



000022679

**ENGINEERING-SCIENCE, INC.**

1700 Broadway, Suite 900 Denver, Colorado 80290  
phone: (303) 831-8100 • telecopy (303) 831-8208

**MEETING NOTES**

**TO:** Distribution

**DATE:** February 22, 1994

**FROM:** Philip Nixon

**MEMO #:** SP307:030294:01

**PROJECT #:** Solar Pond IM/IRA

**ATTENDANCE:**

- Randy Ogg, EG&G
- Phil Nixon, ES
- Richard Henry, ES
- Dave Ericson, EG&G
- Lee Pivonka, G&M
- Mark Austin, EG&G
- Steve Howard, DOE/SMS
- Shaleigh Whitsell, PRC
- Harlen Ainscough, CDH

**DISTRIBUTION:**

- Attendees
- L. Benson, ES
- A. Conklin, ES
- P. Breen, ES
- K. Cutter, ES
- S. Stenseng, ES
- A. Fricke, ES
- T. Kuykendall, ES
- T. Evans, ES
- B. Cropper, ES
- C. Montes, ES
- R. McConn, ES
- W. Edmonson, ES
- B. Wallace EG&G (Admin. Record) (2)
- S. Hughes, ES
- K. London, EG&G
- Martin McBride, DOE
- Helen Belencan, DOE
- Harry Heidkamp, ES
- Ted Kearns, DOE/KMI
- Andy Ledford, EG&G

**DISTRIBUTION (CONT.)**

- Steve Cooke, EG&G
- Joe Schieffelin, CDH
- Steve Keith, EG&G
- Dave Myers, ES
- R. Wilkinson, ES
- S. Winston, ES
- Kim Ruger, EG&G
- Michelle McKee, EG&G
- Marcia Dibiasi, IGO
- Rich Stegen, ES
- Cindy Gee, ES
- Alan MacGregor, ERM
- Bob Siegrist, LATO
- John Rampe, DOE
- Kevin Loos, DOE
- Steve Paris, EG&G
- Scott Surovchak, DOE
- Frazer Lockhart, DOE
- John Haasbeek, ERM
- Toni Moore, EG&G
- Arturo Duran, EPA
- Peg Witherill, DOE



**SUBJECT:** Weekly Status Meeting

### **1) Review of Meeting Minutes**

Harlen Ainscough indicated that the CDH will allow the point of compliance (POC) along the northeastern toe of the engineered cover (downgradient) to be the point where the leachate concentrations from the saturated VLEACH modeling are compared to ground water protection standards. This will permit DOE to allow for contaminant flow and transport from under the engineered cover to the first area where a well could conceivably be drilled.

Lee Pivonka expressed that the meeting minutes for the meeting on February 15, 1994 should be clarified to state that column leaching tests would be required for all the COCs to determine contaminant-specific  $K_d$  values.

### **2.) Submittal of the Phase II Workplan (Part VI)**

Cindy Gee submitted the Phase II workplan (IM/IRA Part VI) to the working group for roundtable review. Cindy specified that the Sections 1-5 were complete. These sections discuss the field sampling plan. Sections 6-11 are generally in an expanded outline format. Randy Ogg has approved of the expanded outline format for these sections since the work schedule was cut by 5 weeks due to difficulties in the contract/procurement cycle. Cindy Gee indicated that the outlined sections will be completed by the April 14, 1994 submittal. None of the Appendices were submitted for review with the round table draft.

### **3.) Comments on Part I of the IM/IRA**

CDH, EPA, DOE, EG&G, and ERM/G&M all provided ES with comments on Part I of the IM/IRA-EA decision document. Each group discussed the comments that were considered to be necessary. In general, the necessary comments can be summarized as:

- Addition of further detail in the SEP history and environmental characteristics sections.
- Clean up some of the figures for readability (primarily those originating from EG&G documents where a clean original copy was not available). Mark Austin indicated that he could help ES get better copies of these figures.
- Establish a consistent definition of the upper and lower hydrostratigraphic units.
- Provide additional references in detailed discussions that may have originated from previous documents.
- Add a discussion explaining that some historical data was accepted for use because it was anticipated that it could be valid, whereas other historical data was rejected because it would not likely ever be validated.

- The distinction between the Phase I and Phase II programs should be made at least once in the introduction because these phases are included in the IAG.



---

Philip Nixon, Project Manager