

**Robbins, Jan**

**From:** Deck, Carol  
**Sent:** Monday, May 10, 2004 8 59 AM  
**To:** CERCLA AR  
**Subject:** FW 500-4 object

[please include this email exchange in the AR, associated with the 500-04 ER Contact Record dated April 20, 2004 ]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Primrose, Annette  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 22, 2004 5 13 PM  
**To:** Gary Kleeman  
**Cc:** Ruthven, Mark A , Demos, Nick, #ER Contact Records  
**Subject:** RE 500-4 object

According to the diggers, this was a very small anomaly. It is most likely a small piece of debris, a nail or something similar. Because of the small size, it cannot easily be found with the geoprobe.


-----Original Message-----

**From:** Gary Kleeman  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 21, 2004 1 08 PM  
**To:** Primrose, Annette  
**Cc:** Ruthven, Mark A, Demos, Nick, #ER Contact Records  
**Subject:** Re 500-4 object

If you did not find a metallic object in the target zone, what is your explanation for the anomaly identified by the geophysical survey and magnetometer? gk

"Primrose,  
Annette" To #ER Contact Records  
<[#ERContactRecords@rfets.gov](mailto:#ERContactRecords@rfets.gov)>, "Demos, Nick"  
<Annette.Primrose@rfets.gov>, <[Nick.Demos@rfets.gov](mailto:Nick.Demos@rfets.gov)>, "Ruthven, Mark A "  
<[Mark.Ruthven@rfets.gov](mailto:Mark.Ruthven@rfets.gov)>  
@rfets.gov> cc  
Subject: 500-4 object  
04/20/2004 04 18  
PM

Attached is the contact record for the potential buried object at 500-4



ADMIN RECORD

IA-A-002103

<<500-4 object 4-20-04 doc>>

Annette Primrose  
x 4385 cell (303) 994-2761

(See attached file 500-4 object 4-20-04 doc) << File 500-4 object 4-20-04 doc >>