

5733

**OPERABLE UNIT 2 FEASIBILITY STUDY/PROPOSED PLAN WORKSHOP
EVALUATION SHEETS FROM JUNE 28, 1994 (COMPLETED)**

06/28/94

PUBLIC DOE-FN

6

COMMENTS

Operable Unit 2 Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan

5733

June 28, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the informational meeting on the OU2 Draft Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan (FS/PP). Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the presentation on the OU2 FS/PP adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience? *yes*

2. Did the presentation and information provided (fact sheet) help clarify issues you may have had concerning the OU2 Feasibility Study or the Proposed Plan?
yes


3. Was the presentation too long? *okay* too short?

4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented?
yes

5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting? *yes*

6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
glad it's been revised!!

OPTIONAL



000001

Operable Unit 2 Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan

5733

June 28, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the informational meeting on the OU2 Draft Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan (FS/PP). Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the presentation on the OU2 FS/PP adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience? *Yes.*
2. Did the presentation and information provided (fact sheet) help clarify issues you may have had concerning the OU2 Feasibility Study or the Proposed Plan?
The only offered their two chosen plans.
3. Was the presentation too long? *O.K.* too short?
4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented? *We expanded it with questions.*
5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting? *Some have no answer.*
6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
Include wording to incorporate wording to incorporate new technology if it is proven to offer significant improved cleanup levels or lessens exposure.

OPTIONAL

Name _____ Phone _____

000002

Operable Unit 2 Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan

June 28, 1994

5733

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the informational meeting on the OU2 Draft Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan (FS/PP). Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the presentation on the OU2 FS/PP adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience?

yes

2. Did the presentation and information provided (fact sheet) help clarify issues you may have had concerning the OU2 Feasibility Study or the Proposed Plan?

yes

3. Was the presentation too long?

too short? — OKAY

4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented?

yes

5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting?

6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?

yes & no - some were not answered as they did not know answers because of long term.
No - Just get the plant cleaned up and not keep putting it off. The longer they wait the more the papers have to pay. I don't think it will ever be cleaned up. It will always be an eye sore to everyone around the area.

OPTIONAL

Operable Unit 2 Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan

5733

June 28, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the informational meeting on the OU2 Draft Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan (FS/PP). Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the presentation on the OU2 FS/PP adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience? *yes*

2. Did the presentation and information provided (fact sheet) help clarify issues you may have had concerning the OU2 Feasibility Study or the Proposed Plan? *yes*


3. Was the presentation too long? too short? *just right*

4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented? *yes*

5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting? *yes*

6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
NO
—

OPTIONAL



Operable Unit 2 Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan

June 28, 1994

5733

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the informational meeting on the OU2 Draft Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan (FS/PP). Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the presentation on the OU2 FS/PP adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience?

yes

2. Did the presentation and information provided (fact sheet) help clarify issues you may have had concerning the OU2 Feasibility Study or the Proposed Plan?

yes

3. Was the presentation too long? too short?

PRESENTATION LENGTH WAS FINE.

4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented?

yes


5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting?

yes

6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?

NOT AT THE MOMENT, BUT IF I DO WILL COMMUNICATE THEM EITHER IN COMMENTS ON PROPOSED PLAN OR TO APPROPRIATE PERSON(S).

OPTIONAL



June 28, 1994

EVALUATION

Thank you for attending the informational meeting on the OU2 Draft Feasibility Study/Proposed Plan (FS/PP). Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. Was the presentation on the OU2 FS/PP adapted to a suitable level of knowledge for this audience?

Yes - discussion ranged from the very general to the very specific

2. Did the presentation and information provided (fact sheet) help clarify issues you may have had concerning the OU2 Feasibility Study or the Proposed Plan?

Yes - having both EPA's there was a big bonus; answers were given from 3 different vantage points (DOE/FERMCO, OEPA, USEPA)

3. Was the presentation too long? too short?

Worked out to right length b/c Q+A began way early, but that allowed presentation to be specifically tailored to audience

4. Did the speakers(s) explain in simple language and in enough detail the information/materials they presented?

Yes (what they wanted focus on)

5. Were questions adequately answered throughout the meeting?

Yes, although a little vague on the exact purpose + size of cell (how can you break ground w/o knowing what's being built?)

6. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?

Need more integration w/ other OUs

It sounds silly, but moderator might want to ask people to raise their hands b/c more vocal audience members might be allowed to intimidate first timers (who DOE/FERMCO don't know by name)

OPTIONAL

There for a while, it was confusing w/ FRESH

Name

Phone

members cutting each other off. As a person who does this 40 hrs/week, it didn't bother me, but if John Q. Public walks in for the 1st time + wants to ask a question, he might feel ignorant + out of the loop and ~~stay~~ stay silent. Actually, I guess that's a tribute to FRESH's attendance record.