

7779

G-000-1005.116

**DOE-COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION FORMS FROM MAY 7, 1996  
MEETING HELD AT THE PLANTATION - THIS ALSO CONTAINS A  
SUMMARY OF THE COMMENTS**

05/07/96

**PUBLIC      DOE-FN  
25  
COMMENTS**

# DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION

May 7, 1996

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

- Yes
- No


2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

- Newspaper ad \_\_\_\_\_ (which newspaper?)
- Envoy Program
- Radio/television public service announcement
- Flyer
- Postcard
- Word of mouth
- Other \_\_\_\_\_


3. Are you a (check however many apply):

- Fernald area resident
- Fernald employee
- Subcontractor employee
- Government official
- Representative of a regulatory agency
- Representative of another group/organization
- Other \_\_\_\_\_ (please specify)


4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?



5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?



6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?



000001

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

Yes  
 No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable          Somewhat valuable          Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

TITLE IV OF THE CLEAN AIR ACT SHOULD BE DISCUSSED.  
WILL THIS FACILITY BE REGULATED UNDER TITLE IV?

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting? YES If yes, list your daytime phone number. [REDACTED]

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME Peter Sturdevant (optional)  
PETER STURDEVANT

000002

## DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION

May 7, 1996

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

- Yes  
 No

2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

- Newspaper ad Enquirer Post (which newspaper?)  
 Envoy Program  
 Radio/television public service announcement  
 Flyer  
 Postcard  
 Word of mouth  
 Other FRESH Mtg. / Jack force

3. Are you a (check however many apply):

- Fernald area resident  
 Fernald employee  
 Subcontractor employee  
 Government official  
 Representative of a regulatory agency  
 Representative of another group/organization FRESH / FCTF  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_ (please specify)

4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      7

5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      7

6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      7

000003

(Over)

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

Yes  
 No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

*This was plenty!*

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting? yes If yes, list your daytime phone number. 948-3779 - work -

*do not release # -  
call before 11:00 a.m. or  
after 3:00 - 4:00 pm*

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME Lisa Crawford (optional)

*like the round table set-up - keep it  
like that. more comfortable & relaxed!*

000004

## DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION

May 7, 1996

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

 Yes No

2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

 Newspaper ad \_\_\_\_\_ (which newspaper?) Envoy Program Radio/television public service announcement Flyer Postcard Word of mouth Other \_\_\_\_\_

3. Are you a (check however many apply):

 Fernald area resident Fernald employee Subcontractor employee Government official Representative of a regulatory agency Representative of another group/organization Other VENDOR (please specify)

4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

000005

(Over)

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

Yes  
 No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      (6)      7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

NO

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting? \_\_\_\_\_ If yes, list your daytime phone number. TOMORROW

MORNING  
[REDACTED]

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME Jim Chandler (optional)

000006

## DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION

May 7, 1996

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

 Yes No

2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

 Newspaper ad \_\_\_\_\_ (which newspaper?) Envoy Program Radio/television public service announcement Flyer Postcard Word of mouth Other \_\_\_\_\_

3. Are you a (check however many apply):

 Fernald area resident Fernald employee Subcontractor employee Government official Representative of a regulatory agency Representative of another group/organization Other \_\_\_\_\_ (please specify)

4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?

Not very valuable

Somewhat valuable

Very valuable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?

Not very valuable

Somewhat valuable

Very valuable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?

Not very valuable

Somewhat valuable

Very valuable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

000007

(Over)

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

Yes  
 No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting?   2   If yes, list your daytime phone number. \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ (optional)

000008

# DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION

May 7, 1996

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

- Yes
- No


2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

- Newspaper ad \_\_\_\_\_ (which newspaper?)
- Envoy Program
- Radio/television public service announcement
- Flyer
- Postcard
- Word of mouth
- Other \_\_\_\_\_


3. Are you a (check however many apply):

- Fernald area resident
- Fernald employee
- Subcontractor employee
- Government official
- Representative of a regulatory agency
- Representative of another group/organization
- Other Fernald Retiree (please specify)


4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?



5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?



6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?



000009

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

Yes  
 No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      (5)      6      7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting? \_\_\_\_\_ If yes, list your daytime phone number. \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME Albert W Loos (optional) retired

000010

# DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION

May 7, 1996

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

- Yes
- No

2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

- Newspaper ad \_\_\_\_\_ (which newspaper?)
- Envoy Program
- Radio/television public service announcement
- Flyer
- Postcard
- Word of mouth
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

3. Are you a (check however many apply):

- Fernald area resident
- Fernald employee
- Subcontractor employee
- Government official
- Representative of a regulatory agency
- Representative of another group/organization
- Other \_\_\_\_\_ (please specify)

4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      (5)      6      7

5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      (6)      7

6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      (6)      7

000011

(Over)

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

Yes  
 No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting? NO If yes, list your daytime phone number. \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ (optional)

000012

# DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION

May 7, 1996

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

- Yes
- No


2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

- Newspaper ad \_\_\_\_\_ (which newspaper?)
- Envoy Program
- Radio/television public service announcement
- Flyer
- Postcard
- Word of mouth
- Other \_\_\_\_\_


3. Are you a (check however many apply):

- Fernald area resident
- Fernald employee
- Subcontractor employee
- Government official
- Representative of a regulatory agency
- Representative of another group/organization
- Other \_\_\_\_\_ (please specify)


4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?



5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?



6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?



000013

(Over)

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

Yes  
 No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting? NO If yes, list your daytime phone number. \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ (optional)

## DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION

May 7, 1996

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

 Yes No

2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

 Newspaper ad \_\_\_\_\_ (which newspaper?) Envoy Program Radio/television public service announcement Flyer Postcard to State Rep. Gae Krebs Word of mouth Other \_\_\_\_\_

3. Are you a (check however many apply):

 Fernald area resident Fernald employee Subcontractor employee Government official Representative of a regulatory agency Representative of another group/organization Other District Office of State Rep. Gae Krebs (please specify)

4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?

Not very valuable

Somewhat valuable

Very valuable

1    2    3    4    5    6    ⑦

*Glad Mr. Stagner +  
Mr. Bradburne addressed  
the Enquirer articles.*

5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?

Not very valuable

Somewhat valuable

Very valuable

1    2    3    4    5    6    ⑦

*Well done  
covered a lot of material  
quickly*

6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?

Not very valuable

Somewhat valuable

Very valuable

1    2    3    4    ⑤    6    7

*Mr. Greenwalt needs  
to streamline his  
presentation*

000015

(Over)

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

Yes  
 No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

---

1      2      3      4      5      (6)      7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting? no If yes, list your daytime phone number. \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME ELLEN GENE SKAGGS (optional)

**DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION**  
**May 7, 1996**

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

- Yes  
 No

2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

- Newspaper ad Enquirer (which newspaper?)  
 Envoy Program  
 Radio/television public service announcement  
 Flyer  
 Postcard  
 Word of mouth  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_

3. Are you a (check however many apply):

- Fernald area resident  
 Fernald employee  
 Subcontractor employee  
 Government official  
 Representative of a regulatory agency  
 Representative of another group/organization  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_ (please specify)

4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      (7)

5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      (7)

6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      (7)

000017

(Over)

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

Yes  
 No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable

1      2      3      4      5      6      7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting? Yes If yes, list your daytime phone number. [REDACTED]

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME George R. (Bob) Becker (optional)

# DOE COMMUNITY MEETING EVALUATION

May 7, 1996

1. Have you attended Fernald Community Meetings before?

9  Yes  
 No

2. Where did you learn about tonight's meeting? (check however many apply)

4 Newspaper ad \_\_\_\_\_ (which newspaper?)  
 Envoy Program  
 Radio/television public service announcement  
2 Flyer  
3 Postcard  
2 Word of mouth  
3 Other \_\_\_\_\_

3. Are you a (check however many apply):

2 Fernald area resident  
3 Fernald employee  
 Subcontractor employee  
 Government official  
1 Representative of a regulatory agency  
1 Representative of another group/organization  
2 Other \_\_\_\_\_ (please specify)

4. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information given during the opening?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable  
\_\_\_\_\_ 2 5 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
1    2    3    4    5    6    7

5. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the cleanup presentation?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable  
\_\_\_\_\_ 7 2 \_\_\_\_\_  
1    2    3    4    5    6    7

6. On a scale of 1-7, how valuable was the information on the budget/priorities?

Not very valuable      Somewhat valuable      Very valuable  
\_\_\_\_\_ 0 4 2 4 1 \_\_\_\_\_  
1    2    3    4    5    6    7

7. Comparing tonight's meeting to others you have attended, do you feel adequate time was allowed for the question and answer session?

8 Yes  
\_\_\_ No

8. On a scale of 1-7, how would you rate the meeting overall?

Not very valuable				Somewhat valuable		Very valuable
				<u>1</u>	<u>7</u>	
<hr/>						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

9. Are there other topics or issues you would have liked to discuss tonight? If so, what?

10. Would you like to be included in our telephone survey tomorrow concerning tonight's meeting? \_\_\_\_\_ If yes, list your daytime phone number. \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for completing this evaluation. Please write down any other comments you would like to make about tonight's meeting or how we can improve our communication with the public.

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ (optional)

000020

**COMMUNITY MEETING TELEPHONE SURVEY RESULTS  
MAY 7, 1996**

Sixteen members of the public who attended the May 7 Community Meeting were contacted by telephone the following day for an in-depth survey of their feelings about the meeting. Responses from the survey are attached and are summarized below:

- 1. All respondents had attended previous meetings.**
- 2. All respondents felt Reising's presentation was beneficial.**
- 3. Suggestions/opinions about the cleanup progress:** Of the thirteen respondents who made comments: 5 were positive; 9 were middle-line; 1 was negative (see attachment)
- 4. Was the presentation on the budget helpful:** Most thought it was needed -- but a little confusing. One said he got lost; didn't understand where the numbers came from.
- 5. Suggestions/opinions on Fernald's budget:** Of the fourteen respondents who made comments: 9 were positive; 3 were middle-line; 2 were negative (see attachment)
- 6. Didn't have break-out sessions -- your feelings:** 5 liked no break-outs; 10 thought could be needed for certain issues requiring feedback
- 7. Do you want break-out sessions at future Comm. Mtg.:** Comments about the same as above -- if the topic requires feedback; 3, however, did say no.
- 8. No one requested documents from the PEIC.**
- 9. Fourteen picked up handouts and only 1 thought the material hard to understand.**
- 10. Fifteen said to continue Agency updates.** All very positive about this portion of the meetings.
- 11- 13. Fourteen respondents felt Q&A had enough time and answers were complete.**
- 14. (This was a new question) What can we do to encourage people to ask more questions:**  
Several people gave good responses (see attachment)
- 15. All felt the meeting was worth the time spent.**
- 16. All thought DOE should continue to hold Community Meetings.**
- 17. Anything else?** Several comments were given (see attachment).

1. Besides Tuesday night's meeting, have you attended any other community meetings on Fernald?

16\_ Yes

\_\_\_ No

2. Was the presentation on the cleanup progress & status of the Operable Units beneficial?

16\_ Yes

\_\_\_ No

3. Do you have any suggestions or opinions about the cleanup progress at Fernald?

-They can't completely walk away from it, can they? When I worked there from 56-83 they told us there wasn't any problems

-We get first hand information. We were there not long ago on a tour. Pleased w/how it's going.

-I've expressed my feelings at previous meetings.

-Concerned about what appears in the papers and safety for the individual workers on site. My biggest concern is contaminating the people who are doing the decontaminating; also, the process of sending the material to Nevada and Utah -- the train cars could be contaminated along the way.

-When they start tearing down buildings what will become of the steel? It should be ground up. I go along with sending the stuff out west. Be careful not to get anything in the aquifer.

-If I did, I would ask it at the mtg. And have a direct answer from the ones in charge.

-Within the budget given, we're doing a good job.

-Going in I thought OU4/ FERMCO is backing away from vitrification. This was not supported by what I heard last night. FERMCO is going ahead with vit for silos 1 & 2. I don't know what to believe now.

-Poster sessions were good from prior meetings I remember.

-Pleased with the meeting. Liked handout of summation of OUs (Reising's) but the map of the master plan was hard to read

-Would rather see cleanup go a little slower and get it right than hurry and mess up.

-Only 2nd meeting still new to her.

-Stay on track with the 10-year plan and budget.

-Good job!

4. Was the presentation on the budget helpful?

\_15\_ Yes-7 said very 8 said yes, but a little confusing

\_1\_ No-1 got lost; didn't understand where the numbers came from

5. Do you have any suggestions or opinions after hearing about Fernald's budget?

-It looks to me like they're doing just as good a job as any one can do.

-Would have been nice to group categories on budget priorities onto a two-page handout.

-I see a lot of effort on Fernald's part. They're saving billions. It shows Fernald is serious. Staff is helpful with answering questions = Craig, Reising, Hamric, Carr

-We need to keep pressing the government for funds to show the public and the nation that yes the government is capable of a positive cleanup.

-The citizenry here have to stay in the ear of our congressional representatives.

-As long as they follow what they said they'd do -- 9 years to go.

-10-year plan: it will take 10 years, the 79 list was informative.

-Confusing, like most budget discussions.

-Report was not user-friendly; like going back 8 yrs. ago when we didn't understand what they were talking about. Probably would have been some questions, if people had understood it!

-Wanted to ask about carry-overs? Are prior year's funds obligated or are there reductions?

-Seems hard to stick to 10-years; will need a lot of community participation to get funding.

-DOE-FN and Ohio Field Office are fighting and winning! I'm very pleased with their successes.

-We need to keep at it and get the funding.

6. To allow more time for the Question & Answer Session, we did not have break-out groups? Did you like this format?

15 Yes -5 -- for some issues -10

     No

  1   Undecided

7. Would you like to see break-out-sessions at future Community Meetings?

11 Yes 1, if feedback is needed; 3-but it depends on the meeting; 2- would like to see an option for one; 1 they're good for hot topics; 3-if feedback is needed; 1 only if a really specific subject requiring feedback

  3   No

  2   Undecided, might be passed the idea stage at this point; haven't participated in break-outs so can't comment-1

8. Did you request documents or material on the sign-up sheet from the Public Environmental Information Center?

     Yes

16 No

9. Did you pick up any written handouts? 14 did
10. Should time continue to be allotted to U.S. EPA, OEPA, the Fernald Citizens Task Force, and FRESH to speak at the DOE Community Meetings?  
15 Yes ( should include CRO in future meetings) (very much so, it shows interest) (a good idea) (absolutely) (As long as they have something to say)  
     No  
     Undecided
11. Did you think there was enough time for questions at the general session?  
14 Yes  
     No

(If yes, skip to 14)

14. Can you think of anything we can do to encourage people to ask questions?
- Can't understand why people don't attend the meetings. People are gonna scream and it's going to be too late.
  - Did as good as a job as can be.
  - Questions come from within; you can't be timid in today's world.
  - Possibly, when we sent out messages people would be more prone to attend if they know ahead what was to be covered.
  - Plenty of time was given for people to ask questions.
  - This has been going on for so long, the public has input. I don't know if we need as many meetings as we have. A lot gets rehashed and said over.
  - People have their own agenda; if they want to ask questions, they will.
  - Presentations answered people's curiosity; didn't need to ask question. People are getting the progress; discussing the budget, people get an idea of where money is going.
  - Tom Luken was there and no questions were asked. My questions are more on the technical side so I waited and talked to Dennis Carr personally.
  - As I said before, people didn't understand the budget presentation. Might help to have a non-budget person give the presentation on a layman's level.
  - I think the presentations were so complete and understandable and that's why there were no questions. J. Reising, J. Craig, and P. Greenwalt did an excellent job presenting.
  - If they want to, they will.
15. Overall, do you think it was worth your time to attend the meeting?  
16 Yes  
     No

16. The Community Meeting format differs from other Fernald workshops or smaller public meetings because it covers a wide range of topics and issues, utilizes break-out sessions, and has agency updates. Should DOE continue to hold Community Meetings?

16 Yes (It's contact with the public that otherwise you would not have) (Gary Stegner did a good job) (definitely worthwhile)  
 No  
 Undecided

17. Is there anything else you want to comment on concerning the meeting that was not covered in the survey?

-Everything was covered in the meeting. DOE paid \$12M to have water brought in for the people. Now, people want DOE to pay water bill -- this isn't right. Also, beef cattle should not be here. There's talk of having beef cattle around Fernald. That's not right.

-Well satisfied with the way it was presented.

-I don't like to see this stuff in the paper -- I had no complaints in the time (52-78) I worked there.

-At the meeting, the reorganization was not brought up at all; It's an area of apprehension for the workers.

-Liked format of the meeting; summary of overheads are great; wonder how the budget for Fernald is going to last? Liked having coffee

-Who are the 9 vice-presidents at FERMCO? And what do they do? Keep the Dennis Carrs and Terry Hagens but get rid of the dead weight. For example, when the PA dept. was downsized from 24 to 14, not much of their budget changed -- too many chiefs. Also, if there is nothing for the union people to do but sit around, lay them off until their work picks up. Did Envirocare put in a bid for the OU1 work? It would make good sense.

-Since only second meeting, was hard to follow cleanup presentation but everyone else seemed to understand. Really enjoyed the photo slides.

-It was a good meeting.