0.5 | 266.8 |
| | | 10/10/2005 | 0.82J | 5.6 | 5.6 | 2.9 | <1 | 1.1 | <0.5 | 9.6 |
| 0567 | 5–14.5 | 10/12/2004 | 1.8 | 68 | 83.4 | 34.5 | <1 | 12.8 | <0.5 | 132.5 |
| | | 1/12/2005 | 1.3 | 52.3 | 63.8 | 22.7 | <1 | 7.2 | <0.5 | 95 |
| | | 4/14/2005 | 1.2 | 49.5 | 60.6 | 24.7 | <1 | 5.6 | <0.5 | 92.1 |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 3 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 10.2 |
| | | 10/5/2005 | 0.66J | 7.7 | 10 | 1.9 | <1 | 0.53J | <0.5 | 11.9 |
| 0568 | 10–20 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0569 | 20–30 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 33.7 | <1 | <0.5 | 10.6 | 45.6 |
| | | 10/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 12 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 12 |
| 0570 | 20–30 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0571 | 10–20 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0572 | 20–30 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0573 | 5–15 | 10/11/2004 | <0.5 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.7 | <1 | 0.66J | 1.2 | 26.5 |
| | | 1/11/2005 | <0.5 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 22.9 | <1 | 0.89J | 1.5 | 44.1 |
| | | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 24.8 | <1 | 0.71J | 1.3 | 31.4 |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 22.4 | <1 | <0.5 | 1.2 | 23.6 |
| | | 10/7/2005 | <0.5 | 0.57J | 0.57J | 20.1 | <1 | <0.5 | 1.8 | 21.9 |
| 0574 | 18–28 | 10/7/2004 | 35.4 | 330 | 330 | 58.9 | <1 | 0.96J | 0.81J | 424.3 |
| | | 1/11/2005 | 32.9 | 86.1 | 86.1 | 28.2 | <1 | 0.76J | 0.84J | 147.2 |
| | | 4/7/2005 | 15.4 | 39 | 39 | 13.8 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 68.2 |
| | | 7/18/2005 | 16.3 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 7.7 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 46.2 |
| | | 10/5/2005 | 2.9 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 6.7 | <1 | 0.68J | <0.5 | 23.1 |
| 0575 | 5–15 | 10/11/2004 | <0.5 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 35.9 | <1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 46.2 |
| | | 1/11/2005 | <0.5 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 34.9 | <1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 46.1 |
| | | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 15.1 | <1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 21.2 |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.1 | 15.7 | <1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 20.4 |
| | | 10/7/2005 | <0.5 | 2.2 | 9.1 | 6.6 | <1 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 19.4 |

*Table 9 (continued). COPC Concentrations at the Northeast Site (reported in micrograms per liter)[a]*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft) | Date Sampled | TCE | cis-1,2-DCE | Total 1,2-DCE[b] | Vinyl chloride | Methylene chloride | Benzene | Toluene | Total COPC[c] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FDEP MCL** | | | **3** | **70** | **63** | **1** | **5** | **1** | **1,000** | |
| 0576 | 20–30 | 10/7/2004 | <0.5 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 6.5 | <1 | 0.63J | <0.5 | 20.6 |
| | | 1/11/2005 | <0.5 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 11.2 | <1 | 0.95J | <0.5 | 29.9 |
| | | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 20.1 | <1 | 0.68J | <0.5 | 47.9 |
| | | 7/18/2005 | 1.1 | 46.8 | 46.8 | 63.1 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 111 |
| | | 10/5/2005 | <0.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 3.2 | <1 | 0.53J | <0.5 | 8.7 |
| 0577 | 5–15 | 10/7/2004 | <5 | <5 | 32 | 441 | <10 | 6.3J | 234 | 707 |
| | | 1/12/2005 | <2.5 | 14.5 | 38.2 | 276 | <5 | 5.7 | 21.1 | 341 |
| | | 4/15/2005 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 14.8 | 33 | <1 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 59.8 |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 11.6 | 4.9 | <1 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 27.8 |
| | | 10/5/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 7.5 | 0.69J | <1 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 13.7 |
| 0578 | 20–30 | 10/11/2004 | <0.5 | 10.2 | 12 | 25.2 | <1 | <0.5 | 0.91J | 37.2 |
| | | 1/12/2005 | <0.5 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 7.9 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 16.2 |
| | | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 2.9 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 7.5 |
| | | 7/18/2005 | <0.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.8 |
| | | 10/10/2005 | <0.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.8 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 4.3 |
| M03D | 15–25 | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 0.79J | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M03S | 2.5–12 | 1/11/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M12D | 22.5–32.5 | 4/14/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M12S | 5–14.5 | 4/14/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M14D | 18.5–28.5 | 4/8/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M14S | 4–14 | 4/8/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M16D | 18.5–28.5 | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M16S | 5–14.5 | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M24D | 20–30 | 4/8/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M27D | 21–31 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M27S | 6–16 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M29D | 20–30 | 10/8/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | 1.2 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.2 |
| | | 1/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M29S | 5–15 | 10/8/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 1/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M30D | 20.5–30.5 | 10/8/2004 | <25 | 500 | 500 | 2,120 | <50 | <25 | <25 | 2,620 |
| | | 1/12/2005 | <0.5 | 578 | 579.7 | 2,020 | <1 | 6.1 | <0.5 | 2,605.8 |
| | | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | 294 | 296 | 1,440 | <1 | 4.4 | <0.5 | 1,740.4 |
| M30S | 5.5–15.5 | 10/8/2004 | <0.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 4.3 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 5.4 |
| | | 1/12/2005 | <0.5 | 0.72J | 0.72J | 2.1 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.1 |
| | | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 1.8 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.8 |
| M31D | 19.5–29.5 | 10/8/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 3 | 1.4 | 19.8 | 2.8 | 27 |
| | | 1/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | 24.5 | 2 | 26.5 |
| | | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 5.7 | <1 | 17.3 | 1.6 | 24.6 |

*Table 9 (continued). COPC Concentrations at the Northeast Site (reported in micrograms per liter)[a]*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft) | Date Sampled | TCE | cis-1,2-DCE | Total 1,2-DCE[b] | Vinyl chloride | Methylene chloride | Benzene | Toluene | Total COPC[c] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FDEP MCL** | | | **3** | **70** | **63** | **1** | **5** | **1** | **1,000** | |
| M31S | 4.5–14.5 | 10/8/2004 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 0.99J | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| | | 1/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 4.2 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 4.2 |
| | | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 1.7 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.7 |
| M32D | 14–24 | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M32S | 3–13 | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| M33D | 20–30 | 4/14/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| RW16 | 20–30 | 4/15/2005 | <0.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 110 | <1 | 2.5 | <0.5 | 114.1 |
| X11 | – | 4/11/2005 | <50 | 443 | 443 | 3,610 | <100 | <50 | 149 | 4,202 |

[a]Before December 18, 2003 "<" values are reporting limits. On or after December 18, 2003 "<" values are method detection limits.
[b]Total 1,2-DCE is the sum of cis-1,2-DCE and trans-1,2-DCE.
[c]Total COPC is the sum of the individual COPC concentrations. The cis-1,2-DCE value is not part of the total COPC value because this value is included in the total 1,2-DCE value. "J" values are not included in the total COPC value.
ND = Not detected.
J = Estimated value, result is between the reporting limit and the method detection limit.
Arsenic, while a COPC, is not included in this table, nor in the Total COPC value.

*Table 10. COPC Concentrations at the Building 100 Area*
*(reported in micrograms per liter)*[a]

| Location | Screen Depth (ft) | Date Sampled | TCE | cis-1,2-DCE | trans-1,2-DCE | Total 1,2-DCE[b] | 1,1-DCE | Vinyl chloride | Total COPC[c] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FDEP MCL** | | | **3** | **70** | **100** | **63** | **7** | **1** | |
| **Industrial Drain Leaks Bldg 100 / Old Drum Storage Site** | | | | | | | | | |
| **PIN06** | | | | | | | | | |
| 0500 | 3–13 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0501 | 3–13 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| **PIN09** | | | | | | | | | |
| 0500 | 3–13 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 0.52J | <0.5 | 0.52J | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| **PIN10** | | | | | | | | | |
| 0500 | 3–13 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 1.8 | <0.5 | 1.8 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.8 |
| **PIN12** | | | | | | | | | |
| 0508 | 3–13 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0509 | 3–13 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0510 | 3–13 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0511 | 3–13 | 4/9/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0512 | 3–13 | 4/9/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0513 | 15–25 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 3.7 | <0.5 | 6.1 | 9.8 |
| 0514 | 30–40 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 5.1 | 21.8 | 26.9 | <0.5 | 24.5 | 51.4 |
| | | 10/6/2005 | <0.5 | 9.4 | 28.4 | 37.8 | <0.5 | 35.6 | 73.4 |
| 0515 | 15–25 | 4/9/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0516 | 30–40 | 4/9/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0517 | 15–25 | 4/9/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0518 | 30–40 | 4/9/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 0520 | 36–46 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | 19.5 | 19.5 |
| 0521 | 19.5–29.5 | 4/12/2005 | 1.5 | 1.6 | <0.5 | 1.6 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 3.1 |
| 0522 | 32–42 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0523 | 18–28 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 0.66J | <0.5 | 0.66J | <0.5 | 0.57J | ND |
| 0524 | 27–37 | 4/11/2005 | 356 | 3,390 | <50 | 3,390 | 182 | 1,440 | 5,368 |
| | | 10/10/2005 | 123 | 1,670 | 19.8 | 1,689.8 | 80.6 | 713 | 2,606.4 |
| 0525 | 12–22 | 4/9/2005 | <0.5 | 2 | <0.5 | 2 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2 |
| 0526 | 19.5–29.5 | 4/11/2005 | <0.5 | 1.5 | 0.58J | 1.5 | <0.5 | 1.1 | 2.6 |
| | | 10/10/2005 | <0.5 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 4.8 | <0.5 | 0.69J | 4.8 |
| 0527 | 118–137.9 | 4/14/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0528 | 127–146.9 | 4/9/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| RW01 | 19–29 | 10/5/2004 | 4,200 | 2,000 | <50 | 2,000 | <50 | 550 | 6,750 |
| | | 1/6/2005 | 4,250 | 2,450 | <50 | 2,450 | <50 | 634 | 7,334 |
| | | 4/5/2005 | 4,390 | 2,770 | <50 | 2,770 | <50 | 996 | 8,156 |
| | | 7/6/2005 | 4,220 | 2,440 | <50 | 2,440 | <50 | 641 | 7,301 |
| | | 10/4/2005 | 4,390 | 2,700 | 51.9J | 2,700 | <50 | 783 | 7,873 |
| RW02 | 25–35 | 10/5/2004 | 349 | 590 | 48.9 | 638.9 | 16.8 | 66 | 1,070.7 |
| | | 1/6/2005 | 432 | 670 | 55.4 | 725.4 | 17.3 | 58.4 | 1,233.1 |
| | | 4/5/2005 | 298 | 556 | 42.6 | 598.6 | 13.6 | 89.4 | 999.6 |
| | | 7/6/2005 | 264 | 470 | 34.9 | 504.9 | 12.7 | 55.6 | 837.2 |
| | | 10/4/2005 | 398 | 562 | 51.3 | 613.3 | 22.1 | 88.5 | 1,121.9 |

*Table 10 (continued). COPC Concentrations at the Building 100 Area (reported in micrograms per liter)[a]*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft) | Date Sampled | TCE | cis-1,2-DCE | trans-1,2-DCE | Total 1,2-DCE[b] | 1,1-DCE | Vinyl chloride | Total COPC[c] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FDEP MCL** | | | **3** | **70** | **100** | **63** | **7** | **1** | |
| S29C | 14–24 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 3.3 |
| S30B | 5–15 | 4/6/2005 | 545 | 18,800 | 919 | 19,719 | 376 | 2,210 | 22,850 |
| S31B | 5–15 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | 0.95J | <0.5 | 0.95J | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S32B | 5.5–15.5 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | 1.6 | <0.5 | 1.6 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.6 |
| S33C | 11–21 | 4/6/2005 | <5 | 74.1 | 18 | 92.1 | <5 | 385 | 477.1 |
| S35B | 5–15 | 4/8/2005 | 23,800 | 67,600 | 8,210 | 75,810 | 211 | 15,000 | 114,821 |
| | | 10/7/2005 | 11,600 | 33,700 | 5,010 | 38,710 | 146E | 7,500 | 57,956 |
| S36B | 5–15 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | 1.1 | <0.5 | 1.1 | <0.5 | 2.2 | 3.3 |
| S37B | 5–15 | 4/6/2005 | <0.5 | 58.9 | 1.1 | 60 | <0.5 | 80.8 | 140.8 |
| S54D | 36–41 | 4/8/2005 | 7,280 | 36,200 | 308 | 36,508 | 465 | 6,460 | 50,713 |
| S55B | 10–19.8 | 4/7/2005 | 7 | 1,030 | 13.1 | 1,043.1 | 4.8 | 6,690 | 7,744.9 |
| S55C | 20.5–30.3 | 4/7/2005 | 4.7 | 6,450 | 44.7 | 6,494.7 | 38.8 | 1,460 | 7,998.2 |
| S56B | 10–19.8 | 4/7/2005 | 1.9 | 74.1 | 0.99J | 74.1 | 0.91J | 46.3 | 122.3 |
| S56C | 20.5–30.3 | 4/7/2005 | 1.4 | 56.9 | 1.3 | 58.2 | <0.5 | 39.8 | 99.4 |
| S56D | 31–40.8 | 4/7/2005 | 1.8 | 33.5 | 0.9J | 33.5 | 0.64J | 10.4 | 45.7 |
| S57B | 10–19.8 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.74J | 0.74J | <0.5 | 0.92J | ND |
| S57C | 20.5–30.3 | 4/7/2005 | 820 | 5,950 | 39.1 | 5,989.1 | 207 | 6,030 | 13,046.1 |
| S57D | 31.5–41.3 | 4/7/2005 | 37.5 | 857 | 11.7 | 868.7 | 38.4 | 565 | 1,509.6 |
| S59B | 10–19.8 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S59C | 20.5–30.3 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | 7.9 | <0.5 | 7.9 | <0.5 | 8.2 | 16.1 |
| S59D | 31–40.8 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S60B | 10–19.8 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | 3.9 | <0.5 | 3.9 | 0.86J | <0.5 | 3.9 |
| S60C | 20.5–30.3 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S60D | 31–40.8 | 4/7/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S67B | 10–19.83 | 4/12/2005 | <5 | 25.1 | <5 | 25.1 | <5 | 572 | 597.1 |
| S67C | 20–29.83 | 4/12/2005 | <10 | 616 | 88.2 | 704.2 | <10 | 304 | 1,008.2 |
| S67D | 30–39.83 | 4/11/2005 | <1 | 147 | 16 | 163 | 1.6J | 126 | 289 |
| S68B | 10–20 | 4/11/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S68C | 18–28 | 4/11/2005 | <0.5 | 3.6 | <0.5 | 3.6 | <0.5 | 4.6 | 8.2 |
| S68D | 30–40 | 4/11/2005 | <0.5 | 56.7 | 0.87J | 56.7 | <0.5 | 45.6 | 102.3 |
| S69B | 10–20 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S69C | 20–30 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S69D | 30–40 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 0.53J | <0.5 | 0.53J | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S70B | 10–20 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 18.5 | <0.5 | 18.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 18.5 |
| S70C | 20–30 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 18.1 | 4.7 | 22.8 | 0.59J | <0.5 | 22.8 |
| S70D | 30–40 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 11.3 | 4.1 | 15.4 | 0.51J | <0.5 | 15.4 |
| S71B | 10–20 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | 0.58J | <0.5 | 0.58J | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S71C | 20–30 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | 53.2 | 26.8 | 80 | 1.2 | 59.3 | 140.5 |
| S71D | 30–40 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 6.8 | <0.5 | 2.7 | 9.5 |
| S72B | 10–20 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S72C | 20–30 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S72D | 30–40 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| S73B | 10–20 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |

*Table 10 (continued). COPC Concentrations at the Building 100 Area (reported in micrograms per liter)[a]*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft) | Date Sampled | TCE | cis-1,2-DCE | trans-1,2-DCE | Total 1,2-DCE[b] | 1,1-DCE | Vinyl chloride | Total COPC[c] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FDEP MCL** | | | **3** | **70** | **100** | **63** | **7** | **1** | |
| S73C | 20–30 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | 2 | 5.1 | 7.1 | <0.5 | 13 | 20.1 |
| | | 10/7/2005 | <0.5 | 1 | 3.6 | 4.6 | <0.5 | 8.9 | 13.5 |
| S73D | 30–40 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| TE03 | – | 4/9/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| **PIN21** | | **Perimeter Monitoring Wells** | | | | | | | |
| 0500 | 7–17 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0501 | 20–28 | 4/13/2005 | <0.5 | 1.5 | <0.5 | 1.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.5 |
| 0502 | 7–17 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0503 | 20–28 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0504 | 7–17 | 4/11/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0505 | 20–28 | 4/11/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND |
| 0512 | 20–29.5 | 4/12/2005 | <0.5 | 1.7 | <0.5 | 1.7 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.7 |

[a]Before December 18, 2003 "<" values are reporting limits. On or after December 18, 2003 "<" values are method detection limits.
[b]Total 1,2-DCE is the sum of cis-1,2-DCE and trans-1,2-DCE.
[c]Total COPC is the sum of the individual COPC concentrations. The cis-1,2-DCE and trans-1,2-DCE values are not part of the total COPC value because these values are included in the total 1,2-DCE value. "J" values are not included in the total COPC value.

ND = Not detected.
J = Estimated value, result is between the reporting limit and the method detection limit.
Arsenic, while a COPC, is not included in this table, nor in the Total COPC value.

*Table 11. COPC Concentrations at the Wastewater Neutralization Area (reported in micrograms per liter)[a]*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft) | Date Sampled | Arsenic | Total COPC[b] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FDEP MCL** | | | **50** | |
| **PIN18** | **Wastewater Neutralization Area** | | | |
| 0500 | 11–16 | 10/13/2004 | 63.4 | 63.4 |
| | | 1/14/2005 | 60.1 | 60.1 |
| | | 4/11/2005 | 44.1 | 44.1 |
| | | 7/15/2005 | 52.4 | 52.4 |
| | | 10/5/2005 | 52.3 | 52.3 |
| 0502 | 11–16 | 10/13/2004 | 10 | 10 |
| | | 1/14/2005 | 38 | 38 |
| | | 4/11/2005 | 16.9 | 16.9 |
| | | 7/15/2005 | 35.6 | 35.6 |
| | | 10/5/2005 | 33.7 | 33.7 |
| 0503 | 10–20 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0504 | 13–22 | 4/11/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0505 | 10.5–20.5 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0506 | 12–22 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0507 | 27–37 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0508 | 31–41 | 4/11/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0509 | 27.5–37.5 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0510 | 27.5–37.5 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0511 | 32–42 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0512 | 21–31 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0513 | 12–22 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0514 | 32.5–42.5 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0515 | 22.5–32.5 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0516 | 12.5–22 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0517 | 31.5–41.5 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0518 | 22.5–32.5 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0519 | 12.5–22.5 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0520 | 32.5–42.5 | 4/11/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| 0521 | 20–30 | 10/12/2004 | <3.5 | ND |
| | | 1/14/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| | | 4/11/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| | | 7/14/2005 | <2.9 | ND |
| | | 10/5/2005 | <2.9 | ND |
| 0522 | 5–15 | 10/12/2004 | 8.2B | ND |
| | | 1/14/2005 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| | | 4/11/2005 | 5.5B | ND |
| | | 7/14/2005 | 15.4 | 15.4 |
| | | 10/5/2005 | 13.7 | 13.7 |

*Table 11 (continued). COPC Concentrations at the Wastewater Neutralization Area (reported in micrograms per liter)[a]*

| Location | Screen Depth (ft) | Date Sampled | Arsenic | Total COPC[b] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FDEP MCL** | | | **50** | |
| 0523 | 32.5–42.5 | 10/12/2004 | <3.5 | ND |
| | | 1/14/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| | | 4/11/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| | | 7/14/2005 | <2.9 | ND |
| | | 10/5/2005 | <2.9 | ND |
| 0524 | 20–30 | 10/12/2004 | 11.8 | 11.8 |
| | | 1/14/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| | | 4/11/2005 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| | | 7/14/2005 | 23.1 | 23.1 |
| | | 10/5/2005 | 8.9B | ND |
| 0525 | 5–15 | 10/12/2004 | 53.7 | 53.7 |
| | | 1/14/2005 | 60.5 | 60.5 |
| | | 4/11/2005 | 62.7 | 62.7 |
| | | 7/14/2005 | 53.6 | 53.6 |
| | | 10/5/2005 | 118 | 118 |
| 0526 | 19.5–29 | 4/9/2005 | <3.5 | ND |
| RW02 | 10–20 | 10/5/2004 | 64.3 | 64.3 |
| | | 1/6/2005 | 34.6 | 34.6 |
| | | 4/5/2005 | 50.9 | 50.9 |
| | | 7/6/2005 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| | | 10/4/2005 | 46.6 | 46.6 |
| RW03 | 9–24 | 10/5/2004 | 35.3 | 35.3 |
| | | 1/6/2005 | 31.2 | 31.2 |
| | | 4/5/2005 | 83.8 | 83.8 |
| | | 7/6/2005 | 48.8 | 48.8 |
| | | 10/4/2005 | 98.6 | 98.6 |
| RW0501 | 11–16 | 10/5/2004 | 116 | 116 |
| | | 1/6/2005 | 88.8 | 88.8 |
| | | 4/5/2005 | 91.6 | 91.6 |
| | | 7/6/2005 | 240 | 240 |
| | | 10/4/2005 | 344 | 344 |

[a]Before December 18, 2003 "<" values are reporting limits. On or after December 18, 2003 "<" values are method detection limits.
[b]Total COPC is the sum of the individual COPC concentrations. "J" values or "B"-qualified arsenic values are not included in the total COPC value.

ND = Not detected.
J = Estimated value for VOCs; result is between the reporting limit and the method detection limit.
B = Estimated value for inorganics; result is between the instrument detection limit and the reporting limit.

*Table 12. Relative Percent Difference (RPD) for Duplicate Samples*

| Sample ID | Duplicate ID | Report Number | Anayte | S | D | Ratio | DL | 5xDL | Fail |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PIN06−0501 | PIN24−0500 | F35561 | Arsenic | 0.0168 | 0.0196 | 15.4 | 0.0029 | 0.0145 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PIN12−S32B | PIN24−0501 | F35561 | non-detect for Arsenic | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PIN12−S73C | PIN24−0502 | F35561 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1 | 0.86 | 15.1 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | Vinyl chloride | 8.9 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PIN15−0573 | PIN24−0503 | F35608 | Benzene | 0.25 | 0.59 | 81.0 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.57 | 0.62 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | Toluene | 1.8 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.25 | 0.58 | 79.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | Vinyl chloride | 20.1 | 21.4 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PIN15−0578 | PIN24−0504 | F35608 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | Vinyl chloride | 1.8 | 2 | 10.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PIN15−M03S | PIN24−0505 | F35561 | non-detect for Arsenic | | | | | | |

[a]S = Original sample (N001), VOC concentrations in µg/L and metals in mg/L.
[b]D = Duplicate sample (N002), VOC concentrations in µg/L and metals in mg/L.
[c]RL = Reporting limit.
[d]Fail = Volatiles "Fail" when the RPD is greater than ± 30% and the concentration is more than 5 times the reporting limit. Metals "Fail" when the samples are more than 5 times the reporting limit and the RPD is greater than 20%. For metals samples that are less than 5 times the reporting limit the difference must be less than ± the reporting limit (this includes the case when only one of the duplicate/sample values is less than 5 times the reporting limit).

*Table 13. Summary of Analytical Results for the Building 100 Area Treatment System (reported in micrograms per liter unless otherwise noted)[a]*

| Location[b] | Date Sampled | TCE | cis-1,2-DCE | trans-1,2-DCE | Total 1,2-DCE[c] | 1,1-DCE | Vinyl chloride | Total COPC[d] | $CaCO_3$ mg/L | Fe mg/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **PIN12** | **Industrial Drain Leaks Bldg. 100** | | | | | | | | | |
| TRTI | 10/4/2005 | 902 | 1,150 | 38.6 | 1,188.6 | 20 | 142 | 2,252.6 | 351 | 4.8 |
| | 11/3/2005 | 978 | 1,150 | 25.6 | 1,175.6 | 12.7J | 124 | 2,277.6 | 375 | 4.87 |
| | 12/5/2005 | 1,460 | 1,770 | 49.5J | 1,770 | <25 | 253 | 3,483 | 371 | 4.89 |
| TRTE | 10/4/2005 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | <0.5 | <0.5 | ND | 356 | 4.88 |
| | 11/3/2005 | 0.71J | 2.4 | <0.5 | 2.4 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.4 | 377 | 4.9 |
| | 12/5/2005 | <0.5 | 1.9 | <0.5 | 1.9 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.9 | 366 | 4.87 |

[a]"<" values are method detection limits.
[b]TRTI is the system influent and TRTE is the system effluent.
[c]Total 1,2-DCE is the sum of cis-1,2-DCE and trans-1,2-DCE.
[d]Total COPC is the sum of the individual COPC concentrations. The cis-1,2-DCE and trans-1,2-DCE values are not part of the total COPC value because this value is included in the total 1,2-DCE value. "J" values are not included in the total COPC value.

J = Estimated value, result is between the reporting limit and the method detection limit.
ND Not detected.

*Table 14. Summary of Historical Ground Water Recovery from the Building 100 Recovery Wells*

| Report Date | Quarterly (gallons) | Cumulative Total To Date (gallons) |
|---|---|---|
| April−June 2004 | 188,490 | 188,490 |
| July−September 2004 | 410,734 | 599,224 |
| October−December 2004 | 589,242 | 1,188,466 |
| January−March 2005 | 470,708 | 1,659,174 |
| April−June 2005 | 490,041 | 2,149,215 |
| July−September 2005 | 498,292 | 2,647,507 |
| October−December 2005 | 471,778 | 3,119,285 |

Note: The Building 100 Ground Water Treatment System started operations in May 2004.

*Table 15. Estimated Mass of VOCs Recovered from the Building 100 Recovery Wells During October, November, and December 2005*

| Month | Volume Treated (gallons) | Concentration[a] | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | cis-1,2-DCE (µg/L) | trans-1,2-DCE (µg/L) | Toluene (µg/L) | TCE (µg/L) | Methylene Chloride (µg/L) | Vinyl Chloride (µg/L) | Total VOCs (µg/L) |
| October 2005 | 150,639 | 1,150 | 38.6 | 0.25 | 902 | 0.5 | 142 | 2,233 |
| November 2005 | 162,089 | 1,150 | 25.6 | 5.00 | 978 | 10.0 | 124 | 2,293 |
| December 2005 | 159,050 | 1,770 | 49.5 | 12.50 | 1,460 | 25.0 | 253 | 3,570 |

| Month | Volume Treated (gallons) | Mass Recovered[b] | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | cis-1,2-DCE (lbs) | trans-1,2-DCE (lbs) | Toluene (lbs) | TCE (lbs) | Methylene Chloride (lbs) | Vinyl Chloride (lbs) | Total VOCs (lbs) |
| October 2005 | 150,639 | 1.45 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 2.81 |
| November 2005 | 162,089 | 1.56 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 1.32 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 3.10 |
| December 2005 | 159,050 | 2.35 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 1.94 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 4.74 |

[a]These concentrations represent the average of monthly sampling results.
[b]Includes "J" (estimated) values. For any detection of "<", which indicates the laboratory could not detect that analyte, 50 percent of the "<" value was used for the calculation of recovery.


West Pond
East Pond
Southwest Pond
South Pond
South Pond
M025 12.92
M024 14.23
0503 13.60
M012 14.55
0500 14.50
M035 12.87
M40S 13.49
M003 13.94
M14S 13.87
M12S 13.25
0563 12.83
0565 12.62
0577 11.70
0523 13.54
0575 12.14
M27S 12.86
0573 11.80
0567 12.24
0561 11.81
0530 11.70
0579 10.71
M03S 12.59
0520 13.45
M30S 11.43
0515 10.75
M29S 10.98
M16S 12.04
M31S 9.47
0583 11.05
M32S 11.72
E001 13.47
0507 13.36
0571 13.54
0510 13.57
0512 14.06
10-0500 13.75
0523 12.50
RW01 2.74
0521 13.00
21-0501 12.88
0504 13.85
0526 13.80
0500 12.27
RW0501 9.01
RW02 8.70
0524 13.08
RW03 8.01
0521 12.28
S35B 13.58
S55C 13.97
S57C 13.91
S59C 13.84
S67C 13.69
S67B 13.66
TE03 13.61
S60C 13.63
S72C 12.80
S72B 12.77
0515 13.61
0518 13.56
0512 13.50
0513 13.29
S71C 13.17
S71B 13.25
S70C 13.21
S70B 13.21
0526 12.88
S73B 12.81
0517 13.82
0525 13.35
S68C 13.23
S68B 13.49
S69C 12.84
S69B 12.85
SW01 13.12
S001 13.05
21-0505 13.11
21-0512 12.81
21-0503 12.18
N
100 0 100 Feet
S55C 13.97 Well Number and Ground Water Elevation in Feet (MSL)
Monitoring Well
Recovery Well
Surface Sample
Building
Fence
Pond
Road
Slurry Wall
Railroad
14 Water Table Contour in Feet (MSL)
18 Inferred Water Table Contour in Feet (MSL)
Contour Interval = 1.0 Feet
Inferred Ground Water Flow Direction
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
S.M. Stoller Corporation
Plate 1: Sitewide Shallow Surficial Aquifer Contours
Pinellas, Florida, October 2005
January 30, 2006
N0087600-08




| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY<br>GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO | S.M. Stoller Corporation<br>GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO<br>No. DE-AC01-02GJ79491 |
|---|---|
| Plate 2: Sitewide Deep Surficial Aquifer Contours<br>Pinellas, Florida, October 2005 | |
| DATE PREPARED<br>January 30, 2006 | FILENAME<br>N0087600-07 |

cgm0841001000rv0087600087600.apr e50084 1/30/2006, 13:11