REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

Time Spent on Review: 10 hrs.
Return to:

Fax	Name	Ext.	Location	Name	Ext.
	R. S. Luker	7291	116	D. Barnes	5352

If questions on content, please call the SME:

Please review the attached document:

Number	Rev.	Draft	Title
	0	0	DP B371/374 RLC Surveys

Comment Due Date: 8/24/00

☒ Internal Review ☐ Parallel Review ☐ Verification ☐ Validation ☐ Revalidation

General (G) comments require resolution but do not require resolution acceptance. Mandatory (M) comments require resolution and resolution acceptance.

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
M		Gen	Cannot identify locations of media samples taken. Media sample points are not indicated on the survey maps and the locations described on RSFORM(S)-16.01-8 are not always descriptive enough to identify the sampling location(s).	All existing maps added to package. Locations without maps have a detailed written description of the sample location.	8/31/00
M	5	C	The number of media samples were reduced per Note #6. However, the total number of media samples was not changed from 5 to 3. Revise.	Corrected.	8/31/00
M	5&7	F	The number of media samples has not been changed from 4 to 2. There is no note on page 7 to specify the change. No media samples were taken from the plenum entrances/doors as specified on page 5.	Samples were redundant due to proximity. Corrected pages 2 and 5. Added change # 6 to page 7.	8/31/00
M	5	I	One of the media samples was to be taken from rooms 3327 or 3331. However, both are secured vaults and were deleted from survey instructions per note #6 of page 7. Where was the 4th media sample taken from?	The instructions state "1sample from one of rooms 3327, 3329, or 3331." The fourth media sample was therefore taken in Room 3329.	8/31/00
M	5	K	On page 5, a total of 7 paint samples were projected to be collected. However, an adjacent Note indicates to skip those samples that are not obtainable due to configuration/coating. Only 4 of 7 samples were collected. Which samples from what sample locations were skipped?	Results are detailed in the RLCR section 4.1.1.11. Samples not taken: Rm 3337 - secured vault Rm 3412 - repeat of sample taken in area J Rm 3420 - posted to exclude heat gernerating work	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

☐ No Comments

☐ This procedure revision has no impact or relevance to our discipline or organization and we waive need to concur.

Name	Signature	Ext./Pager/Fax	Bldg./Organization	Date
Richard G. Johnson		5280/6293/3029	T130B/Rad Eng	8/24/00

Concurrence

Name	Signature	Date
R. G. Johnson		8/31/00

DEC 2002
RECEIVED
RECORDS CENTER

Y29

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: DP B371/374 RLC Surveys (Number) 0 (Rev.) 0 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
M	All	DD, EE FF, GG	The entire survey data package for B371/374 roof is absent.	Packages make up PDS Survey Unit 371001. Packages provided.	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
R.G. Johnson		8/31/00		8/31/00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

Time Spent on Review: ____ hrs.
Return to:

If questions on content, please call the SME:

Fax	Name	Ext.	Location	Name	Ext.
				D. Barnes	

Number	Rev.	Draft	Title
99-0001	9/99		Characterization survey for 371/374 cluster Survey areas BB & R

Please review the attached document for technical adequacy, accuracy, completeness, and compliance with requirements.

Comment Due Date:

☐ Internal Review ☑ External Review ☐ Verification ☐ Validation ☐ Revalidation

PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
All		Pagination needs to be cleaned up, some pages replaced without new pages numbered, survey packages (maps) added without changing number of pages or the numbers on the pages. Also total number incorrect eg 1 of 10 vice 1 of 10H.		
338?	2.	The instruction requires conducting surveys in the lower left corner of the square meter location for consistency, yet the maps do not support that level of accuracy ie there are no grid marks. Casual review of the maps does not reveal the appearance of grid patterns in the marking of survey points, that is the points are not in straight lines but look random. If point shifts were required due to the presence of elevated readings, then such notation should be included.		
341?	Survey data	Survey data sheets contain write-overs that were not completed per COOP with date, initial, etc.		
341?	Survey maps	In Package R, the area description states rooms 4202, 4204, 4003, 4004 including stairway #3. The attached survey map only addresses room 4202 and stairway #3. What happened to the rest of the survey area? Was the survey performed?		
341?	Survey maps	In Package BB, the area description states Tanks 224, 225, 226, 227 in building 371/374. Are these tank numbers so unique as to be intuitively recognized as being in either B371 or B374? Also, the attached maps identify the surveyed tanks as 802, 803, 804, and 805. Are they the same tanks?		

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

☐ No Comments
☐ This procedure revision has no impact or relevance to our discipline or organization and we waive need to concur.

W. J Bair — Name
Signature — 7/17/00

Concurrence
Name

REV. 6.30.00

~~Comment~~ 8-26-00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

Time Spent on Review: ___ hrs.

If questions on content, please call the SME:

Return to:

Fax	Name	Ext.	Location	Name	Ext.
3090	Tim Scott	2093	130	Tim Scott	2093

Please review the attached document: Number: — Rev.: 0 Draft: X Title: BLDG. 371 RLCR

Comment Due Date: _____

☐ Internal Review ☐ Parallel Review ☐ Verification ☐ Validation ☐ Revalidation

General (G) comments require resolution but do not require resolution acceptance. Mandatory (M) comments require resolution and resolution acceptance.

PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION Accepted	Disposition INIT/DATE
7	2nd ¶	States most equipment will likely be disposed of as low level rad waste which seems unlikely.	DELETED "LOW LEVEL"	[initials] 8/21/00
7	1st ¶	Space omitted between "the" and "371/374"	INSERTED SPACE.	[initials] 8/21/00
12	2.1.1 3rd ¶	edit: "pipe" not pip	SPELLING CORRECTED.	[initials] 8/21/00
23	8th bullet	edit: delete one of the "the ventilation"	DELETED	[initials] 8/21/00
29	3rd ¶	5th line edit: should be from not for.	CORRECTED TO "FROM"	[initials] 8/21/00
33	2.2.2	2nd ¶ states that contamination from these events was localized within the building yet historical testimony indicates that contaminated HNO_3 spill in Rm 1117 leaked through the floor-wall joints to the soil.	SECTION REWRITTEN.	[initials] 8/21/00
113	4.1.1.25	Last ¶ How can this statement be made if the survey packets weren't complete or reviewed?	EACH SURVEY AREA LAST ¶ REWRITTEN TO MATCH ~~THAT~~ SURVEY AREA.	[initials] 8/21/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

☐ No Comments

☐ This procedure revision has no impact or relevance to our discipline or organization and we waive need to concur.

Name	Signature	Date
J W Mahatter	[signature]	
2131/3271/3407	T130BK-H Rad Eng.	7/28/00
Ext./Pager/Fax	Bldg./Organization	Date

Concurrence

Name	Signature	Date
J W Mahatter	[signature]	8-31-00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: BLDG. 371 RLCR (Number) 0 (Rev.) X (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
M	138	2nd bullet	What is the basis for the statement regarding radon as the source of the elevated activity?	FIELD EXPERIENCE, THE 690 TRAILER COMPLEX, T112B, HPS PRESENTATIONS, & THE TBD CURRENTLY BEING ROUTED ON THIS ISSUE.	8/21/00
G	12	2.1.1	Testimony indicates that some of the soil used as backfill was '69 fire contaminated from the B707 vicinity.	ADDED ~~ADDED~~ STATEMENT SPECIFYING RESOLUTION DURING PDS. 8-9-00 ? 8-17-00	8/21/00
M	101	4.1.1.17	How can an area that is minimally contaminated be considered non-contaminated? Either it is or it isn't.	INCORPORATED COMMENT INTO SURVEY AREA Z (4.1.1.26). AREA Q CONSIDERED CONTAMINATED. CORRECTED THE TEXT.	8/21/00
	7	1st Para.	Change radiological contamination to radioactive contamination in middle of paragraph.	CHANGED RADIOLOGICAL TO RADIOACTIVE.	8/21/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
J W Mahaffey		7/28/00		8-31-00

5

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Level Char. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	7	end of 1st para	add definition of Type 1, 2 + 3 facilities ~~or refer to table~~ 7/25/00	ADDED DEFINITIONS.	8-31-00
	8		Page # and Document # obliterated by binder. Page # should be 8 of 149 vs 8 of 117	ADJUSTED MARGINS. page # corrected	8-31-00
	8		To footnotes of table add: Type 3 facilities are considered to be contaminated.	ADDED TYPE 3 DEFINITION.	8-31-00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

JW Mahaffey (Name) — Signature — 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence: Signature — 8-31-00 (Date)

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Geol/Chem. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	9		In second paragraph change (DDCP) to MAN-077-DDCP	CHANGED.	8-31-00
	14		Spell out I/O and add acronym to list on page 7	SPELLED OUT 'INPUT/OUTPUT'. ADDED TO ACRONYMS. Pg 15	8/31/00
	16		add acronym DACS to list on pg 7	ADDED.	8/31/00
	16		Define acronym TGEN + add to list on pg 7	DEFINED 'TURBINE GENERATOR' AND ADDED TO ACRONYMS.	8/31/00
	17		add LS/DW to list on pg 7	ADDED LS/DW TO ACRONYMS LIST.	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J W Mahaffey (Name) — Signature — 7-28-00 (Date)

Concurrence: Signature — 8/31/00 (Date)

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Seal Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	19		Define UPS and add to list on pg 7	DEFINED ON pg 17. ADDED TO ACRONYMS	[signature] 8/31/00
	19		add NFPA to list on pg. 7	ADDED.	[signature] 8/31/00
	20		add DWS to pg 7	ADDED	[signature] 8/31/00
	25		Define OAU and add to list on pg 7	ADDED pg 26	[signature] 8/31/00
	26		add CSV to list on pg 7	ADDED	[signature] 8/31/00
	27		add TGS to list on pg 7	ADDED	[signature] 8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J W Mahaffey (Name) [signature] (Signature) 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence

[signature] (Signature) 8-31-00 (Date)

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Geo/Chem. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	28		add PROVE to list on pg 7	ADDED	8/31/00
	29		add DCHP to list on pg 7	ADDED	8/31/00
	~~30~~	~~3rd Para.~~	~~Change .35N to 0.035N~~ JWM 7/25/00		
	30	3rd para	change .35N to 0.35N.	CHANGED TO '0.35N'.	8/31/00
	31		add CWTS to list on pg 7	ADDED	8/31/00
	32		add S-R to list on pg 7	ADDED	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J.W. Mahaffey (Name) — Signature — 7-28-00 (Date)

Concurrence — Signature — 8/31/00 (Date)

9

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Seal Chara. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	33		add KOH and PVC to list on pg 7	ADDED KOH. SECTION CONTAINING PVC REFERENCE HAS BEEN DELETED.	8/31/00
	35	last para.	change over 1000 grams to about 1000 grams or approximately...	CHANGED TO APPOXIMATELY 10,000 GRAMS.	8/31/00
	40		add HEPA and SAU to list on pg 7	ADDED BOTH	8/31/00
	43	2.4.2 2nd bullet 2nd sentence	change I to It	SECTION (HISTORICAL RELEASES) DELETED AT THE PROJECT'S REQUEST. THEY FELT IT OVER-SIMPLIFIED THE HISTORY OF 371.	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

JW Malaffey (Name) [signature] (Signature) 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence: [signature] (Signature) 8/31/00 (Date)

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Geol/Chem. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	43	last sentence on pg	change who to was	SECTION DELETED. SEE PREVIOUS COMMENT.	8/31/00
	44	2nd para	add a d to change	SEE ABOVE.	8/31/00
	47	1st para	change 130 squire to 130 square	CHANGED TO 'SQUARE'	8/31/00
	48		add PAC to list on pg 7	ADDED	8/31/00
	51		add NFA to list on pg 7	ADDED	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name: J W Mahaffey Signature: J W Mahaffey Date: 7/28/00

Concurrence Signature: [illegible] Date: 8/31/00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Serial Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	59	3.2.2	add activity after alpha in 2nd sentence	ADDED 'ACTIVITY'	8/31/00
	59		next to last para. Change free releasable to candidates for unrestricted release	CHANGED	8/31/00
	60	assess. of Rad Samples 1+2	Change free releasable and free release to release for unrestricted use	CHANGED BOTH	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J W Mahaffey (Name) [signature] (Signature) 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence: [signature] (Signature) 8/31/00 (Date)

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Geo/Chem. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	60	3.2.3	Change first sentence to read: Radiological surveys including surface scans, swipes and media samples...	EDITTED SENTENCE.	8/31/00
			In the second sentence delete the phrase "and scans"	DELETED.	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J.W. Mahaffey (Name) — Signature — 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence — Signature — 8/31/00 (Date)

13

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Seal Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	~~90~~ 74	7/28/00 Table 4-3	The numbers in the total # of measurements column do not match the actual # of measurements taken as documented in the survey packages. For example, survey area I shows 80 measurements whereas the survey package for area I shows less were taken for several reasons.	PRO-475-RSP-16.01, RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY/SAMPLING PACKAGE DESIGN, PREPARATION, CONTROL, IMPLEMENTATION AND CLOSURE SECTION 7.5.2 DIRECTS THAT THE NUMBER OF SURVEY LOCATIONS BE CALCULATED (AS PER MARSSIM). THE STATISTICS SUPPORTING A 95% CONFIDENCE FACTOR ARE BASED ON THE NUMBER ~~AN~~ AND DISPERSION OF THE SURVEY LOCATIONS. db 8-4-00 => CORRECTED TABLE 4-3 TO REFLECT ALL MEASUREMENTS. WILL LEAVE INDIVIDUAL SURVEY AREA SECTIONS IN 'LOCATION' FORMAT.	JWM 8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
J W Mahaffey	J O Mahaffey	7/28/00	J O Mahaffey	8/31/00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Seal Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	74 + 90		Related to the above comment for ~~Bldg~~ 7/28/00 page 74 is the issue of how the #'s for Table 4-3 were determined. For example, on page 90, the actual	SEE pg 11.	[signature] 8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J. W. Mahaffey (Name) [signature] (Signature) 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence: [signature] (Signature) 8/31/00 (Date)

15

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Seval Chara. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	74 & 90 cont'd.		total # of measurements performed for survey area I is 18 + 30 + 7 + 10 + 10 + 26 + 30 = 131 to capture all of the measurements performed. This should be corrected for all survey areas listed in Table 4-3. ~~and in the "number of measurements~~ 7-28-00	SEE pg 11.	8/31/00
	~~78~~ 7/28/00				

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J W Whaffey (Name) [signature] (Signature) 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence: [signature] (Signature) 8/31/00 (Date)

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Seal Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	Various		In the narrative for each survey area, change the lead-in: The following measurements were collected to read: The following measurements were performed and documented.	CHANGED EACH SURVEY AREA LEAD IN.	[signature] 8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J W Mahaffey (Name) [signature] (Signature) 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence [signature] (Signature) 8/31/00 (Date)

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Level Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	Various		In the concluding paragraph for each survey area narrative, change ... survey results are summarized in the RLC ... to... survey results are included in the RLC...	CHANGED EACH SURVEY AREA TEXT.	[signature] 8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J W Mahaffey (Name) [signature] (Signature) 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence: [signature] (Signature) 8/31/00 (Date)

18

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Level Chem. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	≈75		Add another table similar to Table 4-3 and include the results of media sampler analyses as applicable to survey area(s)	~~SEE SPREADSHEET~~ 0-8-21-00 ✓ - INSERTED TABLE 4-4. ADJUSTED ALL TABLE #'S.	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J W Mahaffey (Name) — Signature — 7-28-00 (Date)

Concurrence: Signature — 8/31/00 (Date)

19

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Level Char. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	Various		as the next-to-last paragraphs of the narrative for the applicable survey areas, add paragraphs to describe the media samples required and those collected and analyzed. also include a summary of results similar to that for contamination surveys.	DONE	JM 8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
JW Mahaffey	JW Mahaffey	7/28/00	JW Mahaffey	8/31/00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Level Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	Various	Jm 7/28/00 Jm 7/28	most of the concluding paragraphs of survey area narratives state that survey req'ts ~~for the~~ were met or exceeded. This statement is made regardless of whether the ~~samples~~ surveys taken match those required. These paragraphs need to be revised	REVISED EACH PARAGRAPH. ADDITIONAL SURVEYS WILL BE REQUIRED IN SOME SURVEY AREAS DURING IPS OR PDS ONCE THE INTERFERING SOURCE MATERIALS HAVE BEEN REMOVED.	Jm 8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
J W Mahaffey	[signature]	7/28/00	[signature]	8/31/00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Geol Char. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
			to state why the results are acceptable if the completed measurements don't match the required measurements. This will also apply to the media sample analyses results when incorporated into the reports.	SEE pg 18.	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
J. W. Mahaffey	J. W. Mahaffey	7/28/00	J. W. Mahaffey	8-31-00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Level Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	90	middle of page	The reason why only 18 of the 30 required unbiased random total surface activity measurements were taken is: High alpha backgrounds associated with waste storage and contaminated equipment precluded more direct readings. This is not accurate and should be revised to address gamma effects on the alpha instrument.	EXPANDED ~~PARAGRAPH~~ PARAGRAPHS TO BETTER EXPLAIN THAT HIGH γ BACKGROUNDS PRODUCE A HIGH α COINCIDENCE METER READING. 8-9-00	[signature] 8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
J W Mahoffey	[signature]	7/28/00	[signature]	8-31-00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Seal Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
			This correction should also be made to the other survey area narratives as applicable.	SEE PAGE 20.	[signature] 8-31-00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J. W. Mahaffey (Name) [signature] (Signature) 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence

[signature] (Signature) 8-31-00 (Date)

24

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Level Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	Various		The various survey areas sections of the report do not address the situation where some of the rooms required to be surveyed have not been surveyed for various reasons. The report should identify these areas along with the rationale for why	DELETED ROOMS 3327 & 3331 FROM SURVEY AREA I. DELETED ROOM 3606 FROM SURVEY AREA L INSTRUCTIONS. ADDED STATEMENTS THROUGH OUT SECTION 4 WHERE ADDITIONAL CHARACTERIZATION SURVEYS WILL BE NECESSARY.	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
J W Mahaffey	J Mahaffey	7/28/00	J Mahaffey	8/31/00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Final Chara. Report 371 Cluster 0 July 17 2000
Number Rev. Draft

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
			it will be acceptable to not include these areas or identify how the areas will be dispositioned (eg, surveyed at a later date). An example of this issue involves Survey area I where by the survey package states that two rooms (3327 + 3331), which	SEE pg 22.	

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Concurrence Date
J W Richards	[signature]	7/28/00	[signature]	8/5/00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Level Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
			were required to be surveyed, were not surveyed due to "being secured vaults". However, the report does not reflect that these rooms were not surveyed. Same comment for Survey area L Room 3606.	SEE PG 22.	8/31/00
	76		add DCGLw to the list on page 7	ADDED DCGLw & DCGLEMC	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
J W Mahaffey	J W Mahaffey	7/28/00	J W Mahaffey	8/31/00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconnaissance Geo/Chem. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	146		add title for IWEP TO102338	TITLE WAS INADVERTANTLY ON A SEPARATE LINE. RECOMBINED THE TWO.	8/31/00
	146		If the last diamond is a procedure capitalize accordingly and include it in the list of references on page 149. If not, delete this item or include in narrative	DELETED	8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

Name	Signature	Date	Concurrence Signature	Date
J W Mahoffey	J W Mahoffey	7/28/00	J W Mahoffey	8/31/00

REVIEW COMMENT SHEET (continued)

Review comments for document: Reconaissance Level Charac. Report 371 Cluster (Number) 0 (Rev.) July 17 2000 (Draft)

TYPE G or M	PAGE	SECTION OR LINE #	COMMENT	DISPOSITION	Disposition Accepted INIT/DATE
	149		add the following to the list of references:		
			• RFETS Radiological control manual	ADDED.	[illegible] 8/31/00
			• 3-PRO-165-07.02, Contamination Monitoring Requirements	ADDED.	[illegible] 8/31/00
			• MAN-077-DDCP, D- + D- Characterization Protocol	ADDED.	[illegible] 8/31/00
			• Those items listed on page 146 in diamonds 1-7	ADDED.	[illegible] 8/31/00

POC/Reviewer: (Comments not signed by POC/Reviewer will be considered unofficial and not subject to resolution)

J W Mahaffey (Name) [signature] (Signature) 7/28/00 (Date)

Concurrence

[signature] (Signature) 8/31/00 (Date)

29/29