

WELDON SPRING SITE REMEDIAL ACTION PROJECT
 MK-FERGUSON CO., INC. WO 3589 (314) 441-8086
 7295 Highway 94 South
 Jt. Charles, MO 63304

Document Number: _____

Document Type: IO-DOEW-MDNR

Originators DCN: _____

SUBJECT SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE POST REMEDIATION RISK EVALUATION

AUTHOR PAULING, T. TO DOSTER, B. DATE 01/14/99

SUBJECT CODE/WORK PACKAGE NUMBER 01170

REFERENCED DOCUMENT(S) _____

ACTION ITEM TRACKING

INITIATE ACTION ITEM

INDIVIDUAL ASSIGNED TO ACTION _____ DEPARTMENT _____

ACTION REQUIRED _____

DUE DATE // _____ ACTION ITEM LOG NUMBER _____

CLOSE ACTION ITEM

ACTION ITEM LOG NUMBER _____ INITIATING DOCUMENT DIN _____

COMPLETION DATE _____ APPROVAL _____

COMMENTS _____

DISTRIBUTION	ATTACH W W/O	APPRVL. ROUTE ORDER INITIAL	DISTRIBUTION	ATTACH W W/O	APPRVL. ROUTE ORDER INITIAL	DISTRIBUTION	W W/O
D. E. STEFFEN			S. D. ANDERSON			DOE	
K. M. GREENWELL			G. L. VALETT			S. H. MCCrackEN	
S. D. WARREN			S. L. HODGES			G. A. NEWTOWN	
J. A. COONEY						P. S. THOMPSON	
J. HEITKAMP						J. R. ENRIGHT	
D. K. MURANO						K. A. REED	
P. D. CATE						T. C. PAULING	
D. E. HOFFMAN						A. D. PICKETT	
W. W. JOHNSON							
G. E. MCKAY							
D. S. HIXSON						PAI	
M. MITCHELL						M. B. BALLEW	
P. J. FINCH						S. RICHARDSON	
C. R. SAVAGE			DOCUMENT CONTROL:			Y. DEYO	
D. C. WIGTON			CORRESPONDENCE FILE -	X		W. R. OWEN	
M. L. WESELY			WORK PACKAGE FILE			J. MARTIN	
			TECH EDITOR				
			ORIGINATOR:				

81767

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
WELDON SPRING SITE
REMEDIAL
ACTION PROJECT

7295 Hwy. 94 South
St. Charles, Mo. 63304
(314) 441-8086 Fax: (314) 447-0803

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

DATE: January 14, 1999
ATTENTION: Branden Doster and Bill Hernlund
RE: Southeast Drainage Post Remediation Risk Evaluation

TO:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
7295 Highway 94 South
St. Charles, MO 63304

WE ARE SENDING YOU Attached Under separate cover via _____ the following items:

COPIES	DATE	NO.	DESCRIPTION
1			Southeast Drainage Post Remediation Risk Evaluation

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:

- For review only
- For review and comment
- As requested
- Other _____

Remarks: _____

Signed: *Thomas C. Jandy*
(DOE Project Engineer)

If attachments are not as noted, kindly notify us at once.

Copy w/o attachment:
E. Heuer/G. Burkhart, PAI
Document Control

Q:usdoelot.frm

ATTACHMENT: POST-CLEANUP RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE

This attachment presents the results of the post-cleanup risk assessment performed for the Southeast drainage. The purpose of the assessment was to determine the amount of risk reduction achieved by the removal action.

Risk calculations were performed using the same methodology as used in the EE/CA (DOE 1996). Risks were estimated for the current hunter and future child scenarios. The exposure routes evaluated include incidental ingestion of sediment and external irradiation. Risk reduction achieved at specific locations is presented in Table 1. Risk estimates for the child scenario for all locations targeted in the EE/CA are shown. Seventeen additional locations were also cleaned up in the lower portion of Segment C and upper portion of Segment D because these locations were determined to be accessible during the planning stages of the removal action. These additional locations are indicated with an asterisk (*). Exposure point concentrations used to calculate potential post-cleanup risks were those obtained after removal was completed. Post-cleanup concentrations for each radionuclide at the various locations are shown in Table 1. At locations where more than one sample was taken, the data for each radionuclide were averaged.

Table 1. Location Specific Risk Estimates for the Child Scenario

Location ID	Exposure Point Concentration (pCi/g)				Cumulative Risk	
	Ra-226	Ra-228	Th-230	U-238	Baseline ^a	Post-Cleanup
001	12	1.7	4.7	38	9×10^{-5}	1×10^{-3}
005	4.7	2.9	23	11	2×10^{-4}	7×10^{-6}
012	1.7	1.1	2.2	ND	4×10^{-3}	2×10^{-6}
025	15	1.3	21	74	3×10^{-4}	3×10^{-3}
027*	23	6.6	15	27	2×10^{-3}	2×10^{-3}
028	11	ND	3.2	3.7	3×10^{-5}	1×10^{-3}
055	4.3	0.99	5.6	8.8	2×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
058	5	1.2	2.9	5.0	5×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
059	4.9	ND	46	10	5×10^{-5}	6×10^{-6}
060	120	17	2,500	79	5×10^{-5}	2×10^{-4}
061	27	0.99	18	70	8×10^{-5}	3×10^{-3}
062	1.3	1.1	1.3	ND	1×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
063	11	ND	3.2	6.1	5×10^{-5}	1×10^{-3}
064	2.9	1.3	4.7	10	2×10^{-5}	4×10^{-6}
065	12	2.6	29	30	6×10^{-5}	2×10^{-3}
066*	10	1.5	70	16	5×10^{-5}	1×10^{-3}
067*	1.5	1.2	1.3	ND	3×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
068*	1.5	1.2	1.3	2.1	9×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}
072	11	1.8	16	18	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-3}
092	5.4	1.5	38	80	2×10^{-5}	9×10^{-6}

Location ID	Exposure Point Concentration (pCi/g)				Cumulative Risk	
	Ra-226	Ra-228	Th-230	U-238	Baseline ^a	Post-Cleanup
093	1.9	1.2	0.76	76	2×10^{-3}	5×10^{-6}
094	3.8	1.2	8.9	17	1×10^{-3}	5×10^{-6}
098	2.5	1.1	3.7	2.5	3×10^{-4}	3×10^{-6}
099	2.5	1.2	2.5	3.0	5×10^{-3}	3×10^{-6}
101	89	6.8	1,900	19	2×10^{-4}	1×10^{-4}
102.1	1.4	1.4	1.6	ND	9×10^{-3}	2×10^{-6}
102	2.8	1.3	6.4	9.9	2×10^{-3}	4×10^{-6}
103	1.3	0.77	1.5	ND	4×10^{-3}	2×10^{-6}
104	4.1	1.1	9.4	11	1×10^{-4}	6×10^{-6}
105	16	0.82	3.4	29	3×10^{-3}	1×10^{-5}
106	1.3	1.3	1.3	ND	6×10^{-6}	2×10^{-6}
107*	34	1.8	45	40	4×10^{-3}	3×10^{-5}
108.1*	7.1	0.98	3.3	9.6	3×10^{-3}	7×10^{-6}
108*	5.3	1.1	4.7	11	2×10^{-3}	5×10^{-6}
110*	4.3	1.1	2.9	24	3×10^{-3}	5×10^{-6}
110.1*	1.8	ND	2.1	5.6	1×10^{-3}	3×10^{-6}
111*	4.6	1.2	22	29	4×10^{-3}	9×10^{-6}
112*	11	ND	10	9.1	1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-5}
113*	36	0.96	11	11	6×10^{-3}	3×10^{-5}
114*	2.7	1.0	2.0	6.1	2×10^{-3}	3×10^{-6}
115*	4.6	0.93	7.3	7.3	5×10^{-3}	5×10^{-6}
116*	2.2	1.4	1.8	5.3	2×10^{-3}	3×10^{-6}
117*	9.4	1.6	12	10	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-6}
118*	17	6.7	60	70	2×10^{-3}	2×10^{-6}
119	1.5	0.99	0.69	11	2×10^{-3}	2×10^{-6}
120	8.8	0.62	2.4	ND	1×10^{-4}	8×10^{-6}
121	15	1.1	7.8	11	2×10^{-3}	1×10^{-5}
122	1.7	1.4	1.1	2.7	3×10^{-3}	2×10^{-6}
123	5.0	1.1	7.1	3.8	5×10^{-3}	5×10^{-6}
124	6.7	1.6	12	9.4	1×10^{-4}	7×10^{-6}
132	65	ND	120	15	1×10^{-4}	6×10^{-3}
141	2.1	0.92	4.9	2.9	5×10^{-3}	2×10^{-6}
149	10	1.4	18	34	2×10^{-3}	1×10^{-5}
153	7.3	1.2	3.5	6.4	9×10^{-6}	7×10^{-6}
154	5.1	1.7	8.6	8.3	5×10^{-6}	5×10^{-6}

^a Based on pre-removal data as presented in the EE/CA (DOE 1996).

Additional calculations were also performed to show risk reduction achieved for each segment. Exposure point concentrations for sediment were calculated for each exposure unit (i.e., segment) by using the one-tailed 95% upper confidence limit of the arithmetic average (UCL) or the maximum, whichever was lower (per EPA guidance). Post-cleanup data for each segment were aggregated with data from locations in each segment that were not targeted for cleanup. (Note that some locations that were not targeted for cleanup because they are not accessible have contaminant concentrations that exceed risk-based cleanup criteria). At locations where more than one sample was

collected, the data were averaged to obtain a representative concentrations for that location prior to aggregating the data for each segment. A summary of the data used in the risk calculations is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Residual Contamination in the Southeast Drainage

Radionuclide	Radionuclide Concentration (pCi/g)							
	Segment A		Segment B		Segment C		Segment D	
	Range	UCL	Range	UCL	Range	UCL	Range	UCL
Radium-226	1.3-39	23	1.2-110	40	1.1-36	12	1.1-120	19
Radium-228	0.64-5.0	2.3	0.74-6.8	2.7	0.77-6.6	2.0	0.62-86	7.4
Thorium-230	0.20-38	18	0.27-1,900	370	1.3-45	12	0.69-2,500	180
Uranium-238	11-200	77	2.5-59	30	1.3-74	22	2.0-200	34

Results of the post-cleanup risk calculations for each segment are presented in Table 3. For comparison purposes, baseline risk calculations are also shown. Significant risk reduction (i.e., 40% or higher) was achieved for each segment with the highest amount of reduction observed in Segment C (i.e., 90%). The added risk reduction achieved in Segment C from removal of 14 additional locations not originally targeted in the EE/CA reduced the residual risk from 4×10^{-5} to 1×10^{-5} . Additional removal of three locations in Segment D did not result in further risk reduction in this segment.

Table 3: Estimated Risk Reduction from Exposure to Sediment

Segment	Hunter		Child	
	Baseline	Post-Cleanup	Baseline	Post-Cleanup
A	1×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}	5×10^{-5}	2×10^{-5}
B	2×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-4}	5×10^{-5}
C	2×10^{-5}	3×10^{-6}	9×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}
D	1×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}	5×10^{-5}	3×10^{-5}