

310

4-408.10  
7

**OU 4 VITRIFICATION PILOT PLANT TREATABILITY STUDY WORK PLAN**

06/07/96

USEPA      DOE-FN  
4  
COMMENTS



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5  
77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD  
CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

310

LDJ - 2162

JUN 10 10 22 AM '96

FILE:

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF:

JUN 9 7 1996

Mr. Johnny W. Reising  
United States Department of Energy  
Feed Materials Production Center  
P.O. Box 398705  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45239-8705

SRF-5J

RE: OU 4 Vitrification  
Pilot Plant  
Treatability Study  
Work Plan

Dear Mr. Reising:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) has completed its review of the United States Department of Energy's (U.S. DOE) Responses to Comments (RTC) on the Operable Unit (OU) 4 Vitrification Pilot Plant phase I treatability study work plan.

Attached are U.S. EPA's comments on the work plan. U.S. EPA hand delivered draft comments to U. S. DOE on May 29, 1996. U.S. DOE sent, via facsimile, responses to U. S. EPA's comments on June 3, 1996. A subsequent conference call was held between U.S. DOE and U.S. EPA on June 5, 1996, discussing the comments and their resolution.

As a result of those meetings U.S. EPA hereby approves the RTC pending incorporation of the responses, sent via facsimile and discussed at the meeting, into the revised work plan.

U.S. DOE must submit a revised final work plan within thirty (30) days receipt of this letter.

(akgundv:ln)  
partial  
action response  
to p-0816 DOE-0889-96  
(4738)

Please contact me at (312) 886-0992 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,



James A. Saric  
Remedial Project Manager  
Federal Facilities Section  
SFD Remedial Response Branch #2

Enclosure

cc: Tom Schneider, OEPA-SWDO  
Jack Baublitz, U.S. DOE-HDQ  
John Bradburne, FERMCO  
Charles Little, FERMCO  
Terry Hagen, FERMCO  
Michael Yates, FERMCO



Commenting Organization: U.S. EPA

Commentor: Saric

Section #: 10.1

Page #: 10-2

Line #: 6 - 27

DOE Response #: 4 (Original Specific Comment #: 19)

Comment: The original specific comment states that the list of waste streams is incomplete and should be revised to include building sump effluent, cooling tower blowdown, used desiccant, and any other waste stream. DOE's response indicates that those waste streams will not be added to the work plan because they are not constituents of liquid effluents in pipelines. DOE should include characterization information for these waste streams because these waste streams contribute to discharge concentrations from the advanced wastewater treatment system.