REV 42

DOCUMENT CONTROL SYSTEM - CORRESPONDENCE FILE

WELDON SPRING SITE REMEDIAL ACTION PROJECT
MK-FERGUSON CO., INC. WO 3589 (314) 441-8086
1295 Highway 94 South
St. Charles, MO 63304

Document Number: 80031

▸▸Document Type: LR-DOEW-MKFW

Originators DCN: 000893

▸SUBJECT POST-CLEANUP EVALUATION FOR THE SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE

▸AUTHOR MCCRACKEN, STEVE H. ▸▸TO STEFFEN, DOUG ▸▸DATE 10/06/98

▸SUBJECT CODE/WORK PACKAGE NUMBER D.5030

REFERENCED DOCUMENT(S)

ACTION ITEM TRACKING

INITIATE ACTION ITEM

INDIVIDUAL ASSIGNED TO ACTION Steve McCracken/TP DEPARTMENT Mgt.

ACTION REQUIRED

DUE DATE 10/14/98 ACTION ITEM LOG NUMBER 1942

CLOSE ACTION ITEM

ACTION ITEM LOG NUMBER _____ INITIATING DOCUMENT DIN _____

COMPLETION DATE _____ APPROVAL _____

COMMENTS

DISTRIBUTION	ATTACH W	ATTACH W/O	APPRVL. ROUTE ORDER	APPRVL. ROUTE INITIAL
D.Z. STEFFEN	✓			
K.M. GREENWELL	✓			
S.E. HARREN	✓			
J.A. CONEY	✓			
C. HEITKAMP	✓			
D.K. MURANO				
P.D. GATE				
D.E. HOFFMAN				
W.W. JOHNSON				
G.E. MCKAY				
D.S. NIXSON	✓			
M. MITCHELL				
P.J. FINCH				
C.A. SAVAGE				
D.E. WIGTON				
J.E. WILLIAMS				

DISTRIBUTION	ATTACH W	ATTACH W/O	APPRVL. ROUTE ORDER	APPRVL. ROUTE INITIAL
S.E. ANDERSON				
G.L. VALETT	✓			
C. BRANCHFIELD				
M.L. WESELY				
S.L. HODGES				
R. Plato	✓			
DOCUMENT CONTROL:				
CORRESPONDENCE FILE				
WORK PACKAGE FILE				
TECH EDITOR				
ORIGINATOR:				

DISTRIBUTION	W	W/O
DOE		
S.H. MCCRACKEN		
G.A. NEWTOWN		
P.S. THOMPSON		
J.R. ENRIGHT		
K.A. REED		
T.C. PAULING		
A.D. PICKETT		
PAI		
M.B. BALLEW		
S. RICHARDSON		
Y. DEYO		
W.R. OWEN		
J. MARTIN		

Department of Energy
Oak Ridge Operations
Weldon Spring Site
Remedial Action Project Office
7295 Highway 94 South
St. Charles, Missouri 63304

October 6, 1998

Mr. Douglas E. Steffen
Project Director
MK-Ferguson Company
7295 Highway 94 South
St. Charles, MO 63304

Dear Mr. Steffen:

POST-CLEANUP EVALUATION FOR THE SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE

Enclosed find the subject evaluation performed by Argonne National Lab for the purpose of determining the amount of risk reduction achieved by the removal action . The results of the post-cleanup assessment indicate that risk reduction was achieved in each segment of the drainage. At locations where sediment was removed, levels remaining after cleanup are near or below the 1×10^{-5} risk level for the hypothetical child scenario.

Per our discussions, two areas (locations 60 and 101) have been identified for follow-up investigation and evaluation for a possible additional limited removal effort. Please work closely with Tom Pauling.

Sincerely,

Stephen H. McCracken
Project Manager
Weldon Spring Site
Remedial Action Project

Enclosure:
As stated.

cc w/enclosure:
Gene Valett, PMC
Rebecca Cato, PMC
Mary Picel, ANL

M-K FERGUSON CO.
RECEIVED
OCT 07 1998
WSSRAP CONTRACT 3589

ATTACHMENT: POST-CLEANUP RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE

This attachment presents the results of the post-cleanup risk assessment performed for the Southeast drainage. The purpose of the assessment was to determine the amount of risk reduction achieved by the removal action.

Risk calculations were performed using the same methodology as used in the EE/CA (DOE 1996). Risks were estimated for the current hunter and future child scenarios. The exposure routes evaluated include incidental ingestion of sediment and external irradiation. Risk reduction achieved at specific locations is presented in Table 1. Risk estimates for the child scenario for all locations targeted in the EE/CA are shown. Seventeen additional locations were also cleaned up in the lower portion of Segment C and upper portion of Segment D because these locations were determined to be accessible during the planning stages of the removal action. These additional locations are indicated with an asterisk (*). Exposure point concentrations used to calculate potential post-cleanup risks were those obtained after removal was completed. Post-cleanup concentrations for each radionuclide at the various locations are shown in Table 1. At locations where more than one sample was taken, the data for each radionuclide were averaged.

Table 1. Location Specific Risk Estimates for the Child Scenario

	Exposure Point Concentration (pCi/g)				Cumulative Risk	
Location ID	Ra-226	Ra-228	Th-230	U-238	Baseline*	Post-Cleanup
001	12	1.7	4.7	38	9×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
005	4.7	2.9	23	11	2×10^{-4}	7×10^{-6}
012	1.7	1.1	2.2	ND	4×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
025	15	1.3	21	74	3×10^{-4}	3×10^{-5}
027*	23	6.6	15	27	2×10^{-5}	2×10^{-5}
028	11	ND	3.2	3.7	3×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
055	4.3	0.99	5.6	8.8	2×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
058	5	1.2	2.9	5.0	5×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
059	4.9	ND	46	10	5×10^{-5}	6×10^{-6}
060	120	17	2,500	79	5×10^{-5}	2×10^{-4}
061	27	0.99	18	70	8×10^{-5}	3×10^{-5}
062	1.3	1.1	1.3	ND	1×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
063	11	ND	3.2	6.1	5×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
064	2.9	1.3	4.7	10	2×10^{-5}	4×10^{-6}
065	12	2.6	29	30	6×10^{-5}	2×10^{-5}
066*	10	1.5	70	16	5×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
067*	1.5	1.2	1.3	ND	3×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
068*	1.5	1.2	1.3	2.1	9×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
072	11	1.8	16	18	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
092	5.4	1.5	38	80	2×10^{-5}	9×10^{-6}

	Exposure Point Concentration (pCi/g)				Cumulative Risk	
Location ID	Ra-226	Ra-228	Th-230	U-238	Baseline[a]	Post-Cleanup
093	1.9	1.2	0.76	76	2×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
094	3.8	1.2	8.9	17	1×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
098	2.5	1.1	3.7	2.5	3×10^{-4}	3×10^{-6}
099	2.5	1.2	2.5	3.0	5×10^{-5}	3×10^{-6}
101	89	6.8	1,900	19	2×10^{-4}	1×10^{-4}
102.1	1.4	1.4	1.6	ND	9×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
102	2.8	1.3	6.4	9.9	2×10^{-5}	4×10^{-6}
103	1.3	0.77	1.5	ND	4×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
104	4.1	1.1	9.4	11	1×10^{-4}	6×10^{-6}
105	16	0.82	3.4	29	3×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
106	1.3	1.3	1.3	ND	6×10^{-6}	2×10^{-6}
107*	34	1.8	45	40	4×10^{-5}	3×10^{-5}
108.1*	7.1	0.98	3.3	9.6	3×10^{-5}	7×10^{-6}
108*	5.3	1.1	4.7	11	2×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
110*	4.3	1.1	2.9	24	3×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
110.1*	1.8	ND	2.1	5.6	1×10^{-5}	3×10^{-6}
111*	4.6	1.2	22	29	4×10^{-5}	9×10^{-6}
112*	11	ND	10	9.1	1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-5}
113*	36	0.96	11	11	6×10^{-5}	3×10^{-5}
114*	2.7	1.0	2.0	6.1	2×10^{-5}	3×10^{-6}
115*	4.6	0.93	7.3	7.3	5×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
116*	2.2	1.4	1.8	5.3	2×10^{-5}	3×10^{-6}
117*	9.4	1.6	12	10	9×10^{-5}	9×10^{-6}
118*	17	6.7	60	70	2×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
119	1.5	0.99	0.69	11	2×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
120	8.8	0.62	2.4	ND	1×10^{-4}	8×10^{-6}
121	15	1.1	7.8	11	2×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
122	1.7	1.4	1.1	2.7	3×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
123	5.0	1.1	7.1	3.8	5×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
124	6.7	1.6	12	9.4	1×10^{-4}	7×10^{-6}
132	65	ND	120	15	1×10^{-4}	6×10^{-5}
141	2.1	0.92	4.9	2.9	5×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
149	10	1.4	18	34	2×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
153	7.3	1.2	3.5	6.4	9×10^{-6}	7×10^{-6}
154	5.1	1.7	8.6	8.3	5×10^{-6}	5×10^{-6}

a Based on pre-removal data as presented in the EE/CA (DOE 1996).

Additional calculations were also performed to show risk reduction achieved for each segment. Exposure point concentrations for sediment were calculated for each exposure unit (i.e., segment) by using the one-tailed 95% upper confidence limit of the arithmetic average (UCL) or the maximum, whichever was lower (per EPA guidance). Post-cleanup data for each segment were aggregated with data from locations in each segment that were not targeted for cleanup. (Note that some locations that were not targeted for cleanup because they are not accessible have contaminant concentrations that exceed risk-based cleanup criteria). At locations where more than one sample was

collected, the data were averaged to obtain a representative concentrations for that location prior to aggregating the data for each segment. A summary of the data used in the risk calculations is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Residual Contamination in the Southeast Drainage

Radionuclide	Radionuclide Concentration (pCi/g)							
	Segment A		Segment B		Segment C		Segment D	
	Range	UCL	Range	UCL	Range	UCL	Range	UCL
Radium-226	1.3-39	23	1.2-110	40	1.1-36	12	1.1-120	19
Radium-228	0.64-5.0	2.3	0.74-6.8	2.7	0.77-6.6	2.0	0.62-86	7.4
Thorium-230	0.20-38	18	0.27-1,900	370	1.3-45	12	0.69-2,500	180
Uranium-238	11-200	77	2.5-59	30	1.3-74	22	2.0-200	34

Results of the post-cleanup risk calculations for each segment are presented in Table 3. For comparison purposes, baseline risk calculations are also shown. Significant risk reduction (i.e., 40% or higher) was achieved for each segment with the highest amount of reduction observed in Segment C (i.e., 90%). The added risk reduction achieved in Segment C from removal of 14 additional locations not originally targeted in the EE/CA reduced the residual risk from 4×10^{-5} to 1×10^{-5}. Additional removal of three locations in Segment D did not result in further risk reduction in this segment.

Table 3: Estimated Risk Reduction from Exposure to Sediment

	Hunter		Child	
Segment	**Baseline**	**Post-Cleanup**	**Baseline**	**Post-Cleanup**
A	1×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}	5×10^{-5}	2×10^{-5}
B	2×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-4}	5×10^{-5}
C	2×10^{-5}	3×10^{-6}	9×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
D	1×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}	5×10^{-5}	3×10^{-5}

OCT - 6 1998

Mr. Douglas E. Steffen
Project Director
MK-Ferguson Company
7295 Highway 94 South
St. Charles, MO 63304

Dear Mr. Steffen:

POST-CLEANUP EVALUATION FOR THE SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE

Enclosed find the subject evaluation performed by Argonne National Lab for the purpose of determining the amount of risk reduction achieved by the removal action . The results of the post-cleanup assessment indicate that risk reduction was achieved in each segment of the drainage. At locations where sediment was removed, levels remaining after cleanup are near or below the 1×10^{-5} risk level for the hypothetical child scenario.

Per our discussions, two areas (locations 60 and 101) have been identified for follow-up investigation and evaluation for a possible additional limited removal effort. Please work closely with Tom Pauling.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
STEPHEN H. McCRACKEN

Stephen H. McCracken
Project Manager
Weldon Spring Site
Remedial Action Project

Enclosure:
As stated.

cc w/enclosure:
Gene Valett, PMC
Rebecca Cato, PMC
Mary Picel, ANL

000893

PAI:YDeyo:x7034:emh:9/3/98 (m:Sed Post Remediation)

CONCURRENCES/ REVIEWED BY:
RTG SYMBOL PAI
INITIALS/SIG. YDeyo
DATE 9/3/98
CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL EM-95
INITIALS/SIG. TPauling
DATE 9/3/98
CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL EM-99
INITIALS/SIG. SMcCracken
DATE 9/6/98
CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL
INITIALS/SIG.
DATE
CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL
INITIALS/SIG.
DATE
CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL
INITIALS/SIG.
DATE
CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL
INITIALS/SIG.
DATE
CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL
INITIALS/SIG.
DATE