

NOTICE!

**ALL DRAWINGS
ARE LOCATED
AT THE END OF
THE DOCUMENT**



RF/RMRS-98-246

**Sampling and Analysis Plan
for the
Hydrogeologic Characterization
of Individual Hazardous
Substance Sites (IHSSs)
121 and 148 at Building 123**

DRAFT FINAL

**June 1998
Revision 0**

**ADMIN RECORD
IA-S123-A-00005**

**DRAFT FINAL
SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN
FOR THE
HYDROGEOLOGIC CHARACTERIZATION
OF INDIVIDUAL HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SITES (IHSSs)
121 AND 148
AT BUILDING 123**

RF/RMRS-98-246

Revision 0

June 8, 1998

This Sampling and Analysis Plan has been reviewed and approved by

S H Singer, RMRS Project Manager

Date

G D DiGregorio, RMRS Quality Assurance

Date

Michalene Rodriguez, Radiological Engineering

Date

Prepared by
Rocky Mountain Remediation Services, L L C
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
Golden, Colorado

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
ACRONYMS	iii
LIST OF APPLICABLE STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOPs)	v
1 0 INTRODUCTION	1
1 1 Purpose	1
1 2 Background	2
1 2 1 Individual Hazardous Substance Site (IHSS) 121	2
1 2 2 Individual Hazardous Substance Site (IHSS) 148	6
1 2 3 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Unit 40	7
1 2 4 Potential Areas Of Concern (PACs)	8
1 3 Hydrogeologic Setting	11
2 0 SAMPLING RATIONALE	15
3 0 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES	17
3 1 State the Problem	17
3 2 Identify the Decision	17
3 3 Identify Inputs to the Decision	18
3 4 Define the Boundaries	18
3 5 Decision Rule	18
3 6 Decision Limits	18
3 7 Optimize the Design	19
4 0 SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND METHODOLOGY	19
4 1 Monitoring Well Locations and Methodology	19
4 2 Well Design and Installation	20
4 2 1 Well Design	20
4 2 2 Pre-Drilling Activities	20

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123		Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS- 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 ii of vi
4 2 3	Borehole Drilling and Logging		22
4 2 4	Well Installation		22
4 3	Well Development		22
4 4	Sample Designation		23
4 5	Sample Collection		23
4 6	Sample Handling and Analysis		24
4 7	Equipment Decontamination and Waste Handling		25
5 0	DATA MANAGEMENT		26
6 0	PROJECT ORGANIZATION		26
7 0	QUALITY ASSURANCE		27
8 0	SCHEDULE		31
9 0	REFERENCES		32

TABLES

1-1	Constituents Detected above Minimum Detection Levels or Activities in Soil Samples Collected during Surface Soil Survey at IHSS 148	6
4-1	Monitoring Well Location Rationale	19
4-2	Analytical Requirements for Groundwater Samples	25
5-1	QA/QC Sample Type, Frequency, and Quantity	29
5-2	PARCC Parameter Summary	30

FIGURES

1-1	Building 123 Site Location	3
1-2	Location of Building 123 and Associated IHSSs 121 and 148	4
1-3	Building 123 Area Monitoring Well Locations	13
1-4	Potentiometric Surface Map of Unconsolidated Surficial Deposits, Second Quarter 1996	14
4-1	Proposed Monitoring Well Locations	21
6-1	Building 123 Hydrogeologic Characterization Organization Chart	27

ACRONYMS

ALARA	As Low As Reasonably Achievable
Am	Americium
APO	Analytical Project Office
AR	Administrative Records
ASD	Analytical Services Division
Be	Beryllium
BRCS	Building Radiation Cleanup Standard
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	acetic acid
CAP	Corrective Action Process
CDPHE	Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
Cm	curium
Cs	cesium
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio
DOE	U S Department of Energy
DQO	Data Quality Objective
EDD	Electronic Disc Deliverable
EMD	Environmental Management Department
EMSL	Environmental Monitoring Support Laboratory
EPA	U S Environmental Protection Agency
ER	Environmental Restoration
FID	Flame Ionization Detector
FIDLER	Field Instrument for the Detection of Low Energy Radiation
FO	Field Operations
GC/MS	Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry
GPS	Global Positioning System
H ₂ SO ₄	sulfuric acid
HCl	hydrochloric acid
HClO ₄	perchloric acid
HF	hydrofluoric acid
HNO ₃	nitric acid
HPGe	high-purity germanium
HRR	Historical Release Report
IHSS	Individual Hazardous Substance Site
IMP	Integrated Monitoring Plan
K-H	Kaiser-Hill
LLW	Low-level waste
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
NH ₄ OH	ammonium hydroxide
OPWL	Original Process Waste Line
OU	Operable Unit
PAC	Potential Area of Contamination

ACRONYMS (cont'd)

PAM	Proposed Action Memorandum
PARCC	precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCE	tetrachloroethene
PID	Photoionization detector
PPE	Personal protective equipment
Pu	plutonium
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
QAPD	Quality Assurance Program Description
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
SWD	Soil and Water Database
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RCT	Radiological Control Technician
RFCA	Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement
RFETS	Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
RFI/RI	RCRA Facility Investigation/Remedial Investigation
RMRS	Rocky Mountain Remediation Services, L L C
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
SOPs	Standard Operating Procedures
TAL	Target Analyte List
TCFM	trichlorofluoromethane
TCL	Target Compound List
TOC	total organic carbon
TSDF	treatment, storage, and disposal facility
U	uranium
UBC	under building contamination
VOC	volatile organic compound

LIST OF APPLICABLE STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOPs)

<u>Identification Number</u>	<u>Procedure Title</u>
2-G32-ER-ADM-08 02	<i>Evaluation of ERM Data for Usability in Final Reports</i>
2-S47-ER-ADM-05 15	<i>Use of Field Logbooks and Forms</i>
5-21000-OPS-FO 03	<i>General Equipment Decontamination, Section 5 3 1, Cleaning Steel or Metal Sampling Equipment Without Steam in the Field</i>
4-S02-ENV-OPS-FO 04	<i>Handling Purge and Development Water</i>
4-H66-ER-OPS-FO 05	<i>Handling Purge and Development Water</i>
5-21000-OPS-FO 06	<i>Handling of Personal Protective Equipment</i>
5-21000-OPS-FO 07	<i>Handling of Decontamination Water and Wash Water</i>
4-K55-ENV-OPS-FO 10	<i>Receiving, Marking, and Labeling Environmental Materials Containers</i>
RMRS/OPS-PRO 069	<i>Containing, Preserving, Handling and Shipping of Soil and Water Samples</i>
5-21000-OPS-FO 15	<i>Photoionization Detectors and Flame Ionization Detectors</i>
5-21000-OPS-FO 16	<i>Field Radiological Measurements</i>
5-21000-OPS-GT 01	<i>Logging Alluvial and Bedrock Material</i>
5-21000-OPS-GT 05	<i>Plugging and Abandonment of Boreholes</i>
5-21000-OPS-GT 06	<i>Monitoring Wells and Piezometer Installation</i>
5-21000-OPS-GT 10	<i>Borehole Clearing</i>
5-21000-OPS-GT 17	<i>Land Surveying</i>
4-S64-ER-OPS-GT 39	<i>Push Subsurface Soil Sampling</i>
5-21000-OPS-GW 01	<i>Water Level Measurements in Wells and Piezometers</i>
5-21000-OPS-GW 02	<i>Well Development</i>
5-21000-OPS-GW 05	<i>Field Measurement of Groundwater Field Parameters</i>
5-21000-OPS-GW 06	<i>Groundwater Sampling</i>

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS- 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 vi of vi
--	---	--

3-PRO-140RSP-09 03 *Radiological Characterization of Bulk or Volume Solid Materials*

RM-06 02 *Records Identification, Generation and Transmittal*

RM-06 04 *Administrative Record Document Identification and Transmittal*

**SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN
FOR THE HYDROGEOLOGIC CHARACTERIZATION OF
INDIVIDUAL HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SITES (IHSSs)
121 AND 148
BUILDING 123**

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

This sampling and analysis plan provides for a hydrogeologic investigation of Building 123 in support of post-demolition hazardous and radiological site characterization activities required for Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 and Potential Areas of Contamination (PACs). These activities are designed to accomplish two objectives: 1) prepare an IHSS ranking for prioritizing the site on the Environmental Restoration (ER) ranking list, and 2) assess the potential impact of decontamination and demolition (D&D) activities on local groundwater quality. The investigation is being conducted by the Rocky Mountain Remediation Services (RMRS) ER Groundwater Group on behalf of Kaiser-Hill Company, Inc. (K-H) for the U.S. Department of Energy/Rocky Flats Field Office (DOE/RFFO). Implementation of this project will be performed in accordance with applicable Federal, State, and local regulations, as well as DOE Orders, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS) policies and procedures, and Environmental Restoration Operating Procedures.

Field activities planned under this work plan are limited to well installation, well development, and initial groundwater sampling activities. Additional groundwater sampling for long-term D&D monitoring will be accomplished by the Groundwater Monitoring Program as specified in the Integrated Monitoring Plan (IMP). Soil characterization activities conducted for ER ranking and fulfillment of criteria set forth in the *Proposed Action Memorandum (PAM) for the Decommissioning of Building 123* (RMRS, 1997a) will be accomplished separately under the *Soil Sampling and Analysis Plan to Characterize Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123* (RMRS, 1997d).

The objective of this SAP is to define specific data needs, sampling and analysis requirements, data handling procedures, and associated Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) requirements for this

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 2 of 32
--	---	---

project All work will be performed in accordance with the RMRS Quality Assurance Program Description (QAPD) (RMRS, 1997b)

1 2 Background

[Note Section 1 2 is provided, with minor modifications, from RMRS (1997d)]

Building 123 is located on Central Avenue between Third and Fourth Streets at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (Figure 1-1) The Building 123 area encompasses overlapping IHSSs 121 and 148 and a portion of RCRA Unit 40 (Figure 1-2)

Four (4) associated Potential Areas of Contamination (PACs), 100-601, 100-602, 100-603, and 100-611 have been identified in the RFETS *Historical Release Report (HRR)* (DOE 1992c), as shown in Figure 1-2 The PACs were established as the result of documented spill incidents

Unconfirmed reports of contaminant spills have been indicated in interviews with building employees In the late 1960's or early 1970's a cesium-contaminated liquid was spilled on the concrete floor in Room 109C (Figure 1-2) The floor was immediately sealed to immobilize the contamination No further action was initiated to address consequences of the spill During demolition, additional radionuclide hot spots were detected during radiological surveys of Rooms 103/105, 111, and 123/124

1 2 1 IHSS 121

IHSS 121 consists of RCRA Unit 40 underground Original Process Waste Lines (OPWLs) P-1, P-2, and P-3, which were designated in the *Final Phase I RCRA Facility Investigation/Remedial Investigation (RFI/RI) Work Plan For Operable Unit 9* (DOE 1992a) The area has also been identified as PAC 000-121 in the HRR (DOE, 1992c) The OPWL system constitutes former Operable Unit No 9 (OU 9) and RCRA Unit 40, the plant-wide process waste system comprised of tank and underground pipelines constructed to transport and temporarily store process wastes from point of origin to on-site treatment and discharge points




Figure 1-1 Building 123 Site Location




Figure 1-2 Location of Building 123 and Associated IHSSs 121 and 148

All process waste generated from 1952 to 1968 was transferred from Building 123 to Building 441 through line P-2, which ran below the west side of the east wing before exiting at the southeast corner of the building. In 1968, the east wing was extended about fifty (50) feet to the south. Prior to the building addition, two manholes (MH-2 and MH-3, Figure 1-2) were constructed and the line was extended south to MH-2, then east to MH-3, and north to MH-4, before assuming the original path at P-2. The extension was designated as P-3. One manhole was abandoned and covered by the building addition. In 1972, a west wing was constructed, extending south from the northwest corner of the original building. Prior to construction of the wing, line P-1 was installed to transfer waste to manhole MH-1, then east to a junction with P-3 at MH-2 (Figure 1-2). The lines transferred the following process waste from Building 123:

- Acids nitric acid (HNO₃), hydrofluoric acid (HF), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), hydrochloric acid (HCl), acetic acid (C₂H₄O₂), and perchloric acid (HClO₄),
- Bases ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH),
- Solvents acetone, alcohols, cyclohexane, toluene, xylenes, trisooctamine, and ether,
- Radionuclides various isotopes of plutonium (Pu), americium (Am), uranium (U), and curium (Cm),
- Metals beryllium (Be) (trace amounts), and
- Others ammonium thiocyanate, ethylene glycol, and possible trace amounts of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (DOE 1992a)

In 1982, P-2 and P-3 were abandoned and plugged with cement. In 1989, the process waste transfer system was upgraded, including removal of the east-west section of P-1 between MH-2 and MH-3. The north-south section of P-1 between Building 123 and MH-1 was converted to the new process system. Three (3) large, interconnected concrete sump pit areas were installed in Rooms 156, 157, and 158 to accommodate process waste system backup. Pipe was installed connecting MH-1 to Valve Vault 18. A second building addition was also made to the south end of the east wing, partially overlying line P-3 (Figure 1-2).

During building operation, all process waste throughout Building 123 was collected in floor sumps. Each sump collected and temporarily stored liquid waste which was then pumped through overhead lines into a main floor sump in Room 158. The waste was then gravity-fed through P-1 to Valve Vault 18, then to underground Tank T-2 (Tank 853) at Building 428, and finally to Building 374 for

treatment (Figure 1-2)

1 2 2 IHSS 148

A detailed characterization of former Operable Unit No 13 (OU 13) was conducted from September 1993 to February 1995 as part of a Phase I RCRA RFI/RI. The characterization included high-purity germanium (HPGe) surveys, vertical soil profiles, surface soil sampling and soil gas surveys. The investigation identified an area of reported small spills of nitrate-bearing wastes along the east side of Building 123 and a potential for soil contamination beneath the building due to possible leaks in OPWL P-2. The area was established as IHSS 148 and detailed in the *Final Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for Operable Unit 13* (DOE 1992b). The area has also been identified as Under Building Contamination (UBC) 123 and PAC 100-148 in the HRR.

Thirty-four (34) analytes were detected in the surface soil survey, including twenty-six (26) inorganic compounds and eight (8) radionuclides. Eleven (11) analytes exceeded background limits at a minimum of one sample location throughout IHSS 148. Constituents that exceeded minimum detection levels or activities are indicated in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1 Constituents Detected above Minimum Detection Levels or Activities in Soil Samples Collected during Surface Soil Survey at IHSS 148

Constituents Detected Above Minimum Detection Levels or Activities	Maximum Concentration	Background Limits ^a	Tier II Soil Action Levels ^b
Chromium	95.6 mg/kg ^c	24.9 mg/kg ^c	4860 mg/kg ^d
Cobalt	28.7 mg/kg	24.8 mg/kg	123,000 mg/kg
Copper	43.4 mg/kg	27.3 mg/kg	81,800 mg/kg
Lead	165 mg/kg	61.4 mg/kg	1000 mg/kg
Nickel	52.4 mg/kg	26.8 mg/kg	40,900 mg/kg
Strontium	94.7 mg/kg	90.1 mg/kg	> 1,000,000 mg/kg
Zinc	1,220 mg/kg	86.6 mg/kg	> 1,000,000 mg/kg
Americium-241	0.197 ± 0.032 pCi/g	0.0227 pCi/g	38 pCi/g
Plutonium-239/240	0.169 ± 0.04 pCi/g	0.066 pCi/g	252 pCi/g

Constituents Detected Above Minimum Detection Levels or Activities	Maximum Concentration	Background Limits ^a	Tier II Soil Action Levels ^b
Uranium-233/234	2.04 ± 0.396 pCi/g	2.253 pCi/g	307 pCi/g
Uranium-238	2.14 ± 0.309 pCi/g	2.00 pCi/g	103 pCi/g

^a Source DOE 1995, *Geochemical Characterization of Background Surface Soils - Background Soils Characterization Program*, May

^b Source DOE 1996, *Final Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement*, July Metal analyte action levels are based on office worker exposure to soil, radionuclide action levels are based on annual dose limits

^c Result indicates total chromium (chromium III + chromium VI)

^d Result indicates chromium VI only Action level for chromium III is > 1,000,000 mg/kg

The soil-gas survey was conducted on a 25-foot grid in accordance with the OU-13 RFI/RF work plan (DOE 1992b). Samples were analyzed in the field using Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS). Sixty-four (64) soil-gas locations were sampled during the survey. Thirteen (13) samples contained volatile organic compound (VOC) levels in excess of the 1 µg/L method detection limit. Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) fuel constituents were detected in samples collected from the perimeter of Building 123 and within the east and west wings of the building. Trichlorofluoromethane (TCFM) was detected in nine (9) samples distributed throughout the IHSS 148 area at levels up to 2.6 µg/L. Tetrachloroethene (PCE) was detected at 1.5 µg/L in a sample collected to the east of Building 123. The presence of organic extraction constituents is consistent with unconfirmed reports that such liquids used in radionuclide analyses were occasionally disposed onto the soil surface outside of Building 123 and allowed to evaporate. The soil gas analytical results indicate that a potential for residual subsurface VOC contamination of soils and possibly groundwater exist at Building 123.

1.2.3 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Unit 40

The Building 123 area encompasses a portion of RCRA Unit 40, which includes all active overhead and underground and process waste lines in and around Building 123. No other RCRA unit exists within the Building 123 area. A plan for partial closure of RCRA Unit 40 was written to characterize and manage all active OPWLs associated with Building 123, as all abandoned lines were properly decommissioned prior to implementation of RCRA regulations.

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 8 of 32
--	---	---

1 2 4 Potential Areas of Contamination (PACs)

PACs 100-601, 100-602, 100-603, and 100-611 were identified in the HRR, and involve potential impact to the soils surrounding Building 123. All of the four (4) PACs are located in Figure 1-2. The following outlines the nature of each PAC by describing the occurrence, constituents released, and response to the occurrence.

PAC 100-601, Phosphoric Acid Spill

On April 13, 1989, two five (5)-gallon plastic containers of phosphoric acid, which were among other containers of waste chemicals awaiting disposal in a storage cabinet outside of Building 123, deteriorated and leaked a portion of the contents onto the paved ground surface. Approximately one (1) gallon of 1,2 ethylhexyl phosphoric acid leaked from the containers. At the time the release was detected, approximately eight ounces of the liquid were present on the ground within the vicinity of the cabinet. The spill was contained and the remaining liquid was properly disposed. No further action was required to address consequences of the spill.

PAC 100-602, Process Waste Line Break

On April 13, 1989, Valve Vault 17, located on Cottonwood Avenue between Building 443 and 444, was found to be flooded with approximately 1,200 gallons of aqueous waste. Subsequent investigation indicated that the source of the waste was a break in the active portion of P-1 in manhole MH-1 (Figure 1-2). Leakage from the break had migrated into bedding material surrounding the pipe and ultimately reached Valve Vault 17 through either pipe bedding materials (i.e., soils) or a PVC electrical conduit. The release also migrated into a section of the OPWL network. Discharge of Building 123 process waste into the broken line was discontinued on April 18, 1989, five (5) days after the initial detection of release at Valve Vault 17. The potentially affected area includes the active process waste line between MH-2 and Valve Vault 18, the process waste line between Valve Vault 18 and Valve Vault 17, soils surrounding Valve Vault 18 and Valve Vault 17, and OPWL P-3 between MH-2 and MH-3. In July 1989, groundwater containing blue dye used several months earlier to trace the release was observed seeping into excavations around Valve Vault 18.

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 9 of 32
--	---	---

The release consisted of Building 123 process waste. An estimate was made of types and quantities of materials released to the environment during the five (5)-day period between detection of the release and diversion of Building 123 wastes from the broken line. The estimate was based on typical daily quantities of wastes discharged from Building 123.

- 25 gallons urine,
- 12.5 gallons nitric acid (concentration unknown),
- 20 gallons hydrochloric acid (concentration unknown),
- 1.5 lbs ammonium thiocyanate,
- 1.0 lbs ammonium iodide, and
- 2.5 lbs ammonium hydroxide (concentration unknown)

The above wastes would have been diluted in approximately 2,000 gallons of tap water.

Minor amounts of naturally-occurring uranium were detected in soil and water samples collected after the release. Alpha activity up to 140 pCi/L was recorded in samples of the waste from Valve Vault 17. One water sample from MH-2 also contained eight percent ethylene glycol. Soil sampling was conducted to determine the source and extent of the release (See Section 1.2.2). A temporary surface line was installed, and a replacement underground line was installed in 1989 as part of the process line upgrades. Since the affected areas were located near existing IHSSs scheduled for investigation and remediation activities, no cleanup was initiated. Water and soil samples collected for several weeks after the release indicated that contamination levels (nitrates, chlorides and pH) decreased steadily after the broken line was bypassed.

PAC 100-603, Bioassay Waste Spill

On June 9, 1989, OPWL P-1 was under excavation and replacement due to a break in the line (PAC 100-602). The excavated end of the broken line was temporarily capped with a plastic bag, and Building 123 process waste was rerouted to bypass the broken line. A pump used to reroute the waste failed and allowed the waste to overflow into the broken line. A portion of the waste leaked around the plastic bag and into the excavation. The release was confined to the excavation.

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 10 of 32
--	---	--

The release consisted of bioassay waste containing hydrochloric acid and nitric acid. The waste exhibited a pH of approximately 1. The waste may also have contained urine, and up to a combined total of 1.5 gallons of ammonium thiocyanate, ammonium iodide and ammonium hydroxide. The estimated maximum volume of the spill was 30 gallons. The released material commingled with rainwater in the excavation.

Potential flow from the excavation was contained with earthen berms. Approximately 100 gallons of rainwater contaminated by the spill were neutralized, pumped from the excavation, and transferred to the process system for treatment in Building 374. Samples were collected to evaluate the spread of contamination. Results indicated that contamination was restricted to the excavation within eight (8) feet of Building 123. No further action has been initiated.

PAC 100-611, Building 123 Scrubber Solution Spill

On November 7, 1989, an inoperative pump in the Building 123 process waste transfer system caused the Building 123 Scrubbers 1 and 3 to overflow, spill scrubbing solution into a bermed area outside of the building and into three sump pits in Rooms 156, 157, and 158 (Figure 1-2). All of the spilled solution was contained within secondary containment structures, and none of the solution was believed to have impacted the environment. The pits were pumped out and the concrete liners properly sealed. The transfer pump failure was determined to be the result of blockage caused by glass filtering wool.

The scrubbing solution consisted primarily of water and was used to scrub acids and salts used in Building 123. Approximately fifty (50) gallons were released to the bermed area, and several hundred gallons were contained in the three sump pits. Analysis indicated that the solution contained in the bermed area exhibited a pH of 1.6, the solution in the three pits indicated a pH of 6.0. All spilled materials were contained and transferred into the Building 123 process waste transfer for eventual treatment at Building 374.

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 11 of 32
--	---	--

1.3 Hydrogeologic Setting

Building 123 is situated on a gently eastward sloping topographic and bedrock pediment surface mantled by about 28 to 30 feet of unconsolidated Rocky Flats Alluvium and underlain mainly by claystones and siltstones of the Cretaceous Laramie Formation (EG&G, 1995a). The average depth to groundwater is estimated to range from 2 to 6 feet resulting in an alluvial saturated thickness of approximately 22 to 28 feet (EG&G, 1995b). Water table fluctuations of up to 6.5 feet are recorded in nearby wells 5071 and 5671 (1993-1994 data), with a seasonally high depth to water of 2 to 3 feet below ground level. The closest currently active upgradient and downgradient wells to Building 123 are P415989, located 610 feet to the west, and P115489, located 470 feet to the northeast. Wells 5071 and 5671 were permanently sealed and abandoned in 1994 due to concerns involving well integrity and construction (EG&G, 1995c). Figure 1-3 illustrates the location of existing and abandoned monitoring wells found in the Building 123 area.

Analysis of groundwater flow patterns in the vicinity of Building 123 is complicated by a lack of sufficient well control near the building and the divergent nature of the flow field in this area of the Site. According to previous interpretations (RMRS, 1997e, Plates 2 and 3), groundwater at Building 123 is expected to flow predominantly in a northeast direction with a horizontal hydraulic gradient of about 0.024 ft/ft, assuming isotropic conditions. Figure 1-4 illustrates the original potentiometric contours from 2nd quarter 1996 data presented by RMRS (1997e, Plate 2). A broad ridge-like pattern dominates the flow field in this region of the Industrial Area, which creates a poorly-defined groundwater divide just to the south of Building 123. This divide appears to exist mainly as a result of natural topographic and geologic controls, but may be influenced by major anthropogenic features, such as the 400-building complex located to the south and southeast. As this map does not account for potential flow perturbations caused by subsurface Industrial Area structures, it is necessary to examine the validity of existing potentiometric contouring in more detail prior to designing the monitoring well network.

The presence of subsurface barriers or sinks, such as building basements, foundation drains, excavations, and buried utility corridors can locally alter groundwater flow directions and lead to spreading of contaminant plumes. According to EG&G (1994), relatively few buildings in the vicinity

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 12 of 32
--	---	--

of Building 123 were constructed with foundation drains (Buildings 111, 129, 444, and 447) In general, the drains for these buildings have a small footprint and are not located immediately adjacent to Building 123 Building 460, located to the south, is notable because it was constructed 4 to 5 feet below grade (northeast corner near Building 123) and relies on a buried storm sewer system for drainage The west storm sewer line extends the entire length of the building in a north-south direction at an estimated depth of 4 to 5 feet Evidence of groundwater discharge to this line was observed on May 12, 1998, at two inlet grates located at the north and south ends of the west Building 460 sewer line At the north inlet grate, groundwater seepage was noted entering the concrete inlet structure along a seam at a depth of about 2 feet A continuous trickle of water was observed issuing from the pipe at the south inlet grate before joining with the east line and discharging to the Woman Creek drainage Additional discharge is assumed to occur through permeable bedding material that typically encloses underground lines A natural seepage area located due south of Building 664 also contributes to the drainage of the 400-complex area

Figure 1-4 illustrates a reinterpretation of second quarter 1996 potentiometric contours in the Building 123 area compared to RMRS (1997e, Plate 2) This reinterpretation accounts for the influence of building foundation drains and deeply buried storm sewer lines using floor and drain invert elevations provided in EG&G (1994), and inferred depths to water at wells 5071 and 5671 for 2nd quarter 1996 conditions Building 460, in conjunction with the storm sewer and foundation drains of other buildings in the 400-complex, appears to have slightly depressed the water table, which narrows the groundwater ridge and effectively causes the groundwater divide to shift about 200 feet northward from that originally depicted by RMRS (1997e, Plate 2) The effect of this depression is expected to be greatest during spring when water levels reach seasonal highs and interact more extensively with subsurface drainage structures Depression of the potentiometric configuration at the 400-complex is expected to result in a more eastward groundwater flow direction at Building 123 than shown in RMRS (1997e, Plate 2) Based on the available data, the potential for a southeast component of flow from Building 123 toward the 400-complex appears to be unlikely, at least for most of the year Temporary diversion of shallow groundwater flow may also occur along utility corridors (i e , water, sewer and storm drain systems) that traverse the area along the surrounding roadways at depths of up to 6 feet For example, the sanitary sewer system in the vicinity of Building 123 was identified as a probable area of sewer line infiltration during high groundwater level periods by EG&G (1994)

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 15 of 32
--	---	--

These structures are assumed to affect shallow groundwater flow only during brief, high water level periods associated with the annual spring recharge event

The nearest receiving stream for groundwater originating at Building 123 is probably South Walnut Creek, located 3,000 feet to the northeast, based on flow path analysis of potentiometric data. The groundwater flow velocity for conservative (non-reactive) constituents is estimated at 50 ft/yr, assuming a geometric mean hydraulic conductivity of 2.1×10^{-4} cm/sec (EG&G, 1995b, Table G-2), effective porosity of 0.1, and hydraulic gradient value given above. This velocity translates to a minimum contaminant travel time to surface water of about 60 years. Actual contaminant travel times can be expected to be much longer for highly retarded contaminants such as plutonium, americium, and cesium, and slightly longer for weakly retarded contaminants, such as VOCs and metals.

Building 123 lies upgradient of the known Tier II action level extent of the Industrial Area VOC groundwater plume and is distinguished as having the westernmost IHSS locations in the Industrial Area. Concentrations of contaminants greater than Tier II groundwater action levels have not been observed in wells P415989, P416289, P115489, and 4486, which monitor upgradient and downgradient groundwater quality in the general area of Building 123. The potential for building-related contaminant migration to groundwater is restricted by extensively paved areas that abut the building and function to impede infiltration and groundwater recharge. The results of a dye test conducted in 1989 on a broken process waste line (PAC 100-602) indicated dye migration into an excavation at Valve Vault 18 (DOE 1992a), thus establishing the line as potential release route to groundwater.

2.0 SAMPLING RATIONALE

Historical information detailed in Section 1.2 provides general indications of the types of compounds anticipated at each IHSS, and was used to develop a systematic sampling strategy for this investigation. The sampling rationale also accounts for the presumed direction of groundwater flow evaluated in Section 1.3 and the need for establishing background (upgradient) groundwater quality benchmarks for selected contaminants-of-concern. Monitoring well locations have been selected along groundwater flow paths associated with contaminant release areas. Groundwater sampling will be restricted to new

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 16 of 32
--	---	--

monitoring wells installed at Building 123, however, water level measurements from additional wells in the southwest quadrant of the Industrial Area will be made to strengthen groundwater flow and quality interpretations

The following conditions were considered in the development of the sampling strategy

- The operating history of Building 123 suggests that volatile organic, metal, radionuclide, cyanide, and nitrate contaminants may have been released to the environment from surface and subsurface sources,
- The physical and chemical properties of the contaminants suggest a chronic presence if released into the environment,
- Historical data indicate the presence of contaminants in quantities above the maximum background concentrations defined by Site Procedure 3-PRO-140-RSP-09 03, *Radiological Characterization of Bulk or Volume Solid Materials* and the *Background Geochemical Characterization Report* (DOE 1993), and
- Subsurface industrial area structures and operations may cause local effects on groundwater flow direction and discharge that affect monitoring system design

The conceptual model of contaminant migration to groundwater involves percolation of liquids from surface soils and subsurface drains downward through the unsaturated zone to the water table. After contaminants encounter the saturated zone, contaminant migration will proceed laterally and follow the principal direction of groundwater flow. Groundwater at Building 123 is presumed to flow in an easterly to northeasterly direction. Contaminant movement in the unsaturated and saturated zones may be retarded to various degrees by sorption, volatilization, or biodegradation, depending on the chemical behavior of the contaminant. Contaminant concentrations may also be reduced by dispersion during migration. Paved portions of the Building 123 area, which encircle much of the building to the surrounding streets, are expected to significantly impede contaminant migration from the surface, as most precipitation and surface runoff is diverted to the storm water drainage system instead of percolating through the ground surface (DOE 1992b)

3.0 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQOs)

The data quality objective process consists of seven steps and is designed to be iterative, the outputs of one step may influence prior steps and cause them to be refined. Each of the seven steps are described below for the investigative area in Figure 4-1. Data requirements to support this project were developed and are implemented in the project using criteria established in *Guidance for the Data Quality Objective Process*, QA/G-4 (EPA 1994).

3.1 State the Problem

Previous investigations of the Site have identified various types of contamination that have either been released to soils or leaked from various subsurface process lines and/or sumps. The purpose of this investigation is to determine the presence or absence of potential hazardous and/or radioactive contamination in groundwater found upgradient and downgradient of Building 123 from contaminant releases described in Section 1.2.

3.2 Identify the Decision

Groundwater

Decisions required to be made using data collected from groundwater wells and samples include

- Do contaminants of concern from Building 123 impact groundwater above the RFCAs Action Levels?
- Does D&D activity create an adverse impact to groundwater quality?
- Do water table elevations and resulting groundwater flow path interpretations reinforce the groundwater quality results?

NOTE Soil contamination will be addressed under the *Soil Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) to Characterize Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123, Revision 1, RF/RMRS-97-023*, provided under separate cover. Data collected from both investigations will be used by ER to evaluate and rank IHSSs 121 and 148.

3 3 Identify Inputs to the Decision

Inputs to the decision include radiochemical and chemical results from groundwater samples collected from newly-installed monitoring wells for comparison to RFCA Action Levels (DOE, 1997d) The parameters of interest include the analyses outlined in Table 4-2

Further inputs to the decision include water level measurements from new and existing monitoring wells, which will be used to delineate groundwater flow directions for interpretation of groundwater analytical data Land surveying of new well casing locations (± 1 foot) and elevations (± 0.01 foot) will be conducted to provide control for potentiometric contouring

3 4 Define the Boundaries

The investigative boundaries and rationale are detailed in Section 4 of this SAP

3 5 Decision Rule

If the radiochemical activities or chemical concentrations in the groundwater exceed the RFCA groundwater action levels, an evaluation of potential impacts to surface water is required

3 6 Decision Limits

Decision on further investigation will be based on the results of the ER Ranking Additional characterization, if required, will be based upon an evaluation of data collected under this SAP Well locations are based on previous hydrogeologic investigations, current-day field observations, reinterpretation of groundwater flow directions, and the location of contaminant releases and OPWLs and RCRA process lines Groundwater monitoring will be performed in accordance with this SAP and the RFETS Integrated Monitoring Plan (DOE 1997d)

3.7 Optimize the Design

In the event that further characterization is required to evaluate contaminant releases to groundwater from Building 123, the results of this investigation will be used design additional field activities, such as selection of additional well locations and refinement of the analytical parameter suite. Additional phases of field activity will be implemented under a separate SAP or the IMP.

4.0 SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND METHODOLOGY

4.1 Monitoring Well Locations and Numbering

Six (6) monitoring well locations have been chosen to monitor groundwater quality associated with Building 123. Two (2) wells will be positioned along the west side of the building to monitor upgradient groundwater quality, and four (4) wells will be positioned along the east side to monitor downgradient groundwater quality. Figure 4-1 illustrates the location of these wells with relationship to Building 123 and surrounding features. The total number and arrangement of wells reflects the spatial complexity of contaminant releases at the building and uncertainty regarding the configuration of the local groundwater flow field. Individual well locations were determined with respect to potential contaminant source areas, proposed soil sampling locations (RMRS, 1997d), and an assumed east to northeast groundwater flow direction. Well names (location codes) were assigned based on a five digit numbering system adopted by ER in 1992, with the year drilled indicated by the last two digits. The rationale for each monitoring well location is summarized in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1 Monitoring Well Location Rationale

Well Number	Location	Rationale
10098	Between Bldgs 123 and 122 at NW corner	Monitor upgradient groundwater quality
10198	Between Bldgs 123 and 122 at SW corner	Monitor upgradient groundwater quality
10298	NE corner of Bldg 123 north of Bldg 114	Monitor groundwater quality downgradient from IHSS 148 and Room 109C
10398	NE corner of Bldg 123 south of Bldg	Monitor groundwater quality downgradient

	114	from IHSS 148 and 121
10498	Between Bldgs 123 and 441 at SE corner	Monitor groundwater quality downgradient from IHSSs 121 and 148, and PACs
10598	Between Bldgs 123 and 460 at SE corner	Monitor groundwater quality downgradient from IHSS 121 and PACs

4.2 Well Design and Installation

4.2.1 Well Design

The type of monitoring wells selected for installation at Building 123 are small diameter well points that are suitable for monitoring shallow (<20 foot depth) water-bearing zones. These well points will have screens set in the uppermost 10-foot section of the saturated zone to detect for lateral migration of contaminants, based on the apparent limited use of dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs) at the facility and depth limitations of the Geoprobe. A screened interval of 6 to 16 feet is selected for all wells to account for seasonal fluctuations in water table depth, which is assumed to exist at a base level of about 6 to 7 feet below ground surface. Final depth determinations will be made in the field based on actual drilling and initial depth to water results.

All wells will be installed using conventional single casing construction methods described in GT 06, *Monitoring Well and Piezometer Installation*. Typical well construction materials will consist of 0.75 inch inner diameter (ID), schedule 40 or 80 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) riser and factory cut (0.010-inch slot width) well screen. Protective casing consisting of 2-inch ID steel riser with locking cap and lock will be set in sackrete to a depth of about 1 foot. Flush-mount protective casings may be required to avoid damage in the heavily trafficked areas around Building 123 and will be installed on a case-by-case basis.

4.2.2 Pre-Drilling Activities

Before advancing boreholes, all locations will be cleared in accordance with GT 10, *Borehole Clearing*, and marked in accordance with GT 39, *Push Subsurface Soil Sampling*. A prework radiological survey will be conducted in accordance with FO 16, *Field Radiological Measurements*.




Figure 4-1 Monitoring Well Locations

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 22 of 32
--	---	--

All necessary Health and Safety protocols will be followed in accordance with the *Building 123 Decommissioning Project Health and Safety Plan* (RF/RMRS-97-022) and addendums, as appropriate

4 2 3 Borehole Drilling and Logging

Boreholes will be drilled using push-type techniques (Geoprobe) at all proposed well locations. Detailed drilling and sampling procedures using this methodology are provided in GT 39. If probe refusal is encountered before reaching the target borehole depth, the borehole will be abandoned using procedure GT 05, *Plugging and Abandonment of Boreholes*, and an offset boring will be attempted within 3 feet of the original boring. Where pavement exists at a wellsite, a pre-cut opening will be removed before drilling starts.

Soil cores will be recovered continuously in two-foot increments using a 1-inch diameter by 24-inch long stainless steel- or lexon-lined California core barrel. Following recovery, cores will be monitored with a Flame Ionization Detector (FID) or a Photoionization Detector (PID) in accordance with Site Procedure 5-1000-OPS-FO 15, *Photoionization Detectors and Flame Ionization Detectors*, for health and safety purposes. The core samples will then be boxed and logged in accordance with GT 01, *Logging Alluvial and Bedrock Material*. All core boxes will be labeled and transferred to an ER core storage container for archiving following project completion.

4 2 4 Well Installation

Groundwater monitoring wells will be installed in accordance with GT 06, *Monitoring Wells and Piezometer Installation*. Monitoring wells will be land surveyed in accordance with GT 17, *Land Surveying*, or RFETS global positioning system manuals (Ashtech, 1993).

4 3 Well Development

Monitoring wells will be developed prior to sampling using the procedures specified in GW 02, *Well Development*, with the exception that repeated vigorous surgings followed by overpumping using a bailer may be employed to expedite borehole formation damage restoration and maximize well yields.

for groundwater sampling All water produced during well development will be handled as uncharacterized development water in accordance with FO 05, *Handling Purge and Development Water*

4 4 Sample Designation

The site standard sample numbering system will be implemented in this project Location codes have been assigned to individual wells as shown in Figure 4-1 and listed in Table 4-1 using the ER well numbering convention adopted in 1992 For each groundwater sample collected from a well, dual sample numbers will be assigned 1) a standard RIN sample number (i e , 98A000X 00X 00X) will be assigned to the project by the Analytical Services Division (ASD), and 2) an RMRS sample number (i e GW0XXXXTE) for internal sample tracking The block of sample numbers will be of sufficient size to include the entire number of possible samples (including QA samples) and location codes For the final report, the ASD and RMRS sample numbers will be cross-referenced with location codes

4 5 Sample Collection

Prior to sample collection, the water level will be measured according to GW 01, *Water Level Measurements in Wells and Piezometers*, to determine purge water requirements During the initial sampling round, water level measurements will also be taken from the following existing wells to aid in potentiometric map construction for the interpretation of groundwater quality data

P415889	P416489	P115089	P114689	P218289	46492
P415989	P416589	P114989	P115689	68194	
P416089	P416689	P114889	P414189	68294	
P416189	P416789	P115489	P313489	68394	
P416289	P416889	P115589	P320089	68494	
P416389	P419689	P114789	P314289	4486	

Groundwater samples will be collected using the methods specified in GW 05, *Field Measurement of Groundwater Field Parameters*, and GW 06, *Groundwater Sampling*, as modified for small diameter well points Wells that prove to be incapable of yielding a full sample suite during the first day of sampling will be revisited on multiple occasions to maximize sample volumes After an initial

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 24 of 32
--	---	--

sampling round is completed for all new wells, sampling of selected wells will be conducted on a semi-annual basis in support of D&D monitoring, as specified by the IMP (to be modified for Building 123 monitoring wells)

If necessary, a Health and Safety Specialist (HSS) or Radiological Control Technician (RCT) will scan each sample with a Field Instrument for the Detection of Low Energy Radiation (FIDLER) Equipment will also be monitored for radiological contamination during and after sampling activities All sampling equipment will be decontaminated with an alconox solution, and rinsed with deionized water, in accordance with Environmental Management Department (EMD) Operating Procedure 5-21000-OPS-FO 03, *General Equipment Decontamination, Section 5 3 1, Cleaning Steel or Metal Sampling Equipment Without Steam in the Field* All other sampling equipment will include standard items such as chain of custody seals and forms, logbooks, etc

Health and safety requirements will be specified in an addendum to the *Building 123 Decommissioning Project Health and Safety Plan (RF/RMRS-97-022)* Personal protective equipment (PPE), air monitoring requirements, and hazard assessments not otherwise defined in the *Building 123 PAM* will be addressed in the addendum

4 6 Sample Handling and Analysis

Samples will be handled according to *Environmental Management Department (EMD) Operating Procedures Volume/ Field Operations*, RMRS/OPS-PRO 069, *Containing, Preserving, Handling, and Shipping of Soil and Water Samples*, and OPS-FO 10, *Receiving, Labeling, and Handling of Environmental Containers*

Table 4-2 indicates the analytical requirements for each sample Samples will be submitted to an offsite, EPA-approved laboratory for analysis under a 30-day result turnaround time

Table 4-2 Analytical Requirements for Groundwater Samples

Analysis	Matrix	No of Samples/ Event	EPA Method	Container	Preservation	Holding Time
Target Analyte List (TAL) Metals	Water	6	EPA CLP plus additional metals	1 (one) 1-liter poly bottle	Field filtered (0.45 µm membrane), Cool, 4° C, HNO ₃ to pH < 2	180 Days
Target Compound List (TCL) Volatiles	Water	6	EPA 524.2	3 (three) 40 ml amber glass vials with teflon-lids	Unfiltered, cool, 4° C, HCL to pH < 2	14 days
Nitrates	Water	6	EPA 300 Methods	1 (one) 250 ml glass bottle	Cool, 4° C, H ₂ SO ₄ pH < 2	28 days
Cyanide	Water	6	EPA 9010	1 (one) 1-liter poly bottle	Unfiltered, NaOH to pH > 12, Cool, 4° C	14 days
Uranium Isotopes (U233/244, U235, and U-238)	Water	6	N/A ^a	1 (one) 500 ml poly bottle	Field filtered (0.45 µm membrane), HNO ₃ to pH < 2	180 days
Am-241, Pu-239/240	Water	6	N/A ^a	1 (one) 4-liter poly bottle	Unfiltered, HNO ₃ to pH < 2	180 days
Cs-137	Water	6	N/A ^a	1 (one) 4-liter poly bottle	Unfiltered, HNO ₃ to pH < 2	180 days
Rad Screen	Water	6	N/A ^a	1 (one) 125 ml poly bottle	Unfiltered	180 days

^a No EPA-approved method is currently in place for radionuclide analyses. However, guidance is provided in procedures defined in Environmental Monitoring Support Laboratory (EMSL)-LV 0539-17, *Radiological and Chemical Analytical Procedures for Analysis of Environmental Samples*, March 1979.

4.7 Equipment Decontamination and Waste Handling

Reusable sampling equipment will be decontaminated in accordance with procedure FO 03, *Field Decontamination Procedures*. Decontamination waters generated during the project will be managed according to procedure FO 07, *Handling of Decontamination Water and Wash Water*. Geoprobe equipment will be decontaminated following project completion using procedure FO 04, *Decontamination of Equipment at Decontamination Facilities*. Personal protective equipment will be

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 26 of 32
--	---	--

disposed of according to FO 06, *Handling of Personal Protective Equipment*

5.0 DATA MANAGEMENT

A project field logbook will be created and maintained by the project manager or designee in accordance with Site Procedure 2-S47-ER-ADM-05 15, *Use of Field Logbooks and Forms*. The logbook will include time and date of all field activities, sketch maps of sample locations, or any additional information not specifically required by the SAP. The originator will legibly sign and date each completed original hard copy of data. Appropriate field data forms will also be utilized when required by the operating procedures that govern the field activity. A peer reviewer will examine each completed original hard copy of data. Any modifications will be indicated in ink, and initialed and dated by the reviewer. Logbooks will be controlled through RMRS Document Control.

Analytical data record storage for this project will be performed by KH-ASD. Sample analytical results will be delivered directly from the laboratory to KH-ASD in an Electronic Disc Deliverable (EDD) format and archived in the Soil and Water Database (SWD). Hard copy records of laboratory results will be obtained from KH-ASD in the event that the analytical data is unavailable in EDD or SWD at the time of report preparation. Analytical results will be compiled into a sampling and analysis report in coordination with the Soil Sampling SAP results.


6.0 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

Figure 6-1 illustrates the project organization structure. The RMRS ER Groundwater Operations project manager will be the primary point of responsibility for maintaining data collection and management methods that are consistent with Site operations. Other organizations assisting with the implementation of this project are RMRS Health and Safety, RMRS Quality Assurance, RMRS Radiological Engineering, and KH-ASD.

Sampling personnel will be responsible for field data collection, documentation, and transfer of samples for analysis. Field data collections will include sampling and obtaining screening results.

Documentation will require field logs and completing appropriate forms for data management and chain-of-custody shipment. The sampling crew will coordinate sample shipment for on-site and off-site analyses through the ASD personnel. The sampling manager is responsible for verifying that chain-of-custody documents are complete and accurate before the samples are shipped to the analytical laboratories.

Figure 6.1
Building 123 Hydrogeologic Characterization
Organization Chart



7.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

All components and processes within this project will comply with the RMRS Quality Assurance Program Description RMRS-QAPD-001, 1/1/97, which is consistent with the K-H Team QA Program. The RMRS QA Program is consistent with quality requirements and guidelines mandated by the EPA, CDPHE and DOE. In general, the applicable categories of quality control are as follows:

- Quality Program,

- Training,
- Quality Improvement,
- Documents/Records,
- Work Processes,
- Design,
- Procurement,
- Inspection/Acceptance Testing,
- Management Assessments, and
- Independent Assessments

The project manager will be in direct contact with QA to identify and correct issues with potential quality affecting issues. Field sampling quality control will be conducted to ensure that data generated from all samples collected in the field for laboratory analysis represent the actual conditions in the field. The confidence levels of the data will be maintained by the collection of QC and duplicate samples, equipment rinsate samples, and trip blanks.

Duplicate samples will be collected on a frequency of one duplicate sample for every twenty real samples. Rinsate samples will be generated at a frequency of one rinsate sample for every 20 real samples collected. Trip blanks will accompany each shipment of VOC samples generated for the project. Trip blanks will not be required for samples shipped for radiochemical analysis only. Data validation will be performed on 25% of the laboratory data according to the Rocky Flats ASD, Performance Assurance Group procedures. Samples will be randomly selected from adequate surface and subsurface sample sets (RINS) by ASD personnel to fulfill data validation of 25% of the total number of VOC and radioisotopic analyses. Table 5-1 provides the QA/QC samples and frequency requirements of QA sample generation.

Table 5-1 QA/QC Sample Type, Frequency, and Quantity

Sample Type	Frequency	Comments	Quantity (estimated)
Duplicate	One duplicate for each twenty real samples		1
Rinse Blank	One rinse blank for each twenty real samples	To be performed with reusable sampling equipment following decontamination procedures	1
Trip Blank	One trip blank per shipping container	VOC analysis shipments only	1

Analytical data that is collected in support of the Building 123 Soil SAP will be evaluated using the guidance developed by the Rocky Flats Administrative Procedure 2-G32-ER-ADM-08 02, *Evaluation of ERM Data for Usability in Final Reports*. This procedure establishes the guidelines for evaluating analytical data with respect to precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability (PARCC) parameters.

A definition of PARCC parameters and the specific applications to the investigation are as follows:

Precision A quantitative measure of data quality that refers to the reproducibility or degree of agreement among replicate or duplicate measurements of a parameter. The closer the numerical values of the measurements are to each other, the lower the relative percent difference and the greater the precision. The relative percent difference (RPD) for results of duplicate and replicate samples will be tabulated according to matrix and analytical suites to compare for compliance with established precision DQOs. Specifications on repeatability are provided in Table 5-2. Deficiencies will be noted and qualified, if required.

Accuracy A quantitative measure of data quality that refers to the degree of difference between measured or calculated values and the true value of a parameter. The closer the measurement to the true value, the more accurate the measurement. The actual analytical method and detection limits will be compared with the required analytical method and detection limits for VOCs and radionuclides to assess the DQO compliance for accuracy.

Representativeness A qualitative characteristic of data quality defined by the degree to which the data absolutely and exactly represent the characteristics of a population. Representativeness is accomplished by obtaining an adequate number of samples from appropriate spatial locations within the medium of interest. The actual sample types and

quantities will be compared with those stated in the SAP or other related documents and organized by media type and analytical suite. Deviation from the required and actual parameters will be justified.

Completeness A quantitative measure of data quality expressed as the percentage of valid or acceptable data obtained from a measurement system. A completeness goal of 90% has been set for this SAP. Real samples and QC samples will be reviewed for the data usability and achievement of internal DQO usability goals. If sample data cannot be used, the non-compliance will be justified, as required.

Comparability A qualitative measure defined by the confidence with which one data set can be compared to another. Comparability will be attained through consistent use of industry standards (e.g., SW-846) and standard operating procedures, both in the field and in laboratories. Statistical tests may be used for quantitative comparison between sample sets (populations). Deficiencies will be qualified, as required. Quantitative values for PARCC parameters for the project are provided in Table 5-2.

Laboratory validation shall be performed on 25% of the characterization data collected in support of this project. Laboratory verification shall be performed on the remaining 75% of the data. Data usability shall be performed on laboratory validated data according to procedure 2-G32-ER-ADM-08 02, *Evaluation of ERM Data for Usability in Final Reports*.

Table 5-2 PARCC Parameter Summary

PARCC	Radionuclides	Non-Radionuclides
Precision	Duplicate Error Ratio ≤ 1.42	RPD $\leq 30\%$ for Organics RPD $\leq 40\%$ for Non-Organics
Accuracy	Detection Limits per method and ASD Laboratory SOW	Comparison of Laboratory Control Sample Results with Real Sample Results
Representativeness	Based on SOPs and SAP	Based on SOPs and SAP
Comparability	Based on SOPs and SAP	Based on SOPs and SAP
Completeness	90% Useable	90% Useable

Data validation will be performed according to KH-ASD procedures, but will be done after the data is used for its intended purpose. Analytical laboratories supporting this task have all passed regular laboratory audits by KH-ASD.

8.0 SCHEDULE

Well installation activities are scheduled to begin in mid to late June 1998 in coordination with soil sampling activities (see RF/RMRS-97-023). Well development and groundwater sampling will commence within one week of well completions. Measurement of water levels for potentiometric map construction will be conducted within one week of groundwater sampling. It is anticipated that all characterization data will be summarized for ER Ranking by August 17, 1998.

Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Hydrogeologic Characterization of Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123	Document Number Revision Date Page	RF/RMRS 98-246 0 (Draft Final) June 8, 1998 Page 32 of 32
---	---	--

9.0 REFERENCES

- Ashtech, 1993, *Ashtech XII GPS Receiver Operating Manual, Version 7*
- DOE 1992a, *Final Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for Operable Unit 9, Original Process Waste Lines, March*
- DOE 1992b, *Final Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for Operable Unit 13, 100 Area, October*
- DOE 1992c, *Historical Release Report for the Rocky Flats Plant, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, CO*
- DOE 1997d, *RFETS, Integrated Monitoring Plan, June*
- DOE 1993, *Background Geochemical Characterization Report, September*
- DOE 1994, *Final Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for Operable Unit 9, Technical Memorandum No 1, Volume IIA-Pipelines, November*
- DOE 1996, *Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, Final, July*
- EG&G, 1994, *Technical Memorandum No 1, Investigation of Foundation Drains, Operable Unit 8, RFP/ERM-94-00011, Rev 0*
- EG&G, 1995a, *Geologic Characterization Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Volume 1 of the Sitewide Geoscience Characterization Study, Final Report, April*
- EG&G, 1995b, *Hydrogeologic Characterization Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Volume II of the Sitewide Geoscience Characterization Study, Final Report, April*
- EG&G, 1995c, *1994 Well Abandonment and Replacement Program Final Report, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, March*
- EPA 1994, *Guidance for Data Quality Objectives Process, EPA QA/G-4, September*
- RMRS 1997a, *Proposed Action Memorandum for the Decommissioning of Building 123, May*
- RMRS 1997b, *RMRS Quality Assurance Program Description, RMRS-QAPD-001, Rev 1, January*
- RMRS 1997c, *Final Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Pre-Remedial Investigation of the Mound Site Plume, February*
- RMRS 1997d, *Soil Sampling and Analysis Plan to Characterize Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs) 121 and 148 at Building 123, RF/RMRS-97-023, Revision 1, May 14, 1998*
- RMRS, 1997e, *1996 Annual Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement (RFCA) Groundwater Monitoring Report for Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, RF/RMRS-97-087 UN, November*





Figure 1-4
Building 123
Hydrogeological Characterization
 Pokemtonic Surface of
 Unconsolidated Surficial Deposits
 (Second Quarter 1996 Data)

- Groundwater Monitoring Well
- ∇ Revised Water Level Contours (this report)
- ∇ Original Water Level Contours (RMRS 1997e Plate 2)
- ∇ Foundation Drains
- ∇ Storm Drains

Standard Map Features

- Buildings and other structures
- Lakes and ponds
- Streams ditches or other drainage features
- - - Fences and other barriers
- Paved roads
- - - Dirt roads

DATA SOURCE: Digitized from Hydrogeologic Characterization Foundation Drain, etc. Building Footprint, Foundation Drain and Groundwater Distribution System Maps, April 1996 (Rocky Mtn. Remediation Services LLC).
 CAD drawing (DWG) 1998. The location dimensions shown should only be used for general location information. The information has NOT undergone QA/QC for accuracy or completeness. Buildings, fences, hydrogeologic roads and other structures from 1994 aerial photos are digitized by EG&G RSI, Las Vegas. Digitized from the orthophotograph, 1/96.



Scale 1:2270
 1 inch represents approximately 189 feet



St. to Plains Co. d. nat. Projecto
 C I. rado C. trail Zo
 D turn NAD27

U S Department of Energy
 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site

