

-- 2647

**Identification and Control of
Invasive Plant Species**

1999 Annual Report

November 18, 1999

Prepared By:

**Brian C. McCarthy, Ph.D.
Department of Environmental and Plant Biology
Ohio University
Athens, Ohio 45701-2979**

000001

The purpose of this research was to: (1) identify all vascular plant species present in the North Woodlot of the Fernald Environmental Management Project, (2) assess which species were non-indigenous and to evaluate their threat via relative abundance, (3) conduct a literature search for control methods, and (4) implement a 3-year experimental study on species control.

The design of this research called for a four-year timeline with two major phases. Phase-I (Objectives 1 and 2) was to be completed in the first year (1998) and was directed primarily at the identification and assessment of invasive species along with site reconnaissance and refinement of experimental plans for Phase-II (1999-2001). Phase-II (Objectives 3 and 4) was to begin in the second year and continue through the end of the fourth year.

The 1998 Annual Report (McCarthy 1998) provided the baseline information regarding Phase-I with an overview of the major habitats, soils, and vegetation-environment relationships on the North Woodlot. I considered it prudent to continue the floristic survey for a second field season and provide an update on the flora and final list of vascular plant species encountered over the 2-year period. However, most of the effort during 1999 consisted of initiating Phase-II and establishing the primary field experiment to study the control and ecological effects of Amur honeysuckle.

METHODS, PROGRESS, and RESULTS

Floristic Analysis: Final Report

The floristic analysis is now complete as of the writing of this annual report. The author and Ohio University doctoral student Darrin L. Rubino visited the study area for 2-day periods on April 9, June 3, July 8, and August 16, 1999. Because of administrative reasons, the project began late in 1998, so we were particularly concerned with re-examining the vegetation for spring ephemerals. During each field visit in 1998 and 1999, we systematically walked most of the study area. We made every effort to cover all major habitat types in as many geographic areas as possible. A voucher specimen was collected for every plant identified. Most identifications were made in the field with fresh material. All specimens were pressed, dried, mounted, and deposited as vouchers in the Bartley Herbarium of Ohio University.

000002

As in the previous year, for each species encountered, we coded its presence to as many of the five previously defined habitats as applicable. We also used a relative abundance scale (Palmer et. al. 1995) to rate the relative abundance of each species (1 = rare to 5 = abundant). The abundance rating is provided for the entire study area and was not sub-divided by habitat. See McCarthy (1998) for a full description of the habitats and abundance scores. The habitat and abundance ratings are important because they provide considerable additional ecological information that may be useful for environmental management decisions. A non-indigenous plant with an abundance of 1 is ecologically very different than one with a rating of 5, yet both just show up as a single line entry on a flora.

Taxonomic nomenclature for this investigation follows Gleason and Cronquist (1991) along with the companion manual (Holmgren 1998). The scope of the investigation was limited to vascular plant species. Thus, we limited ourselves to the Divisions Lycopodiophyta (lycopods), Equisetophyta (horsetails), Polypodiophyta (ferns & allies), Pinophyta (pines), and Magnoliophyta (flowering plants). The Ohio flora volumes were used as a secondary reference source (because it is not yet complete) and included Braun (1961), Braun (1967), Fisher (1988), and Cooperrider (1995). Gleason and Cronquist (1991) was also used to determine native ranges and to classify a species as indigenous or non-indigenous.

The primary product of a flora is the checklist and associated summary statistics (Table 1). At the end of this study, we discovered a total of 332 taxa (282 in 1998, 50 in 1999) in 203 genera and 75 families. "Taxa" are used in place of "species" because we occasionally have more than one varietal form of the same species or have a hybrid. The two largest families were the aster family (Asteraceae, 45 taxa) and the grass family (Poaceae, 42). Moderately large families (i.e., ≥ 10 taxa) included the following: rose (Rosaceae, 22), sedge (Cyperaceae, 18), mustard (Brassicaceae, 15), bean (Fabaceae, 12), and smartweed (Polygonaceae, 12). Most of these families tend to be herbaceous in nature.

Of the 332 taxa, 30.5 percent are non-indigenous. A proportion this high is virtually unheard of for a natural area; however, not particularly unusual for areas that have a history of heavy anthropogenic disturbance, motorized vehicle traffic, and cattle grazing. Most local floras, with "moderate" disturbance regimes, usually contain 20 percent non-indigenous species. The proportion of non-indigenous species varies greatly among families. The mustards have 74 percent non-indigenous taxa, grasses 43 percent, asters 29 percent, and sedges 0 percent. The highest proportions of non-indigenous species are located in the most heavily grazed meadow and trail areas. Removal of grazing animals and restricting motorized

vehicles to the gravel road should reduce this proportion over time. Trail mowing should be discouraged and habitats should be left undisturbed to proceed as naturally through succession as possible.

Of the non-natives, only several might be considered "invasive," although a number are problematic.

Amur honeysuckle is certainly a major pest species at this site and has dramatically influenced the understory of several stands of young thicket forest. Multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*) is problematic in the old-fields. Garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) has come in thickly (in patches) on the southern areas of the mature forest adjacent to Paddys Run. Tall fescue (*Festuca elatior*) is dominant in many of the old-fields and grassy meadows. Lady's thumb (*Polygonum persicaria*) is abundant in the wet and disturbed areas.

We added 50 (15 percent) new species during 1999, which is a bit unusual for a second field season of a floristic analysis. In fact, only 6 (ca. 2 percent) of the 15 percent represents previously undiscovered species from habitats examined in the previous year (i.e., not streambed). In 1999, the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) for the Cincinnati, OH region was ca. -3.0 (severe drought) for the entire latter half of the growing season (NOAA 1999). As a result, most of Paddys Run dried up except for the larger pools. The resulting exposed sand and gravel bars revealed a large number of ephemeral species characteristic of floodplain habitats that experience regular hydrological disturbance. In fact, we even discovered an agricultural species (an unidentified melon, Cucurbitae) that had become established in the riverbed. These riparian "disturbance species" have been added to the list of vascular species and may prove useful in subsequent riparian remediation projects.

We did not discover any State or Federally threatened or endangered species known to occur in Hamilton or Butler Counties (ODNR 1997). In 1998 we noted the perplexing lack of "lower" vascular plant species (ferns and fern allies). After performing an exhaustive search for members of these groups, we discovered an additional 3 taxa: sparse-lobed grape fern (*Botrychium biternatum*), northern adder's-tongue, (*Ophioglossum vulgatum* var. *pseudopodium*), and the toothed wood-fern (*Dryopteris carthusiana*). However, all three species remain a special interest because we found only 1-10 individuals (abundance rating = 1) of each for the entire site, and they were thus amongst the most locally rare taxa encountered. Only the ebony spleenwort (*Asplenium platyneuron*) has a sufficient population size to maintain itself. Special effort should be relegated in the future towards understanding the lack of ferns at the site and exploring possible remediation solutions. With the exception of one other species (red cedar, *Juniperus virginiana*), all remaining 327 species were flowering plants (Angiosperms).

One taxon deserves special mention because of the tenuousness of its identification and improbability. We discovered one mature oak (*Quercus*) tree in an old-field that did not key to any known local species and was clearly a hybrid. Hybrids are known to be abundant in this genus. The vegetative features (no reproductive material was available) of this taxon bore a very strong resemblance to a known natural hybrid, *Quercus* × *bushii*. This cross represents a natural hybrid between black oak (*Q. velutina*) and blackjack oak (*Q. marilandica*). The problem with this identification is that neither black oak nor blackjack oaks were ever encountered at Fernald. Black oak is relatively common in Hamilton and Butler Counties, but blackjack oak is known only from several of the southernmost counties in Ohio (Adams, Gallia, Highland, Ross, and Scioto). Several possibilities exist: (1) this is an extremely unusual specimen of black oak, (2) it represents a previously undescribed hybrid from species present at Fernald, (3) the specimen originated as a long distance dispersal event from southern counties. In any event, it is a valid taxon to appear on the floristic list due to its uniqueness, and we had enough information to not warrant it being listed as unidentified ("sp."). We are quite confident of the identification of the other hybrid oak we encountered (*Quercus* × *runcinata*) because both parent species are present on the site and the characters of the specimen fit well with descriptions of this hybrid.

Given our sampling effort, we are fairly certain that we have recovered 95+ percent of the vascular plant species in the study area at FEMP. Because of chance encounter events not every species will be found. Migration, local extinction, herbivory, and ultimately sampling intensity always limit any checklist (Palmer et. al. 1995).

Experimental Study of Amur Honeysuckle

Phase-II of this research was designed to conduct a 3-year study of control methods for the invasive Amur honeysuckle (*Lonicera maackii*) and investigate how the native understory of hardwood species might best be restored (both ecologically and economically). Here we report on the initial design and implementation of this experiment and present preliminary results from the first field season.

Without question, Amur honeysuckle is the most problematic invasive species in southwestern Ohio. This species has come to dominate the understory and midstory of many hardwood stands both locally at FEMP, and regionally. Numerous studies have shown that this plant can reduce the natural germination, recruitment, and growth of native trees and herbs (Luken 1990, Nyboer 1992, Whelan 1992, Luken and Goessling 1995, Luken and Thieret 1996, Hutchinson and Vankat 1997). The net result is an overall

reduction in biodiversity, both locally and regionally, as well as disruption to a variety of ecosystem functions (Luken and Mattimiro 1991, Luken et. al. 1997).

There were three specific goals of this experiment: (1) to evaluate the efficacy of methods to control Amur honeysuckle (2) to compare the survival and growth of six species of native tree seedlings planted in plots where honeysuckle was subjected to different eradication measures, and (3) to evaluate the effectiveness of plastic browse tubes to control deer herbivory on planted 1-year tree seedlings.

This experiment was established as a completely randomized block design. This is the most appropriate design when dealing with heterogeneous forest conditions. Eight 5.5 x 13.5 m blocks were established throughout two stands on the eastern side of the North Woodlot. Each block was then fenced with two strands of barbwire below 1 m to exclude cattle but not deer. Each block was sub-divided in to three equal sized plots and treatments were randomly assigned to each plot. The treatments consisted of an experimental "control" (no manipulation of honeysuckle), and two eradication treatments, "cut" (honeysuckle was cut at ground level and removed from the plots, then stumps were painted with herbicide), and "injection" (honeysuckle was killed in plots via herbicide injection, but left standing *in situ*). In each treatment plot, ten seedlings of the following six species of native trees were planted: Chinquapin oak (*Quercus muehlenbergii*), black walnut (*Juglans nigra*), black cherry (*Prunus serotina*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), flowering dogwood (*Cornus florida*), and redbud (*Cercis canadensis*). All six species are known from the flora of the Northern Woodlot (see appendix for species list); therefore, these species were appropriate selections for restoration efforts at FEMP. Deer browse tubes were added to half of the seedlings in each plot. As per FEMP regulations, the maintenance crew applied the cut and injection treatments to the appropriate plots (March 24, 1999) and planted the seedlings (March 24, 1999 to March 30, 1999). The crew also staked and applied the browse tubes to the tree seedlings (March 31, 1999 to April 13, 1999).

To determine if honeysuckle was equally abundant in all plots prior to treatment application, we constructed a regression model from plants harvested on site. Basal diameter was able to explain a significant portion of the variance in biomass (N=32 plants, $R^2 = 0.99$). Thus, basal diameter measurements were collected for all honeysuckle plants in all treatment plots. Subsequently, an analysis of variance indicated that there was an equivalent biomass of honeysuckle in all blocks and all treatment plots ($P > 0.10$). Thus, we were able to confirm at the beginning of the experiment that there were no pretreatment differences in honeysuckle biomass that needed to be considered prior to implementation of the design.

Within blocks, all honeysuckle plants were tagged to facilitate subsequent monitoring of treatment efficacy and evaluation of any new honeysuckle recruits (untagged) in to the blocks. All tree seedlings were also provided with a numbered aluminum tag to monitor individual survival, growth, and recruitment. Initial height and diameter of all tree seedlings were measured at the beginning of the experiment to determine if seedlings were homogenous in size distributions across all blocks and plots. Tree seedlings were found not to differ significantly ($P < 0.10$) among blocks or plots.

A number of microenvironmental factors were also analyzed to understand the variation among blocks and conditions affecting the tree seedlings in treatment plots. Soils were assessed for moisture, nitrate nitrogen, and pH. All soil analyses were conducted at the Forest Ecology Laboratory at Ohio University using the methods of McCarthy (1997). Soil moisture was examined three times during the growing season (May 30, June 25, and August 21, 1999). Percent soil moisture was determined gravimetrically. Some blocks were found to be significantly ($P < 0.05$) wetter than others. Nitrate nitrogen was sampled using Rexyn-300 resin enclosed in nylon mesh bags and buried in the A-horizon for 30 days. Nitrate was then extracted from the resin using 2M KCl and analyzed for nitrate concentration using a cadmium reduction protocol (McCarthy 1997). Like moisture, there was a significant ($P < 0.05$) block effect. The pH was determined by using a corning pH meter in a 1:1 soil and water solution. Likewise, pH exhibited a significant ($P < 0.001$) block effect. Humidity and air temperature were also determined inside and outside of browse tubes using a Corning Thermohygrometer. Both the humidity and temperature within browse tubes were found to be significantly ($P < 0.05$) greater than ambient. Understory light conditions were measured indirectly using hemispherical photography. Canopy images were captured on 35 mm film (July 30, 1999) using a hemispherical fisheye lens (8mm), digitized, and evaluated using the GLIC software of Canham (1988). This is one of the few methods that adequately describes both direct and indirect solar radiation available at ground level. A full description of the protocol can be found in Robison and McCarthy (1999). Light data have not yet been statistically analyzed.

The fact that microenvironmental differences can be found among plots confirms the necessity and appropriateness of the randomized complete block design. The effect of block can be removed from the model prior to the statistical evaluation of treatment effects.

Mortality of honeysuckle in the two treatment plots was found to be 99 percent at the end of the growing season. There was no significant ($P > 0.10$) difference between the two eradication methods. Thus, given its expediency and cost effectiveness, injection appears to be the best economical means of honeysuckle control, particularly for large plants. Small plants may still require a cut and herbicide approach.

In addition to the initial measurements, the 1,440 seedlings were monitored at two other times during the growing season to assess survivorship (May 27, 1999 and October 10, 1999). We examined each seedling, determined whether it was alive or dead (via a top-kill designation) and noted mode of mortality if relevant. By the end of the first growing season, seedling mortality was 49.8 percent. This was higher than expected and was primarily due to the severe drought that seedlings were exposed to in the middle and end of the growing season (PDSI values ≤ -3). We also noted differential survival among blocks, treatments, species, and tubed seedlings. The differences among blocks can be attributed to environmental heterogeneity. Survival of seedlings was greatest in the cut plots (57.4 percent), intermediate in the injection plots (53.1 percent), and lowest in the control plots (40.4 percent); however, there was no significant difference between the two treatment methods. This indicates that the primary difference is that the removal treatments (collectively) differ from the controls (1 df F-test). There was differential survival of the species as well: green ash (85.0 percent), chinquapin oak (60.4 percent), black walnut (54.2 percent), black cherry (47.9 percent), redbud (36.3 percent), flowering dogwood (15.0 percent). The survival of redbud was low because of poor handling at the beginning of the experiment (evidenced by immediate apical bud necrosis). Most redbuds were subsequently able to re-sprout from their bases, but then most died in the latter half of the summer when the severity of the drought increased. The survival of dogwood was also low due to transplant shock and droughty conditions. These two slower growing, midstory species may require more care in establishment than species with overstory potential.

Overall, survival was greater in non-tubed vs. tubed seedlings (55.6 vs. 44.3 percent). However, the survival of species in tubes was species dependent. For this field season, the survival frequencies of green ash and chinquapin oak were greatest when tubed, while the survival frequencies of redbud, dogwood, black walnut, and black cherry were greater in non-tubed conditions. The overall frequency of deer browsing for non-tubed seedlings was 12.4 percent. However, to be cautious, what is important is the amount of grazing over the 3-year period of the experiment and survival in the sapling size class. The frequency of browsing was related only to species and not to block or treatment. The frequency of browsing by species was: redbud (21.7 percent), dogwood (18.3 percent), black cherry (16.7 percent), chinquapin oak (13.3 percent), green ash (2.5 percent), black walnut (1.7 percent).

In addition to the initial morphometric measurements, we measured height growth (mm) and basal diameter (0.1 mm) on the 1,440 seedlings again on October 10, 1999. The effect of block was significant for height growth, but not for diameter growth. Height growth was not significantly ($P > 0.10$) affected by treatment or tube; however, species was important ($P < 0.05$). Generally, all species grew 4-7 mm in

height during the growing season with the one exception of ash, which exhibited a mean growth of 15.8 mm. Diameter growth ranged from 0.14 to 0.54 mm. Diameter growth was not significantly ($P > 0.10$) influenced by block, treatment, tube, or species.

Conclusions: First Year After Honeysuckle Removal

Overall, we found a distinct treatment effect for survival, but not for growth. Seedling survival was greatest in the plots where honeysuckle had been removed. Removal of honeysuckle should promote increased survival of woody seedlings in the understory. Browsing by deer was relatively low (ca. 12 percent). Most seedling mortality was caused by drought. Browse tubes actually decreased the survival of some species. Green ash was the strongest with respect to height growth. Additional time will be required to determine if these patterns persist. Monitoring over the next 2 years will permit us to assess the meso-term success of treatments, browse tubes, and species selections for subsequent restoration efforts.

LITERATURE CITED

- Braun, E.L. 1961. The woody plants of Ohio. The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, OH.
- Braun, E.L. 1967. The Monocotyledonae: cat-tails to orchids. The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, OH.
- Canham, C.D. 1988. An index for understory light levels in and around canopy gaps. *Ecology* 69:1634-1638.
- Cooperrider, T.S. 1995. The Dicotyledonae of Ohio. Part 2. Linaceae through Campanulaceae. The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, OH.
- Fisher, T.R. 1988. The Dicotyledonae of Ohio. Part 3. Asteraceae. The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, OH.
- Gleason, H.A. and A. Cronquist. 1991. Manual of vascular plants of northeastern United States and adjacent Canada. The New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, NY.
- Holmgren, N.H. 1998. Illustrated companion to Gleason and Cronquist's manual. The New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, NY.
- Hutchinson, T.F., and J.L. Vankat. 1997. Invasibility and effects of Amur honeysuckle in southwestern Ohio forests. *Conservation Biology* 11:1117-1124.
- Luken, J.O. 1990. Forest and pasture communities respond differently to cutting of exotic Amur honeysuckle (Kentucky). *Restoration and Management Notes* 8:122-123.
- Luken, J.O., and N. Goessling. 1995. Seedling distribution and potential persistence of the exotic shrub *Lonicera maackii* in fragmented forests. *American Midland Naturalist* 133:124-130.
- Luken, J.O., and D.T. Mattimiro. 1991. Habitat-specific resilience of the invasive shrub Amur honeysuckle (*Lonicera maackii*) during repeated clipping. *Ecological Applications* 1:104-109.
- Luken, J.O., J.W. Thieret. 1996. Amur honeysuckle, its fall from grace. *BioScience* 46:18-24.

Luken, J.O., L.M. Kuddes, and T.C. Tholemeier. 1997. Response of understory species to gap formation and soil disturbance in *Lonicera maackii* thickets. *Restoration Ecology* 5:229-235.

McCarthy, B.C. 1997. Lab protocols for the testing of eastern deciduous forest soils.

<http://www.plantbio.ohiou.edu/epb/soils/soils.htm>

McCarthy, B.C. 1998. Identification and Control of Invasive Plant Species. Annual report submitted to Fernald Environmental Management Project, Cincinnati, OH.

National Climatic Data Center. 1999. Climate Division: Precipitation, Temperature, and Drought Data.

<http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/onlineprod/drought/main.html>

Nyboer, R. 1992. Vegetation management guideline: bush honeysuckles-tatarian, Morrow's, belle, and Amur honeysuckle (*Lonicera tatarica*, L., *L. morrowii* Gray, *L. x bella* Zabel, and *L. maackii* (Rupr.) Maxim.). *Natural Areas Journal* 12:218-219.

Ohio Department of Natural Resources. 1997. Division of Natural Areas and Preserves, Ohio Natural Heritage Data Base, Butler County. <http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/odnr/dnap/heritage/counties/butler.html>

Palmer, M.W., G.L. Wade, and P. Neal. 1995. Standards for the writing of floras. *BioScience* 45:339-345.

Robison, S.A. and B.C. McCarthy. 1999. Potential factors affecting the estimation of light availability using hemispherical photography in oak forest understories. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 126:344-349.

Whelan, C.J. 1992. Invasive, exotic shrubs: a paradox for natural area managers? *Natural Areas Journal* 12:109-110.

Table 1. Summary statistics for the major taxonomic groups and proportion of non-indigenous species identified from the north woodlot at FEMP.

Division*	Families	Genera	Species	% Non-Ind.
Lycopodiophyta	0	0	0	---
Equisetophyta	0	0	0	---
Polypodiophyta	2	4	4	0
Pinophyta	1	1	1	0
Magnoliophyta				
Magnoliopsida	63	175	247	31
Liliopsida	9	23	80	31
Totals	75	203	332	30

- * Lycopodiophyta = lycopods
 Equisetophyta = horsetails
 Polypodiophyta = ferns
 Pinophyta = cone-bearing plants ("pines")
 Magnoliophyta = flowering plants
 Magnoliopsida = dicots
 Liliopsida = monocots

Taxa	Abundance Score ¹	Habitats Present ² D MM	OF	FEMP FT FO Identification	Indigenous/ Non-indigenous ³
<u>FERN AND FERN ALLIES</u>					
Aspleniaceae					
<i>Asplenium platyneuron</i> (L.) Oakes	2		+	FEMP 111 MR	IND
<i>Dryopteris carthusiana</i> (Villars) H. P. Fuchs.	1			+ FEMP 337 MR	IND
Ophioglossaceae					
<i>Botrychium biternatum</i> (Savigny) Underw.	1			+ FEMP 400 MR	
<i>Ophioglossum vulgatum</i> var. <i>pseudopodium</i> (S. F. Blake) Farw.	1			+ FEMP 340 MR	IND
<u>GYMNOSPERMS</u>					
Cupressaceae					
<i>Juniperus virginiana</i> var. <i>virginiana</i> L.	4		+	+ FEMP 046 MR	IND
<u>ANGIOSPERMS</u>					
Aceraceae					
<i>Acer negundo</i> var. <i>negundo</i> L.	5		+	+ + FEMP 028 MR	IND
<i>Acer nigrum</i> Michx. f.	5			+ + FEMP 171 MR	IND
<i>Acer rubrum</i> L.	2	+	+	FEMP 176 MR	IND
<i>Acer saccharinum</i> L.	4	+	+	+ + FEMP 108 MR	IND
<i>Acer saccharum</i> Marshall	4			+ + FEMP 026 MR	IND
Amaranthaceae					
<i>Amaranthus arenicola</i> I. M. Johnst.	1	+		FEMP 301 MR	NON-IND
<i>Amaranthus spinosus</i> L.	2		+	FEMP 221 MR	NON-IND
Anacardiaceae					
<i>Rhus glabra</i> L.	2			+ FEMP 167 MR	IND
<i>Rhus typhina</i> L.	2			+ FEMP 174 MR	IND
<i>Toxicodendron radicans</i> (L.) Kuntze	5	+ +	+	+ + FEMP 336 MR	IND
Annonaceae					
<i>Asimina triloba</i> (L.) Dunal	1			+ FEMP 380 MR	IND

2647

Apiaceae

<i>Aethusa cynapium</i> L.	2			+	FEMP 153 MR	NON-IND
<i>Chaerophyllum procumbens</i> (L.) Crantz.	2	+	+	+	FEMP 025 MR	IND
<i>Conium maculatum</i> L.	2	+	+		FEMP 139 MR	NON-IND
<i>Cryptotaenia canadensis</i> (L.) DC	1			+	FEMP 095 MR	IND
<i>Daucus carota</i> L.	4	+	+		FEMP 212 MR	NON-IND
<i>Hydrocotyle americana</i> L.	3	+		+	FEMP 312 MR	IND
<i>Osmorhiza claytonii</i> (Michx.) C. B. Clarke	1			+	FEMP 034 MR	IND
<i>Pastinaca sativa</i> L.	3	+	+		FEMP 088 MR	NON-IND
<i>Sanicula canadensis</i> L.	1			+	FEMP 330 MR	IND
<i>Sanicula gregaria</i> E. Bickn.	1			+	FEMP 334 MR	IND
<i>Torilis japonica</i> (Houtt.) DC	1	+	+		FEMP 218 MR	NON-IND
<i>Zizia aurea</i> (L.) Koch	2	+		+	FEMP 360 MR	IND

Apocynaceae

<i>Apocynum cannabinum</i> L.	3			+	FEMP 238 MR	IND
-------------------------------	---	--	--	---	-------------	-----

Aristolochiaceae

<i>Asarum canadense</i> L.	1				FEMP 039 MR	IND
----------------------------	---	--	--	--	-------------	-----

Asclepiadaceae

<i>Asclepias incarnata</i> var. <i>incartata</i> L.	2			+	FEMP 276 MR	IND
<i>Asclepias syriaca</i> L.	2	+	+		FEMP 288 MR	IND
<i>Asclepias tuberosa</i> var. <i>interior</i> (Woodson) Shinnery	2			+	FEMP 186 MR	IND

Asteraceae

<i>Achillea millefolium</i> L.	3	+	+	+	FEMP 006 MR	NON-IND
<i>Ambrosia artemisiifolia</i> L.	4		+	+	FEMP 246 MR	IND
<i>Ambrosia trifida</i> var. <i>trifida</i> L.	1		+		FEMP 258 MR	IND
<i>Arctium minus</i> Schk.	3		+	+	FEMP 313 MR	NON-IND
<i>Arctium tomentosum</i> Miller	4	+	+		FEMP 242 MR	NON-IND
<i>Artemisia annua</i> L.	2	+			FEMP 387 MR	NON-IND
<i>Aster novae-angliae</i> L.	3	+	+		FEMP 271 MR	IND
<i>Aster patens</i> var. <i>phlogifolius</i> (Muhl.) Nees.	2		+		FEMP 275 MR	IND
<i>Aster paternus</i> Cronq.	4	+	+		FEMP 290 MR	IND
<i>Aster pilosus</i> var. <i>pilosus</i> Willd.	4		+	+	FEMP 277 MR	IND
<i>Aster racemosus</i> Elliott	2		+	+	FEMP 232 MR	IND
<i>Bidens discoidea</i> (T. & G.) Britton	2		+		FEMP 273 MR	IND
<i>Bidens frondosa</i> L.	3	+	+	+	FEMP 274 MR	IND
<i>Carduus nutans</i> L.	2		+		FEMP 136 MR	NON-IND

2647

000014

<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L.	3	+	+	+	FEMP 209 MR	NON-IND
<i>Cirsium discolor</i> (Muhl.) Sprengel	3	+		+	FEMP 239 MR	IND
<i>Cirsium muticum</i> Michx.	2		+		FEMP 287 MR	IND
<i>Conyza canadensis</i> var. <i>canadensis</i> (L.) Cronq.	3			+	FEMP 235 MR	IND
<i>Eclipta prostrata</i> (L.) L.	1	+			FEMP 267 MR	NON-IND
<i>Erigeron philadelphicus</i> L.	3	+	+	+	FEMP 084 MR	IND
<i>Erigeron pulchellus</i> var. <i>pulchellus</i> Michx.	1			+	FEMP 054 MR	IND
<i>Eupatorium altissimum</i> L.	1			+	FEMP 317 MR	IND
<i>Eupatorium perfoliatum</i> var. <i>perfoliatum</i> L.	2			+	FEMP 269 MR	IND
<i>Eupatorium rugosum</i> var. <i>rugosum</i> Houttuyn	5	+	+	+	FEMP 253 MR	IND
<i>Eupatorium serotinum</i> Michx.	2			+	FEMP 230 MR	IND
<i>Euthamia graminifolia</i> var. <i>graminifolia</i> (L.) Nutt.	4		+	+	FEMP 233 MR	IND
<i>Helianthus tuberosus</i> L.	2	+		+	FEMP 319 MR	IND
<i>Lactuca saligna</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 248 MR	NON-IND
<i>Lactuca serriola</i> var. <i>integrata</i> Gren. & Gordon	2			+	FEMP 292 MR	NON-IND
<i>Rudbeckia triloba</i> var. <i>triloba</i> L.	3		+		FEMP 263 MR	IND
<i>Senecio obovatus</i> Muhl.	1				FEMP 031 MR	IND
<i>Senecio glabellus</i> Poir.	1		+	+	FEMP 058 MR	IND
<i>Solidago juncea</i> Aiton	4			+	FEMP 237 MR	IND
<i>Solidago canadensis</i> var. <i>canadensis</i> L.	5			+	FEMP 281 MR	IND
<i>Solidago canadensis</i> var. <i>scabra</i> T. & G.	5			+	FEMP 282 MR	IND
<i>Sonchus arvensis</i> var. <i>glabrescens</i> (Guenther) Grab. & Wimmer	1	+		+	FEMP 143 MR	NON-IND
<i>Sonchus asper</i> (L.) Hill	1	+			FEMP 359 MR	NON-IND
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> Weber ex Wiggers	3	+		+	FEMP 002 MR	NON-IND
<i>Tragopogon pratensis</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 199 MR	NON-IND
<i>Vernonia gigantea</i> var. <i>gigantea</i> (Walter) Trel.	5			+	FEMP 241 MR	IND
<i>Verbesina alternifolia</i> (L.) Britton	2			+	FEMP 318 MR	IND
<i>Verbesina helianthoides</i> Michx.	2			+	FEMP 257 MR	IND
<i>Xanthium strumarium</i> var. <i>glabratum</i> (DC) Cronq.	4	+	+	+	FEMP 272 MR	IND

Balsaminaceae

<i>Impatiens capensis</i> Meerb.	2				FEMP 166 MR	IND
<i>Impatiens pallida</i> Nutt.	2	+			FEMP 208 MR	IND

Berberidaceae

<i>Podophyllum peltatum</i> L.	2	+			FEMP 024 MR	IND
--------------------------------	---	---	--	--	-------------	-----

Betulaceae

<i>Carpinus caroliniana</i> var. <i>caroliniana</i> Walter	1				FEMP 040 MR	IND
--	---	--	--	--	-------------	-----

Bignoniaceae

<i>Campsis radicans</i> (L.) Seemann	2	+		+	FEMP 215 MR	IND
--------------------------------------	---	---	--	---	-------------	-----

Boraginaceae

<i>Hackelia virginiana</i> (L.) I. M. Johnston	1			+	FEMP 259 MR	IND
--	---	--	--	---	-------------	-----

Brassicaceae

<i>Alliaria petiolata</i> (Bieb.) Cavara & Grande	4		+		+ + FEMP 020 MR	NON-IND
<i>Barbarea vulgaris</i> R. Br.	4		+ +	+	+ FEMP 045 MR	NON-IND
<i>Brassica nigra</i> L.	1		+		FEMP 353 MR	NON-IND
<i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> (L.) Medikus	2		+		FEMP 338 MR	NON-IND
<i>Cardamine concatenata</i> (Michx.) O. Schwarz	2				+ FEMP 339 MR	IND
<i>Cardamine hirsuta</i> L.	2				+ FEMP 335 MR	NON-IND
<i>Draba verna</i> L.	3		+		FEMP 060 MR	NON-IND
<i>Hesperis matronalis</i> L.	2		+		FEMP 356 MR	NON-IND
<i>Iodanthus pinnatifidus</i> (Michx.) Steudel	1			+	FEMP 094 MR	IND
<i>Lepidium campestre</i> (L.) R. Br.	3		+	+	FEMP 011 MR	NON-IND
<i>Lepidium virginicum</i> var. <i>virginicum</i> L.	1			+	FEMP 144 MR	IND
<i>Rorippa palustris</i> var. <i>fernaldiana</i> (Butters & Abbe) Stuckey	1			+	FEMP 348 MR	IND
<i>Rorippa sylvestris</i> (L.) Besser	1			+	FEMP 137 MR	NON-IND
<i>Sisymbrium officinale</i> (L.) Scop.	1			+	FEMP 141 MR	NON-IND
<i>Thlaspi arvense</i> L.	1			+	FEMP 138 MR	NON-IND

Caesalpiaceae

<i>Cercis canadensis</i> var. <i>canadensis</i> L.	1				+ FEMP 310 MR	IND
<i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> L.	3			+	+ + FEMP 123 MR	IND
<i>Gymnocladus dioica</i> (L.) K. Koch	2				+ + FEMP 041 MR	IND

Campanulaceae

<i>Campanula americana</i> L.	2		+		+ FEMP 345 MR	IND
<i>Lobelia inflata</i> L.	3		+		+ FEMP 264 MR	IND
<i>Lobelia siphilitica</i> var. <i>siphilitica</i> L.	3		+ +		FEMP 262 MR	IND

Caprifoliaceae

<i>Lonicera japonica</i> Thunb.	4		+	+	+ + FEMP 048 MR	NON-IND
<i>Lonicera maackii</i> (Rupr.) Maxim.	5			+	+ FEMP 017 MR	NON-IND
<i>Sambucus canadensis</i> var. <i>canadensis</i> L.	2				+ FEMP 216 MR	IND
<i>Viburnum prunifolium</i> L.	1				+ FEMP 013 MR	IND

2647

000016

Caryophyllaceae

<i>Cerastium vulgatum</i> L.	4	+	+	+	+ FEMP 008 MR	NON-IND
<i>Dianthus armeria</i> L.	2	+	+		FEMP 087 MR	NON-IND
<i>Saponaria officinalis</i> L.	1				+ FEMP 293 MR	NON-IND
<i>Silene latifolia</i> Poiret	2	+	+		FEMP 358 MR	NON-IND
<i>Stellaria media</i> (L.) Villars.	5	+			+ FEMP 009 MR	NON-IND

Chenopodiaceae

<i>Chenopodium album</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 303 MR	NON-IND
-----------------------------	---	---	--	--	-------------	---------

Clusiaceae

<i>Hypericum prolificum</i> L.	1			+	FEMP 350 MR	IND
<i>Hypericum punctatum</i> Lam.	1			+	FEMP 349 MR	IND

Commelinaceae

<i>Commelina communis</i> L.	3	+	+	+	FEMP 260 MR	NON-IND
------------------------------	---	---	---	---	-------------	---------

Convolvulaceae

<i>Calystegia sepium</i> (L.) R. Br.	3	+	+		FEMP 201 MR	IND
<i>Ipomoea hederacea</i> Jacq.	1	+			FEMP 352 MR	NON-IND
<i>Ipomoea lacunosa</i> L.	1			+	FEMP 244 MR	IND
<i>Ipomoea pandurata</i> (L.) G. Meyer	1			+	FEMP 236 MR	IND

Cornaceae

<i>Cornus drummondii</i> C. A. Meyer	4			+	+ FEMP 107 MR	IND
<i>Cornus racemosa</i> Lam.	2			+	+ FEMP 270 MR	IND

Cucurbitaceae

<i>Cucurbita</i> sp. (L.)	1	+			FEMP 389 MR	NON-IND
<i>Sicyos angulatus</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 355 MR	IND

Cyperaceae

<i>Carex albursina</i> Sheldon	1				+ FEMP 177 MR	IND
<i>Carex blanda</i> Dewey	1				+ FEMP 093 MR	IND
<i>Carex cephalophora</i> var. <i>cephalophora</i> Muhl.	1	+	+		FEMP 134 MR	IND
<i>Carex cristatella</i> Britton	2	+			FEMP 101 MR	IND
<i>Carex davisii</i> Schwein. & Torr.	1	+	+		FEMP 329 MR	IND
<i>Carex frankii</i> Kunth.	2	+			FEMP 321 MR	IND
<i>Carex granularis</i> Muhl.	1	+	+		FEMP 326 MR	IND
<i>Carex hystericina</i> Muhl.	1				+ FEMP 159 MR	IND

<i>Carex jorii</i> L. Bailey	2		+		FEMP 075 MR	IND
<i>Carex scoparia</i> Schk.	2	+		+	FEMP 320 MR	IND
<i>Carex shortiana</i> Dewey	2		+	+	FEMP 089 MR	IND
<i>Carex tribuloides</i> Wahlenb.	1		+	+	FEMP 328 MR	IND
<i>Carex vulpinoidea</i> var. <i>vulpinoidea</i> Michx.	3		+	+	FEMP 073 MR	IND
<i>Cyperus lancastris</i> Porter	2		+		FEMP 217 MR	IND
<i>Cyperus strigosus</i> L.	2		+	+	FEMP 213 MR	IND
<i>Eleocharis ovata</i> (Roth) Roemer & Schultes	2		+		FEMP 016 MR	IND
<i>Scirpus atrovirens</i> var. <i>atrovirens</i> Willd.	2		+	+	FEMP 181 MR	IND
<i>Scripus lineatus</i> Michx.	2		+	+	FEMP 071 MR	IND
Dioscoreaceae						
<i>Dioscorea villosa</i> L.	2		+		+	FEMP 315 MR IND
Dipsacaceae						
<i>Dipsacus sylvestris</i> Hudson	2		+		+	FEMP 210 MR NON-IND
Elaeagnaceae						
<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> L.	2		+		+	FEMP 047 MR NON-IND
<i>Elaeagnus multiflora</i> Thunb.	1				+	FEMP 283 MR NON-IND
Euphorbiaceae						
<i>Acalypha rhomboidea</i> Raf.	3				+	FEMP 286 MR IND
<i>Euphorbia dentata</i> Michx.	1		+			FEMP 297 MR IND
<i>Euphorbia maculata</i> L.	1		+			FEMP 256 MR IND
<i>Euphorbia nutans</i> Lagasca	1				+	FEMP 323 MR IND
Fabaceae						
<i>Amorpha fruticosa</i> L.	1				+	FEMP 255 MR IND
<i>Desmodium paniculatum</i> (L.) DC	2				+	FEMP 219 MR IND
<i>Lespedeza violacea</i> (L.) Pers.	3				+	FEMP 247 MR IND
<i>Medicago lupulina</i> L.	1		+			FEMP 327 MR NON-IND
<i>Melilotus abla</i> Medikus	1		+			FEMP 361 MR NON-IND
<i>Melilotus officinalis</i> (L.) Pallas	2				+	FEMP 133 MR NON-IND
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	2				+	FEMP 131 MR IND
<i>Trifolium campestre</i> Schreber	2		+		+	FEMP 063 MR NON-IND
<i>Trifolium dubium</i> Sibth.	3		+			FEMP 065 MR NON-IND
<i>Trifolium hybridum</i> L.	2		+		+	FEMP 085 MR NON-IND
<i>Trifolium pratense</i> L.	3		+		+	FEMP 064 MR NON-IND
<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.	4		+		+	FEMP 067 MR NON-IND

2647

000018

Fagaceae

<i>Quercus alba</i> L.	3			+ + FEMP 162 MR	IND
<i>Quercus bicolor</i> Willd.	4			+ + FEMP 173 MR	IND
<i>Quercus x bushii</i> Sarg.	1		+	FEMP 351 MR	IND
<i>Quercus imbricaria</i> Michx.	3		+	+ + FEMP 117 MR	IND
<i>Quercus muehlenbergii</i> Engelm.	4			+ + FEMP 118 MR	IND
<i>Quercus rubra</i> L.	3			+ + FEMP 170 MR	IND
<i>Quercus x runcinata</i> (A. DC) Engelm.	1		+	FEMP 304 MR	IND
<i>Quercus shumardii</i> Buckley	3			+ + FEMP 126 MR	IND

Fumariaceae

<i>Corydalis flavula</i> (Raf.) DC	2			+ + FEMP 042 MR	IND
<i>Dicentra cucullaria</i> (L.) Bernh.	2			+ FEMP 038 MR	IND

Hippocastanaceae

<i>Aesculus glabra</i> var. <i>glabra</i> Willd.	2			+ + FEMP 022 MR	IND
--	---	--	--	-----------------	-----

Hydrophyllaceae

<i>Phacelia purshii</i> Buckley	2		+	+ FEMP 081 MR	IND
---------------------------------	---	--	---	---------------	-----

Iridaceae

<i>Sisyrinchium angustifolium</i> Miller	1		+	FEMP 103 MR	IND
--	---	--	---	-------------	-----

Juglandaceae

<i>Carya cordiformis</i> (Wangenh.) K. Koch	3			+ + FEMP 179 MR	IND
<i>Carya glabra</i> (Miller) Sweet	2			+ + FEMP 152 MR	IND
<i>Carya laciniosa</i> (Michx. f.) Nutt.	5			+ + FEMP 148 MR	IND
<i>Carya ovata</i> (Miller) K. Koch	1			+ FEMP 314 MR	IND
<i>Juglans nigra</i> L.	5			+ + FEMP 130 MR	IND

Juncaceae

<i>Juncus tenuis</i> var. <i>tenuis</i> Willd.	5		+ +	+ FEMP 083 MR	IND
--	---	--	-----	---------------	-----

Lamiaceae

<i>Agastache nepetoides</i> (L.) Kuntze	1		+	FEMP 185 MR	IND
<i>Glechoma hederacea</i> L.	4		+ +	+ + FEMP 004 MR	NON-IND
<i>Lamium purpureum</i> L.	4		+ +	+ FEMP 005 MR	NON-IND
<i>Mentha arvensis</i> var. <i>canadensis</i> (L.) Kuntze	3			+ FEMP 265 MR	IND
<i>Mentha x piperita</i> L.	1		+	FEMP 222 MR	NON-IND

2647

000019

<i>Nepeta cataria</i> L.	1	+				FEMP 346 MR	NON-IND
<i>Prunella vulgaris</i> var. <i>vulgaris</i> L.	3		+	+	+	FEMP 211 MR	NON-IND
<i>Teucrium canadense</i> var. <i>virginicum</i> (L.) Eaton	1	+				FEMP 354 MR	IND
Lemnaceae							
<i>Lemna minor</i> L.	1				+	FEMP 129 MR	IND
Liliaceae							
<i>Allium canadense</i> var. <i>canadense</i> L.	2		+	+	+	FEMP 099 MR	IND
<i>Allium vineale</i> L.	3		+	+	+	FEMP 018 MR	NON-IND
<i>Trillium sessile</i> L.	1				+	FEMP 035 MR	IND
Malvaceae							
<i>Abutilon theophrasti</i> Medikus	1	+				FEMP 343 MR	NON-IND
<i>Sida spinosa</i> L.	1	+		+		FEMP 243 MR	IND
Menispermaceae							
<i>Menispermum canadense</i> L.	3		+		+	FEMP 079 MR	IND
Molluginaceae							
<i>Mollugo verticillata</i> L.	1	+				FEMP 261 MR	NON-IND
Moraceae							
<i>Maclura pomifera</i> (Raf.) C. K. Schneider	1	+	+		+	FEMP 295 MR	NON-IND
<i>Morus rubra</i> L.	2			+	+	FEMP 132 MR	IND
Oleaceae							
<i>Fraxinus americana</i> L.	3				+	FEMP 165 MR	IND
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> Marshall	5			+	+	FEMP 163 MR	IND
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> var. <i>subintegerrima</i> (Vahl) Fern	5			+	+	FEMP 164 MR	IND
Onagraceae							
<i>Circaea lutetiana</i> var. <i>canadensis</i> L.	1				+	FEMP 178 MR	IND
<i>Epilobium coloratum</i> Biehler	1	+				FEMP 388 MR	IND
<i>Ludwigia alata</i> Elliot	1		+			FEMP 086 MR	IND
<i>Oenothera biennis</i> var. <i>biennis</i> L.	2	+		+		FEMP 140 MR	IND
<i>Oenothera fruticosa</i> L.	1	+				FEMP 386 MR	IND
Oxalidaceae							
<i>Oxalis dillenii</i> Jacq.	2				+	FEMP 082 MR	IND

2647

000020

<i>Oxalis stricta</i> L.	3	+	+	+	+	FEMP 154 MR	IND	
Phytolaccaceae								
<i>Phytolacca americana</i> L.	1	+				FEMP 200 MR	IND	
Plantaginaceae								
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L.	4	+	+	+		FEMP 066 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Plantago major</i> L.	4	+	+	+	+	FEMP 251 MR	IND	
<i>Plantago rugelii</i> Decne.	3	+	+	+		FEMP 191 MR	IND	
Platanaceae								
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i> L.	4			+	+	+	FEMP 175 MR	IND
Poaceae								
<i>Agrostis perennans</i> var. <i>perennans</i> (Walter) Tuckerman	5	+	+	+		FEMP 091 MR	IND	
<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i> L.	2			+		FEMP 135 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Andropogon virginicus</i> var. <i>virginicus</i> L.	2			+		FEMP 306 MR	IND	
<i>Bromus commutatus</i> Schrader	2			+		FEMP 145 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Bromus inermis</i> Leysser	2	+	+			FEMP 195 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Bromus sterilis</i> L.	2	+	+			FEMP 194 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> L.	4	+	+	+		FEMP 077 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Digitaria ischaemum</i> (Schreber) Muhl.	3	+	+	+		FEMP 245 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Digitaria sanguinalis</i> (L.) Scop.	2	+	+	+		FEMP 364 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Echinochloa crusgalii</i> var. <i>crusgalii</i> (L.) P. Beauv.	3	+	+	+		FEMP 278 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Echinochloa muricata</i> var. <i>muricata</i> (L.) P. Beauv.	2	+	+	+		FEMP 226 MR	IND	
<i>Eleusine indica</i> (L.) Gaertn.	1		+			FEMP 382 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Elymus hystrix</i> L.	2			+	+	FEMP 149 MR	IND	
<i>Elymus villosus</i> Muhl.	1			+		FEMP 198 MR	IND	
<i>Elymus virginicus</i> L.	2				+	FEMP 227 MR	IND	
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i> (Pursh.) Steudel.	2		+			FEMP 291 MR	IND	
<i>Festuca elatior</i> L.	5		+	+		FEMP 069 MR	NON-IND	
<i>Festuca rubra</i> L.	4		+	+		FEMP 325 MR	IND	
<i>Festuca subverticillata</i> (Pers.) E. Alexeev.	2		+	+	+	FEMP 151 MR	IND	
<i>Glyceria striata</i> (Lam.) A. Hitchc.	3		+		+	+	FEMP 156 MR	IND
<i>Hordium pussillum</i> L.	1		+			FEMP 142 MR	IND	
<i>Leersia oryzoides</i> (L.) Swartz	3		+			FEMP 308 MR	IND	
<i>Leersia virginica</i> Willd.	4		+	+	+	+	FEMP 225 MR	IND
<i>Muhlenbergia schreberi</i> J. F. Gmelin	3		+		+	FEMP 311 MR	IND	
<i>Panicum clandestinum</i> L.	4		+	+	+	FEMP 307 MR	IND	
<i>Panicum lanuginosum</i> var. <i>fasciculatum</i> (Torr.) Fern.	1			+		FEMP 362 MR	IND	

2647

000021

<i>Panicum lanuginosum</i> var. <i>implicatum</i> (Scribn.) Fern.	1	+			FEMP 324 MR	IND
<i>Panicum lanuginosum</i> var. <i>lanuginosum</i> Elliot	1			+	FEMP 106 MR	IND
<i>Paspalum pubiflorum</i> var. <i>glabrum</i> Vasey.	1	+			FEMP 280 MR	IND
<i>Paspalum setaceum</i> var. <i>ciliatifolium</i> (Mischx.) Vasey	1	+			FEMP 196 MR	IND
<i>Paspalum setaceum</i> var. <i>muhlenbergii</i> (Nash) D. Banks	2	+	+		FEMP 322 MR	IND
<i>Phleum pratense</i> L.	1			+	FEMP 240 MR	NON-IND
<i>Poa annua</i> L.	3	+		+	FEMP 070 MR	NON-IND
<i>Poa compressa</i> L.	2	+		+	FEMP 072 MR	NON-IND
<i>Poa pratensis</i> L.	3	+		+	+ + FEMP 061 MR	NON-IND
<i>Poa sylvestris</i> A. Gray	3				+ + FEMP 096 MR	IND
<i>Poa trivialis</i> L.	2	+		+	FEMP 332 MR	NON-IND
<i>Setaria faberi</i> R. Herrm.	3	+		+	FEMP 231 MR	NON-IND
<i>Setaria glauca</i> (L.) P. Beauv.	3	+	+	+	FEMP 250 MR	NON-IND
<i>Setaria viridis</i> var. <i>viridis</i> (L.) P. Beauv.	4	+	+		FEMP 363 MR	IND
<i>Tridens flavus</i> var. <i>flavus</i> (L.) A. Hitchc.	4	+		+	FEMP 234 MR	IND
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 333 MR	NON-IND

Polemoniaceae

<i>Phlox divaricata</i> var. <i>divaricata</i> L.	1				+ FEMP 032 MR	IND
---	---	--	--	--	---------------	-----

Polygonaceae

<i>Polygonum aviculare</i> L.	1	+	+		FEMP 207 MR	IND
<i>Polygonum cespitosum</i> var. <i>longisetum</i> (De Bruyn) Stewart	2				+ FEMP 124 MR	NON-IND
<i>Polygonum hydropiper</i> L.	2				+ FEMP 155 MR	NON-IND
<i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> L.	3	+			FEMP 383 MR	IND
<i>Polygonum pennsylvanicum</i> L.	4	+	+	+	FEMP 298 MR	IND
<i>Polygonum persicaria</i> L.	5	+		+	+ FEMP 249 MR	NON-IND
<i>Polygonum punctatum</i> var. <i>punctatum</i> Elliott	2		+		+ FEMP 224 MR	NON-IND
<i>Polygonum scandens</i> var. <i>cristatum</i> (Englem. & A. Gray) Gleason	1				+ FEMP 254 MR	IND
<i>Polygonum scandens</i> var. <i>scandens</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 385 MR	IND
<i>Polygonum virginianum</i> L.	3				+ + FEMP 252 MR	IND
<i>Rumex crispus</i> L.	2			+	FEMP 074 MR	NON-IND
<i>Rumex obtusifolius</i> L.	1				+ FEMP 158 MR	NON-IND

Portulacaceae

<i>Claytonia caroliniana</i> Michx.	4	+		+	+ + FEMP 036 MR	IND
<i>Claytonia virginica</i> var. <i>virginica</i> L.	2				+ FEMP 341 MR	IND

Primulaceae

<i>Lysimachia nummularia</i> L.	4	+	+	+	+ FEMP 015 MR	NON-IND
---------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---------------	---------

000022

2647

<i>Samolus floribunds</i> HBK	1	+			FEMP 302 MR	IND
Ranunculaceae						
<i>Ranunculus abortivus</i> var. <i>abortivus</i> L.	4	+	+	+	FEMP 001 MR	IND
<i>Ranunculus micranthus</i> Nutt.	1				FEMP 398 MR	IND
Rosaceae						
<i>Agrimonia parviflora</i> Aiton	4		+	+	FEMP 214 MR	IND
<i>Crataegus crus-galli</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 051 MR	IND
<i>Crataegus x disperma</i> Ashe	1		+		FEMP 146 MR	IND
<i>Crataegus mollis</i> (T. & G.) Scheele	1		+		FEMP 203 MR	IND
<i>Duchesnea indica</i> (Andrews) Focke.	1			+	FEMP 055 MR	NON-IND
<i>Fragaria vesca</i> var. <i>americana</i> Porter	1			+	FEMP 125 MR	IND
<i>Geum vernum</i> (Raf.) T. & G.	2	+		+	FEMP 050 MR	IND
<i>Geum virginianum</i> L.	1			+	FEMP 160 MR	IND
<i>Potentilla norvegica</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 347 MR	IND
<i>Potentilla recta</i> L.	2	+	+		FEMP 187 MR	NON-IND
<i>Prunus avium</i> L.	1			+	FEMP 289 MR	NON-IND
<i>Prunus cerasus</i> L.	1		+		FEMP 381 MR	NON-IND
<i>Prunus hortulana</i> L. H. Bailey.	1	+			FEMP 052 MR	IND
<i>Prunus monsoniana</i> Wight & Hedrick	1		+		FEMP 202 MR	IND
<i>Prunus serotina</i> Ehrh.	4			+	FEMP 014 MR	IND
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	1	+	+		FEMP 053 MR	NON-IND
<i>Rosa carolina</i> L.	3	+	+	+	FEMP 056 MR	IND
<i>Rosa multiflora</i> Thunb.	5	+	+	+	FEMP 033 MR	NON-IND
<i>Rosa setigera</i> Michx.	2	+			FEMP 357 MR	IND
<i>Rubus allegheniensis</i> T. C. Porter	3		+	+	FEMP 076 MR	IND
<i>Rubus flagellaris</i> Willd.	2		+		FEMP 305 MR	IND
<i>Rubus occidentalis</i> L.	2	+	+		FEMP 104 MR	IND
Rubiaceae						
<i>Galium aparine</i> var. <i>aparine</i> L.	3	+	+	+	FEMP 023 MR	IND
<i>Galium triflorum</i> var. <i>triflorum</i> Michx.	3	+		+	FEMP 044 MR	IND
Salicaceae						
<i>Populus deltoides</i> var. <i>deltoides</i> Marshall	2	+	+	+	FEMP 184 MR	IND
<i>Salix eriocephala</i> Michx.	1	+			FEMP 147 MR	IND
<i>Salix exigua</i> var. <i>angustissima</i> (Anderson) Reveal & Broome	1			+	FEMP 316 MR	IND
<i>Salix nigra</i> var. <i>nigra</i> Marshall	2		+		FEMP 279 MR	IND

2647

000023

Saxifragaceae

<i>Penthorum sedoides</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 266 MR	IND
------------------------------	---	---	--	--	-------------	-----

Scrophulariaceae

<i>Agalinis tenuifolia</i> (M. Vahl) Raf.	3	+	+		FEMP 229 MR	IND
<i>Mimulus alatus</i> Aiton	2	+			FEMP 220 MR	IND
<i>Penstemon digitalis</i> Nutt.	2			+	FEMP 127 MR	IND
<i>Verbascum blattaria</i> L.	3	+	+		FEMP 161 MR	NON-IND
<i>Verbascum thaspus</i> L.	2	+			FEMP 183 MR	NON-IND
<i>Veronica anagallis-aquatica</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 128 MR	NON-IND
<i>Veronica arvensis</i> L.	3	+			FEMP 062 MR	NON-IND
<i>Veronica serpyllifolia</i> var. <i>serpyllifolia</i> L.	3	+			FEMP 059 MR	NON-IND

Simaroubaceae

<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> (Miller) Swingle	2				+ FEMP 204 MR	NON-IND
---	---	--	--	--	---------------	---------

Smilacaceae

<i>Smilax hispida</i> Muhl.	2			+	+ + FEMP 150 MR	IND
-----------------------------	---	--	--	---	-----------------	-----

Solanaceae

<i>Datura stramonium</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 342 MR	IND
<i>Physalis longifolia</i> var. <i>subglabrata</i> (Mackenzie & Bush) Cronq.	1	+			FEMP 344 MR	IND
<i>Solanum carolinense</i> L.	3	+	+	+	FEMP 188 MR	NON-IND
<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.	1	+			FEMP 299 MR	IND

Tiliaceae

<i>Tilia americana</i> var. <i>americana</i> L.	1				+ FEMP 284 MR	IND
---	---	--	--	--	---------------	-----

Ulmaceae

<i>Celtis occidentalis</i> L.	5				+ + FEMP 120 MR	IND
<i>Ulmus americana</i> L.	3			+	+ FEMP 268 MR	IND
<i>Ulmus rubra</i> Muhl.	5			+	+ + FEMP 113 MR	IND

Urticaceae

<i>Boehmeria cylindrica</i> (L.) Swartz	2				+ FEMP 157 MR	IND
<i>Pilea pumila</i> (L.) A. Gray	3	+			+ + FEMP 228 MR	IND

Valerianaceae

<i>Valerianella umbilicata</i> (Sulliv.) A. Wood.	2			+	+ + FEMP 080 MR	IND
<i>Valerianella radiata</i> (L.) Dufr.	2	+			FEMP 331 MR	IND

Verbenaceae						
<i>Verbena urticifolia</i> var <i>urticifolia</i> L.	3		+	+	FEMP 223 MR	IND
Violaceae						
<i>Viola pubescens</i> Aiton	3				+ FEMP 030 MR	IND
<i>Viola sororia</i> Willd.	4	+	+		+ + FEMP 012 MR	IND
Vitaceae						
<i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> (L.) Planchon	3				+ + FEMP 043 MR	IND
<i>Vitis labrusca</i> L.	3				+ FEMP 300 MR	IND
<i>Vitis vulpina</i> L.	5		+		+ FEMP 102 MR	IND

¹ See Table 3 for Abundance Scores

² See Table 2 for Habitat descriptions; a "+" indicates a species' presence in the habitat

³ IND = Indigenous

NON-IND = Non-indigenous

000025

2647