

366

4-704.1

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EVALUATION OF SILO 3 ALTERNATIVES
PUBLIC WORKSHOP FROM AUGUST 20, 1996 - SIGN-IN SHEETS AND
EVALUATION FORMS

08/20/96

PUBLIC
13
ROSTERS

DOE-FN

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Evaluation of Silo 3 Alternatives Public Workshop

August 20, 1996

Name: Kelly Kaletsky

Affiliation (optional): Ohio EPA

Address: [Redacted]
Telephone: [Redacted]

Name: JIM SARIC

Affiliation (optional): U.S. EPA

Address: [Redacted]
Telephone: [Redacted]

Name: Sharon McEllan

Affiliation (optional): PRC Env. Mgmt

Address: [Redacted]
Telephone: [Redacted]

Name: Tom Schneider

Affiliation (optional): Ohio EPA

Address: [Redacted]
Telephone: [Redacted]

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT


Evaluation of Silo 3 Alternatives Public Workshop

August 20, 1996

Name: Karen Wintz

Affiliation (optional): FERMCO

Address: 

Telephone: 

Name: Edwa Yocum

Affiliation (optional): F.R.S.H. Inc. / President

Address: 

Telephone: 

Name: TIM FRANCE

Affiliation (optional): _____

Address: 

Telephone: 

Name: _____

Affiliation (optional): _____

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Evaluation of Silo 3 Alternatives Public Workshop

August 20, 1996

Name: GLORIA MCKINLEY


Affiliation (optional): TASK FORCE

Address: 

Telephone: 

Name: Shelley Haynie

Affiliation (optional): DOE

Address: 

Telephone: 

Name: Nisa Crawford

Affiliation (optional): _____

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

Name: Sam Dunn

Affiliation (optional): _____

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Evaluation of Silo 3 Alternatives Public Workshop

August 20, 1996

Name: Betty C McKee

Affiliation (optional): Fresh Citizens

Address: [Redacted]
Telephone: [Redacted]

Name: Robert Frost

Affiliation (optional): _____

Address: [Redacted]
Telephone: [Redacted]

Name: ROBERT M^c CULLOUGH

Affiliation (optional): FERMCO

Address: [Redacted]
Telephone: [Redacted]

Name: Vicky Dastilling

Affiliation (optional): FRESH/CLN



Address: [Redacted]
Telephone: [Redacted]

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Evaluation of Silo 3 Alternatives Public Workshop

August 20, 1996

Name: Jim Chawdler

Affiliation: FERMCO
Address: 
Telephone: 

Name: Michael Smith


Affiliation (optional): FERMCO

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

Name: DICK KASPARAK


Affiliation (optional): FERMCO

Address: 
Telephone: 

Name: SCOTT JOHNSON

Affiliation (optional): CNSI

Address: 

Telephone: 

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Evaluation of Silo 3 Alternatives Public Workshop

August 20, 1996

Name: Terry Hagen
Affiliation (optional): FERMCO
Address: _____
Telephone: _____

Name: Keith Spraks
Affiliation (optional): FERMCO
Address: _____
Telephone: _____

Name: Kan Aldema
Affiliation: _____
Address: _____
Telephone: _____

Name: JOE PETROSKY
Affiliation (optional): System Resource Recovery
Address: _____
Telephone: _____

EVALUATION OF SILO 3 ALTERNATIVES PUBLIC WORKSHOP

August 20, 1996

Evaluation Survey

Thank you for attending the workshop to discuss an alternative remediation for Silo 3. Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below:

1. Was the Silo 3 fact sheet mailed to you? If no, please print your address to make sure you are on our mailing list.

yes

2. If you received and read the fact sheet, did you understand the five alternatives being evaluated for the remediation of the Silo 3 contents?

yes

3. Was the presentation on the Silo 3 Report Summary adequate and understandable?

yes

4. Did the presentation on stabilization illustrate that this alternative method is effective and protective?

yes

5. Do you have questions concerning the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD)?

ASKED SOME, WILL PROBABLY HAVE MORE;
WILL CALL

6. How satisfied were you with responses to questions asked this evening?

SOME GOOD, BUT YOU WALKED AROUND
GIVING STRAIGHT ANSWERS ON SOME QUESTIONS.

7. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?

WILL GET BACK WITH FURTHER ISSUES.

Optional:

Name

Sam Dunn

Phone



EVALUATION OF SILO 3 ALTERNATIVES PUBLIC WORKSHOP

August 20, 1996

Evaluation Survey

Thank you for attending the workshop to discuss an alternative remediation for Silo 3. Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below:

1. Was the Silo 3 fact sheet mailed to you? If no, please print your address to make sure you are on our mailing list. **YES**
2. If you received and read the fact sheet, did you understand the five alternatives being evaluated for the remediation of the Silo 3 contents? *- The language used to describe cementation, than in another paragraph using solidification/stabilization process. Not stating specific kind of solidification*
3. Was the presentation on the Silo 3 Report Summary adequate and understandable?
4. Did the presentation on stabilization illustrate that this alternative method is effective and protective? *No - as a resident view point.
yes as a company view point.*
5. Do you have questions concerning the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD)?
NO
6. How satisfied were you with responses to questions asked this evening?
Forced later in ~~my~~ question time. People became more straight forward.
7. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
*Not clear on the final disposal site.
How can you make a comment when you have not defined disposal site.*

Optional:

Name Eduha Yocum Phone

EVALUATION OF SILO 3 ALTERNATIVES PUBLIC WORKSHOP

August 20, 1996

Evaluation Survey

Thank you for attending the workshop to discuss an alternative remediation for Silo 3. Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below:


1. Was the Silo 3 fact sheet mailed to you? *yes* If no, please print your address to make sure you are on our mailing list.
2. If you received and read the fact sheet, did you understand the five alternatives being evaluated for the remediation of the Silo 3 contents? *yes*
3. Was the presentation on the Silo 3 Report Summary adequate and understandable?
to a point - some holes were there!
4. Did the presentation on stabilization illustrate that this alternative method is effective and protective?
No!
5. Do you have questions concerning the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD)?
yes
6. How satisfied were you with responses to questions asked this evening?
Some - not all!
7. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
Needs more explanation/discussion!

Optional:

Name

Lynn Crawford

Phone



EVALUATION OF SILO 3 ALTERNATIVES PUBLIC WORKSHOP

August 20, 1996

Evaluation Survey

Thank you for attending the workshop to discuss an alternative remediation for Silo 3. Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below:

1. Was the Silo 3 fact sheet mailed to you? *yes* If no, please print your address to make sure you are on our mailing list.
 2. If you received and read the fact sheet, did you understand the five alternatives being evaluated for the remediation of the Silo 3 contents? *yes*
 3. Was the presentation on the Silo 3 Report Summary adequate and understandable?
The fact that we are even here having this workshop is disappointing & frustrating!
 4. Did the presentation on stabilization illustrate that this alternative method is effective and protective? *Tried to. I still feel like nitrification is the better treatment for the silos.*
 5. Do you have questions concerning the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD)?
Nothing major. Johnson gave me information. However, if DOE sticks about changing Silos 1 & 2, that will create many questions.
 6. How satisfied were you with responses to questions asked this evening?
They answered as well as they could, but it wasn't the answer I wanted to hear.
 7. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting? *Name tag!*
I need to know who I am talking to. It's going to be hard to make comments - maybe after meeting with DEPA, we might know more. I would like a list of problems the VETPP is having. I wonder if there are other experts on nitrification out there (Commercial, International, Academic) not just DOE, that could help
- Optional: *other experts on nitrification out there (Commercial, International, Academic) not just DOE, that could help*
- Name *Vicky Nestellings (via phone to off)* Phone *With the nit's problems*

EVALUATION OF SILO 3 ALTERNATIVES PUBLIC WORKSHOP

August 20, 1996

Evaluation Survey

Thank you for attending the workshop to discuss an alternative remediation for Silo 3. Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below:

1. Was the Silo 3 fact sheet mailed to you? If no, please print your address to make sure you are on our mailing list.

Yes

2. If you received and read the fact sheet, did you understand the five alternatives being evaluated for the remediation of the Silo 3 contents?

The language on some of things should have been clearer than it was.

3. Was the presentation on the Silo 3 Report Summary adequate and understandable?

Could understand Nina but not understand what John Sattler said he wasn't prepared as he should have been.

4. Did the presentation on stabilization illustrate that this alternative method is effective and protective?

5. Do you have questions concerning the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD)?

No

6. How satisfied were you with responses to questions asked this evening?

Some of them were not answered -

7. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?

Not clear on silo #3 about the disposal on site.

Optional:

Name Betty C McKay

Phone



EVALUATION OF SILO 3 ALTERNATIVES PUBLIC WORKSHOP

August 20, 1996

Evaluation Survey

Thank you for attending the workshop to discuss an alternative remediation for Silo 3. Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below:

1. Was the Silo 3 fact sheet mailed to you? If no, please print your address to make sure you are on our mailing list.
YES
2. If you received and read the fact sheet, did you understand the five alternatives being evaluated for the remediation of the Silo 3 contents?
YES
3. Was the presentation on the Silo 3 Report Summary adequate and understandable?
YES
4. Did the presentation on stabilization illustrate that this alternative method is effective and protective?
YES
5. Do you have questions concerning the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD)?
no
6. How satisfied were you with responses to questions asked this evening?
YES
7. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
gave it

Optional:

Name JIM CHAWLLER Phone 

12

EVALUATION OF SILO 3 ALTERNATIVES PUBLIC WORKSHOP

August 20, 1996

Evaluation Survey

Thank you for attending the workshop to discuss an alternative remediation for Silo 3. Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below:

- 1. Was the Silo 3 fact sheet mailed to you? No If no, please print your address to make sure you are on our mailing list.
TIM FRANCE
1705 GARRETT HOUSE LN
FAIRFIELD, OH 45014-8658
- 2. If you received and read the fact sheet, did you understand the five alternatives being evaluated for the remediation of the Silo 3 contents? N/A
- 3. Was the presentation on the Silo 3 Report Summary adequate and understandable?
SOMEWHAT ADEQUATE
HANDLING OF QUESTIONS DID NOT ENHANCE PRESENTATION.
- 4. Did the presentation on stabilization illustrate that this alternative method is effective and protective? YES, BUT NEED TO ANSWER QUESTIONS ON FAILURES AT OTHER SITES.
- 5. Do you have questions concerning the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD)?
ESD NOT CLEARLY EXPLAINED.
- 6. How satisfied were you with responses to questions asked this evening?
- 7. Do you have any other constructive comment/criticism about tonight's meeting?
LOST CONTROL OF DISCUSSION BECAUSE THERE WAS NO MODERATOR TO FOCUS THE DISCUSSION AND KEEP THE SUBJECT ON SILO 3 VS. VITRIFICATION, BUDGETS, 10-YEAR PLAN, ETC.

Optional:

Name TIM FRANCE Phone 