

R-014-207.7

3787

**OHIO EPA COMMENTS ON THE OU 4 - DECANT
SUMP TANK REMOVAL ACTION FINAL REPORT**

10-1-92

**OEPA/DOE
1
LETTER**



State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Southwest District Office

40 South Main Street
Dayton, Ohio 45402-2086
(513) 285-6357
FAX (513) 285-6404

FILE
LIBRARY

OCT 2 11 12 AM '92

3787

George V. Voinovich
Governor

October 1, 1992

Mr. Jack R. Craig
Project Manager
U.S. DOE FEMP
P.O. Box 398705
Cincinnati, Ohio 45239

Dear Mr. Craig:

Listed below are Ohio EPA comments on the O.U. 4 - Decant Sump Tank Removal Action Final Report.

1. DOE fails to provide any justification for the 75-80% full pumping decision. A discussion of the reasoning behind this decision is warranted. It would seem based upon the questionable integrity of the tank that more frequent pumping would be justified.
2. Attachment B: The radionuclides listed do not include actinium-227, protactinium-231, polonium-210 or lead-210. In DOE's response to Ohio EPA comments on the work plan (see letter from Jack Craig to Graham Mitchell, 3/18/91), DOE assured Ohio EPA that analyses for these compounds would be conducted. The data should be included in the report or an explanation of DOE's failure to complete these analyses provided.

If you have any questions about these comments please contact Tom Schneider or me.

Sincerely,

Graham E. Mitchell
Project Manager

GEM/ycr

cc: Jenifer Kwasniewski, DERR
Tom Schneider, DERR
Jim Saric, U.S. EPA
Dennis Carr, WEMCO
Lisa August, GeoTrans
Tom Hahne, PRC
Robert Owen, ODH