

**PLANT 1 COMPLEX DRAFT FINAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN**

**02/28/96**

**USEPA      DOE-FN**  
**2**  
**COMMENTS**



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5

77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD

CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

RECEIVED

100 J-1238

FEB 23 10 21 AM '95

FILE: 6446.4  
LIBRARY

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF:

FEB 28 1995

Mr. Johnny W. Reising  
United States Department of Energy  
Feed Materials Production Center  
P.O. Box 398705  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45239-8705

SRF-5J

RE: Plant 1 Complex  
Draft Final  
Implementation Plan

Dear Mr. Reising:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) has completed its review of the draft final Plant 1 Complex-phase I Implementation Plan (IP). The IP includes project-specific design and field activities planned for decontamination and dismantlement of the above-grade portions of eight (8) components located in Operable Unit 3.

The draft final IP has adequately addressed the majority of U.S. EPA's previous comments. However, there are two comments that require further

Therefore, U.S. EPA approves the Plant 1 Complex IP pending receipt of adequate responses to the attached comments, and their incorporation into the IP. U.S. DOE must submit a responses to comments and a revised IP within thirty (30) days receipt of this letter.

Please contact me at (312) 886-0992 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

James A. Saric  
Remedial Project Manager  
Federal Facilities Section  
SFD Remedial Response Branch #2

Enclosure

cc: Tom Schneider, OEPA-SWDO  
Jack Baublitz, U.S. DOE-HDQ  
Don Ofte, FERMCO  
Terry Hagen, FERMCO  
Charles Little, FERMCO  
Michael Yates, FERMCO

(Share) part of action response to doe-1396-92 (9401)

~~TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE~~  
"OPERABLE UNIT 3 INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION  
PLANT 1 COMPLEX - PHASE I  
IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR ABOVE-GRADE  
DECONTAMINATION AND DISMANTLEMENT DRAFT FINAL"

January 23, 1996

SPECIFIC COMMENT

Commenting Organization: U.S. EPA  
Section #: 2.3.3, Tables 2-4 and 2-5  
Original Specific Comment #: 3

Commentor: Saric  
Page #: 15  
Line #: Not Applicable

Comment: The original specific comment states that Table 2-4 should be revised to include the material volume estimates by building. Table 2-4 has been revised to include the "bulked" material volume estimates by building rather than the "unbulked" material volume estimates provided earlier. The text should be revised to state why the "bulked" material volume estimates are more appropriate for inclusion in the table. Also, the text should be revised to present both the bulked and unbulked material volume estimates. Furthermore, the text should be revised to reference the methodology used to calculate the bulked material volume estimates based on the unbulked material volume estimates as well as the documents presenting these calculations.

In addition, review of Table 2-4 reveals arithmetic errors associated with the component total volume for 1B, total volume for miscellaneous materials, and total volume for component/complex totals. Review of Table 2-5 reveals arithmetic errors associated with the total weight for miscellaneous materials and total weight for component/ complex totals. DOE should correct these errors.