LMS/PIN/N01308

Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project

Semiannual Progress Report for the Young - Rainey STAR Center's 4.5 Acre Site June through November 2008

December 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Office of Legacy Management

Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project
Semiannual Progress Report
for the Young - Rainey STAR Center's
4.5 Acre Site

June through November 2008

December 2008

Contents

Figures

Tables

Appendix

Acronyms and Abbreviations

bls	below land surface
°C	degrees Celsius
cDCE	cis-1,2-dichloroethene
COPC	contaminants of potential concern
CTL	cleanup target level
DCE	dichlororethene
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DPE	dual-phase extraction
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
F.A.C.	Florida Administrative Code
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
ft	feet
IRA	Interim Remedial Action
µg/L	micrograms per liter
µmhos/cm	micromhos per centimeter
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MDL	method detection limit
mg/L	milligrams per liter
msl	mean sea level
mV	millivolts
NGVD	national geodetic vertical datum
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Units
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control
RBCA	Risk-Based Corrective Action
RPD	relative percent difference
STAR Center	Young - Rainey Science, Technology, and Research Center
TCE	trichloroethene
TCOPC	total contaminants of potential concern
tDCE	trans-1,2-dichlororethene
VC	vinyl chloride
VOCs	volatile organic compounds

1.0 Introduction

The *Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project Semiannual Progress Report 4.5 Acre Site* describes environmental restoration activities for the Pinellas 4.5 Acre Site located in Pinellas County, Largo, Florida (Figure 1). The former U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Pinellas Plant facility consisted of the 4.5 Acre Site and the Young - Rainey Science, Technology, and Research Center (STAR Center) (Figure 2). The facility was constructed in the mid-1950s as part of a nationwide nuclear weapons research, development, and production complex. Production of weapons-related components ceased in September 1994. However, as a result of these operations, contamination exists in the surficial groundwater beneath the site.

Administration of DOE activities at the 4.5 Acre Site is the responsibility of the DOE Office of Legacy Management in Grand Junction, Colorado. S.M. Stoller Corporation (Stoller), a prime contractor to DOE's Office of Legacy Management, provides technical support to DOE for the remediation and closure of all active solid-waste management units on site and for the 4.5 Acre Site.

The 4.5 Acre Site is located to the northwest of the STAR Center, in the northeast quarter of Section 13, Township 30 South, Range 15 East (Figure 1). DOE owned this parcel from 1957 to 1972, at which time it was sold to a private landowner. During the period of DOE ownership, the property was used for disposal of drums of waste resins and solvents. As a result of this practice, the surficial aquifer was impacted by volatile organic compounds (VOCs), primarily vinyl chloride (VC), toluene, trichloroethene (TCE), and 1,2-dichloroethene (DCE). DOE completed a source removal in 1985.

An Interim Remedial Action (IRA) consisting of groundwater extraction and treatment via air stripping, and a routine groundwater monitoring program were initiated in May 1990. In July 1997, a modification of the IRA, involving the installation of dual-phase extraction (DPE) wells, provided a more aggressive system to remove groundwater contamination. In November 1999, the DPE/air-stripping system was replaced with an in-situ biosparge treatment system.

The *4.5 Acre Site Biosparge System Integration Plan* (DOE 2000) was approved by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) on January 17, 2001. This plan states that performance monitoring would be undertaken on a quarterly basis. Therefore, in April 2001, performance monitoring of the remedial system through the use of direct push technology was undertaken. However, the biosparge systems were shut off in May 2003 with no plans to restart them and no performance monitoring data have been collected since April 2003. Subsequent monitoring was then adapted to fit the new remediation scenario and performance monitoring as defined in the *Interim Remedial Action Plan for Ground Water Recovery at the 4.5 Acre Site* (DOE 2003b).

The IRA Plan for Ground Water Recovery at the 4.5 Acre Site was submitted to FDEP on August 29, 2003, and approved by FDEP on September 19, 2003. Implementation of the IRA Plan commenced on March 8, 2004, when construction activities began on the IRA treatment system. The treatment system consisted of an extraction well field (three recovery wells), pumps and associated piping, a transmission water pipeline, a utility connection, a low profile tray air stripper unit, and effluent piping. The new IRA system began operations on April 26, 2004.

The IRA system was a temporary measure that was outlined in the *Remedial Action Plan for the Pinellas 4.5 Acre Site* (DOE 2001) as a contingency option in the event that biosparging resulted in extending the contaminant plume. In April 2005, the *Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project 4.5 Acre Site Remedial Action Plan Addendum* (DOE 2005) was submitted to FDEP. This document presented a proposed final action for the 4.5 Acre Site that involves the closure of the site using the provisions of the recently adopted State of Florida Global Risk-Based Corrective Action (RBCA) regulations.

Technical discussions between FDEP and DOE regarding the proposed final action continue. Part of DOE's proposed final action for the 4.5 Acre Site was to shut down the IRA system and begin a 2-year monitoring period. Approval from FDEP to shut down the IRA system was received on December 20, 2005, thus commencing the DOE's 2-year monitoring period.

Although DOE has conducted numerous remediation activities at the 4.5 Acre Site since 1985, FDEP has recently suggested that, based on elevated levels of VOCs in groundwater, a source of VOCs may remain in the subsurface, and that removal of contaminated soil may be necessary (FDEP 2005). To investigate this concern, 1,172 soil samples were collected from 138 soil borings installed at two areas of the site during the summer of 2007. Analytical results demonstrated that the following contaminants were present in site sediments at concentrations that likely represent a source of contamination to groundwater: TCE; cis-1,2-DCE (cDCE); trans-1,2-DCE (tDCE); and toluene. Results from this characterization effort can be found in the *4.5 Acre Site Source Characterization Data Report* (DOE 2007).

In April 2008, DOE presented a feasibility study that evaluated the available contaminant source removal technologies. The preferred option for source removal at the 4.5 Acre Site was determined to be soil excavation using a large-diameter auger and off-site disposal of soil (DOE 2008). In a letter dated May 17, 2008, the FDEP states "the report is acceptable for its intended purpose" and "the preferred option for source removal of soil excavation using large diameter auger and off-site soil disposal is also acceptable to the Department." Large-diameter auger remedial action activities will commence in March 2009 and will be completed by June 2009.

This document is the semiannual progress report for the 4.5 Acre Site for June through November 2008, as requested by FDEP. The results of monitoring activities and a summary of ongoing and projected work are provided in this report.

1.1 Site Activities

- Obtained water-level measurements from all monitoring wells on September 9, 2008.
- Conducted the semiannual sampling event (i.e., collected groundwater samples from 38 monitoring wells in September 2008). Thirty-eight wells were sampled for VOCs and analyzed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 method 8260.
- Reported the results of the semiannual sampling event (this document).
- A tree removal permit application was submitted to Pinellas County for the removal of approximately 60 trees at the 4.5 Acre Site. The permit was granted and the trees were

removed in August to support the upcoming source removal activity. The trees were chipped and made into mulch.

2.0 Monitoring Data

2.1 Groundwater Elevations and Flow

On September 9, 2008, depth-to-water measurements were taken in all monitoring wells and former recovery wells at the 4.5 Acre Site. The depth to water in each well was measured with an electronic water-level indicator. The groundwater elevation data are listed in Table 1. Surface water elevations for Pond 5 (southeast of the 4.5 Acre Site), the West Pond (to the east), and the pond immediately north of the 4.5 Acre Site are listed in Table 2. The water elevation data were used to construct contours of water levels in the shallow and deep portions of the surficial aquifer (Figure 3 and Figure 4). Groundwater in the shallow surficial aquifer generally flows to the west, although at the southeast part of the site there is a southeastward component of flow toward Pond 5. Groundwater in the deep surficial aquifer flows to the west.

The average hydraulic gradient across the site was approximately 0.002 feet per foot in September 2008. This gradient is similar to that observed the previous 2 years at the site. Calculations using Darcy's Law along with approximations of 1 ft/day for hydraulic conductivity and 0.3 for effective porosity indicate that groundwater at the site is estimated to move about 2.5 ft/year. This velocity is on the low end of previously observed velocities of 2 to 10 ft/year.

2.2 Groundwater Sampling

Groundwater samples were collected from 38 monitoring wells at the 4.5 Acre Site in September 2008. Samples from all 38 wells were analyzed for VOCs.

All samples were collected in accordance with the Stoller *Sampling Procedures for the Young - Rainey STAR Center and 4.5 Acre Site* (DOE 2006), using FDEP procedures. All samples were submitted to TestAmerica, Tampa, Florida for analysis. TestAmerica in Tampa, Florida, is accredited by the Florida Department of Health in accordance with the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference, certification number E84282. VOCs were analyzed using EPA method SW-846 8260B. All monitoring wells were micropurged using a dedicated bladder pump, and sampling was performed when the field measurements stabilized. Table 3 lists field measurements of pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, turbidity, and temperature recorded at the time the samples were collected. Measurements were made with a flow cell and a multiparameter instrument.

2.3 Groundwater Analytical Results

Table 4 presents individual contaminants of potential concern (COPC) and total COPCs (TCOPCs) concentrations in samples collected from wells at the 4.5 Acre Site. The previous two semiannual results are included in Table 4 for comparison. Figure 5 shows the TCOPCs concentrations for September 2008.

The maximum TCOPCs value detected in September was 2,816 micrograms per liter (µg/L) at PIN20−M001. The compound detected at the highest concentration in PIN20−M001 was VC at a concentration of 2,300 µg/L.

Laboratory reports for semiannual samples collected in September 2008 are provided in Appendix A.

2.4 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

The results from the analytical laboratory, TestAmerica, were checked for quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) through duplicate samples and trip blanks. Detected analytes for each duplicate sample collected from the 4.5 Acre Site are listed in Table 5. The duplicate sample results were compared and the relative percent differences (RPDs) between the results were calculated. The duplicate results met the EPA recommended laboratory duplicate criteria of less than 20 percent RPD for results that are greater than 5 times the practical quantitation limit. All data passed QA/QC criteria at a Class A level, indicating that the data may be used for quantitative and qualitative purposes.

As specified in the *Sampling Procedures for the Young - Rainey STAR Center and 4.5 Acre Site* (DOE 2006), duplicate samples should be collected at a frequency of one duplicate for every 20 or fewer samples. For the STAR Center and the 4.5 Acre Site, there were 133 samples and 7 duplicates collected for volatile analysis. The duplicate requirements for this sampling event were met. There were six trip blanks collected during this event.

A data validation software module for identifying and tracking anomalous groundwater data points within the SeePRO database was used to generate a report of analytical results that fall outside of historical minimum or maximum values. No anomalous results were identified.

3.0 Data Interpretation

This data interpretation section is included to aid in evaluating plume stability. This section consists of plots showing contaminant concentration trends (Section 3.1), plume maps (Section 3.2), and a discussion of site geochemistry (Section 3.2).

While most of the previous documents for the 4.5 Acre Site have compared groundwater contaminant concentrations to drinking water standards (i.e., maximum contaminant levels [MCLs]), those standards are not the applicable default Cleanup Target Levels (CTLs) for the purposes of evaluating site remediation under RBCA. Based on a comprehensive review of background data for the site (DOE 2003a), it was determined that the shallow groundwater in the site vicinity is naturally elevated in aluminum and iron at levels far exceeding State of Florida Secondary Drinking Water Standards (Chapter 62-550, Florida Administrative Code [F.A.C.]). Specifically, the average background concentration of 1.1 milligrams/liter (mg/L) for aluminum exceeds the 0.2 mg/L secondary standard, and the average background concentration for iron of 9.3 mg/L exceeds the 0.3 mg/L secondary standard. The ambient shallow groundwater in the area is, therefore, designated as “poor quality” as defined in 62-780.200 (35), F.A.C. Thus, the applicable groundwater CTLs are those for groundwater of “low yield/poor quality” provided in

Table 1 of Chapter 62-777, F.A.C. In essence, these CTL values are a factor of 10 higher than the MCL values.

3.1 Contaminant Concentration Trends

Figure 6 and Figure 7 show the cDCE and VC concentration trends in wells PIN20-0502 and -M001, respectively. These two wells, located hydraulically downgradient from the area of highest contaminant concentrations, have shown increasing concentration trends over the last few years. This appears to be a result of past operation of the biosparging system, as described in previous reports. However, it appears that the contaminant concentrations in both wells are now decreasing.

Figure 8 and Figure 9 show TCE, cDCE, and VC concentration trends in wells PIN20-MWL4 and -M063. These two wells are in the area of highest contaminant concentrations on the eastern side of the site. Concentrations in these wells have shown an overall decreasing trend. The contaminant concentrations in well M063 decreased significantly since March 2007. This change may be due to a change in the local hydrology caused by numerous soil borings installed during the summer of 2007.

Figure 10 and Figure 11 show TCE, cDCE, and VC concentration trends in wells PIN20-M060 and -M061. These wells are in the area of elevated contaminant concentrations near the southwest property boundary. The contaminant concentrations in these wells do not show distinct trends, with both wells exhibiting significant increases and decreases over time.

3.2 Geochemical Parameters

Geochemical parameters measured in the field in all wells at the 4.5 Acre Site during September 2008 are summarized in Table 3. Conditions across the site generally are reducing as evidenced by the low values of dissolved oxygen and oxygen reduction potential.

4.0 Upcoming Tasks

The following major tasks are scheduled during the next semiannual period (December 2008 through May 2009).

- Conduct source removal using large diameter auger from February to June 2009.
- Semiannual sampling and analysis of groundwater in March 2009.
- Collect water-level measurements in March 2009.

5.0 References

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2000. *4.5 Acre Site Biosparge System Integration Plan*, GJO–2000–182–TAR, MAC–PIN 25.5.1.1, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy, Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, December.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2001. *Remedial Action Plan for the Pinellas 4.5 Acre Site*, U.S. Department of Energy, Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, July.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2003a. *Historical Review and Evaluation of Contaminants of Potential Concern*, GJO-2002-359-TAC, February.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2003b. *Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project Interim Remedial Action Plan for Ground Water Recovery at the 4.5 Acre Site*, GJO-2003-480-TAC, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy, Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, August.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2005. *Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project 4.5 Acre Site Remedial Action Plan Addendum*, DOE-LM/GJ858-2005, prepared by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, April.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2006. *Pinellas Environmental Restoration Project Sampling Procedures for the Young - Rainey STAR Center and 4.5 Acre Site*, DOE-LM/GJ1159-2006, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, April.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2007. *4.5 Acre Site Source Characterization Data Report*, DOE-LM/1549-2007, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, December.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2008. *4.5 Acre Site Source Removal Feasibility Study*, DOE-LM/1549-2008, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, April.

FDEP (Florida Department of Environmental Protection), 2005. Letter from John Armstrong (FDEP) to David Ingle, dated July 7, 2005.



Figure 1. Young - Rainey STAR Center Location



Figure 2. 4.5 Acre Site Location



Figure 3. Groundwater Elevations and Shallow Surficial Aquifer Flow, 4.5 Acre Site, September 2008



Figure 4. Groundwater Elevations and Deep Surficial Aquifer Flow, 4.5 Acre Site, September 2008



Figure 5. 4.5 Acre Site TCOPC Concentrations September 2008 Sampling Event



Figure 6. cDCE and VC in PIN20−0502



Figure 7. cDCE and VC in PIN20−M001



Figure 8. TCE, cDCE, and VC in PIN20−MWL4



Figure 9. TCE, cDCE, and VC in PIN20−M063



Figure 10. TCE, cDCE, and VC in PIN20−M060



Figure 11. TCE, cDCE, and VC in PIN20−M061

Table 1. Water-Level Data at the 4.5 Acre Site

Location	Measurement		Water Depth From Land Surface (ft)	Groundwater Elevation (ft NGVD)
	Date	Time		
PIN20	**4.5 Acre Site**			
0502	9/9/08	09:13	2.27	15.13
0503	9/9/08	09:12	2.26	15.14
M001	9/9/08	09:10	2.38	15.22
M003	9/9/08	22:59	3.12	15.08
M005	9/9/08	11:01	3.01	15.29
M007	9/9/08	11:01	4.01	15.44
M011	9/9/08	09:02	2.09	16.01
M012	9/9/08	09:01	2.22	15.78
M015	9/9/08	10:48	2.35	15.15
M019	9/9/08	10:34	2.84	15.16
M023	9/9/08	09:14	4.27	15.20
M024	9/9/08	09:16	6.75	11.05
M025	9/9/08	22:11	1.40	14.90
M028	9/9/08	09:04	2.67	15.53
M035	9/9/08	13:52	3.54	15.26
M036	9/9/08	13:50	4.06	15.24
M049	9/9/08	09:41	2.17	15.63
M053	9/9/08	10:20	2.30	14.90
M054	9/9/08	07:37	2.21	15.49
M055	9/9/08	10:18	2.61	14.79
M056	9/9/08	10:22	2.28	14.82
M057	9/9/08	10:30	2.89	15.01
M058	9/9/08	10:33	2.57	15.13
M059	9/9/08	10:37	2.59	15.21
M060	9/10/08	09:29	1.55	15.78
M061	9/10/08	09:30	1.71	15.57
M063	9/9/08	09:43	2.44	15.66
M064	9/9/08	09:48	3.79	13.92
M18D	9/9/08	10:31	2.64	15.06
M22D	9/9/08	10:39	2.59	15.21
M38D	9/9/08	13:33	4.43	14.07
M40D	9/9/08	13:48	4.19	15.21
M40S	9/9/08	13:45	3.92	15.28
M41D	9/9/08	13:42	4.18	14.92
MWL1	9/9/08	09:31	2.86	15.38
MWL2	9/9/08	09:28	2.38	15.39
MWL3	9/9/08	09:29	2.24	15.46
MWL4	9/9/08	09:30	2.14	15.60
MWL5	9/9/08	09:31	2.91	15.66
RW01	9/9/08	10:17	2.76	14.84
RW02	9/9/08	10:18	2.11	14.99
RW03	9/9/08	10:35	2.40	15.20

Table 2. 4.5 Acre Site Surface Water Elevations

Location	Measurement		Surface Water Elevation (ft NGVD)
	Date	Time	
PIN01		**Pond 5**	
P501	9/9/2008	11:23	12.90
P502	9/9/2008	11:14	13.80
PIN02		**West Pond**	
W005	9/9/2008	14:17	14.25
PIN20		**4.5 Acre Site**	
BP01	9/9/2008	13:24	15.24

Table 3. Field Measurements of Samples Collected at the 4.5 Acre Site

Location	Screen Depth (ft bls)	Temperature (°C)	Specific Conductance (µmhos/cm)[a]	Turbidity (NTU)	pH	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)
PIN20	**4.5 Acre Site**						
0502	21.2–31.2	25.87	1,366	7.42	6.64	-42.5	0.6
0503	13.2–23.2	26.5	1,294	19.2	6.62	-63.4	0.24
M001	20–25	25.88	1,591	7.8	6.63	-145.6	0.42
M003	9–14	26.69	1,060	3.02	6.67	-70.7	0.28
M005	25.8–30.7	26.03	1,030	2.07	6.79	-59.4	0.19
M011	23.7–28.7	25.86	905	3.14	6.78	-96.1	0.17
M012	8.6–13.6	26.75	757	6.3	6.7	-47.1	0.31
M015	20.8–25.8	26.2	1,309	2.1	6.73	-88.9	0.39
M019	22–27	26.71	2,101	37.4	6.73	-83.7	0.77
M023	19.8–24.8	24.49	942	7.34	6.76	-92.3	0.27
M024	8.7–13.7	27.1	867	4.8	6.76	-47.8	1.8
M025	8.6–13.6	25.52	2,140	14.1	6.58	-79.7	0.35
M028	22–27	26.22	876	2.29	6.69	-48.9	0.68
M035	9–14	25.25	2,508	5.91	6.83	-100.1	0.2
M036	25–30	25.12	820	1.6	6.81	-90	0.3
M049	20–30	25.65	1,134	167	6.7	-96	0.54
M053	20–30	25.78	1,656	12	6.79	-10.4	0.4
M054	20–30	25.43	1,119	27.4	6.7	-89.6	0.57
M055	21–31	26.66	1,434	21.9	6.8	-36	0.44
M056	19–29	26.47	1,567	6.81	6.76	-46.2	0.45
M057	20–30	25.91	1,961	5.61	6.77	233.5	0.52
M058	18–28	25.43	2,221	19.8	6.81	-86.9	0.97
M059	19–29	24.9	1,340	22.3	6.82	-83.1	0.36
M060	18–28	26.07	860	24.8	6.85	-76.1	0.56
M061	20–30	26.24	1,244	27.5	6.83	-102.2	0.44
M063	19.5–29.5	25.8	2,387	49.6	6.25	-78.4	2.18
M064	15–25	26.64	3,033	85.7	6.38	-102	0.92
M18D	20–30	26.42	1,939	9.39	6.81	-92.9	0.46
M22D	20–30	24.71	1,282	2.81	6.89	-101.2	0.16
M38D	20–30	24.82	844	1.29	6.97	-84.7	2.9
M40D	18–28	25.16	1,593	4.53	6.8	-105.4	0.27
M40S	4–14	27.32	190	12.4	6.33	77.6	0.48
M41D	16–26	25.69	2,130	17.1	6.84	-107.1	0.22
MWL1	21–26	25.07	2,507	9.6	5.91	-25.6	0.4
MWL2	21–26	25.77	2,704	5.69	6.39	-45.3	2.07
MWL3	21–26	25.63	2,021	4.21	6.31	-96.7	2.23
MWL4	20.8–25.8	25.02	870	3.81	6.74	-78.4	1.97
MWL5	20.8–25.8	26.21	780	3.49	6.74	-81.4	0.82

[a]Temperature corrected to 25 °C

Table 4. COPC Concentrations from Wells at the 4.5 Acre Site[a]
(reported in micrograms per liter)

Location	Screen Depth (ft)	Date Sampled	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	Total 1,2-DCE[b]	Vinyl chloride	Benzene	Total COPC[c]
FDEP MCL			**3**	**70**	**100**	**63**	**1**	**1**	
PIN20	**4.5 Acre Site**								
0502	21.2–31.2	14-Sep-07	<0.5	43	<0.44	43	89	<0.5	132
		27-Feb-08	<0.5	27	<0.44	27	39	<0.5	66
		10-Sep-08	<0.5	50	0.59J	50	130	<0.5	180
0503	13.2–23.2	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		27-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	0.79J	<0.5	ND
		10-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	3.1	<0.5	3.1
M001	20–25	17-Sep-07	<2.5	930	90	1,020	2,200	<2.5	3,220
		29-Feb-08	<12	600	68	668	2,900	<12	3,568
		12-Sep-08	4	440	70	510	2,300	2.4	2,816.4
M003	9–14	12-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		04-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M005	25.8–30.7	12-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		04-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M007	25.3–30.3	13-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M011	23.7–28.7	17-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		03-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M012	8.6–13.6	17-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		03-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M015	20.8–25.8	17-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	2.1	<0.5	2.1
		29-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	1.4	<0.5	1.4
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	3	<0.5	3
M019	22–27	12-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	0.81J	<0.5	ND
		03-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	0.71J	<0.44	0.71J	0.8J	<0.5	ND
M023	19.8–24.8	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		27-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		10-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M024	8.7–13.7	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		27-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		11-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M025	8.6–13.6	17-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		27-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		10-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M028	22–27	13-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		03-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND

Table 4 (continued). COPC Concentrations from Wells at the 4.5 Acre Site[a]
(reported in micrograms per liter)

Location	Screen Depth (ft)	Date Sampled	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	Total 1,2-DCE[b]	Vinyl chloride	Benzene	Total COPC[c]
FDEP MCL			**3**	**70**	**100**	**63**	**1**	**1**	
M035	9–14	14-Sep-07	<0.5	3.4	<0.44	3.4	<0.5	<0.5	3.4
		28-Feb-08	<0.5	2.8	0.52J	2.8	<0.5	<0.5	2.8
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	2.4	<0.44	2.4	<0.5	<0.5	2.4
M036	25–30	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		28-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M049	20–30	13-Sep-07	<0.5	3.7	<0.44	3.7	2.3	<0.5	6
		29-Feb-08	<0.5	2.8	0.59J	2.8	4.7	<0.5	7.5
		11-Sep-08	<0.5	5.8	0.56J	5.8	7.5	<0.5	13.3
M053	20–30	12-Sep-07	<0.5	11	<0.44	11	3.4	<0.5	14.4
		28-Feb-08	<0.5	7.7	<0.44	7.7	1.4	<0.5	9.1
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	10	<0.44	10	2.1	<0.5	12.1
M054	20–30	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		27-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		10-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M055	21–31	17-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	3.2	<0.5	3.2
		27-Feb-08	<0.5	0.66J	<0.44	0.66J	0.68J	<0.5	ND
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	1.6	<0.44	1.6	2	<0.5	3.6
M056	19–29	12-Sep-07	<0.5	4	<0.44	4	7.3	<0.5	11.3
		27-Feb-08	<0.5	3.1	<0.44	3.1	2.5	<0.5	5.6
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	3.1	<0.44	3.1	2.8	<0.5	5.9
M057	20–30	12-Sep-07	<0.5	18	<0.44	18	5.3	<0.5	23.3
		28-Feb-08	<0.5	12	<0.44	12	1.8	<0.5	13.8
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	13	0.48J	13	3	<0.5	16
M058	18–28	12-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	3.3	<0.5	3.3
		03-Mar-08	<0.5	1.6	0.46J	1.6	<0.5	<0.5	1.6
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	2.3	<0.44	2.3	2.3	<0.5	4.6
M059	19–29	12-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	9.5	<0.5	9.5
		03-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	4.1	<0.5	4.1
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	1.4	0.5J	1.4	8.3	<0.5	9.7
M060	18–28	13-Sep-07	<1	28	20	48	2,000	<1	2,048
		04-Mar-08	<10	67	55	122	1,200	<10	1,322
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	2.1	3.5	5.6	250	<0.5	255.6
M061	20–30	13-Sep-07	220	1,200	68	1,268	330	<2.5	1,818
		04-Mar-08	59	510	60	570	220	<0.5	849
		12-Sep-08	46	790	83	873	400	<0.5	1,319
M062	20–30	17-Sep-07	<0.5	160	3.1	163.1	830	<0.5	993.1
		29-Feb-08	<0.5	130	1.5	131.5	350	<0.5	481.5
M063	19.5–29.5	18-Sep-07	7	150	19	169	160	<0.5	336
		29-Feb-08	5.3	38	5.1	43.1	86	<1	134.4
		11-Sep-08	26	120	22	142	280	0.52J	448
M064	15–25	17-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	2.7	<0.5	2.7
		29-Feb-08	<0.5	0.98J	<0.44	0.98J	2.3	<0.5	2.3
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	1.2	<0.5	1.2

Table 4 (continued). COPC Concentrations from Wells at the 4.5 Acre Site[a]
(reported in micrograms per liter)

Location	Screen Depth (ft)	Date Sampled	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	Total 1,2-DCE[b]	Vinyl chloride	Benzene	Total COPC[c]
FDEP MCL			**3**	**70**	**100**	**63**	**1**	**1**	
M18D	20–30	12-Sep-07	<0.5	13	0.74J	13	10	<0.5	23
		28-Feb-08	<0.5	7.4	0.59J	7.4	5.1	<0.5	12.5
		12-Sep-08	<0.5	7.5	0.57J	7.5	6.1	<0.5	13.6
M22D	20–30	13-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	1.4	<0.5	1.4
		03-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	0.71J	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	0.77J	<0.5	ND
M38D	20–30	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		28-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M40D	18–28	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		28-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M40S	4–14	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		28-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
M41D	16–26	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		28-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		15-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
MWL1	21–26	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	4	1.9	5.9
		01-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	6.5	3.3	9.8
		11-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	7.6	2.7	10.3
MWL2	21–26	14-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	2.7	2.7	19	<0.5	21.7
		29-Feb-08	<0.5	2.6	4.8	7.4	43	0.56J	50.4
		11-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	2.9	2.9	73	<0.5	75.9
MWL3	21–26	14-Sep-07	<0.5	26	6.5	32.5	1,400	<0.5	1,432.5
		29-Feb-08	<5	<6.5	5.2J	5.2J	1,000	<5	1,000
		11-Sep-08	<0.5	4	2.5	6.5	840	<0.5	846.5
MWL4	20.8–25.8	17-Sep-07	10	570	35	605	340	<0.5	955
		29-Feb-08	<5	640	33	673	520	<5	1,193
		11-Sep-08	<0.5	8.5	0.53J	8.5	12	<0.5	20.5
MWL5	20.8–25.8	13-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		29-Feb-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		11-Sep-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
MWL6	21.5–26.5	17-Sep-07	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND
		04-Mar-08	<0.5	<0.65	<0.44	ND	<0.5	<0.5	ND

[a]"<" values are reporting limits.
[b]Total 1,2–DCE is the sum of cDCE and tDCE.
[c]Total COPC is the sum of the individual COPC concentrations. The cDCE and tDCE values are not part of the total COPC value because these values are included in the total 1,2–DCE value. "J" values are not included in the total COPC value.
ND = Not detected.
J = Estimated value, result is between the reporting limit and the method detection limit.
Arsenic, while a COPC, is not included in this table, nor in the TCOPC value.

Table 5. Relative Percent Difference for Duplicate Samples, 4.5 Acre Site

Sample	Duplicate Sample	Analyte	Duplicate Result	Units	Qualifier	MDL	Sample Result	Qualifier	RPD
PIN20-M001	PIN24-0500	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1.5	µg/L		0.45	1.4		<5x
		Benzene	2.3	µg/L		0.5	2.4		<5x
		cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	420	µg/L		32	440		4.65
		trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	70	µg/L		0.44	70		0
		Trichloroethylene	1.8	µg/L		0.5	4		NA
		Vinyl chloride	2200	µg/L		25	2300		4.44
PIN20-M18D	PIN24-0501	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	7.8	µg/L		0.65	7.5		3.92
		Vinyl chloride	6	µg/L		0.5	6.1		1.65

MDL = Method Detection Limit
NA = Not assessed because one or both of the results were less than 5x the MDL.