3096

OHIO EPA COMMENTS ON SITE WIDE QAPJP

04/13/92

1
ENCLOSURE

[redacted]

OHIO EPA COMMENTS ON
SITE-WIDE QAPjP

3096

Specific Comments

1. Section 2, page 6, bullet 2: This section is titled Waste Pit 2, but in paragraph 3 you refer to Waste Pit 4. Please explain or correct.

2. Section 7.1, pg. 6, paragraph 6, line 1: Change "FEMP SAR/CAR" to "FEMP SAR/CR".

3. Section 13.2, pg. 2, bullet 3: Please correct to read "Service contract as necessary".

4. Appendix A, pg. 101, Table 6-1: Please indicate that G = grab and C = composite.

5. Appendix B, pg. 149, Form K-4: Please provide the missing form.

6. Appendix D.11.5.2, pg. 94, #5: DOE should make this table easier to understand by placing the correct qualifier in the correct column. (See also D.11.5.4 #3, D.11.7.2 #1, D.11.7.3)

7. Appendix E.3.1.2, pg. 2, bullet 8: Replace the word "chromatography" with "chromatograph".

8. Appendix J.4.4, pg. 16, #4: There are two section 4c. Please correct.

9. Method FM-ORG-0010, Section 9.5.1, pg. 15: Add language saying that the standards must be over the linear response range of the detector ant that the lowest standard must be over the required method detection limit.

10. Method FM-ORG-0010, Table 4, pg. 16: Add units to the columns.

11. Method FM-ORG-0010, Section 15.1, pg. 37: Add "EPA SW-846" to the citation.

12. Method FM-ORG-0030, Section 8.7.2.3: Replace with "If a UV detector is to be used prepare 8.7.2.2 as one solution. The individual concentrations should remain the same."

13. Method FM-ORG-0030, Tables 2 & 3, pg. 33: Please add units to the table columns.

14. Method FM-INO-0010, Section 15.2: See Comment #11

15. Method FM-INO-0020, Section 15.2: See Comment #11

16. Methods FM-RAD-****, Section 14: Ohio EPA was under the impression that these methods were rather well established. Why are there no data on QA/QC acceptance ranges? There can be no Level C/D data without the rigorous QA/QC which goes into the analysis. Please explain.