

M. T. Aycock
November 20, 1997
TAH-003-97
Page 2 of 2

4. On what documents is this evaluation based? These documents should be identified in our justification. I propose providing the following documents to Chris to support our characterization: Characterization Protocols; Reconnaissance Level Characterization Report; Reconnaissance Level Characterization Plan; Lead Characterization Report; copies of any TCLP analytical samples; and SEGs evaluation of this issue including a justification of the representative nature of our sampling.
5. If necessary, is there enough unused sample available from the total metal analysis to run TCLPs on selected samples? Is the laboratory that conducted the totals capable of conducting TCLP testing? Mary, please contact your analytical laboratory regarding this issue.

I recommend scheduling a meeting with Chris Gilbreath, a Kaiser-Hill representative (Greg Sollner), Gary Konwinski, Mary Aycock, and myself to present our evaluation. In addition, this data should then be submitted to Gary Konwinski to support a sitewide model/evaluation.

RESPONSE REQUIREMENTS

Preparation of a RCRA Characterization Report for paint covered wastes generated from the D&D of Building 123. If you have any questions in regard to this document, please contact me at extension 7652 or page me at digital pager 4119.



T. A. Hopkins, Compliance
Engineering/Construction/Decommissioning/Facilities

TAH:alk

cc:

K. A. Dorr - Kaiser-Hill Company, L.L.C.
G. R. Sollner - " " " "