

249

5-201.1

**TRANSMITTAL OF THE DRAFT REMEDIAL DESIGN WORK PLAN FOR
REMEDIAL ACTIONS AT OPERABLE UNIT 5**

03/29/96


DOE-0715-96
DOE-FN EPAS
~~125~~ 57
WORK PLAN



Department of Energy

**Ohio Field Office
Fernald Area Office**

P. O. Box 538705
Cincinnati, Ohio 45253-8705
(513) 648-3155



MAR 23 1996
DOE-0715-96

**Mr. James A. Saric, Remedial Project Director
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region V - SRF-5J
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590**

**Mr. Tom Schneider, Project Manager
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
401 East 5th Street
Dayton, Ohio 45402-2911**

Dear Mr. Saric and Mr. Schneider:

**TRANSMITTAL OF THE DRAFT REMEDIAL DESIGN WORK PLAN FOR REMEDIAL
ACTIONS AT OPERABLE UNIT 5**

This letter transmits the subject document for review by your agencies. The Amended Consent Agreement requires submittal of this document 60 days from the finalization of the Record of Decision (ROD) for Operable Unit 5 (OU5), which occurred on January 31, 1996.

Any questions you may have regarding this Remedial Design Work Plan should be addressed to Robert Janke at (513) 648-3124.

Sincerely,

**Johnny W. Reising
Fernald Remedial Action
Project Manager**

FN:Janke

Enclosure: As Stated

000001

cc w/enc:

L. Griffin, EM-423/GTN
R. L. Nace, EM-423/GTN
S. Smiley, DOE-OH
G. Jablonowski, USEPA-V, 5HRE-8J
M. Hodnett, TPSS/DERR, OEPA-Columbus
Manager, TPSS/DERR, OEPA-Columbus
F. Bell, ATSDR
D. S. Ward, GeoTrans
R. Vandegrift, ODOH
M. Davis, ANL
S. McLellan, PRC
D. Carr, FERMCO/52-2
J. Harmon, FERMCO/90
T. Hagen, FERMCO/65-2
M. Yates, FERMCO/9
AR Coordinator, FERMCO/78

cc w/o enc:

C. Little, FERMCO/2
M. Yates, FERMCO/9

000002

**REMEDIAL DESIGN WORK PLAN
FOR REMEDIAL ACTIONS
AT OPERABLE UNIT 5**

**FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT
FERNALD, OHIO**



APRIL 1996

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FERNALD AREA OFFICE**

000003

DRAFT

**REMEDIAL DESIGN WORK PLAN
FOR REMEDIAL ACTIONS
AT OPERABLE UNIT 5**

**FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT
FERNALD, OHIO**

APRIL 1996

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FERNALD AREA OFFICE**

000004

DRAFT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables ii

List of Figures ii

List of Acronyms iii

1.0 Introduction 1-1

 1.1 Purpose 1-1

 1.2 Scope and Role of the Operable Unit 5 Remedy 1-2

 1.3 Integrated Approach to Site-Wide RD/RA Planning 1-4

 1.4 Work Plan Approach 1-6

 1.5 Work Plan Organization 1-7

2.0 Selected Remedy 2-1

 2.1 Key Components 2-2

 2.1.1 Soil and Sediment 2-2

 2.1.2 Perched Water 2-4

 2.1.3 Regional Groundwater Aquifer 2-4

 2.1.4 Storm Water/Wastewater 2-6

 2.1.5 Treatment of Discharges 2-8

 2.1.6 Measures to Minimize Environmental Impacts 2-9

 2.1.7 Institutional Controls/Monitoring 2-10

 2.1.8 Corrective Action Management Unit Rule 2-11

 2.1.9 Community Involvement 2-12

 2.2 Remedial Action Objectives and Cleanup Levels 2-12

 2.3 Compliance with Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements and Substantive Permitting Requirements 2-14

3.0 Remedial Design Strategy for Aquifer Restoration 3-1

 3.1 Factors Affecting Remedial Design 3-1

 3.2 Remedial Design Objectives 3-2

 3.3 Remedial Design Scope of Work 3-3

 3.3.1 Update of the Baseline Remedial Strategy - Task 1 3-5

 3.3.2 Operations and Maintenance Plan - Task 2 3-5

 3.3.3 South Field Extraction System Module Design (Complete) - Task 3 3-6

 3.3.4 Injection Demonstration Module Design - Task 4 3-6

 3.3.5 South Plume Optimization Module Design - Task 5 3-7

 3.3.6 Plant 6 Area Extraction Module Design - Task 6 3-7

 3.3.7 Waste Storage Area Extraction Module Design - Task 7 3-7

 3.3.8 AWWT Facility Expansion Design - Task 8 3-7

 3.3.9 Integrated Environmental Monitoring Plan - Task 9 3-8

 3.3.10 Remedial Action Work Plan - Task 10 3-8

 3.3.11 Site Closeout and Deletion of the FEMP from the CERCLA National Priorities List - Task 11 3-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

3.4	Tests and Studies in Support of Remedial Design	3-9
3.4.1	Aquifer Pumping Test (Complete)	3-9
3.4.2	Uranium Desorption Evaluation (Ongoing)	3-10
3.4.3	Injection Test (In Progress)	3-10
3.4.4	Restoration Area Verification Sampling (In Progress)	3-10
3.5	Project Deliverables and Schedule	3-11
3.6	Plan for Review and Finalization of Design Deliverables	3-13
3.7	Commencement of Remedial Action	3-13
4.0	Remedial Design Strategy for Soil Remediation	4-1
4.1	Soil Remediation Sequence Drivers	4-1
4.2	Remedial Design Strategy and Scope	4-3
4.2.1	Technology Report	4-4
4.2.2	Site-Wide Excavation Plan	4-4
4.2.3	Integrated Remedial Design Packages	4-8
4.3	Plan for Review and Finalization of Design Deliverables	4-10
4.4	Commencement of Remedial Action	4-11
5.0	Program Management	5-1
5.1	Organization	5-1
5.2	Community Relations	5-3
	References	R-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1	Schedule of Remedial Design Deliverables for Groundwater	3-12
Table 4-1	Schedule of Remedial Design Deliverables for Soil	4-6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	Excavation Footprint for Selected Remedy	2-3
Figure 2-2	Areas of the Great Miami Aquifer Requiring Remediation	2-5
Figure 2-3	Projected Extraction Well Locations	2-7
Figure 3-1	Location of Aquifer Restoration Modules	3-4
Figure 4-1	Site-Wide Soil Remediation Areas	4-2
Figure 4-2	Phasing of Operable Unit 5 Soil Remediation Design Deliverables	4-5

LIST OF ACRONYMS

ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement
AWWT	advanced wastewater treatment [facility]
CAMU	corrective action management unit
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
COC	constituent of concern
D&D	decontamination & dismantlement
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FEMP	Fernald Environmental Management Project
FERMCO	Fernald Environmental Restoration Management Corp.
FS	feasibility study
IEMP	Integrated Environmental Monitoring Plan
IRDP	integrated remedial design package
LDR	land disposal restriction
MTR	minimum technology requirements
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List
O&M	operations and maintenance
OEPA	Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
OSDF	on-site disposal facility
RA	remedial action
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RD	remedial design
RI/FS	remedial investigation/feasibility study
ROD	record of decision
SEP	Site-Wide Excavation Plan
TBC	to be considered
TU	temporary unit

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE

This remedial design (RD) work plan defines the activities and establishes the schedule for developing the final construction drawings, specifications, plans, and procurement documents necessary for the implementation of the Operable Unit 5 selected remedy. The selected remedy is described in the Record of Decision for Operable Unit 5 (DOE 1996) of the Fernald Environmental Management Project (FEMP), signed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on January 31, 1996.

Operable Unit 5 is one of five operable units at the FEMP, and encompasses the environmental media within and beyond the FEMP property boundary that are contaminated by releases from the four source operable units. Chronologically, Operable Unit 5 is the fourth of the five FEMP operable units to submit a RD work plan describing the design approach for implementing a final selected remedy. Operable Unit 3, the final operable unit to move through the process, is expected to issue a RD work plan following issuance of a record of decision (ROD) in late 1996. Operable Unit 3 issued an Interim ROD in 1994 followed by an implementation work plan and associated deliverables.

The operable unit concept was applied at the FEMP as a management approach to streamlining the remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) decision-making process, thereby expediting the initiation of site cleanup activities. The definitions of the five operable units at the FEMP were established considering factors such as geographic location, similarity in waste forms, and the availability of data on discrete waste units or areas as they proceeded through the RI/FS process. The current definitions of the five operable units, being a management approach for completing the site-wide RI/FS, do not necessarily represent the most prudent segmentation of site responsibility to efficiently perform remedial activities. This RD work plan presents an integrated approach to performing site remedial activities, frames the relative responsibilities of each of the operable units within this integrated site-wide remedial action strategy, and describes the specific goals and focus of the Operable Unit 5 RD process.

Integration of the five remedial actions is recognized as an ongoing process. The sequencing of disposal facility preparation, facilities decontamination and dismantlement, and final soil and groundwater remediation will be closely coordinated among all operable units throughout the remedial design and remedial action phases of site cleanup. In recognition of this needed site-wide integration,

certain components of the Operable Unit 5 selected remedy will be addressed by separate design submittals being provided through the RD processes for the other FEMP operable units. More specifically, the preliminary and detailed design submittals for the on-property disposal facility are being provided in accordance with the delivery strategy and schedules embodied within the Operable Unit 2 Remedial Design Work Plan, submitted to EPA in December 1995.

This work plan is the primary document to be used in defining the implementation of the Operable Unit 5 remedial design activities and has been prepared in accordance with Section IX of the 1991 Amended Consent Agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and EPA and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1986, referred to as CERCLA. This work plan has also been prepared, where feasible, using the Superfund Remedial Design and Remedial Action Guidance (EPA 1986) and Guidance on EPA Oversight of Remedial Designs and Remedial Actions Performed by Potentially Responsible Parties (EPA 1990a).

1.2 SCOPE AND ROLE OF THE OPERABLE UNIT 5 REMEDY

The Operable Unit 5 remedy provides a permanent solution for addressing the contaminated environmental media at the site. The remedy provides the following key components; a detailed description of the remedy is presented in Section 2.0:

- Establishment of final cleanup levels for soil, sediment and groundwater
- Use of treatment to the extent practical to address the principal threats posed by the contaminated media
- Removal and permanent disposition of contaminated materials to an appropriate on- or off-property disposal facility
- Application of appropriate access controls to complement engineering measures taken to address site contaminants
- Restoration of the Great Miami Aquifer to full beneficial use within a reasonable time.

The goal of the RI/FS process for Operable Unit 5 was to determine the most prudent measures to be applied to contaminated environmental media, a legacy of the 38-year production and waste management mission of the Fernald facility. Coupled with this scope are attendant site-wide responsibilities fundamental to the successful accomplishment of the FEMP cleanup mission. These site-wide responsibilities include:

- Establish Final Cleanup Levels - The Operable Unit 5 ROD established the final remediation levels for site-wide environmental media including soil, sediment, surface water and groundwater. These final cleanup criteria will be applied within the boundaries of all FEMP operable units to ensure a consistent and protective site-wide remedy. Of notable exception is where a ROD for another operable unit established a more restrictive (i.e., lower) final remediation level for a discrete chemical or radiological constituent, the more restrictive criterion will be applied within the boundaries of that particular operable unit.
- Define Site-Wide Soil Cleanup Approach and Methods - The Operable Unit 5 RD and remedial action (RA) processes will establish the approach and methods to be applied site wide to implement and demonstrate attainment with final remediation levels for soil.
- Establish Site-Wide Discharge Limits to the Great Miami River - The Operable Unit 5 ROD established the mass- and concentration-based discharge limits for the river to be adopted by all FEMP operable units. The Operable Unit 5 RD/RA process will integrate the needs of all the operable units to ensure compliance with these discharge limits.
- Define Site-Wide Storm Water Management Approach - The Operable Unit 5 ROD established requirements for the site-wide management and treatment of contaminated storm water runoff. The Operable Unit 5 RD/RA process will integrate the needs of all the operable units to ensure compliance with these ROD provisions.
- Protect Sensitive Environmental Systems and Ecological Receptors - The Amended Consent Agreement delegated responsibility for the site-wide ecological risk assessment to Operable Unit 5. The RD/RA process for Operable Unit 5 will support this commitment.
- Maintain Baseline of Environmental Conditions - As the environmental media operable unit, Operable Unit 5 has conducted detailed characterization of the environmental conditions at the FEMP. This characterization data set, as supplemented throughout the remedy implementation, will serve as the baseline for evaluating the impacts, if any, that site-wide remedial actions may have on the environment due to atmospheric or liquid releases. The Operable Unit 5 RD/RA process will develop and implement an integrated site-wide environmental monitoring system to detect and evaluate the significance of these releases and assess the continued long-term protectiveness of the remedy.
- Facilitate Final Land Use Planning, Site Grading, Institutional Control Arrangements, and Delisting Obligations - The Operable Unit 5 RD/RA process will develop and implement the necessary field approaches and systems to achieve final site-wide remediation levels for soil and groundwater. On the basis of the projected land use, the Operable Unit 5 RD/RA process will support the institutional control arrangements required to complement the remedial actions to ensure the long-term protection of human health and the environment. The Operable Unit 5 RD/RA process will also support final site delisting from the National Priorities List (NPL) in a manner consistent with EPA policy and guidance.

1.3 INTEGRATED APPROACH TO SITE-WIDE RD/RA PLANNING

As part of the RI/FS process at the FEMP, an operable unit management approach was adopted to focus the characterization, alternative evaluation and remedy decision processes to achieve the most expeditious initiation of final remedial actions. With the RI/FS process nearing completion, the focus of the facility has now shifted toward the efficient completion of the RD/RA processes. One component of this process is the proper alignment of site-wide responsibilities and regulatory obligations across the five operable units to streamline inefficiencies within the project organizations. Discussions on the subject of integrated remedial planning were held with Ohio EPA (OEPA) and EPA in September 1995. Follow-up correspondence on this subject was transmitted to the agencies on October 11, 1995. The FEMP has proceeded with implementing an integrated remedial planning approach since introduction of the concept in the fall of 1995.

The following describes some necessary delineations of site-wide remedial planning responsibilities among the five FEMP operable units which are pertinent to the RD/RA strategy for Operable Unit 5. The discussion on the organizational approach to implementing these responsibilities is in Section 5.0, Project Management.

Operable Unit 5 - Environmental Media

The RD/RA process for Operable Unit 5 will focus on the design and implementation of site-wide soil and groundwater cleanup, site restoration and long-term environmental monitoring.

Section 3.0 of this work plan establishes a delivery schedule for remedial design documents to support the restoration of the Great Miami Aquifer. Also included in Section 3.0 is a delivery schedule for the submittal of an integrated site-wide monitoring plan. This plan will address monitoring requirements for air, water and groundwater including groundwater monitoring associated with the on-site disposal facility (OSDF). Planning for source-based monitoring, such as perimeter air monitoring surrounding an operable unit treatment system, will be provided as part of the RD/RA process for the individual operable unit (e.g., Operable Unit 4 Vitrification Plant Perimeter Air Monitoring).

Section 4.0 of this work plan provides a remedial design documentation delivery strategy for site-wide soil cleanup. Operable Unit 5 design documents will establish the methods to be applied site-wide for soil precertification sampling, excavation, and final certification sampling. These methods, once

approved, will be used within the boundaries of all operable units to demonstrate attainment of final
 remediation levels. The Operable Unit 5 RD process will also produce planning documents to
 facilitate the final grading and restoration of the FEMP site following completion of soil and waste
 excavation activities. As a component of this final site restoration planning, the Operable Unit 5
 design documents will define the access controls to be applied during remedy implementation and the
 final institutional control arrangements to help ensure the long-term protectiveness of the remedy.

Of special note is that the remedial design documents for Operable Unit 5 soil remediation will
 address the removal and disposition of at- and below-grade structures and piping systems located
 across the FEMP site. This responsibility includes the planning documents for the removal of all
 Operable Unit 3 building foundations, roadways, underground utilities and in-ground basins.

Operable Unit 1 - Waste Pit Area

The RD process for Operable Unit 1 will provide the necessary documents to support the off-site
 transport of waste materials destined for burial at a licensed commercial disposal facility. These
 documents would be used by all operable units contemplating disposal at this type of facility. The
 selected remedy for Operable Unit 5 envisions the disposal of excavated soil not meeting the waste
 acceptance criteria for the OSDF at an off-site commercial disposal facility. Additionally,
 contaminated soil and debris generated by Operable Unit 5 remedial activities which are undertaken
 beyond the closure date of the OSDF are expected to be transported off the FEMP for disposal.

The Operable Unit 1 design documents will plan the excavation of contaminated soil overlying and
 adjacent to the waste pits to the extent necessary to support the removal of the waste materials.
 Planning for any remaining excavations to achieve site-wide soil final remediation levels and for site
 restoration will be undertaken through the planning documents for Operable Unit 5.

Operable Unit 2 - Other Waste Units

The RD process for Operable Unit 2 will provide the necessary documents to support the design and
 construction of the OSDF. The documents submitted in accordance with the Operable Unit 2 RD
 process will also establish the methods to be implemented across the site to demonstrate attainment of
 the waste acceptance criteria for the OSDF. The waste units that Operable Unit 2 is remediating
 include the South Field, the Active and Inactive Flyash Piles, the Lime Sludge Ponds, and the Solid
 Waste Landfill.

Operable Unit 3 - Production Area

The RD process for Operable Unit 3 will provide the necessary planning and design documents for site-wide decontamination and dismantlement (D&D) of contaminated structures. As part of this scope, Operable Unit 3 will complete the necessary designs for the D&D of Operable Unit 5 wastewater treatment facilities including the bionitrification system, the interim advanced wastewater treatment system, and the South Plume interim treatment system.

Operable Unit 4 - Silos 1 - 4

The RD process for Operable Unit 4 will provide the necessary planning for the removal of that contaminated soil which requires excavation to facilitate source removal activities. The planning for the excavation of remaining contaminated soil and the demonstration of attainment of final remediation levels, coupled with final site restoration within the boundaries of Operable Unit 4, will be completed as part of the Operable Unit 5 RD process.

1.4 WORK PLAN APPROACH

This RD work plan describes the selected remedy; reports on the status of design and remediation activities already underway within the scope of Operable Unit 5; outlines the major deliverables that will convey the design; and provides the overall schedule under which the RD activities will be conducted. To better align the RD process with the adopted organizational approach discussed in Section 5.0, the design work scope for Operable Unit 5 has been segmented into two principal components:

- Soil remediation
- Great Miami Aquifer restoration.

The Great Miami Aquifer restoration component, presented in Section 3.0, addresses all aspects of groundwater restoration including extraction and injection systems design and wastewater treatment system design. Also addressed is planning for an integrated environmental monitoring program.

The soil remediation component, presented in Section 4.0, addresses all design aspects of site-wide soil cleanup including precertification and certification sampling and construction drawings and procurement packages. Also addressed are final grading and land use, institutional controls and natural resource management.

The completion of required soil and groundwater remedial activities at the FEMP site are expected to take in excess of 10 years. It is expected that during this time period considerable experience will be gained necessitating refinements in the remedial strategy for groundwater and soil. To incorporate these changes, amendments will be issued to this work plan and/or the discrete design deliverables described in this plan.

1.5 WORK PLAN ORGANIZATION

The Remedial Design Work Plan for Remedial Actions at Operable Unit 5 is comprised of five sections. The remaining sections and their contents are as follows:

- Section 2.0 Selected Remedy - includes a brief description of the selected remedy for Operable Unit 5
- Section 3.0 Remedial Design Strategy for Aquifer Restoration - provides the design strategy and a brief abstract and submittal schedule for design deliverables for addressing contaminated portions of the Great Miami Aquifer, surface water, and site-wide integrated environmental monitoring
- Section 4.0 Remedial Design Strategy for Soil Remediation - provides the design strategy and a brief abstract and submittal schedule for design deliverables for addressing contaminated soil, sediment and perched water zones at the site
- Section 5.0 Project Management - includes a description of the organizational approach to be applied to implement the remedial design for Operable Unit 5.

2.0 SELECTED REMEDY

The selected remedy for Operable Unit 5 provides for the protection of existing and projected future human and environmental receptors through the implementation of remedial actions involving: the excavation of soil, sediment and perched water zones containing concentrations of COCs above the final remediation levels; on-property disposal of the excavated materials in an engineered disposal facility; restoration of the Great Miami Aquifer through pump and treat technologies to attain the final remediation levels; collection of contaminated storm water; treatment of collected storm water and process wastewater generated through remedial activities and recovered contaminated groundwater to the extent necessary to ensure that discharge limits are attained and final remediation levels for the receiving surface water streams are not exceeded; long-term groundwater monitoring; and continued federal ownership of the FEMP, or portions thereof, to the extent necessary to ensure the continued protection of human health and the environment. This section provides an abbreviated summary of the selected remedy. See Section 9.0 of the Operable Unit 5 ROD (1996) for a complete description.

The selected remedy provides for the on-property disposal of contaminated materials originating on site. Contaminated materials to be placed in the OSDF (following any necessary demonstration of the attainment of waste acceptance criteria) include: contaminated soil and sediment; water and wastewater treatment sludges, spent resins and filter media; miscellaneous rubble from the construction, demolition and maintenance of water, wastewater and storm water conveyance, equalization, and treatment systems; investigation-derived waste from Operable Unit 5 investigation, sampling and analysis efforts; miscellaneous waste (i.e., respirators, protective clothing, etc.,) generated consequentially to the planning and implementation of remedial actions; and sludges and other wastes derived during the conduct of engineering studies (i.e., treatability, proof-of-process, etc.,) on Operable Unit 5 materials.

The remedy provides an explicit prohibition to the placement of any waste generated off of the FEMP in the OSDF. Specifically excluded from this prohibition are laboratory wastes generated at off-site facilities resulting directly from the chemical, radiological and engineering analysis of FEMP waste materials/contaminated media or wastes generated at off-site facilities during the conduct of treatability or demonstration-type studies on FEMP material.

2.1 KEY COMPONENTS

The remedy consists of these key components: soil and sediment; perched water; regional groundwater aquifer; storm water/wastewater; treatment of discharges; measures to minimize environmental impacts; institutional controls/monitoring; the corrective action management unit (CAMU) rule; and community involvement. Each is discussed below.

2.1.1 Soil and Sediment


Soil and sediment exceeding final remediation levels will be excavated with conventional construction equipment. Figure 2-1 provides a planning-level estimate of the projected footprint of soil and sediment requiring excavation as part of the remedy. Excavation is projected to generally proceed from the northeastern portion of the facility toward the southwest to take maximum advantage of natural drainage patterns to minimize the potential for the recontamination of previously excavated areas resulting from contact with contaminated runoff. Appropriate mitigative measures will be used during excavation activities to minimize the resuspension of dust particles. Excavation will continue until a certification sampling program indicates with reasonable confidence that the concentrations of contaminants at the entire site are statistically less than the final remediation levels. Excavated areas will be regraded, backfilled (as necessary) and a vegetative cover reestablished. Environmental and worker health and safety monitoring will be provided during excavation activities.

Figure 2-1 indicates the need for substantial excavation activities in the former production area. Consequently, a necessary integration of remedial activities must take place between Operable Units 3 and 5. The excavation of soil within this area must be properly sequenced with building demolition activities. It is envisioned that the excavation of contaminated soil will take place coincidental with building foundation and subsurface utility removals.

Excavated soil will be placed in the OSDF using conventional construction equipment. The facility will be situated at the location on the FEMP property that exhibits the most suitable hydrogeologic characteristics for the protection of human health and the environment, as described in Section 4. The disposal facility will be designed such that the contents are placed at or above grade with minimal potential for human or biotic intrusion. The disposal facility design will include an engineered lining and capping system to minimize water infiltration and provide for the long-term protection of the Great Miami Aquifer. Contaminant-specific waste acceptance criteria have been established for the disposal facility. Soil exhibiting contaminant concentrations that exceed these waste acceptance

STATE PLANAR COORDINATE SYSTEM 1927

/USR/ERMA1/LARRY/C5FS005.DGN



LEGEND:

■ OU 5 EXCAVATION

▨ OTHER OU'S EXCAVATION

DRAFT

FIGURE 2-1. EXCAVATION FOOTPRINT FOR SELECTED REMEDY

site for disposal. Off-site disposal will be conducted consistent with the terms of the Amended Consent Agreement and EPA's Off-Site Rule. In the event off-site disposal capacity becomes unavailable or cost prohibitive, physical or chemical techniques will be examined to treat the soil to attain the waste acceptance criteria. Approval will be sought from EPA before the application of any soil treatment technology.

2.1.2 Perched Water

Perched water zones presenting an unacceptable threat (i.e., having a cross-media impact to the Great Miami Aquifer that would produce concentrations in groundwater exceeding the existing or proposed MCLs) to the underlying aquifer will be excavated with the contaminated soil. Excavation will take place using conventional excavation equipment. Perched water zones requiring excavation as part of the selected remedy are included in Figure 2-1 which delineates the projected footprint of excavations for soil and sediment. Considerations associated with the excavation, staging and soil transportation process are as discussed above for soil and sediment. Excavated subsurface soil removed to address perched water may, if necessary, be temporarily staged at an appropriate location to permit excess liquids to drain. Such drainage and water collected during perched water zone removal will be transferred to the advanced wastewater treatment (AWWT) facility for treatment before discharge.


Excavated subsurface soil will be placed in the OSDF. Subsurface soil exhibiting contaminant concentrations which exceed the waste acceptance criteria for the disposal facility will be shipped off site for disposal. Considerations for the on-property disposal of contaminated material are as previously discussed for soil and sediment.

In the event field conditions preclude the ability to effectively implement the excavation option to address a given perched water zone, limited application of pumping or trenching may be used to attain necessary remediation levels.

2.1.3 Regional Groundwater Aquifer

Areas of the Great Miami Aquifer exceeding final remediation levels will be restored through extraction methods. The areas of the aquifer requiring remediation are identified in Figure 2-2. Modeling conducted to support the feasibility study (FS) identified the need for 28 extraction wells

STATE PLANNING COORDINATE SYSTEM 1927



LEGEND:

- EXISTING EXTRACTION WELL
- FEMP BOUNDARY
- ➔ REGIONAL GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
- ▨ AREAS ABOVE URANIUM CLEANUP LEVEL
- ▩ PADDYS RUN ROAD SITE PLUME

DRAFT

70SR/ERMA1/LARRY/CSRD002.DGN

FIGURE 2-2. AREAS OF THE GREAT MIAMI AQUIFER REQUIRING REMEDIATION

distributed across the affected areas of the aquifer. These 28 wells are divided into four extraction well systems and are identified in Figure 2-3. The final number and configuration of these extraction wells will be established through the remedial design process outlined in Section 3.0.

The FEMP presently has an extraction well network located at the leading edge of the South Plume, installed as part of a removal action. These wells are an integral part of the required recovery well system for the selected remedy. The FEMP is in the process of installing additional extraction wells in the South Field that are part of the system contemplated by the selected remedy.

Modeling conducted for the FS demonstrated that a combined maximum pumping rate of 4000 gpm from the extraction well system will be required for up to 27 years to fully attain the final remediation levels throughout all portions of the aquifer. The DOE has committed, as part of the selected remedy, to examine enhancement technologies to improve the extraction well system described in the FS Report. One such technique is injection of treated or clean water into the aquifer to enhance the flushing effect. Such a technique may reduce the projected time period to achieve full aquifer restoration. Enhancement techniques will be examined during remedial design as outlined in Section 3.0 and will be applied only with the specific approval of EPA.


2.1.4 Storm Water/Wastewater

The FEMP maintains a storm water collection system which includes conveyance systems and retention basins. This system is designed to prevent contaminated storm water from entering the storm sewer outfall ditch and Paddys Run. As part of the selected remedy, the FEMP will continue to operate this system until such time as soil final remediation levels are attained on a site-wide basis or until jointly deemed unnecessary by DOE and EPA.

Sanitary and process wastewater continue to be generated at the FEMP as a result of the occupancy of the site by the work force and due to ongoing cleanup initiatives such as building decontamination. Additionally, process wastewater is expected to be generated as a consequence of the implementation of remedial actions for Operable Unit 5 and the other four operable units. The FEMP will continue to collect and direct this wastewater for treatment, as necessary, as part of the selected remedy.

STATE PLANAR COORDINATE SYSTEM 1927

/USR/ERMA1/LARRY/CSR0003.DGN.DGN



DRAFT

FIGURE 2-3. PROJECTED EXTRACTION WELL LOCATIONS

2.1.5 Treatment of Discharges

The FEMP will construct and operate the treatment facilities necessary to attain mass-based discharge limits to the Great Miami River. Storm water, wastewater and groundwater will be treated in existing and expanded facilities such that the monthly average concentration in the combined discharges to the river does not exceed the final remediation levels for surface water in Paddys Run or the Great Miami River. Additionally, treatment will be applied such that the total mass and blended effluent concentration of uranium discharged to the Great Miami River does not exceed 600 pounds per year or 20 ppb, as further defined below. Available wastewater treatment capacity will be applied first to highest concentration streams to effectively minimize the concentration and mass of uranium present in the blended effluent discharged to the Great Miami River.

Treatment will be applied to storm water, wastewater and recovered groundwater to the extent necessary to limit the total mass of uranium discharged through the FEMP outfall to the Great Miami River to 600 pounds per year and to ensure that the levels necessary to ensure the protection of human health (i.e., 530 ppb total uranium outside the mixing zone) for concentrations of uranium and other constituents of concern (COCs) in the Great Miami River are not exceeded. This mass-based discharge limit became effective upon issuance of the ROD. Additionally, the necessary treatment will be applied to these streams to limit the concentration of total uranium in the blended effluent to the Great Miami River to 20 ppb. The 20 ppb discharge limit for uranium will be based on a monthly average and will become effective January 1, 1998. To attain these mass-based and concentration-based discharge limits, DOE has committed to expanding the design capacity of the existing advanced wastewater treatment facility by a minimum of an additional 1800 gpm.

Treatment sludges will be placed in the OSDF to the extent they attain the waste acceptance criteria for the facility. Sludges not attaining the waste acceptance criteria will be transported off site for disposal. Off-site disposal will be conducted consistent with the terms of the Amended Consent Agreement and EPA's Off-Site Rule. In the event off-site disposal capacity becomes unavailable or cost prohibitive, physical or chemical techniques will be examined to treat the sludges to attain the waste acceptance criteria. Approval will be sought from EPA before the application of any sludge treatment technology.

2.1.6 Measures to Minimize Environmental Impacts

All practical measures will be employed to minimize environmental impacts during implementation of the Operable Unit 5 remedial action. DOE has factored environmental impacts into the decision-making process for the remedial action as discussed below.

Measures to minimize environmental impacts to on-property natural resources (e.g., wildlife and wildlife habitat, wetlands, floodplains, surface water, groundwater) have been identified in the final Operable Unit 5 FS Report and Proposed Plan (DOE 1995a, 1995c). Remedial activities are not expected to alter flow patterns or uses of the 100- and 500-year floodplain of Paddys Run at the FEMP. The implementation of engineering and/or natural controls (e.g., silt fences and hay bales) will minimize indirect impacts such as runoff and sediment deposition to the floodplain.

Impacts to on-property vegetation and wildlife habitat will result from the removal of contaminated soil and sediment and construction of support facilities. Approximately 115 acres of on-property grassland will be impacted and later restored by revegetation.

Approximately 7.5 acres of early to mid-successional woodlands, 16.5 acres of riparian habitat along 1375 feet of Paddys Run, and 50 acres of pine plantation will be impacted. These impacts will be offset by implementing mitigative measures such as revegetation with native tree species in consultation with appropriate federal and state agencies.

Because habitat of the Sloan's crayfish, listed as threatened in Ohio, could be impacted from increased sediment load into Paddys Run, control measures will be used to minimize the impact of sediment deposition to Sloan's crayfish habitat. If necessary, Sloan's crayfish will be relocated upstream of remedial activities in pooled sections of Paddys Run.

A total of approximately 10 acres of wetlands will be impacted as a result of the implementation of the Operable Unit 5 remedial action. Mitigation for wetland impacts will be determined using the Section 404 (b)(1) guidelines of the Clean Water Act. The need for compensatory mitigation will be determined after all practicable steps to avoid and minimize adverse impacts to wetlands have been applied.

To avoid impacts to cultural resources, Phase 1 and 2 archaeological surveys will be performed to determine the presence of historic and prehistoric (archaeological) sites eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. If a remedial action is found to have an adverse impact, consultation with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and the State Historic Preservation Office would be required under the National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106, process. If an adverse impact to a cultural resource cannot be avoided, a memorandum of agreement or programmatic agreement would be negotiated among the Advisory Council, the State Historic Preservation Office, and DOE which will identify mitigative measures.

The natural resource Trustees for the FEMP site include the Department of the Interior, DOE, and OEPA. The Trustees' role is to act as guardian for natural resources at or near the FEMP site that may have been injured as a result of a release of a hazardous substance or an oil spill. Negotiations with the Trustees are ongoing. Input from the Trustees is anticipated to be factored into the natural resource mitigation activities contemplated by the Operable Unit 5 selected remedy.

2.1.7 Institutional Controls/Monitoring

One element of the selected remedy that will be used to ensure protectiveness is institutional controls, including continued access controls at the site during the remediation period, alternate water supplies to affected residential and industrial wells, continued federal ownership of the OSDF and necessary buffer zones, and deed restrictions to preclude residential and agricultural uses of the remaining regions of the FEMP property. Additionally, proper notifications, as mandated by CERCLA, will be provided before the transfer of any federal real property which is known to contain or have been used in the processing of hazardous substances. These measures will minimize the potential for human exposure to contaminated soil and groundwater during the implementation of site-wide remedial actions, and to the contaminated material contained in the on-property disposal facility following completion of remedial activities at the site. Specific institutional control measures to be implemented at the site will be established during the remedial design process outlined in Section 4.0.

The Fernald Citizens Task Force issued recommendations regarding future use of the FEMP property in May of 1995. The Task Force recommended that the area of the FEMP containing the disposal facility and associated buffer zone remain under the continued ownership of the federal government. Additionally, the Task Force recommended that the remaining portions of the FEMP property be made available for the uses that are deemed most beneficial to the surrounding communities. The

Task Force encouraged DOE to consult with the local communities to establish their preferences for future use and ownership of these areas of the site. Consistent with this recommendation, the DOE will work with the local communities during remedial design on establishing a final land use and ownership plan for the FEMP property.

Long-term environmental monitoring will also be conducted as part of the selected remedy. This monitoring will be designed to detect and quantify, to the extent practical, releases from the site attributable to the implementation of remedial actions and will include monitoring of the air, surface water and groundwater pathways. Monitoring devices providing real-time or near real-time data will be evaluated and applied, if practical. Monitoring will also be conducted following the completion of remedial actions to assess the continued performance of the remedy; groundwater monitoring will be continued for, at a minimum, the area of the disposal facility. The type and frequency of monitoring activities will be determined during the remedial design process outlined in Section 3.0.

Long-term maintenance will be provided as part of the selected remedy for the OSDF to ensure the continued protectiveness of the remedy. Additionally, reviews will be conducted every five years by EPA to evaluate the effectiveness of the remedy and the continued attainment of the media-specific final remediation levels (see Section 2.2). If, upon such review, it is the judgment of EPA that additional action or modification of remedial actions is appropriate in accordance with Section 104 or 106 of CERCLA, DOE may be required to implement additional actions or modify the existing action.

2.1.8 Corrective Action Management Unit Rule

The CAMUs and Temporary Units (TUs) Final Rule was promulgated to meet the objectives of a cleanup program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended. Management of remediation (and investigation) waste within a CAMU is not subject to the strict RCRA Subtitle C requirements. Specifically, waste management activities within a CAMU are not subject to land disposal restrictions (LDRs) and minimum technology requirements (MTRs).

The CAMU rule is identified as an applicable requirement for Operable Unit 5. The boundaries of the CAMU are designated to be coincident with the FEMP property boundaries and encompass the

OSDF. Consolidation or management of on-site remediation wastes into or within the CAMU will not constitute the creation of a unit subject to MTRs and will not invoke LDRs.

DOE, EPA, and OEPA reviewed remedial investigation data and site process knowledge to determine if areas of soil exhibiting a RCRA characteristic could be identified which offered a reasonable opportunity for the application of a cost-effective level of treatment before disposal. This review was conducted to further satisfy the regulatory preference for treatment contained in Section 264.552 of the CAMU rule. The review identified six geographic areas of the FEMP where a reasonable potential exists for the presence of RCRA characteristic waste in soil. These areas are summarized in the remedy description for soil provided in Section 9.1.1 of the Operable Unit 5 ROD (DOE 1996). Recognizing that a protective remedy has been selected for Operable Unit 5 soil, coupled with the desire on the part of all parties to satisfy the regulatory preference for treatment, consensus has been reached by DOE, EPA, and OEPA that these six geographic areas represent the locations where a reasonable opportunity exists for cost-effective treatment of RCRA characteristic soil. DOE is committed to identifying, segregating and treating, as necessary, contaminated soil from within the six geographic areas that exhibits one or more RCRA characteristics.

2.1.9 Community Involvement

The DOE and EPA are committed to continuing the active community involvement program currently in place at the FEMP throughout the duration of remedial activities at the site. This program will include: public meetings; public comment periods (as needed); newsletters; tours; and small focused group sessions assessing specific cleanup issues.

2.2 REMEDIAL ACTION OBJECTIVES AND CLEANUP LEVELS

Remedial action objectives were developed in accordance with the NCP and EPA guidance with the intention of setting goals to ensure the protection of human health and the environment. The objectives are designed to mitigate the potential adverse effects of site contaminants present in environmental media.

The remedial action objectives for Operable Unit 5 include eliminating, or reducing to acceptable levels, the potential for human or ecological receptors to come into contact with contaminated environmental media and prevention of off-property migration of contaminants in excess of the contaminant-specific final remediation levels. From these objectives, final remediation levels were

developed for each of the environmental media to ensure that remedial actions reduce the projected risk to humans and ecological receptors to protective levels consistent with anticipated future uses of the land or water.

The Fernald Citizens Task Force has made the following recommendations for consideration by the DOE regarding the future use of the FEMP property:

- The area of the FEMP containing the disposal facility and associated buffer zone remain under the continued ownership of the federal government
- The remaining portions of the FEMP property be made available for uses that are the most beneficial to the surrounding communities.
- Any agricultural or residential uses of the FEMP property be prohibited.

The final remediation levels presented in Section 9.0 of the ROD have been designed to be consistent with these recommendations. Additionally, the FEMP is committed to the application of as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) goals during site cleanup. The remedial design packages for Operable Unit 5 will include the appropriate level of ALARA evaluations.

Operable Unit 5 is the fourth of the five FEMP operable units to proceed through the remedy selection process. The three FEMP operable units (i.e., 1, 2 and 4) preceding Operable Unit 5 similarly established soil remediation levels in their RODs for the constituents of concern occurring within the respective boundaries of these source operable units. The final remediation levels in these RODs were derived on the basis of operable unit-specific information regarding the physical, chemical, radiological and geochemical characteristics of the contaminants and the environmental setting in which they reside. Where the final soil remediation level for a specific constituent established through the Operable Unit 5 remedy decision process is more restrictive (i.e., lower) than that defined in an individual ROD for Operable Units 1, 2 or 4, the final Operable Unit 5 remediation level will serve as the soil cleanup criteria within the boundary of the source operable unit. Final remediation levels for groundwater in the Great Miami Aquifer, surface water and sediment are present in ROD Tables 9-4, 9-5 and 9-6, respectively.

Another key component of the remedy is the establishment of waste acceptance criteria for the OSDF, defined in Table 9-7 of the ROD. The waste acceptance criteria were derived to establish mass-based or activity-based operational limits for soil or sludge contaminant concentrations to ensure the

long-term protection of the Great Miami Aquifer underlying and downgradient of the OSDF. The waste acceptance criteria were derived to ensure that the water quality in those portions of the aquifer potentially impacted by the OSDF do not exceed the groundwater final remediation levels over the long term.

2.3 COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS AND SUBSTANTIVE PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS

The Amended Consent Agreement requires that the compliance strategy for addressing substantive permit requirements and other applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) be initiated at the start of remedial action. The ARARs and to be considered (TBC) criteria were listed in Appendix B of the Operable Unit 5 ROD. In accordance with the Amended Consent Agreement, Paragraph XIII.B, the following specific information is required:

- Identification of each permit that would have been required in the absence of the CERCLA 121(e)(1) permitting exemption
- Identification of the standards, requirements, criteria, or limitations that would normally have to be met to obtain the permits
- Explanation of how the remedial action will meet the substantive requirements, criteria, or limitations identified above.

The Amended Consent Agreement further states that a permitting plan containing the above items should be submitted as a design deliverable under the schedule provided in this RD work plan. However, to address these requirements, on June 12, 1995 DOE requested in a letter to EPA and OEPA that outlined the FEMP's strategy for compliance with permit-related substantive regulatory requirements for remedial actions at the site (Craig 1995). EPA and OEPA concurred with DOE's strategy outlined in the letter and agreed to the development of "compliance crosswalks" (including substantive permitting requirements) as a substitute for a formal permitting plan. These compliance crosswalks are to be supplied with the remedial design submittals to EPA and OEPA.

The ARARs and TBC in the Operable Unit 5 ROD will be used as the basis for conducting soil remediation and groundwater restoration. The subset of those ARARS and TBCs that are pertinent to the scope of the remedial design deliverables will incorporate information to indicate where compliance would be addressed by the remedial action. Approval of the Operable Unit 5 design documents by EPA and OEPA will constitute approval that the compliance strategy meets the

intentions of the Amended Consent Agreement and fulfills the FEMP's obligation to address ARARs and TBCs in the remedial design process.

The subset of ARARs that are pertinent to soil remediation will be defined in the Operable Unit 5 Site-Wide Excavation Plan (SEP) for area-specific design deliverables. The Area 1, Phase I RA Work Plan will also include a set of ARARs because its submittal precedes the SEP (see Section 4.0 for a description of the soil remedial design deliverable schedule). Area-specific design deliverables will also address any variations from the ARARs that are identified in the SEP, if necessary.

Similarly, ARARs pertinent to groundwater restoration will be furnished in the Operable Unit 5 Operations and Maintenance Plan as a compliance crosswalk. This plan will be developed to coordinate the extraction, collection, conveyance, treatment, and discharge of groundwater, storm water, and wastewater generated on a site-wide basis at the FEMP (see Section 3.0 for a description of the aquifer restoration design deliverable schedule).

3.0 REMEDIAL DESIGN STRATEGY FOR AQUIFER RESTORATION

Section 3.0 discusses the technical approach to remedial design for the Great Miami Aquifer remedy, outlines the design scope of work, and delineates the process and schedule for review and approval of the identified remedial design deliverables.

3.1 FACTORS AFFECTING REMEDIAL DESIGN

The remedy for the Great Miami Aquifer is unique at the FEMP in that major elements of the remedy have already been designed and implemented as a result of EPA-approved early start initiatives and groundwater-related removal actions. These elements include the South Plume Removal Action recovery well system, the advanced wastewater treatment (AWWT) facility, and the South Field Extraction System wells installed during 1995. The remedial design process must build upon this existing infrastructure and accommodate the early actions that are now in place.

The Operable Unit 5 FS Report and ROD outlined the site-wide remediation strategy for restoration of the aquifer, including the integration of existing actions into the final remedy. Under this strategy, restoration will be accomplished using a series of area-specific groundwater restoration modules and the centralized water treatment capabilities of the AWWT facility. Each area-specific module will be brought on line as needed during the life of the remedy and independently withdrawn from service once remedial objectives within an area are achieved. The installation sequence and operation of the modules will follow a coordinated schedule that is based on the remedial activities of other projects and the modeling projections of the duration and intensity of restoration actions necessary to achieve desired site-wide cleanup time frames and satisfy discharge requirements to the Great Miami River.

In order to demonstrate the feasibility of restoring the aquifer in a reasonable time frame, the Operable Unit 5 FS Report identified a "base case" system consisting of 28 conventional extraction wells (packaged into four discrete modules) and system-wide pumping rates of approximately 4000 gpm. Modeling simulations for the base case system indicated the aquifer could be restored in a 27-year time frame at a total present worth cost of about \$140 million. It was acknowledged in the FS Report and the ROD (DOE 1995a, 1996) that the remedial design process would build upon the base case and evaluate additional scenarios that incorporated innovative enhancement technologies (such as injection) and, as a means to further reduce remediation time, pumping-related hydraulic impacts and cost. It was also acknowledged that the remedial design activity would address EPA's

desire to restore the off-property portion of the plume as the FEMP's highest groundwater priority, even though that portion of the plume is not necessarily the rate-limiting area controlling overall remediation time.

Lastly, the FS Report also explicitly acknowledged the EPA's "learn as you go" improvement process for groundwater restoration that is contained in General Methods for Remedial Operation Performance Evaluations (EPA 1992b). As envisioned by this guidance, once a base case remedy is selected for a site and documented in a ROD, continuous efforts to improve system economics and efficiency should be extended throughout the post-ROD remedial design phase and over the life of the remedy. In the FS Report, DOE formally recognized the desire to incorporate this "learn as you go" philosophy into the modular, step-wise design strategy for the aquifer restoration program.

3.2 REMEDIAL DESIGN OBJECTIVES

In recognition of the above factors that have been identified for incorporation into the remedial design, five fundamental objectives have been formulated for the Great Miami Aquifer remedial design process:

1. Accommodate the need for sequential restoration modules, each independently designed, installed, and operated using "learn as you go" principles over the life of the remedy
2. Build into the remedy the necessary enhancements and improvements (i.e., injection) that were envisioned by the Operable Unit 5 FS Report and ROD.
3. Develop a sound remedial approach that will accomplish remedial action objectives within the aggressive time frames contained in the FEMP's current funding baseline
4. Accommodate the transition of the existing infrastructure and early start actions into a coordinated site-wide final remedy
5. Satisfy discharge limits for the release of groundwater, storm water, and remedial wastewater to the Great Miami River

In order to fulfill these objectives, a remedial design process that extends over the life of the remedy is required. The remedial design scope of work reflects the need to prepare stand-alone design packages for each of the area-specific restoration modules that will ultimately be brought on line.

The delivery dates for each of the design packages have been estimated based on groundwater modeling projections of the behavior of the system over the entire life of the remedy. These

projected dates represent the DOE's best technical estimates for when design submittals will be necessary, and form the basis for developing the enforceable RD delivery schedule contained in Section 3.5. It is important to be clear, however, that the "in-the-ground" performance of the system, once the various modules come on line, will dictate the actual dates for when the out-year design packages will be necessary. DOE is committing to the life-of-the-remedy RD delivery dates in Section 3.5 with the understanding that technical considerations may require adjustment of the dates forward or backward as system performance dictates.


The Amended Consent Agreement requires preparation of a remedial action work plan to cover construction activities and the establishment of an enforceable RA schedule. Initially an "umbrella" RA Work Plan will be submitted to provide all information required by the Consent Agreement and to convey the enforceable construction schedule for the first module to be brought on line. Then an abbreviated addendum to the RA Work Plan will be submitted for each successive module as a means of providing the enforceable construction schedule for that module. The RA Work Plan addenda will be furnished as part of the prefinal design package for each future module and will be tailored to address module-specific implementation issues and needs.

3.3 REMEDIAL DESIGN SCOPE OF WORK

The discrete work elements comprising the remedial design work scope for the Great Miami Aquifer program are described below. (These work elements are designated by task numbers for ease of reference, not to imply any ranking or sequence.) For each of the new restoration modules described in the following subsections, preliminary and prefinal design packages will be submitted for agency review. For the South Field Extraction System restoration module that was selected as an early start initiative, design reviews were completed through an EPA-approved project-specific plan process before issuance of the Operable Unit 5 ROD. The actual operation of the South Field Extraction System will be integrated into the RD/RA process by its incorporation into the system-wide Operations and Maintenance Plan (discussed under Task 2).


Figure 3-1 delineates the general geographic locations of the restoration modules that are described below.

/USR/EMMA1/CRUS/DCN/MAE/HOR/DP/H/CSRDAD31.DGN FER OUS 3/27/96 STATE PLANNR COORDINATE SYSTEM 1927



LEGEND:
 - - - - FEMP BOUNDARY

NOTE: SHADED AREAS REPRESENT GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS WITHIN WHICH EXTRACTION AND/OR INJECTION WELLS ARE PLANNED



DRAFT

FIGURE 3-1. LOCATION OF AQUIFER RESTORATION MODULES

3.3.1 Update of the Baseline Remedial Strategy - Task 1

As support for the RD process, the DOE will prepare a summary report of the results of the enhancement modeling simulations that extend beyond the base case FS system. The simulations will include an evaluation of injection technologies and the refinements in well locations necessary to enhance restoration of the off-property portion of the plume.

Four cleanup scenarios will be included in the simulations: 25, 15, 10, and 7.5 years. The scenarios will be used to compare the cost implications of shortening the remediation schedule (thereby reducing long-term operations and maintenance [O&M] costs) against the increased capital costs necessary to accommodate the additional infrastructure needed for a shorter remediation time.

Following completion of the modeling simulations, the summary report will recommend a revised strategy to serve as the design basis for the full-scale program. The report is expected to be furnished to EPA and OEPA for approval in the summer of 1996, before submission of the first formal RD deliverable (the preliminary design package for the AWWT facility expansion).

3.3.2 Operations and Maintenance Plan - Task 2

A master Operations and Maintenance Plan will be developed as a means to coordinate the extraction, collection, conveyance, treatment, and discharge of all groundwater, storm water, and remediation wastewater generated on a site-wide basis over the life of the FEMP's cleanup mission. The plan will delineate the operating schedule, allowable direct discharge and treated water flow rates, system-by-system sequencing, and other operating constraints required to balance site-wide water management needs so that the FEMP's discharge limits (set forth in Section 2.1.5) are achieved. The plan will be modified as necessary over the life of the remedy to accommodate expansions to the system or the retiring of individual restoration modules from service once area-specific cleanup levels are achieved. The plan will thus serve as a living guidance document to instruct operations staff in implementing required adjustments to the system over time.

The plan will also serve as the focal point for coordinating and scheduling remedial wastewater conveyance and treatment needs with other projects throughout the duration of the FEMP's cleanup mission. It is expected that the Operations and Maintenance Plan will be furnished to EPA and OEPA for approval in the summer of 1997, approximately six months ahead of the effective date of the FEMP's 20 ppb total uranium discharge limit to the Great Miami River.

3.3.3 South Field Extraction System Module Design (Complete) - Task 3

As discussed above, the South Field Extraction System was designed under an EPA-approved project-specific plan before issuance of the Operable Unit 5 ROD. The nine wells comprising this module were installed in the summer and fall of 1995. The remaining piping and other infrastructure needed to complete the system will be installed according to the initial implementation schedule provided in the RA Work Plan (discussed under Task 10). The operation of the wellfield will be coordinated with the other restoration and treatment components by the Operations and Maintenance Plan (prepared under Task 2).

It should be noted that the early-start South Field Extraction System module was designed to support the initial 28-well base case system presented in the Operable Unit 5 FS Report and ROD. If in future years additional wells are deemed necessary for the South Field module to match the final baseline strategy developed in Task 1, they will be accommodated through addenda to the existing design documents already furnished to EPA and OEPA. The sequencing strategy for such additions (if necessary) will be provided in the Baseline Remedial Strategy Report.

3.3.4 Injection Demonstration Module Design - Task 4

Currently, injection is under evaluation as a potentially viable enhancement for the overall restoration system. Pending the outcome of the evaluations discussed under Task 1 and the success of the field tests outlined in Section 3.5, a decision will be reached regarding the viability of incorporating injection into the final baseline strategy. If a positive decision is reached, Task 4 will be dedicated to the design of a first-phase, five-well demonstration that will be used to prove out the technology at the field scale. This first-phase demonstration is expected to be funded through DOE Headquarters' EM-50 Technology Development Program. If the process then proves successful at the field scale, additional injection wells will be incorporated into the design packages for the area-specific restoration modules as needed. The number and locations of the injection wells needed over the life of the remedy will be identified through the modeling simulations conducted under Task 1.

Similar to the other restoration modules, stand-alone design documents will be submitted for the injection demonstration in accordance with the schedule set forth in Section 3.5.

3.3.5 South Plume Optimization Module Design - Task 5

This module was so named during the agencies' review of the April 1995 South Plume Removal Action report and signifies the desire of EPA, OEPA and DOE to restore the off-property portion of the plume quickly and cost effectively. In order to accelerate the recovery of FEMP contaminants in the off-property area, additional wells are under consideration to supplement the existing South Plume containment wells situated at the leading edge of the plume. The optimal locations of the extraction wells will be determined as part of the modeling simulations under Task 1. The design of the wells and accompanying infrastructure will be accomplished by Task 5, and a stand-alone design package will be submitted according to the schedule set forth in Section 3.5. The operation of the South Plume optimization module will be coordinated with the other modules (including injection, as necessary) by updates to the Operations and Maintenance Plan.

3.3.6 Plant 6 Area Extraction Module Design - Task 6

The Plant 6 area module is necessary to recover contaminants from beneath and just east of the FEMP's former production area. The locations of the extraction wells for this system will be finalized as part of the modeling simulations under Task 1. The design of the wells and accompanying infrastructure will be accomplished by Task 6, and a stand-alone design package will be submitted according to the schedule set forth in Section 3.5. The operation of this module will be coordinated with the others (including injection, as necessary) by updates to the Operations and Maintenance Plan.

3.3.7 Waste Storage Area Extraction Module Design - Task 7

The waste storage area module is necessary to recover contaminants from beneath Operable Units 1 and 4. The locations of the extraction wells for this system will be finalized as part of the modeling simulations under Task 1. The design of the wells and accompanying infrastructure will be accomplished by Task 7, and a stand-alone design package will be submitted according to the schedule set forth in Section 3.5. The operation of this module will be coordinated with the others (including injection, as necessary) by updates to the Operations and Maintenance Plan.

3.3.8 AWWT Facility Expansion Design - Task 8

As discussed in the Operable Unit 5 ROD, the existing capacity of the AWWT facility will be expanded to the maximum achievable within the confines of Building 51. This capacity will be used to enhance the FEMP's ability to meet groundwater, storm water, and wastewater treatment needs and

satisfy discharge requirements for release of water to the Great Miami River. The design of the system will be accomplished by Task 8, and a stand-alone design package will be submitted according to the schedule set forth in Section 3.5. The manner in which the AWWT facility's capability is used in concert with the FEMP's other restoration modules will be coordinated over the life of the remedy through the Operations and Maintenance Plan.

3.3.9 Integrated Environmental Monitoring Plan - Task 9

As the environmental media operable unit, Operable Unit 5 will be responsible for maintaining a baseline of environmental conditions at the site and monitoring impacts attributable to the implementation of the FEMP's site-wide remedial actions. Monitoring will also be conducted following the completion of cleanup as required to assess the continued protectiveness of the remedial actions. A site-wide integrated environmental monitoring plan (IEMP) will be developed that will specify the type and frequency of environmental monitoring activities to be conducted during remedy implementation and to determine when restoration activities for the Great Miami Aquifer are complete. The plan will address monitoring requirements for flora, fauna, air, surface water sediment and groundwater.

The IEMP will complement the action-specific monitoring activities (conducted by the four source operable units during their respective remedial activities) and will be tailored to fulfill the FEMP's surveillance obligations to ensure that short-term risks due to remedy implementation activities are minimized.

Once approved, the IEMP will incorporate the routine monitoring functions for Operable Unit 5 currently conducted through the RCRA property-boundary groundwater monitoring program and the South Plume Removal Action Design, Monitoring, and Evaluation Program Plan as well as future remedy performance monitoring associated with the various groundwater extraction modules and the OSDF. The IEMP is expected to be submitted to EPA and OEPA for approval in the summer of 1996.

3.3.10 Remedial Action Work Plan - Task 10

A Remedial Action Work Plan for aquifer restoration will be prepared to fulfill Amended Consent Agreement obligations. The RA Work Plan will provide all information required by the Amended Consent Agreement and convey the enforceable RA construction schedule for the first restoration

modules to be brought on line through the enforceable post-ROD RD/RA process. As each successive module is added in the future, an addendum to the RA Work Plan will be furnished that will convey the enforceable RA construction schedule for that particular module. As shown in Section 3.5, the RA Work Plan will be submitted after the baseline remedial strategy is finalized, and individual addendum will be furnished as part of the prefinal design package prepared for each module. It is envisioned that the first enforceable RA construction schedule that will be provided with the RA Work Plan will encompass construction of the South Field Extraction System piping network and the AWWT facility expansion.

3.3.11 Site Closeout and Deletion of the FEMP from the CERCLA National Priorities List - Task 11

Based on current funding scenarios for the FEMP, the endpoint of the cleanup mission for the site will be defined by completion of the Great Miami Aquifer restoration project. Once remedial goals for the aquifer are achieved across the site (or necessary technical impracticability waivers granted by EPA), a site closeout report will be prepared and the formal documentation assembled to permit delisting of the FEMP from the CERCLA National Priorities List. Assembling the delisting package, conducting required public participation activities, and meeting all reporting requirements for formal closeout of the project will be handled under this task.

Project closeout will be conducted according to the EPA guidance that is in effect at the time of remedy completion.

3.4 TESTS AND STUDIES IN SUPPORT OF REMEDIAL DESIGN

Various tests have been deemed necessary to support the remedial design of the aquifer restoration system and the remediation techniques under evaluation. These tests are in various stages of completion and are all to be conducted under EPA-approved project-specific plans. The results of the tests will be factored into the design and summarized as needed in the design deliverables submitted to EPA and OEPA. A description of the various tests that are underway or have been completed to support remedial design is provided below.

3.4.1 Aquifer Pumping Test (Complete)

An aquifer pumping test was completed in the South Field area of the FEMP in May of 1995. The major objective of the pumping test was to supplement the RI/FS hydrogeologic database and assess

hydraulic conductivity, storage, and anisotropy of the Great Miami Aquifer in the vicinity of the South Field Extraction System restoration module. Results of the pumping test indicated that the groundwater model for the area was using realistic and adequate hydraulic conductivity values. The verified model was used to design the South Field Extraction System.

3.4.2 Uranium Desorption Evaluation (Ongoing)

As part of the Operable Unit 5 RI/FS, numerous desorption batch tests were performed to establish the range of uranium desorptive characteristics for the media comprising the Great Miami Aquifer. These characteristics affect the cleanup time and efficiency of the restoration system. To refine the FEMP's understanding of this key parameter, additional desorption batch tests are currently being performed on aquifer media collected from the wells being installed for the South Field Extraction System. This process will be continued throughout the implementation of the groundwater remedy as part of the FEMP's commitment to "learn as you go." The refinements gained from the ongoing tests will be incorporated into system-wide operational planning as needed.

3.4.3 Injection Test (In Progress)

In October of 1995 a short-term injection test was performed to determine if the Great Miami Aquifer could accommodate anticipated injection rates without encountering undesirable geochemical interferences or physical plugging. The test demonstrated that desired injection rates could be maintained provided certain iron-based geochemical interactions could be overcome. Work is in progress to address the geochemical limitations and an additional short-term injection test has been planned for the spring of 1996.

3.4.4 Restoration Area Verification Sampling (In Progress)

In the FS Report for Operable Unit 5, it was acknowledged that the proposed remedial action "footprint" for the Great Miami Aquifer (see Figure 2-2) was based on the 20 ppb total uranium contour and that several nonuranium constituents are sporadically detected outside the proposed footprint at levels that occasionally exceed final remediation levels. This issue was also acknowledged in the FEMP's 1995 RCRA Annual Report for Groundwater, along with a recommendation for a focused sampling campaign to address geographic outliers and uncertainties in background concentration levels for several nonuranium constituents.

A sampling program is planned to address the data limitations raised in the FS Report and the 1995 RCRA Annual Report. The intent of the sampling is twofold: 1) to refine the definition of background concentration levels for several analytes where limited RI/FS data were available to establish background; and 2) to address the sporadic/isolated detections (i.e., outliers) of several nonuranium analytes above final remediation levels outside the boundaries of the 20 ppb uranium-based restoration footprint.

An evaluation of all existing data for final remediation level exceedances is currently underway. Following the evaluation, a project-specific plan will be prepared to define sampling requirements and locations, analytical support levels and detection limits, data validation procedures, data evaluation techniques and a project schedule. A summary report will be prepared following completion of the activity and any refinements to the restoration footprints will be accommodated within the appropriate restoration modules. The results of the verification sampling will also be used to support and refine the monitoring strategy conveyed in the IEMP.

3.5 PROJECT DELIVERABLES AND SCHEDULE

This RD work plan is a primary document as defined by the Amended Consent Agreement. It has been prepared and submitted within 60 days of receipt of EPA approval of the Operable Unit 5 Record of Decision, as required by Section XI.A, and will be reviewed, revised, and resubmitted in accordance with the time durations specified by the Sections XII.B.1 and XII.C.1.

Table 3-1 presents the design deliverables and enforceable document delivery schedule for the aquifer restoration portion of Operable Unit 5. Consistent with this schedule, preliminary and prefinal design packages will be furnished for each of the major modules comprising the aquifer restoration system. The preliminary packages will consist of narrative project descriptions and functional requirements and design basis documents; the prefinal packages will consist of detailed design drawings and specifications. As also shown in Table 3-1, the RA Work Plan will be submitted as a formal RD project deliverable. The enforceable RA construction schedules for future restoration modules will be provided as addenda to the RA Work Plan, furnished with the future prefinal design packages.

The document delivery dates that are shown in Table 3-1 are aligned with DOE's current long-term funding baseline. DOE has adopted the 10-year remediation scenario that is under consideration in Task 1 as the target case for baseline development. Preliminary modeling runs that have been

TABLE 3-1

SCHEDULE OF REMEDIAL DESIGN DELIVERABLES FOR GROUNDWATER

Module-Specific Design Packages		
Restoration Module	Preliminary Package	Prefinal Package
South Field Extraction System	Complete	Complete
Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility Expansion	Included as part of FS Report	July 30, 1996
Injection Demonstration	August 1, 1996	December 1, 1996
South Plume Optimization	August 1, 1996	December 1, 1996
Waste Storage Area Extraction	June 15, 2001	November 30, 2001
Plant 6 Area Extraction	August 15, 2001	November 30, 2001
Other Required Deliverable		
Deliverable	Submittal Date	
Remedial Action Work Plan for Aquifer Restoration ^a	November 1, 1996	

^aAddenda to the Remedial Action Work Plan will be furnished with each prefinal design package to convey module-specific enforceable RA construction schedules.

completed as part of Task 1 were used to develop the baseline and the milestone dates contained in Table 3-1. These milestone dates represent the FEMP's best estimate of the dates for design submittals. DOE commits to the enforceable RD delivery schedule shown in Table 3-1 with the understanding that technical considerations may require future adjustment of the dates based on the "in-the-ground" performance of the system. Should it prove necessary to adjust a document delivery date forward or backward because of technical considerations, DOE will furnish the necessary technical justification for EPA's consideration.

3.6 PLAN FOR REVIEW AND FINALIZATION OF DESIGN DELIVERABLES

The DOE will formally address all EPA and OEPA comments on the preliminary design review packages through submittal of a comment response document within 30 days (plus 20-day extensions, if necessary) of receipt of both agencies' comments. DOE does not plan to submit revised preliminary design documents, but rather will incorporate comment resolutions into the prefinal design.

The DOE will formally address all comments submitted by EPA and OEPA on the prefinal design packages through the submittal of a comment response document within 30 days (plus 20-day extensions, if necessary) of receipt of both agencies' comments. Following approval of the comment response document, all comment resolutions will be incorporated and the final design will be issued for construction.

The RA Work Plan will also be subject to the 30-day comment response cycle (plus 20-day extensions, if necessary) as required for the design packages.

3.7 COMMENCEMENT OF REMEDIAL ACTION

The design approach presented in this RD work plan, coupled with the existing actions that are already underway for the Great Miami Aquifer, establish the basis by which Operable Unit 5 meets the requirements of Section 120 (e)(2) of CERCLA for commencing substantial, continuous on-site remedial action within 15 months of the signing of the Operable Unit 5 Record of Decision. The actions extending beyond this required commencement will be implemented according to the sequencing strategy and schedule provided in the Remedial Action Work Plan for Aquifer Restoration.

4.0 REMEDIAL DESIGN STRATEGY FOR SOIL REMEDIATION

The basis for soil remediation includes the pertinent elements of the Operable Unit 5 selected remedy (Section 2.0) as they relate to soil and sediment excavation. This section presents the strategy for the design of those soil remediation elements, including descriptions of the soil remediation sequence drivers; remediation areas; scope of remedial design packages; design schedules; and the process for review and finalization of the deliverables.


4.1 SOIL REMEDIATION SEQUENCE DRIVERS

For planning purposes under the 10-year remediation scenario, the FEMP site has been broken into seven remediation areas and sub-areas, identified as phases (Figure 4-1). The factors influencing the proposed sequence of soil excavation during remediation of these areas include:

- Remediating upgradient areas first, with respect to surface water and groundwater flow directions to minimize the potential for recontamination
- Remediating and certifying, where necessary, that an area is below final remediation levels to support remedial action commitments for other operable units (e.g., excavating and certifying remaining soil in the OSDF footprint before construction by Operable Unit 2)
- Implementing reasonable construction practices needed to complete remediation (e.g., proper side slopes for open excavations)
- Finalizing the remediation of an area subsequent to remediation by other operable units (e.g., soil remediation cannot be performed in the former production area until the above-grade structures are dismantled by Operable Unit 3)
- Complying with federal budget constraints.

While Figure 4-1 indicates the approximate boundaries for the seven remediation areas, actual boundaries are contingent on circumstances encountered during remediation including, for example, the final extent of contamination identified within a certain hydrogeologic environment or intercepting unexpected subgrade features (synthetic or natural) during excavation. Implementation of site-wide remedial action as it relates to the RD/RA requirements specified in the Amended Consent Agreement is described below.

J:\SR\ERMA1\CRUS\DCN\MAP\HOR\DPH\GRA081183.DGN FER OUS 3/29/96 STATE PLANAR COORDINATE SYSTEM 1983




LEGEND:

..... PHASE BOUNDARIES

- - - - FEMP BOUNDARY

1 REMEDIATION AREA

SCALE



DRAFT

FIGURE 4-1. SITESIDE REMEDIATION AREAS

4.2 REMEDIAL DESIGN STRATEGY AND SCOPE

The purpose of remedial design is to establish the overall plan for implementation of the remedy. Remedial design utilizes strategic planning, traditional design packages (drawings and specifications), and detailed remedial action planning. As presented in the Amended Consent Agreement, this includes preparation of remedial action work plans to cover construction activities and the establishment of an enforceable RA schedule. The goals of remedial design, and the intent of the Amended Consent Agreement, will be addressed in soil remediation through the following steps:

- evaluation of emerging technologies
- development of integrated remedial design packages
- site-wide excavation planning

Several emerging technologies will be evaluated in a Technology Report prior to commencement of substantial remedial design activities. This report is described in more detail in Section 4.2.1.

Site-wide planning for soil excavation will be addressed in the Site-Wide Excavation Plan. The SEP will provide the management strategy necessary to govern site-wide soil remediation. Information to be included in the SEP will consist of methods, or protocols, that will be used during each phase of remediation. The elements to be incorporated into the SEP are described in Section 4.2.2.

Area-specific integrated remedial design packages (IRDPs) will be provided for each remediation area in phases that correlate to the sequence of implementing remedial action (Figure 4-2). Phasing of these remedial design deliverables will accomplish two goals: 1) expedite remediation to facilitate the 10-year plan and 2) accommodate the lessons learned. This concept was identified in the Operable Unit 5 FS Report, based on Guidance on Expediting Remedial Design and Remedial Action (EPA 1990). The guidance suggests that accelerated cleanup can be achieved by phasing a project into meaningful remedial work elements that can be implemented on different schedules, which results in acceleration of remedial design and remedial action. The remedial work elements for soil remediation are outlined in Section 4.1.

Each IRDP will include an area-specific implementation plan that incorporates the area-specific elements of a RA work plan, design drawings and specifications. The information to be provided in the general scope of work for each of these deliverables is summarized in Section 4.2.3. Each IRDP

will incorporate the lessons learned concept so that remedial action can be streamlined for each subsequent phase of soil remediation.

The phasing of the remedial design deliverables is presented in Figure 4-2. The submittal schedule for these deliverables, as required in the Amended Consent Agreement, Section XI, is identified in Table 4-1.

4.2.1 Technology Report

A formal commitment has been made by the DOE as part of the remedy for Operable Unit 5 to evaluate emerging technologies for the treatment of soil and sediment before placement of the soil and sediment into the OSDF. The DOE continues to advocate the development of innovative technologies that are environmentally acceptable and have cost/benefit potential for implementing the remedy and enhancing the long-term permanence of the OSDF.

The potential application of treatment technologies during remediation will be based on the cost-effectiveness and implementability of the technology. Four technologies are currently being considered:

- Physical separation to reduce soil volumes to be shipped off site (i.e., soil that exceeds the on-site waste acceptance criteria) and gravel that may remain on site
- Vacuum extrusion/compaction of soil
- Phosphate soil stabilization
- Geochemical barrier placement amendment for its potential ability to stabilize uranium.

Results of these studies will be presented as a project report to be submitted to the EPA and OEPA. Recommendations for their application during remediation will be proposed in that report.

4.2.2 Site-Wide Excavation Plan

The SEP will provide an explanation of soil excavation and management practices to be used consistently in all seven remediation areas. This document will be updated, as necessary, through the IRDPs to incorporate modifications for streamlining the soil remediation approach for the individual remediation areas. The SEP will address the following:




FIGURE 4-2. PHASING OF OPERABLE UNIT 5 SOIL REMEDIATION DESIGN DELIVERABLES

TABLE 4-1

SCHEDULE OF REMEDIAL DESIGN DELIVERABLES FOR SOIL

Deliverable	Submittal Date
Technology Report	
Draft	May 24, 1996
Site-Wide Excavation Plan	
Draft	October 22, 1996
Integrated Remedial Design Packages	
Area 1, Phase I	April 30, 1996
Area 1, Phase II	May 23, 1997
Prefinal	*
Area 2, Phase II	July 2, 1998
Area 3	
Prefinal	
Area 4 and Area 5	
Prefinal	November 15, 2000
Area 6; Area 7; Area 1, Phase III; and Area 2, Phase II	
Prefinal	January 15, 2001

* Area 2, Phase II consists of the Operable Unit 2 Waste Units. For the schedule of these design package deliverables, please refer to the *Operable Unit 2 Remedial Design Work Plan, August 1995*.

- Decision Criteria - Guidance for providing the overall logic in verifying the extent of contamination will be provided in addition to finalizing area-specific COCs, addressing the waste acceptance criteria for the OSDF, and certifying that final remediation levels are achieved during excavation 1
2
3
4
5
- Excavation of At- and Below-Grade Improvements - Integration between Operable Unit 3 and Operable Unit 5 for excavation of below-grade features will be established. Soil remediation activities will include excavation of slabs, foundations, below-grade piping, and other below-grade ancillary structures. 6
7
8
9
10
- Contingency Plan - The strategy for implementing a contingency plan will be defined. 11
12
- Closeout Requirements - The documentation, or procedures, that will be necessary during remedial action will be defined to successfully complete the goals of the selected remedy for soil. 13
14
15
16
- Impacted Materials Management - General protocol for soil segregation, stockpiling, staging and maintenance will be established. 17
18
19
- Sampling and Analysis Methods and Requirements - Data quality objectives, analytical requirements, and sampling frequency will be outlined. 20
21
22
- Excavation Control - Monitoring of excavation areas to achieve final remediation levels will be considered. 23
24
25
- Site Health and Safety Matrix - Health and safety protocols that remain the same for all IRDPs will be provided. 26
27
28
- Quality Assurance/Quality Control - Outline requirements for roles and responsibilities, standard operating procedures, document control, change notices, sampling and analyses will be outlined. 29
30
31
32
- Access Controls - The appropriate access controls to support soil remediation will be identified. 33
34
35
- Operation and Maintenance - Guidelines for performing operations and maintenance will be described for managing equipment, storage/staging areas, performing dust suppression, and implementing erosion and storm water controls will be established. 36
37
38
39
- Excavation Monitoring - General monitoring requirements for air, noise, and surface water (NPDES) will be identified to meet environmental and regulatory standards, consistent with the IEMP. 40
41
42
43
- Regulatory considerations - The compliance strategy for ARARs, site agreements, and other regulatory criteria that may impact procedures for conducting remediation will be identified. 44
45
46
47

- **Baseline Grading** - The guidelines for site grading will be established to control surface runoff after remediation. These guidelines will serve as the basis for developing final land use options, wetland mitigation, and associated institutional controls.
- **Technology Studies** - Potential use of technology studies will be addressed, based on the results of the technology report that is discussed in Section 4.2.1.

4.2.3 Integrated Remedial Design Packages

The IRDPs will be prepared for individual areas or a combination of the remediation areas shown in Figure 4-1. Each of these packages will provide area-specific information that is not addressed in the SEP, but is nonetheless necessary to conduct remediation. Each package will include an area-specific implementation plan, design drawings, and specifications. The general content of an IRDP is listed below.

Implementation Plan:

- Schedule of remedial activities
- Scope of work and boundaries of the area, including areas of remediation
- Summary of existing RI data and/or process knowledge to perform remediation
- Summary of subsurface conditions, if necessary
- Summary of known extent of contamination
- Anticipated excavation boundaries
- Area-specific access control requirements
- Excavation control elements
- Erosion and surface water control

Design Drawings:

- Site preparation and temporary facilities location
- Excavation plan and cross-sections
- Storm water control elements
- Erosion and sediment control
- Grading plan
- Decontamination facility utilities to be saved/removed
- Survey monuments

Specifications:

- General conditions
 - Summary of work
 - Submittal schedule
 - Health and safety requirements
 - Mobilization and site access

- Quality assurance/quality control requirements 1
- Management of impacted material 2
- Construction-related items 3
 - Dust control measures 4
 - Erosion control measures 5
 - Excavation requirements 6
 - Demolition requirements 7
 - Dewatering requirements 8
 - Waste handling/disposition 9
 - Restoration 10
 - Process piping. 11

The submittal schedule of all design deliverables, including the SEP and the Technology Report, is summarized in Table 4-1. Each IRDP is listed in the sequence that remediation is anticipated to begin under the 10-year scenario, and as integration with other operable unit's schedules dictate. As indicated in Table 4-1, individual IRDPs will be submitted for more than one area in some instances. A summary level description of the remediation areas associated with each IRDP submittal follows.

Area 1, Phase I

The Area 1, Phase I RA Work Plan will present the approach and methods that will be employed to excavate and certify that final remediation levels are achieved for the pertinent areas. This is being submitted before the SEP because of the time constraints imposed to support initial construction of the OSDF; relocation of the North Access Road; and construction of the Operable Unit 1 rail yard north of the former production area. This work plan will include drawings and specifications similar to the IRDPs. Because this document is being submitted before the SEP, it will also include pertinent site-wide information that will later be incorporated into the SEP, such as ARARs and environmental monitoring.

Area 2, Phase I

Remediation of this area consists of excavating all residual soil beneath the southern Operable Unit 2 waste units that exceed the final remediation levels. The waste units consist of the South Field and the Active and Inactive Flyash Piles. Submittal of the associated remedial design package is governed by the schedule in the *Final Remedial Design Work Plan for Remedial Actions at Operable Unit 2* (DOE 1995b). However, remediation of this area will be conducted by the Soil Remediation Project.

Area 1, Phase II

Area 1, Phase II includes excavation of soil and debris that will remain after D&D of the sewage treatment plant. Portions of the surrounding area may require deep (greater than 4 feet) excavation. This design package will be submitted as a prefinal deliverable.

Area 3

Remediation of Area 3 requires removal of soil and debris that exceed the final remediation level following D&D by Operable Unit 3 of structures within the northern portion of the former production area; the fire training facility will be remediated with Area 3. Deep excavation (i.e., greater than 4 feet) is anticipated in portions of Area 3 and the fire training facility. The Area 3 design package will be submitted as a prefinal deliverable.

Areas 4 and 5

The scope of Areas 4 and 5 includes remediating residual soil and debris subsequent to decontamination and demolition for the middle portion of the former production area (Operable Unit 3). Deep excavation (i.e., greater than 4 feet) is anticipated in portions of this area. Area 5 will include remediation of the storm water retention basin. The Area 4 and 5 design package will be submitted as a prefinal deliverable.

Areas 6 and 7; Area 1, Phase III; and Area 2, Phase II

Areas 6 and 7 consist of the soil and debris remaining after removal of the Operable Unit 1 waste pits and Operable Unit 4 silos. Area 1, Phase III includes shallow excavation of the wetlands just north of the Area 6 northern boundary line; contamination is expected to be limited to the vicinity of the railroad tracks. Area 2, Phase II consists of suspect areas of contamination within Area 2 but outside the Operable Unit 2 waste unit boundaries (Area 2, Phase I). This design package will be submitted as a prefinal deliverable.

4.3 PLAN FOR REVIEW AND FINALIZATION OF DESIGN DELIVERABLES

The DOE will formally address all EPA and OEPA comments on the design deliverables through the submittal of a comment response document within 30 days of receipt of the agencies' comments. Comments will be incorporated into each design document, although revisions will not be formally submitted for the IRDPs. Submittal dates are summarized in Table 4-1. If a remediation area is

determined to provide unique or unanticipated remediation challenges, DOE may request a formal preliminary review for a design deliverable not already considered in this RD work plan.

4.4 COMMENCEMENT OF REMEDIAL ACTION

The design deliverables proposed in this RD Work Plan establish that the schedule for soil and groundwater remediation meets with the requirements under CERCLA [Section 120(e)(2)] for commencing substantial and continuous remedial action within 15 months of the ROD approval. Remedial actions are already underway for aquifer restoration that will comply with the 15 month criteria and will continue as additional actions are implemented under the Remedial Action Work Plan for aquifer restoration (Section 3). Soil remedial actions will commence and continue with the schedules for remedial actions to be identified in the individual IRDPs.

5.0 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

5.1 ORGANIZATION

The Amended Consent Agreement places ultimate project management responsibility with the DOE and the EPA. In addition, the OEPA has been granted regulatory authority over certain RCRA activities. Each agency has engaged contractors to perform identified scopes of work related to their prime areas of responsibility for site remediation.

The DOE will provide overall programmatic direction to FERMCO during remedial design and remedial action, as defined in the Amended Consent Agreement. In October 1995 FERMCO realigned into project organizations, as stated in Section 1.3. This realignment was undertaken to permit the more efficient completion of FEMP site-wide RD/RA obligations. It is important to emphasize that the realignment does not alter the requirements of the FEMP's Records of Decision. Organizing in recognition of "the way the work will be performed" fosters improved communication and project integration.

Five fully integrated project organizations were established to focus upon successfully planning and executing remedial activities for discrete segments of the total project scope. The five projects and their respective scopes of work are listed below.

Waste Pits Remedial Action Project

Completion of remedial actions for the excavation, drying (as required), loading and rail transport of the contents of Waste Pits 1-6, the burn pit and the Clearwell to an off-site disposal facility makes up this scope of work. This project also has responsibility for the off-site disposal of contaminated soil and debris that exceed the waste acceptance criteria for the OSDF.

Facilities D&D Project

This work scope includes completion of the decontamination (as necessary) and dismantlement of the above-grade portion of the former uranium processing facilities and remedial action facilities.

Fernald Residues Vitrification Plant Project

Completion of remedial actions for the contents of Silos 1-3, including the removal, vitrification and transport of the inventoried residues for off-site disposal, makes up this scope of work.

Soil Remediation Project

This project is now responsible for completion of remedial actions to address contaminated soil at the FEMP and miscellaneous waste units including the flyash piles, lime sludge ponds and the solid waste landfill and for the design and construction of the OSDF. Also included in this project is the excavation/removal of building foundations, roadways and underground utilities and piping systems and site-wide restoration activities.

Aquifer Restoration Project

Completion of activities necessary to restore the water quality in the affected portions of the Great Miami Aquifer including the pumping, treating, reinjecting, and discharging of extracted groundwater makes up this scope of work. Also included is the design, construction and operation of all wastewater, storm water and drinking water holding, conveyance, treatment and discharge systems at the FEMP. This project will continue to maintain responsibility for all site-wide fate and transport modeling and groundwater monitoring.

The most significant departure from the previous organization is the formation of the Soil Remediation and Aquifer Restoration projects. Consolidation of soil excavation activities and the design of the OSDF within one project organization was considered appropriate given the many interrelated logistical and technical considerations confronting these two segments of the FEMP cleanup process. Such an alignment promotes the evolution of a more cohesive remedial program for the FEMP.

A FERMC O project director has been assigned responsibility for the execution of each of the five project organizations. A FERMC O vice president provides overall programmatic guidance to the FERMC O project directors. Each project director is responsible for the preparation of RA design deliverables and for ensuring that site-wide quality standards are maintained within the project. The support divisions provide a multidisciplinary team of personnel to the project on an as-needed basis. This may range from a simple point of contact (such as the procurement, safety, and quality control representatives) to a full department (such as Environmental, Engineering, or Construction).

5.2 COMMUNITY RELATIONS

The FEMP's Community Relations Plan complies with the public participation requirements of all applicable laws and regulations, including CERCLA, the Federal Facilities Compliance Act, the National Environmental Policy Act and the NCP, and also reflects EPA guidance from Community Relations in Superfund: A Handbook (EPA 1992a). The Plan provides details about how management will involve the public in decisions related to the site during the remedial action phase of CERCLA response actions at the FEMP. Required activities are to:

- Provide a public briefing upon completion of the final engineering design and before the beginning of the remedial action [NCP 300.435]
- Publish in a local newspaper of general distribution a Notice of Availability of documents submitted to the EPA under the remedial action [DOE commitment/directive].

When practicable, the DOE has and will continue to offer public involvement opportunities — surpassing regulatory requirements — throughout the remedial action phase of site cleanup.

Throughout the duration of FEMP remediation activities, the Community Relations Plan may be revised to reflect changing community concerns as well as changes in the law, regulations or regulatory agreements.

REFERENCES

Craig, J. R., U.S. Dept. of Energy-Fernald, June 12, 1995, [Letter to J. A. Saric, U.S. EPA, and T. A. Schneider, OEPA, Subject: Compliance with Permit-Related Substantive Regulatory Requirements for Fernald Environmental Management Project Remedial Actions].

U.S. Dept. of Energy, 1995a, "Feasibility Study Report for Operable Unit 5," Final, Fernald Environmental Management Project, DOE, Fernald Area Office, Cincinnati, OH.

U.S. Dept. of Energy, 1995b, "Final Remedial Design Work Plan for Remedial Actions at Operable Unit 2," Fernald Environmental Management Project, DOE, Fernald Area Office, Cincinnati, OH.

U.S. Dept. of Energy, 1995c, "Proposed Plan for Operable Unit 5," Final, Fernald Environmental Management Project, DOE, Fernald Area Office, Cincinnati, OH.

U.S. Dept. of Energy, 1996, "Record of Decision for Remedial Actions at Operable Unit 5," Final, Fernald Environmental Management Project, DOE, Fernald Area Office, Cincinnati, OH.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1986, "Superfund Remedial Design and Remedial Action Guidance," OSWER Directive 9355.0-4A, EPA, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, DC.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990a, "Guidance on EPA Oversight of Remedial Designs and Remedial Actions Performed by Potentially Responsible Parties," Interim final, EPA/540/G-90/001, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, DC.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990b, "Guidance on Expediting Remedial Design and Remedial Action," EPA/540/G-90/006, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, DC.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1992a, "Community Relations in Superfund: A Handbook," Directive 9230.0.03C, EPA, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, DC.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1992b, "General Methods for Remedial Operation Performance Evaluations," EPA/600/T-92/002, Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory, Ada, OK.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36