

DRAFT

EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES  
FOR

OPERABLE UNIT 1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT  
FERNALD, OHIO

April 2002

---

Susan Brechbill, Manager  
United States Department of Energy – Ohio Field Ohio

Date

---

William E. Muno, Director  
Superfund Division  
United States Environmental Protection Agency – Region V

Date

## 1.0 Introduction to the Site and Statement of Purpose

### 1.1 Background

The Fernald Environmental Management Project (FEMP) is a former uranium processing facility located in Hamilton and Butler Counties, Ohio approximately 18 miles northwest of Cincinnati, Ohio. The FEMP is owned by the United States Department of Energy (DOE). In November 1989, the FEMP site (formerly the Feed Materials Production Center [FMPC]) was included on the National Priorities List (NPL) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). As the owner of the FEMP, DOE is the lead agency for remediation of the FEMP pursuant to the Consent Agreement as Amended (ACA) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act as amended (CERCLA) Sections 120 and 106(a) signed with U.S. EPA in September 1991. The Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) is also participating in the cleanup process at the site.

Operable Unit 1 (OU1) is one of the five operable units identified in the ACA and encompasses a series of waste storage pits. A Record of Decision (ROD) for OU1 was signed on March 1, 1995.

### 1.2 Circumstances Giving Rise to Preparation of an Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) for Operable Unit 1

This Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) applies to the Record of Decision (ROD) for Remedial Actions at Operable Unit 1 (OU1) at the Fernald Environmental Management Project (FEMP) in Fernald, Ohio. The OU1 ROD was signed in 1995 by the Department of Energy (DOE) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 5. DOE is the lead agency for remediation of the FEMP pursuant to the 'Consent Agreement as Amended under CERCLA Sections 120 and 106(a)' (ACA), which was signed by DOE and USEPA in September 1991. The DOE has determined that there are cost effectiveness and safety advantages in using the OU1 remedial infrastructure to process for disposal other FEMP waste streams originating outside of OU1. This ESD has been prepared to document this determination.

### 1.3 Regulatory Basis

Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA and the National Hazardous Substance and Pollution Contingency Plan at 40 CFR 300.435(c)(2)(I), an ESD document should be published when "differences in the remedial enforcement action, settlement, or consent decree significantly change but do not fundamentally alter the remedy selected in the ROD with respect to scope performance and cost." U.S. EPA guidance (A Guide to Preparing Superfund Proposed Plans, Records of Decision, and other Remedy Selected Decision Documents, EPA 540-R-98-031, dated July 1999) categorizes what defines a significant but not fundamental change to the remedy. It has been agreed between DOE and both U.S. and Ohio EPA that the change contemplated by this document is significant but not fundamental.

This ESD has been prepared in accordance with Section 117(c) of CERCLA and pursuant to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 300.435(c)(2)(i). This ESD is required because a significant, but not fundamental change, is proposed to the implementation of the final remedial action plan described in the OU1 ROD. Specifically, this ESD has been prepared to describe a change to allow materials from other FEMP projects to be managed via the mechanisms established through the OU1 ROD for disposal along with the OU1 wastes at a permitted commercial disposal facility (PCDF).

#### 1.4 Administrative Record

The ESD will become part of the FEMP Administrative Record, which is available at Public Environmental Information Center (PEIC), currently located in the Delta Building, 10995 Hamilton-Cleves Highway, Harrison, Ohio 45030-9728, (513) 648-7480. The PEIC is currently open Monday through Thursday, 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Friday, 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

## 2.0 Summary of Site History, Contamination Problems, and Selected Remedy

The FEMP is a 1,050 acre DOE-owned, contractor-operated federal facility, located in southwestern Ohio, about 18 miles northwest of the city of Cincinnati, Ohio, that produced high purity uranium metal products for the DOE and its predecessor agencies from 1952 to 1989.

Operable Unit 1 is a 37.7-acre area located in the northwest quadrant of the FEMP site. Large quantities of liquid and solid wastes were generated by various chemical and metallurgical processing operations and these wastes were stored or disposed of in six waste pits and the Clearwell, or burned in the Burn Pit. These pits are located in a portion of the FEMP Waste Storage Area and are contained within the boundaries of OU1. Paddy's Run, an intermittent tributary of the Great Miami River, runs along the west side of the FEMP between OU1 and the site boundary.

More definitively, OU1 consists of Waste Pits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 which contain sludge, waste materials, debris, and water; the Burn Pit (used for disposal and burning of waste); the Clearwell (a settling basin for surface water runoff from the waste pits and supernatant from Waste Pits 3 and 5); miscellaneous structures and facilities such as berms, liners, concrete pads, underground piping, utilities, railroad tracks, fencing, and soil within the OU1 boundary.

On March 1, 1995, the EPA signed the OU1 ROD. The selected remedy presented in the OU1 ROD generally consists of the following activities: 1) Excavation of wastes from the pits (along with any residual contaminated soils from beneath the pits); 2) Preparation of the wastes (e.g., sorting, crushing, shredding); 3) Treatment by thermal drying (as necessary to remove free water and achieve optimum moisture content to meet the Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) of the disposal facility); 4) Blending to achieve a uniform product, and loadout into railcars (or boxes, as applicable); 5) Transportation from the FEMP; and 6) Off-site disposal at a PCDF, or DOE's Nevada Test Site, as necessary, due

to radiological levels in the waste product. The ROD has a full description of all the elements of the selected remedy.

This remedy addresses the principal threats posed by OU1, by removing waste materials and contaminated soils, and treating waste materials and soils to facilitate waste handling. These actions reduce the potential for contaminant migration and will ensure the PCDF WAC is met. The waste will then be disposed at a PCDF in accordance with applicable requirements. By implementing this remedy, the waste material will not be available for direct human or ecological contact or for migration into the underlying Great Miami Aquifer. The change contemplated by this ESD does not change the protectiveness of the OU1 remedy because it does not change the basic remedy of removal, safe transportation and offsite disposal of the OU1 waste streams.

### 3.0 Description of Significant Differences and the Basis for the Change

The selected remedy, as presented in the ROD for Remedial Actions at OU1, identifies the mechanisms under which the OU1 waste materials would be managed to support off-site disposal at a PCDF. Consistent with the OU1 ROD, facilities were designed and constructed to support the excavation, treatment, load-out, and shipment of the OU1 waste materials. Through the end of 2001, the treatment facility has processed and loaded over 300,000 tons of material excavated from the waste pits. Similarly, over 300,000 tons of OU1 waste material has been shipped to, and disposed of, at Envirocare of Utah (the selected PCDF).

As these mechanisms have been formulated, facilities constructed, and remedial action activities implemented, the potential for treatment of materials from other FEMP projects has always been a factor for consideration. Specifically, as it became clear that some FEMP soils and other waste materials (with characteristics reasonably similar to those to be encountered through OU1 waste pit excavation activities), would require disposition off-site, the ability to accommodate these materials was integrated into the OU1 remedial action approach. The OU1 ROD presents a detailed discussion as to the cost and safety advantages of bulk rail shipment of OU1 waste for disposal as compared to shipment by truck. These same advantages apply to utilizing the OU1 remedial infrastructure for disposal of other FEMP waste streams. This proposal for integrated remedial planning was a component of the site-wide proposal submitted to the USEPA and Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) on August 18, 1995, which highlighted the advantages of integrating such planning into the design phase of the various FEMP projects in supporting site-wide cleanup objectives. In letters of September 8, 1995 and September 15, 1995, the OEPA and USEPA, respectively, stated their support of such an integrated remediation approach.

During finalization of the Operable Unit 5 ROD, it was envisioned that excavated soils demonstrating contaminant concentrations above the waste acceptance criteria of the Onsite Disposal Facility would be dispositioned offsite through the OU1 remedial infrastructure. Accordingly, other FEMP waste streams identified for management through the OU1 remediation facility included soils and soil-like material which did not meet the WAC for the FEMP's On-Site Disposal Facility (OSDF), but could be disposed of at the

PCDF without the need for treatment. In other words, this material could be passed through the OU1 remediation facility, and loaded out into railcars, with minimal effort/impact. To date, over 50,000 tons of soil and/or soil-like material have been processed in this manner.

Beyond these OU5 waste streams, other FEMP waste streams have been identified which have the potential to be managed through the OU1 remediation facility (i.e., for disposal at the PCDF), and in doing so save cost and/or time in completing the overall FEMP remediation. An example waste stream is approximately 2000 containers of enriched uranium waste. Unlike the initial other FEMP wastes, however, some of these new waste streams may require processing through the OU1 remediation facilities to ensure that the waste meets the PCDF WAC, may require augmentation of existing facilities to perform all necessary management/treatment, and/or may require mixing with OU1 waste pit material to provide for a product which meets the PCDF WAC. Although the management of these additional FEMP waste streams through the OU1 facility do not fundamentally change the plan identified in the OU1 ROD for the management of the OU1 waste material, it has the potential to become a significant element of the OU1 remediation process.

Accordingly, this ESD has been prepared to formally include the processing of other FEMP waste streams through the OU1 remediation facilities and processes, as a component of the plan for the remediation of OU1. These waste streams will be low-level radiological wastes (i.e., no RCRA hazardous wastes) that with processing available as part of OU1 remedial actions, including mixing with waste pits materials, can meet the waste acceptance criteria of the receiving offsite disposal facility. Further, the characteristics of these non OU1 waste streams will be such that managing them through OU1 remedial systems will not negatively affect the sites ability to meet the performance requirements set forth in the Operable Unit 1 Record of Decision. This ESD does not include the processing of any wastes from outside the FEMP through the OU1 remediation facility. Two example waste streams are discussed above. Any waste stream that is consistent with the provisions of this paragraph may be managed as allowed by this ESD. As indicated above, the processing of these waste streams will be implemented to facilitate a reduction in costs/schedule for the cleanup of the FEMP, while preserving the basic elements of the plan for the remediation of OU1, as spelled out in the ROD for Remedial Actions at OU1. The applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) established in the OU1 ROD are not modified by this ESD.

It is not expected or anticipated that substantive changes to EPA-approved documents (e.g., the OU1 Remedial Action Package) will be required to support the management of these additional FEMP waste streams through the OU1 remediation facility. Substantive changes are those changes that affect the ability of the established plans/methods to achieve compliance with ARARs, increase the potential for discharges to surface water, air or groundwater, or are otherwise non-trivial in scope. If substantive changes to an EPA-approved document are necessitated to accommodate the processing by OU1 of other FEMP waste streams, information in support of these changes will be provided to the EPAs for review and concurrence. In addition, DOE will project in advance for EPA concurrence, non-OU1 wastes to be managed consistent with this ESD.

8184

#### 4.0 Statutory Determinations

Considering the changes that will be made to the selected remedy, it will remain protective of human health and the environment, comply with federal and state requirements identified in the OU1 ROD as applicable or relevant and appropriate to this remedial action, at the time the original ROD was signed, and be cost-effective.

#### 5.0 Public Participation

This ESD and the information upon which it is based have been included in the Administrative Record file for the FEMP. The Administrative Record is available for public review at the location listed below:

Public Environmental Information Center (PEIC)  
Delta Building  
10995 Hamilton-Cleves Highway  
Harrison, Ohio 45030-9728

Questions or comments on this ESD can be directed to:

Mr. Gary Stegner  
U.S. Department of Energy  
P.O. Box 538705  
Cincinnati, OH 45253-8705