

Scott R. Grace
April 27, 1994
94-RF-04850
Page 2

Therefore, EG&G's recommended approach assures consistency between all of the above OUs. Secondly, the cost and schedule impacts of implementing EG&G's recommendation would be minimal at this point in time. If EG&G/DOE are asked to incorporate the 1993 Background Report and the Gilbert methodology into the COC process at a later date, cost and schedule impacts would be substantial.

EG&G would like to proceed with the above recommendations as soon as possible. If EG&G does not receive comments by May 2, 1994, it will be assumed that DOE concurs. Otherwise there would be a day-for-day slip in the COC TM which corresponds to a day-for-day slip in the OU 2 RFI/RI Report and the OU 2 Corrective Measures Study/Feasibility Study Report. If you have any comments or questions, please contact me at extension 8702 or Rick Roberts at extension 8508. I look forward to your response.



Peter J. Laurin
Operable Unit 2 Project Manager
Remediation Project Management
EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc.

RSR:jlrm

Orig. and 1 cc - S. R. Grace

cc:

E. A. Dillé - Aguirre Engineers
K. Power - Woodward Clyde, Inc.