

5591

**OPERABLE UNIT 2 REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION WORKSHOP COMPLETED
EVALUATION FORMS MAY 10, 1994**

05/10/94

**PUBLIC DOE-FN
8
COMMENTS**

Operable Unit 2 Remedial Investigation Workshop

May 10, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the meeting to view the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling and discuss the findings from the OU2 RI Report. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience? *yes*
2. Were the findings and conclusions on the OU2 RI Report discussed in an "easy to understand" fashion for this audience? *yes*
3. Was the demonstration too long? *okay* too short?
4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented? *yes*
5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting? *yes*
6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
no, pretty good!

OPTIONAL

Name

Lisa Crawford

Phone



Operable Unit 2 Remedial Investigation Workshop

May 10, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the meeting to view the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling and discuss the findings from the OU2 RI Report. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience? - *yes*
2. Were the findings and conclusions on the OU2 RI Report discussed in an "easy to understand" fashion for this audience? *yes*
3. Was the demonstration too long? too short? - *7:00 to 9:00 - good*
4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented? *yes*
5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting? *yes*
6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
No

OPTIONAL

Name

Edward Yocum

Phone



Operable Unit 2 Remedial Investigation Workshop

May 10, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the meeting to view the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling and discuss the findings from the OU2 RI Report. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience? *yes*
2. Were the findings and conclusions on the OU2 RI Report discussed in an "easy to understand" fashion for this audience? *yes*
3. Was the demonstration too long? too short? *just right*
4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented? *yes*
5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting? *yes*
6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?

OPTIONAL

Name Betty C. McKayPhone 

Operable Unit 2 Remedial Investigation Workshop

May 10, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the meeting to view the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling and discuss the findings from the OU2 RI Report. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience?
YES
2. Were the findings and conclusions on the OU2 RI Report discussed in an "easy to understand" fashion for this audience?
YES
3. Was the demonstration too long? too short?
OK
4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented?
START WITH BASICS / Definitions
5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting?
YES
6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
ZBK VS. LAND USE DISCUSSION

OPTIONAL

Name

KEN MOORE

Phone 632-8461

HAW. CTY. Reg. Plan. Comm.

Operable Unit 2 Remedial Investigation Workshop

May 10, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the meeting to view the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling and discuss the findings from the OU2 RI Report. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience?
Yes
2. Were the findings and conclusions on the OU2 RI Report discussed in an "easy to understand" fashion for this audience?
Yes
3. Was the demonstration too long? *no* too short?
4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented?
Yes
5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting?
Yes
6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?

At the beginning and through the presentation of the operable subunits concentration levels were given, and it might have been helpful to put them in perspective by also mentioning either the applicable regulatory threshold or cleanup target level.

Name

Dan J. Benedy

Phone



Operable Unit 2 Remedial Investigation Workshop

May 10, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the meeting to view the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling and discuss the findings from the OU2 RI Report. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience? *yes*
2. Were the findings and conclusions on the OU2 RI Report discussed in an "easy to understand" fashion for this audience? *yes*
3. Was the demonstration too long? *No* too short? *No*
4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented? *yes*
5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting? *yes*
6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?

*Jim was well prepared & helpful
Color visuals also very helpful*

OPTIONAL

Name

Sal Nemis

Phone

[REDACTED]

Operable Unit 2 Remedial Investigation Workshop

May 10, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the meeting to view the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling and discuss the findings from the OU2 RI Report. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience? *yes.*
2. Were the findings and conclusions on the OU2 RI Report discussed in an "easy to understand" fashion for this audience? *yes*
3. Was the demonstration too long? *No* too short? *Just Right*
4. Did the speaker(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented? *Very well*
5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting? *yes*
6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?

Very GOOD Presentation - Speakers were very knowledgeable.

Computer model very impressive

OPTIONAL

Name *G. BRADLEY*Phone 

Operable Unit 2 Remedial Investigation Workshop

May 10, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the meeting to view the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling and discuss the findings from the OU2 RI Report. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the demonstration of Solid Block Modeling adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience? *Very interesting -*
2. Were the findings and conclusions on the OU2 RI Report discussed in an "easy to understand" fashion for this audience? *for the length of time*
3. Was the demonstration too long? too short?
4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented?
Yes
5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting?
*Please be more specific "deep" - how deep
"beneath" - how far*
6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
Good use of AERIAL PHOTOS.

OPTIONAL

Name _____ Phone _____