

Sally

JUN 14 1992

Rocky Flats Plant

MAY
1992

ER-4180110-212

Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report

**ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLICATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS:**

K. T. Wanebo, Coordinator
D.B. Costain, Manager
D.A. Cirincione, Technical Editor
A.M. Winzent-Dichard, Desktop Publisher

CONTRIBUTORS:

S.K. Andrews
B.M. Bowen
L.A. Dunstan
M.L. Johnson
T.G. Kalivas
General Laboratories
H&S Laboratories

 **EG&G ROCKY FLATS**

EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc.
Rocky Flats Plant
P.O. Box 464
Golden, Colorado 80402-0464

A prime contractor to the
United States Department of Energy

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Executive Summary | iii |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Site Meteorology and Climatology | 27 |
| Appendix A - Radiation Standards for Protection of the Public | 31 |
| Appendix B - National Pollution Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement Volatile Organic Compounds | 35 |
| Appendix C - Colorado Water Quality Control Commission Standards | 37 |
| Appendix D - Corrections and Updates for Previously Reported Information | 39 |
| Distribution | 55 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1 - Plutonium and Americium Airborne Effluent Data | 4 |
| Table 2 - Uranium Airborne Effluent Data | 5 |
| Table 3 - Tritium and Beryllium Airborne Effluent Data | 6 |
| Table 4 - Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers | 7 |
| Table 5 - Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers | 8 |
| Table 6 - Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers | 9 |
| Table 7 - Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium | 12 |
| Table 8 - Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium | 13 |
| Table 9 - Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium | 15 |
| Table 10 - Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium | 16 |
| Table 11 - Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritium | 17 |
| Table 12 - Offsite Water Sample Results - Nitrate as Nitrogen | 18 |
| Table 13 - NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results | 19 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 14 - NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring | 21 |
| Table 15 - Water Sample Results, Nonradioactive Parameters | 23 |
| Table 16 - Daily Flow Data Recorded at the Walnut Creek at Indiana Gaging Station Ponds A-4 and B-5 | 24 |
| Table 17 - Daily Flow Data Recorded at Ponds C-1 and C-2 (Woman Creek) | 25 |
| Table 18 - Daily Transfer Flow Data Recorded for Pond B-5 to Pond A-4 | 26 |
| Table 19 - Rocky Flats Plant Wind Direction Frequency (Percent) by Four Wind-Speed Classes | 28 |
| Table 20 Climatic Summary | 29 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1 - Location of Onsite and Perimeter Air Samplers | 10 |
| Figure 2 - Location of Community Air Samplers | 11 |
| Figure 3 - Holding Pond and Liquid Effluent Water Courses | 14 |

**May
1992**

Executive Summary

The Rocky Flats Plant has been part of a nationwide Department of Energy complex for the research, development, and production of nuclear weapons. The plant was responsible for fabricating nuclear weapons components from plutonium, uranium, beryllium, and stainless steel. The primary production activities included metal fabrication and assembly, chemical recovery and purification of process-produced transuranic radionuclides, and related quality control functions.

This mission changed with the announcement in early 1992 that certain planned weapons systems had been canceled. Rocky Flats Plant is no longer a weapons component production facility. The plant is now in a transition phase into decontamination and disposition (D&D). Primary objectives of this new mission include meeting and maintaining environmental regulatory requirements as well as effecting proper D&D steps, which are under development.

Because radioactive and chemically hazardous materials may be used or handled at the Rocky Flats Plant for transition purposes, the plant maintains an extensive environmental protection program. Included in that program is regular monitoring for radioactive and hazardous constituents at onsite, plant boundary, and offsite locations. This Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report provides a monthly summary of environmental monitoring data collected by the Rocky Flats Plant. Summarized below are highlights from the major data categories presented. Remaining data presented in this report are within the ranges historically measured for their respective parameters and locations.

Radiation standards for protection of the public are discussed in Appendix A of this report. The primary standards are based on calculations of radiation dose. These calculations are performed annually using monitoring data presented in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report. Radiation doses to the public from Rocky Flats Plant operations are typically well below any regulatory limit and far less than are received from naturally occurring radiation sources in the Denver metropolitan area (see Appendix A).

May 1992 Monitoring Data - Environmental monitoring data for the month of May is limited because of ongoing difficulties with the data management system software and a shut-down of the

123 Laboratory. This shut-down occurred on June 4, 1992, as a result of maintenance and repair work initiated on process waste lines in the building. This repair work has not yet been completed.

Total long-lived alpha and beta activity screening, performed on air effluent sample filters and surface water discharge samples prior to radiochemical processing and analysis, has not been affected by the 123 Laboratory shut-down, and is continuing on schedule. The results of this screening for May are within normally expected ranges.

Data representing the surface water isotope specific analysis for May were drawn from one grab sample taken on May 14, 1992, taken from each of the following: Great Western Reservoir, Standley Lake, Westminster, Broomfield, and Boulder. This decreased sampling frequency from one grab per week to one grab per month had been approved during the April 28, 1992, State Exchange Meeting. However, the cities of Broomfield and Westminster later requested that weekly sampling be re-instated. This request was made during the May 26, 1992, State Exchange Meeting. Consequently, beginning with June 1992 sampling, weekly grab samples were collected for a monthly composite. The frequency for sample collection at the locations stated above will remain weekly, with one exception: the frequency for sample collection for Boulder will be quarterly. This will be in line with collections taken from other community tap water sources.

Building 371 Spill Data - Sampling done after radioactive water spilled from a failed process liquid transfer line on May 24, 1992, inside Building 371 showed no indication of a release to the environment. Analysis of the water collected from inside the building measured approximately 1.5 microcuries per liter of transuranic material. Environmental screening at the footing drain for Building 371 and at the westernmost sampling location of North Walnut Creek have not indicated any elevated radioactivity.

Ambient Air Monitoring Results - Data reported previously for February plutonium concentrations in ambient air were elevated above normal levels for onsite samplers S-06, S-07, S-08, and S-09. Data reported herein for these same samplers for the months of March and April are substantially lower than the

February concentrations. It is believed that construction of new sludgebeds for the sewage treatment plant and recent Operable Unit 2 remediation activities may have contributed to the elevated values observed in the February samples.

**May
1992**

Rocky Flats Plant Environmental Monitoring Report

Introduction

This report summarizes the effluent and environmental monitoring programs at the Rocky Flats Plant (RFP) for the month of May 1992. The data presented herein reflect the best information available to the RFP at this time. Should subsequent analyses indicate that any data presented herein are inaccurate or misleading, appropriate revisions will be issued promptly.

Tables 1 through 3 show monitoring results for radioactive and nonradioactive airborne effluents continuously sampled from plant buildings. Tables 4 through 6 summarize environmental monitoring data from the RFP ambient air sampling network. This network is comprised of continuously operating outdoor air samplers located on plantsite, around the plant boundary, and in neighboring communities.

Water sampling results for radioactive constituents are given in Tables 7 through 11. Results are summarized for plant surface water control ponds, for nearby drinking water reservoirs, and for tap water for neighboring communities. Nitrate monitoring for Great Western Reservoir and Standley Lake, the two drinking water reservoirs that can receive surface water discharges from the plant, are summarized in Table 12. Surface water discharges from RFP currently are being diverted around these drinking water reservoirs.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has issued to the plant a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for control of surface water discharges. Water sampling results associated with the NPDES permit, as modified by a March 25, 1991, Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (FFCA) with EPA, are reported in Table 13. Applicable NPDES/FFCA limits are included in Table 13 for comparison. Monitoring results for which no limits have been established under the NPDES/FFCA are reported in Table 14. Appendix B

lists the volatile organic compounds for which monitoring is required under the NPDES/FFCA. Analytical results for nonradioactive parameters in water at the Walnut Creek at Indiana Street location are summarized in Table 15. Daily flow data for surface water from the two plant drainage systems (Walnut Creek and Woman Creek) are given in Tables 16 and 17. Daily flow data for water transferred from Pond B-5 to Pond A-4, for subsequent discharge offsite, are given in Table 18. Meteorological data are given in Tables 19 and 20.

Appendix D contains corrections and updates on previously reported information.

Error terms in the form of "a±b" are included with some of the data. For a single sample, "a" is the analytical-blank corrected value; for multiple samples it represents the arithmetic mean, the volume-weighted mean, or the annual total, as indicated in the table. The error term "b" accounts for the propagated statistical counting uncertainty of the sample(s) and the associated analytical blanks at the 95 percent confidence level. These error terms represent a minimum estimate of error for the data.

Plutonium, uranium, americium, tritium, and beryllium measured concentrations are given in this report. Most of the measured concentrations are at or very near background levels, and often there is little or no amount of these materials in the media being analyzed. When this occurs, the results of the laboratory analyses can be expected to show a statistical distribution of positive and negative numbers near zero and numbers that are less than the calculated minimum detectable concentration for the analyses. The laboratory analytical blanks, used to correct for background contributions to the measurements, show a similar statistical distribution around their average values. Negative sample values result when the measured value for a laboratory analytical blank is subtracted from a sample analytical result smaller than the analytical blank value. Results that are less than calculated minimum detectable levels indicate that the results are below the level of statistical confidence in the actual numerical values. All reported results - including negative values and values that are less than minimum detectable levels - are included in any arithmetic calculations on the data set. Reporting all values allows all of the data to be evaluated using appropriate statistical treatment. This assists in identifying any

bias in the analyses, allows better evaluation of distributions and trends in environmental data, and helps in estimating the true sensitivity of the measurement process.

The reader should use caution in interpreting individual values that are negative or less than minimum detectable levels. A negative value has no physical significance. Values less than minimum detectable levels lack statistical confidence as to what the actual number is, although it is known with high confidence that it is below the specified detection level. Such values should not be interpreted as being the actual amount of material in the sample, but should be seen as reflecting a range (from zero to the minimum detectable level) in which the actual amount would likely lie. These values are significant, however, when taken together with other analytical results that indicate that the distribution is near zero.

The data provided in this report are provided as a matter of courtesy and should not be construed as an application for a permit or license, or in support of such an application. Approval of the Department of Energy should be obtained before publication of any data contained in this report.

Abbreviations used within this report are as defined.

Abbreviations

| | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|
| C Average | Average concentration |
| C Maximum | Maximum concentration |
| C Minimum | Minimum concentration |
| m ³ | Cubic meter |
| m/s | Meters per second |
| mCi | Millicurie |
| mg/l | Milligrams per liter |
| mrem | Millirem |
| pCi/l | Picocuries per liter |
| pCi/m ³ | Picocuries per cubic meter |
| pH | Hydrogen ion concentration |
| SU | Standard Unit |
| µg/m ³ | Micrograms per cubic meter |
| #/100 ml | Number per 100 milliliter |
| µCi | Microcurie |

Table 1

Plutonium and Americium Airborne Effluent Data

| Month | Plutonium-239, -240 (04/13/92 - 05/14/92) | | Americium-241 (04/13/92 - 05/14/92) | |
|--------------|--|---|--|---|
| | Release (μCi) | C Maximum (pCi/m^3) | Release (μCi) | C Maximum (pCi/m^3) |
| 1991 | | | | |
| Year to Date | 0.843 \pm 0.167 | 0.0030 \pm 0.0006 | 0.1500 \pm 0.0680 | 0.0006 \pm 0.0001 |
| 1992 | | | | |
| January | 0.031 \pm 0.011 ^a | 0.0005 \pm 0.0001 ^a | 0.0103 \pm 0.0056 ^a | 0.0003 \pm 0.0001 ^a |
| February | 0.024 \pm 0.007 ^a | 0.0002 \pm 0.0000 ^a | 0.0090 \pm 0.0061 ^a | 0.0003 \pm 0.0001 ^a |
| March | 0.026 \pm 0.006 ^b | 0.0002 \pm 0.0001 ^b | 0.024 \pm 0.005 ^c | 0.0012 \pm 0.0002 |
| April | 0.023 \pm 0.007 ^d | 0.0001 \pm 0.0000 | e | e |
| May | e | e | | |

- a Previously reported as incomplete analysis.
- b Nine locations missing because of incomplete lab analysis.
- c Six locations missing because of incomplete lab analysis.
- d Four locations missing because of incomplete lab analysis.
- e Incomplete laboratory analysis.

Table 2

Uranium Airborne Effluent Data

| Month | Uranium-233, -234 (04/14/92 - 05/15/92) | | | | Uranium-238 (04/14/92 - 05/15/92) | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| | Release (μCi) | | C Maximum (pCi/m^3) | | Release (μCi) | | C Maximum (pCi/m^3) | |
| 1991 | | | | | | | | |
| Year to Date | 0.629 | \pm 0.233 | 0.0001 | \pm 0.0001 | 1.002 | \pm 0.235 | 0.0005 | \pm 0.0002 |
| 1992 | | | | | | | | |
| January | -0.1012 | \pm 0.014 ^a | 0.0001 | \pm 0.0000 ^a | 0.046 | \pm 0.016 ^a | 0.0001 | \pm 0.0001 ^a |
| February | 0.0407 | \pm 0.019 ^a | 0.0001 | \pm 0.0000 ^a | 0.115 | \pm 0.024 ^a | 0.0004 | \pm 0.0001 ^a |
| March | 0.036 | \pm 0.013 ^b | 0.0001 | \pm 0.0000 | 0.071 | \pm 0.013 ^b | 0.0007 | \pm 0.0002 |
| April | 0.039 | \pm 0.019 ^c | 0.0001 | \pm 0.0000 | 0.065 | \pm 0.020 ^c | 0.0001 | \pm 0.0000 |
| May | | d | | d | | d | | d |

- a Previously reported as incomplete analysis.
- b Ten locations missing because of incomplete lab analysis.
- c Twelve locations missing because of incomplete lab analysis.
- d Incomplete laboratory analysis.

Table 3

Tritium and Beryllium Airborne Effluent Data

| Month | Tritium, H-3 (05/01/92 - 05/30/92) | | Beryllium (04/13/92 - 05/15/92) | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Release (mCi) | C Maximum (pCi/m ³) | Release (grams) | C Maximum (ug/m ³) |
| 1991 | | | | |
| Year to Date | 4.760 | 94 ± 55 | 1.2538 ± 0.083 | 0.00184 |
| 1992 | | | | |
| January | 0.129 | 34 ± 9 ^a | 0.0485 ± 0.011 ^a | 0.00042 ^a |
| February | 0.090 | 28 ± 15 ^a | 0.0496 ± 0.009 ^a | 0.00019 ^a |
| March | | b | b | |
| April | | b | b | |
| May | | b | b | |

NOTE: Beryllium measured at the remaining 44 locations was below the screening level of 0.1 gram per month. Beryllium emissions from Rocky Flats Plant are regulated by the State of Colorado under Colorado Air Quality Control Regulation #8. The limit for beryllium air emissions is 10 grams per stationary source in a 24-hour period.

The calibration methodology for the beryllium analyses was changed beginning with the September 1990 samples to improve quality assurance. The previous procedure used the single-point, "simple method of additions," one of the methods recommended by the manufacturer of the graphite furnace atomic absorption analytical equipment. The current method is based on EPA Contract Laboratory Program protocol. It uses multi-point calibration curves, periodic validation of the curve with EPA validation standards, and periodic blank and sample checks to assure absence of equipment contamination and matrix effects during the analysis. No blank corrections are made to any beryllium data.

- ^a Previously reported as incomplete analysis.
- ^b Incomplete lab analysis.

Table 4

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers

(04/27/92 - 05/25/92)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Number Composited Monthly Samples</u> | <u>Volume (m³)</u> | <u>Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³)</u> | <u>± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m³)</u> |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| S-01a | | | | |
| S-02a | | | | |
| S-03a | | | | |
| S-04a | | | | |
| S-05a | | | | |
| S-06a | | | | |
| S-07a | | | | |
| S-08a | | | | |
| S-09a | | | | |
| S-10a | | | | |
| S-11a | | | | |
| S-13a | | | | |
| S-14a | | | | |
| S-16a | | | | |
| S-17a | | | | |
| S-18a | | | | |
| S-19a | | | | |
| S-20a | | | | |
| S-21a | | | | |
| S-22a | | | | |
| S-23a | | | | |
| S-24a | | | | |
| S-25a | | | | |
| S-81a | | | | |

^a Incomplete laboratory analysis.

Table 5

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers

(04/21/92 - 05/19/92)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Number Composited Monthly Samples</u> | <u>Volume (m³)</u> | <u>Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³)</u> | <u>± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m³)</u> |
|-------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| S-31 ^a | | | | |
| S-32 ^a | | | | |
| S-33 ^a | | | | |
| S-34 ^a | | | | |
| S-35 ^a | | | | |
| S-36 ^a | | | | |
| S-37 ^a | | | | |
| S-38 ^a | | | | |
| S-39 ^a | | | | |
| S-40 ^a | | | | |
| S-41 ^a | | | | |
| S-42 ^a | | | | |
| S-43 ^a | | | | |
| S-44 ^a | | | | |

^a Incomplete laboratory analysis.

Table 6**Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers****(04/22/92 - 05/20/92)**

| Location | Community Name | Number Composited Monthly Samples | Volume (m³) | Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³) | ± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m³) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
| S-51 ^a | Marshall | | | | |
| S-52 ^a | Jeffco Airport | | | | |
| S-53 ^a | Superior | | | | |
| S-54 ^a | Boulder | | | | |
| S-55 ^b | Lafayette | | | | |
| S-56 ^a | Broomfield | | | | |
| S-57 ^b | Walnut Creek | | | | |
| S-58 ^a | Wagner | | | | |
| S-59 ^a | Leyden | | | | |
| S-60 ^a | Westminster | | | | |
| S-61 ^c | Denver | | | | |
| S-62 ^a | Golden | | | | |
| S-68 ^a | Lakeview Pointe | | | | |
| S-73 ^a | Cotton Creek | | | | |

a Incomplete laboratory analysis.

b This sampler was damaged beyond repair and must be replaced.

c Sampler S-61 located in Denver was inoperative during this period. This sampler has been temporarily removed because of construction activities on the building where it is installed.




Figure 1: Location of Onsite and Perimeter Air Samplers




Figure 2: Location of Community Air Samplers

Table 7

Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Plutonium-239, -240</u> | <u>Americium-241</u> |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| <u>Pond A-4</u> | | |
| 05/23/92 - 05/29/92 | a | a |
| 05/30/92 - 06/05/92 | a | a |
| Volume weighted average concentration | a | a |
| <u>Pond B-5 - No discharge</u> | | |
| <u>Pond C-1</u> | | |
| 05/02/92 - 05/08/92 | 0.010 ± 0.003 | 0.004 ± 0.002 |
| 05/09/92 - 05/15/92 | a | a |
| 05/16/92 - 05/22/92 | a | a |
| 05/23/92 - 05/29/92 | a | a |
| 05/30/92 - 06/05/92 | a | a |
| Average concentration | a | a |
| <u>Pond C-2 - No discharge</u> | | |
| <u>Walnut Creek at Indiana</u> | | |
| 05/23/92 - 05/29/92 | a | a |
| 05/30/92 - 06/05/92 | a | a |
| Volume weighted average concentration | a | a |

a Incomplete lab analysis.

Table 8

Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Uranium-233, -234</u> | | <u>Uranium-238</u> | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|
| <u>Pond A-4</u> | | | | |
| 05/23/92 - 05/29/92 | a | | a | |
| 05/30/92 - 06/05/92 | a | | a | |
| Volume weighted average concentration | a | | a | |
| <u>Pond B-5 - No discharge</u> | | | | |
| <u>Pond C-1</u> | | | | |
| 05/02/92 - 05/08/92 | 0.84 | ± 0.12 | 0.57 | ± 0.09 |
| 05/09/92 - 05/15/92 | 0.61 | ± 0.10 | 0.56 | ± 0.09 |
| 05/16/92 - 05/22/92 | a | | a | |
| 05/23/92 - 05/29/92 | a | | a | |
| 05/30/92 - 06/05/92 | a | | a | |
| Average concentration | a | | a | |
| <u>Pond C-2 - No discharge</u> | | | | |
| <u>Walnut Creek at Indiana</u> | | | | |
| 05/23/92 - 05/29/92 | a | | a | |
| 05/30/92 - 06/05/92 | a | | a | |
| Volume weighted average concentration | a | | a | |

a Incomplete lab analysis.




Figure 3: Holding Pond and Liquid Effluent Water Courses

Table 9

Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Number of Samples</u> | <u>Reservoirs (pCi/l)</u> | | <u>Americium-241</u> |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------------------|
| | | <u>Plutonium-239, -240</u> | | |
| Great Western | 1a | 0.005 | ± 0.003 | b |
| Standley Lake | 1a | 0.001 | ± 0.002 | b |
| Community Tap Water (pCi/l) | | | | |
| Boulder | 1a | 0.000 | ± 0.002 | b |
| Broomfield | 1a | 0.002 | ± 0.002 | b |
| Westminster | 1a | 0.001 | ± 0.002 | b |

a Plutonium and americium analyses are performed on one sample collected on 05/14/92.
b Incomplete lab analysis.

Table 10

Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

| | | Reservoirs (pCi/l) | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|
| <u>Location</u> | <u>Number of Samples</u> | <u>Uranium-233, -234</u> | | <u>Uranium-238</u> | |
| Great Western | 1 ^a | | b | | |
| Standley Lake | 1 ^a | 0.53 | ± 0.10 | 0.51 | ± 0.09 |
| | | Community Tap Water (pCi/l) | | | |
| Boulder | 1 ^a | -0.20 | ± 0.04 | -0.17 | ± 0.04 |
| Broomfield | 1 ^a | 0.05 | ± 0.06 | -0.02 | ± 0.04 |
| Westminster | 1 ^a | 0.03 | ± 0.06 | -0.04 | ± 0.04 |

a Uranium analysis are performed on one sample collected 05/14/92.
 b Incomplete lab analysis.

Table 11

Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritium

| Tritium (pCi/l) | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| <u>Location</u> | <u>Number of Samples</u> | <u>C Minimum</u> | <u>C Maximum</u> | <u>C Average</u> |
| Pond A-4 ^b | 9 | a | a | a |
| Pond C-1 | 4 | a | a | a |
| Boulder ^c | 1 | a | a | a |
| Broomfield ^c | 1 | a | a | a |
| Great Western ^c | 1 | a | a | a |
| Standley Lake ^c | 1 | a | a | a |
| Westminster ^c | 1 | a | a | a |
| Walnut at Indian ^a | 9 | a | a | a |

a Incomplete lab analysis.

b Volume weighted average concentration.

c Only one sample was collected during May 1992 at these locations.

Table 12

Offsite Water Sample Results - Nitrate as Nitrogen

Nitrate (as N) at Great Western Reservoir

| <u>Sample Date</u> | <u>Nitrate (as N) (mg/l)</u> |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| 05/14/92 ^a | <0.02 |

Nitrate (as N) at Standley Lake

| | |
|-----------------------|------|
| 05/14/92 ^a | 0.03 |
|-----------------------|------|

^a Only one sample was collected at these locations during May 1992.

Note: For some nonradioactive parameters, the concentrations that are measured at or below the minimum detectable concentration (MDC) are assigned to MDC. The less than symbol (<) indicates MDC values and calculated values that include one or more MDCs.

Table 13

NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results

| Discharge 001-A (Pond B-3) | | Discharged continuously from 05/01/92 - 05/31/92. | | | |
|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Parameters | | Measured 30-Day Average | Limit 30-Day Average | Measured Max. 7-Day Average | Limit Max. 7-Day Average |
| Nitrate | mg/l | 3.0 | 10 | 4.1 | 20 |
| Total Residual Chlorine | mg/l | | Measured Maximum 0.37 | Limit Maximum 0.5 | |
| Discharge 001-B (Sewage Treatment Plant) | | Discharged continuously from 05/01/92 - 05/31/92. | | | |
| Parameters | | Measured 30-Day Average | Limit 30-Day Average | Measured Maximum | Limit Maximum |
| CBOD5 | mg/l | 2.2 | 10 | 4.1 | 25 |
| Total Phosphorus | mg/l | 0.3 | 8 | 0.7 | 12 |
| Total Chromium | mg/l | 0.0076 | 0.05 | 0.0095 | 0.10 |
| Fecal Coliforms | #/100 ml | Measured 30-Day Average 1.1(Geometric) | Limit 30-Day Average 200 (Geometric) | Measured Max. 7-Day Average 1.4(Geometric) | Limit Max. 7-Day Average 400 (Geometric) |
| Total Suspended Solids | mg/l | | 30 | | 45 |
| pH | SU | Measured Minimum 6.3 | Limit Minimum 6.0 | Measured Maximum 7.2 | Limit Maximum 9.0 |
| Oil and Grease | | Observed Sheen No visual | Limit Sheen No visual | | |
| Discharge 002 (Pond A-3) | | Discharged continuously from 05/01/92 - 05/04/92. | | | |
| Parameters | | Measured 30-Day Average | Limit 30-Day Average | Measured Maximum | Limit Maximum |
| Nitrates as N | mg/l | 1.7 | 10 | 2.5 | 20 |
| pH | SU | Measured Minimum 8.0 | Limit Minimum 6.0 | Measured Maximum 8.2 | Limit Maximum 9.0 |

Table 13

NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results (Continued)

Discharge 003 (RO Pilot Plant) and Discharge 004 (RO Plant) are inactive outfalls and will be eliminated from the new NPDES permit.

Discharge 005 (Pond A-4) Discharged continuously from 05/23/92 - 05/31/92.

| <u>Parameters</u> | | <u>Measured Maximum</u> | <u>Limit Maximum</u> |
|-------------------|------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Total Chromium | mg/l | 0.013 | 0.05 |

Discharge 006 (Pond B-5) No discharge.

| <u>Parameters</u> | | <u>Measured 30-Day Average</u> | <u>Limit 30-Day Average</u> | <u>Measured Max. 7-Day Maximum</u> | <u>Limit Max. 7-Day Maximum</u> |
|---------------------------|------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Nitrate as N ^a | mg/l | | 10 | | 20 |

| | | <u>Measured Maximum</u> | <u>Limit Maximum</u> |
|--------------------------------------|------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Total Residual Chlorine ^a | mg/l | | 0.5 |
| Total Chromium | mg/l | | 0.05 |

Discharge 007 (Pond C-2) No discharge.

| <u>Parameters</u> | | <u>Measured Maximum</u> | <u>Limit Maximum</u> |
|-------------------|------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Total Chromium | mg/l | | 0.05 |

^a These parameters are measured only in the event that Waste Water Treatment Plant effluent bypasses Pond B-3 and flows directly into Pond B-5.

Table 14

NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring

Discharge 001-A (Pond B-3) Discharged continuously from 05/01/92 - 05/31/92.

| <u>Parameters</u> | | <u>Measured Maximum</u> | <u>Measured 30-Day Average</u> |
|------------------------|------|-----------------------------|--|
| BOD5 | mg/l | 4.9 | 3.9 |
| CBOD5 | mg/l | 6.6 | 2.0 |
| Total Suspended Solids | mg/l | 6 | 4 |

Discharge 001-B (Sewage Treatment Plant [STP]) Discharged continuously from 05/01/92 - 05/31/92.

| <u>Parameters</u> | | <u>Measured Maximum</u> | <u>Measured 30-Day Average</u> |
|-------------------------|------|-----------------------------|--|
| Nitrate as N | mg/l | 8.59 | 4.43 |
| Total Residual Chlorine | mg/l | 1.73 | 0.1 |

Whole Effluent Toxicity^a Sampled quarterly; data reported March 1992
 Ceriodaphnia % Eff to LC50:
 Fathead Minnows % Eff to LC50:

| | | <u>Measured 30-Day Average</u> |
|---------------|------|--|
| Metals | | |
| Antimony | ug/l | 35.0 |
| Arsenic | ug/l | <1.1 |
| Beryllium | ug/l | <1 |
| Cadmium | ug/l | 0.3 |
| Copper | ug/l | 8.2 |
| Iron | ug/l | 88.6 |
| Lead | ug/l | 2.6 |
| Manganese | ug/l | 26.6 |
| Mercury | ug/l | <0.2 |
| Nickel | ug/l | 22.4 |
| Silver | ug/l | <0.2 |
| Zinc | ug/l | 48.1 |

Metals were sampled on 05/06/92 and 05/13/92 .

| | | <u>PQL^b</u> | <u>Concentrations that were above PQL</u> |
|--------------------------------------|------|------------------------|---|
| Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) | ug/l | No compounds detected | |

Table 14

NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring (Continued)

Discharge 003 (Reverse Osmosis Pilot Plant) and Discharge 004 (Reverse Osmosis Plant) are inactive outfalls and will be eliminated from the new NPDES permit.

Discharge 005 (Pond A-4)

Whole Effluent Toxicity^a Sampled quarterly; data reported March 1992

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Ceriodaphnia | % Eff to LC50: |
| Fathead Minnows | % Eff to LC50: |

Discharge 006 (Pond B-5) No discharge

Whole Effluent Toxicity^a

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Ceriodaphnia | % Eff to LC50: |
| Fathead Minnows | % Eff to LC50: |

Discharge 007 (Pond C-2) No discharge

Whole Effluent Toxicity^a

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Ceriodaphnia | % Eff to LC50: |
| Fathead Minnows | % Eff to LC50: |

^a Results for whole effluent toxicity are given in percentage of effluent sample that will cause mortality to half the test result organisms within the time frame of the test. For example, >100 percent indicates that 100 percent pure effluent did not cause acute toxicity to at least half of the organisms. A lower percentage LC₅₀ (lethal concentration to 50 percent of test organisms) indicates a greater toxic effect since less of the sample is required to observe a sufficiently extensive adverse effect.

^b PQL is the Practical Quantitation Limit. It is equal to ten times the Method Detection Limit and represents the quantity at which 70 percent of laboratories can report in the 95 percent confidence interval.

Table 15

Water Sample Results, Nonradioactive Parameters

Walnut Creek at Indiana Street

| <u>Parameters</u> | | <u>Number of Samples</u> | <u>C Minimum</u> | <u>C Maximum</u> | <u>C Average</u> |
|-------------------|------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| pH | SU | 8 | 7.18 | 8.49 | N/A |
| Nitrates as N | mg/l | 8 | 1.67 | 2.05 | 1.90 |

Flow was measured from 05/24/92 - 05/31/92.

Table 16

Daily Flow Data Recorded at the Walnut Creek at Indiana Gaging Station, Ponds A-4 and B-5

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Walnut Creek at Indiana (Gallons)</u> | <u>Pond A-4 (Gallons)</u> | <u>Pond B-5 (Gallons)</u> |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 05/01/92 | No flow | No discharge | No discharge |
| 05/02/92 | | | |
| 05/03/92 | | | |
| 05/04/92 | | | |
| 05/05/92 | | | |
| 05/06/92 | | | |
| 05/07/92 | | | |
| 05/08/92 | | | |
| 05/09/92 | | | |
| 05/10/92 | | | |
| 05/11/92 | | | |
| 05/12/92 | | | |
| 05/13/92 | | | |
| 05/14/92 | | | |
| 05/15/92 | | | |
| 05/16/92 | | | |
| 05/17/92 | | | |
| 05/18/92 | | | |
| 05/19/92 | | | |
| 05/20/92 | | | |
| 05/21/92 | | | |
| 05/22/92 | | 728,000 | |
| 05/23/92 | No flow | 1,199,000 | |
| 05/24/92 | 590,000 | 1,409,000 | |
| 05/25/92 | 848,000 | 1,145,000 | |
| 05/26/92 | 1,059,000 | 1,371,000 | |
| 05/27/92 | 1,786,000 | 1,469,000 | |
| 05/28/92 | 1,204,000 | 1,361,000 | |
| 05/29/92 | 1,200,000 | 1,519,000 | |
| 05/30/92 | 1,266,000 | 1,599,000 | |
| 05/31/92 | 1,241,000 | | No discharge |
| Total | 11,225,000 | 11,800,000 | No discharge |

Table 17

Daily Flow Data Recorded at Ponds C-1 and C-2 (Woman Creek)

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Pond C-1 (Gallons)</u> | <u>Pond C-2 (Gallons)</u> |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 05/01/92 | 134,000 | No discharge |
| 05/02/92 | 144,000 | |
| 05/03/92 | 143,000 | |
| 05/04/92 | 156,000 | |
| 05/05/92 | 150,000 | |
| 05/06/92 | 138,000 | |
| 05/07/92 | 134,000 | |
| 05/08/92 | 120,000 | |
| 05/09/92 | 120,000 | |
| 05/10/92 | 134,000 | |
| 05/11/92 | 130,000 | |
| 05/12/92 | 118,000 | |
| 05/13/92 | 114,000 | |
| 05/14/92 | 63,000 | |
| 05/15/92 | 55,000 | |
| 05/16/92 | 94,000 | |
| 05/17/92 | 48,000 | |
| 05/18/92 | 30,000 | |
| 05/19/92 | 66,000 | |
| 05/20/92 | 72,000 | |
| 05/21/92 | 62,000 | |
| 05/22/92 | 81,000 | |
| 05/23/92 | 134,000 | |
| 05/24/92 | 118,000 | |
| 05/25/92 | 168,000 | |
| 05/26/92 | 160,000 | |
| 05/27/92 | 164,000 | |
| 05/28/92 | 160,000 | |
| 05/29/92 | 98,000 | |
| 05/30/92 | 79,000 | |
| 05/31/92 | 164,000 | No discharge |
| Total | 3,551,000 | No discharge |

Table 18

Daily Transfer Flow Data Recorded for Pond B-5 to Pond A-4

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Pond B-5 to Pond A-4 (gallons)</u> |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| 05/01/92 | 932,000 |
| 05/02/92 | 705,000 |
| 05/03/92 | No transfer |
| 05/04/92 | |
| 05/05/92 | |
| 05/06/92 | |
| 05/07/92 | |
| 05/08/92 | |
| 05/09/92 | |
| 05/10/92 | |
| 05/11/92 | |
| 05/12/92 | |
| 05/13/92 | |
| 05/14/92 | |
| 05/15/92 | |
| 05/16/92 | |
| 05/17/92 | |
| 05/18/92 | |
| 05/19/92 | |
| 05/20/92 | |
| 05/21/92 | |
| 05/22/92 | |
| 05/23/92 | |
| 05/24/92 | |
| 05/25/92 | |
| 05/26/92 | |
| 05/27/92 | |
| 05/28/92 | |
| 05/29/92 | |
| 05/30/92 | |
| 05/31/92 | No transfer |
| Total | 1,637,000 |

Site Meteorology and Climatology

Meteorological data were collected on the plantsite during May 1992 from instrumentation installed on a 61-meter (200-foot) tower located in the west buffer zone. Meteorological information in this report represents over 100 percent data recovery. Table 19 is the May 1992 summary of the percent frequency of wind directions (16 compass points) divided into four wind-speed categories. The compass point designations indicate the true bearing when facing against the wind. These frequency values are represented graphically in the accompanying wind rose. The wind rose vectors also represent the bearing against the wind (i.e., wind along each vector blows toward the center).

Wind directions from the west through northwest occur most frequently at the Rocky Flats Plant, especially when speeds are greater than 3 m/s (6.7 mph). At lighter wind speeds less than 3 m/s (6.7 mph), the distribution of wind directions is more even. Wind speeds greater than 7 m/s (15.7 mph) from the ESE through S occur infrequently. The distribution of winds during May was quite typical.

May, like April, was dry and warm as high pressure systems, located over the western U.S., forced Pacific storms well north of Colorado during much of the month. The month's climatic summary is shown in Table 20. Several storms combined with tropical moisture and Canadian air masses to bring welcome rains toward the end of the month. Heavier thundershowers on May 25 and 31 dropped almost 1/2 inch of rain on those days. The combination of clouds, rain, and cool temperatures lessened the severity of the drought during the final 11 days of the month.

The mean wind speed during May was 3.5 m/s (7.9 mph). The peak wind gust during the month was a modest 20 m/s (45 mph), which occurred during the afternoon of May 16. The mean temperature recorded for May was 13.3 °C (55.9 °F). The maximum temperature recorded was about 27 °C (81 °F), which occurred on the first day of the month. The minimum temperature recorded was -1 °C (34 °F) on the morning of May 1.

Water equivalent precipitation was well below normal during May, totalling 3.9 cm (1.53 inches). The greatest daily precipitation occurred on May 31 when 1.2 cm (0.46 inches) was recorded. The peak 15-minute rainfall of 0.23 cm (0.09 inches) also fell on May 31.

Table 19

Rocky Flats Plant Wind Direction Frequency (Percent) by Four Wind-Speed Classes

(Fifteen-Minute Averages - May 1992)



| | <u>Calm</u> | <u>1-3</u> <u>(m/s)</u> | <u>3-7</u> <u>(m/s)</u> | <u>7-15</u> <u>(m/s)</u> | <u>> 15</u> <u>(m/s)</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|-------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| N | - | 3.41 | 5.03 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 9.32 |
| NNE | - | 3.91 | 5.03 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 9.55 |
| NE | - | 4.42 | 2.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.85 |
| ENE | - | 3.54 | 1.52 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 5.09 |
| E | - | 3.71 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.45 |
| ESE | - | 3.00 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.75 |
| SE | - | 3.34 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.67 |
| SSE | - | 2.09 | 2.80 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 5.26 |
| S | - | 1.99 | 2.09 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 4.15 |
| SSW | - | 1.79 | 1.18 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 3.04 |
| SW | - | 1.82 | 2.77 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 4.89 |
| WSW | - | 3.00 | 5.30 | 1.01 | 0.00 | 9.31 |
| W | - | 2.29 | 2.23 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 5.36 |
| WNW | - | 2.80 | 3.51 | 1.38 | 0.00 | 7.69 |
| NW | - | 2.60 | 3.48 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 6.82 |
| WNW | - | 2.67 | 4.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 7.69 |
| TOTAL | 1.08 | 46.38 | 46.20 | 6.33 | 0.00 | 100.00 |

Table 20

Climatic Summary

| Date | TEMPERATURE AND DEWPOINT | | | | SPEED SPEED | | PRECIPITATION | | PRESSURE |
|----------|--------------------------|------|------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | High (°F) | Low | Mean | Dew-point | Mean (mph) | Maximum (1 sec) | Total inches | Maximum (15 min) | Actual Mean (Millibars) |
| 05/01/92 | 81.3 | 44.0 | 67.1 | 24.4 | 12.5 | 41.7 | 0 | 0 | 811.0 |
| 05/02/92 | 58.8 | 41.8 | 50.1 | 33.0 | 6.0 | 17.5 | 0 | 0 | 820.7 |
| 05/03/92 | 66.8 | 44.9 | 57.4 | 31.4 | 7.0 | 28.2 | 0 | 0 | 820.5 |
| 05/04/92 | 68.3 | 44.7 | 57.2 | 28.2 | 7.3 | 21.4 | 0 | 0 | 818.7 |
| 05/05/92 | 66.7 | 44.6 | 57.4 | 33.1 | 7.2 | 17.5 | 0 | 0 | 816.9 |
| 05/06/92 | 71.3 | 43.1 | 59.5 | 36.8 | 6.2 | 22.7 | 0 | 0 | 816.9 |
| 05/07/92 | 74.3 | 55.1 | 65.1 | 34.9 | 10.9 | 40.0 | 0 | 0 | 815.8 |
| 05/08/92 | 72.5 | 53.0 | 63.0 | 36.1 | 9.9 | 26.6 | 0 | 0 | 811.2 |
| 05/09/92 | 73.1 | 47.3 | 59.5 | 36.2 | 8.5 | 40.0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 802.2 |
| 05/10/92 | 55.9 | 38.3 | 49.1 | 34.2 | 9.1 | 25.5 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 807.2 |
| 05/11/92 | 72.4 | 49.8 | 62.6 | 27.7 | 12.0 | 33.5 | 0 | 0 | 809.4 |
| 05/12/92 | 61.9 | 39.0 | 50.1 | 35.9 | 7.3 | 27.2 | 0 | 0 | 815.0 |
| 03/13/92 | 71.9 | 43.8 | 56.5 | 40.0 | 7.6 | 29.9 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 813.3 |
| 05/14/92 | 72.4 | 50.1 | 61.8 | 36.0 | 9.3 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 | 811.9 |
| 05/15/92 | 76.5 | 53.0 | 66.0 | 32.6 | 7.2 | 30.9 | 0 | 0 | 809.7 |
| 05/16/92 | 75.7 | 47.2 | 61.9 | 32.1 | 11.3 | 45.4 | 0 | 0 | 812.6 |
| 05/17/92 | 71.7 | 43.2 | 57.7 | 38.2 | 8.5 | 25.0 | 0 | 0 | 818.1 |
| 05/18/92 | 80.3 | 53.5 | 68.6 | 33.4 | 6.6 | 16.9 | 0 | 0 | 817.4 |
| 05/19/92 | 79.0 | 56.9 | 67.7 | 37.0 | 7.5 | 35.8 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 814.3 |
| 05/20/92 | 78.8 | 53.0 | 65.6 | 41.0 | 8.3 | 29.9 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 809.5 |
| 05/21/92 | 66.4 | 47.4 | 58.0 | 41.1 | 10.6 | 31.7 | 0.1 | 0.03 | 810.2 |
| 05/22/92 | 48.8 | 39.5 | 44.5 | 36.7 | 5.3 | 13.9 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 819.2 |
| 05/23/92 | 56.9 | 41.2 | 48.7 | 39.6 | 5.0 | 14.9 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 819.7 |
| 05/24/92 | 66.4 | 41.6 | 54.5 | 39.8 | 6.7 | 36.7 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 814.9 |
| 05/25/92 | 49.3 | 37.7 | 42.6 | 34.7 | 7.9 | 27.1 | 0.44 | 0.03 | 815.2 |
| 05/26/92 | 56.6 | 36.4 | 46.7 | 36.2 | 6.8 | 25.4 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 810.2 |
| 05/27/92 | 48.5 | 34.1 | 39.6 | 33.7 | 8.7 | 23.0 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 808.9 |
| 05/28/92 | 52.6 | 34.5 | 43.7 | 41.0 | 5.0 | 13.0 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 811.5 |
| 05/29/92 | 60.9 | 41.8 | 52.9 | 35.9 | 5.1 | 14.4 | 0 | 0 | 811.9 |
| 05/30/92 | 59.4 | 45.8 | 52.0 | 36.0 | 7.2 | 19.2 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 811.8 |
| 05/31/92 | 52.8 | 39.6 | 47.0 | 34.4 | 7.7 | 32.8 | 0.46 | 0.09 | 814.1 |

| MONTHLY TEMPERATURES | | | | WIND SPEED | | PRECIPITATION | PRESSURE | |
|----------------------|----------|------|----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Mean High (°F) | Mean Low | Mean | Mean Dew-point | Mean (mph) | Monthly Maximum | Total | Monthly Maximum | Monthly Average |
| 66.1 | 44.7 | 55.9 | 35.2 | 7.9 | 45.4 | 1.53 | 0.09 | 813.5 |



Wind Rose for the Rocky Flats Plant - May 1992

Appendix A

Radiation Standards for Protection of the Public

Calculation of Potential Plant Contribution to Public Radiation Dose

The primary standards for protection of the public from radiation are based on radiation dose. Radiation dose is a means of quantifying the biological damage or risk of ionizing radiation. The unit of radiation dose is the rem or the millirem (1 rem = 1,000 mrem). Radiation protection standards for the public are annual standards, based on the projected radiation dose from a year's exposure to or intake of radioactive materials.

Radiation dose is a calculated value. It is calculated by multiplying radioactivity concentrations in air and water or on contaminated surfaces by assumed intake rates (for internal exposures) or by exposure times (for external exposure to penetrating radiation), then by the appropriate radiation dose conversion factors. That is:

$$\text{Radiation Dose} = \text{Radioactivity Concentration} \times \text{Intake Rate/Exposure Time} \times \text{Dose Conversion Factor}$$

Radioactivity concentrations can be determined either by measurements in the environment or by calculations using computer models. These computer models perform airborne dispersion/dose modeling of measured building radioactivity effluents and estimated diffuse source term emissions (e.g., from resuspension from contaminated soil areas).

Assumed intake rates and dose conversion factors used are based on recommendations of national and international radiation protection advisory organizations, such as the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) and the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP).

Radioactive materials of importance in calculating radiation dose to the public from Rocky Flats Plant (RFP) activities include plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium. Alpha radiation emissions from plutonium, uranium, and americium are primary contributors to the projected radiation dose.

DOE Radiation Protection Standards for the Public

ICRP-Recommended Standards for all Pathways:

Temporary Increase - 500 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent (with prior approval of DOE EH-2)

Normal Operations - 100 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent

EPA Clean Air Act Standards for the Air Pathway Only:

10 mrem-year Effective Dose Equivalent

DOE Derived Concentration Guides for Radionuclides of Interest at the Rocky Flats Plant

Air Inhalation:

| Radionuclide | DCG (pCi/m ³) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Plutonium-239, -240 | 0.02 |

Water Ingestion:

| Radionuclide | DCG (pCi/l) |
|----------------------|-------------|
| Plutonium-239, -240 | 30 |
| Americium-241 | 30 |
| Uranium-233, -234 | 500 |
| Uranium-238 | 600 |
| Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) | 2,000,000 |

DOE Derived Concentration Guides

Potential public radiation dose commitments, which could have resulted from plant operations and from background (i.e., non-Plant) contributions, are calculated from average radionuclide concentrations measured at the Department of Energy (DOE) property boundary and in surrounding communities. Inhalation and water ingestion are the principal potential pathways of human exposure.

On February 8, 1990, DOE adopted DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," a radiation protection standard for DOE environmental activities (US 90). This standard incorporates guidance from the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), as well as from the Environmental Protection Agency Clean Air Act air emission standards (as implemented in 40 CFR 61, Subpart H). Included in DOE Order 5400.5 is a revision of the dose limits for members of the public. Tables of radiation dose conversion factors currently used for calculating dose from intakes of radioactive materials were issued in July 1988 (US88a, US88b). The dose factors are based on the ICRP Publications 30 and 48 methodology and biological models for radiation dosimetry. The DOE Order 5400.5 and the dose conversion factor tables are used for assessment of any potential RFP contribution to public radiation dose. On December 15, 1989, EPA published revised Clean Air Act air emission standards for DOE facilities (US89). DOE radiation standards for protection of the public are given in this Appendix and include the December 15, 1989, EPA Clean Air Act air pathway standards.

Secondary radioactivity concentration guides can be calculated from the primary radiation dose standards and used as comparison values for measured radioactivity concentrations. DOE provides tables of these "Derived Concentration Guides" - in Order 5400.5. Derived Concentration Guides (DCGs) are the concentrations that would result in an effective dose equivalent of 100 mrem from one year's chronic exposure or intake. In calculating air inhalation DCGs, DOE assumes that the exposed individual inhales 8,400 cubic meters of air at the calculated DCG during the year. Ingestion DCGs

**Compliance with EPA
Clean Air Act Standards**

assume a water intake of 730 liters at the calculated DCG for the year. The table on page 40 lists the most restrictive air and water DCGs for the principal radionuclides of interest at the RFP.

To determine compliance with the EPA air emissions standards, measured airborne effluent radioactivity emissions are entered into the EPA-approved atmospheric dispersion/dose calculation computer model, AIRDOS-PC, for calculation of the maximum radiation dose that an individual in the public could receive from the air pathway only.

For comparison with the annual radiation dose standards for protection of the public, the maximum annual effective dose equivalent that a member of the public could receive as a result of RFP activities is typically less than 1 mrem, or less than 1 percent of the recommended annual standard for all pathways.

Dose Equivalent and Effective Dose Equivalent

Dose equivalent is a calculated value used to quantify radiation dose; it reflects the degree of biological effect from ionizing radiation. Differences in the biological effect of different types of ionizing radiation (e.g., alpha, beta, gamma, or x-rays) are accounted for in the calculation of dose equivalent.

Effective dose equivalent is a calculated value used to allow comparisons of total health risk (based primarily on the risk of cancer mortality) from exposures of different types of ionizing radiation to different body organs. It is calculated by first calculating the dose equivalent to those organs receiving significant exposures, multiplying each organ dose equivalent by a health risk weighting factor, and then summing those products. One millirem effective dose equivalent from natural background radiation would have the same health risk as one millirem effective dose equivalent from an artificially produced source of radiation.

References

US88a DOE/EH-0070, "External Dose-Rate Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," United States Department of Energy, Asst. Secretary for Environment, Safety and Health, July 1988.

US88b DOE/EH-0071, "Internal Dose Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," United States Department of Energy, Asst. Secretary of Environment, Safety and Health, July 1988.

US89 United States Environmental Protection Agency, Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 61, Subpart H, "National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides other than Radon from Department of Energy Facilities," Washington, D.C., December 15, 1989.

US90 United States Department of Energy, DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," Washington, D.C., February 8, 1990.

Appendix B

National Pollution Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement Volatile Organic Compounds

The following is a list of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) for which monitoring is required by the Environmental Protection Agency National Pollution Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (NPDES/FFCA).

| <u>Compound</u> | <u>PQL (ug/l)</u> | <u>Compound</u> | <u>PQL (ug/l)</u> |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Benzene | 5 | 1,3-dichloropropylene | 5 |
| Bromoform | 5 | Ethylbenzene | 5 |
| Methyl bromide | 10 | Methyl chloride | 10 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 5 | Methylene chloride | 5 |
| Chlorobenzene | 5 | 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane | 5 |
| Chlorodibromomethane | 5 | Tetrachloroethylene | 5 |
| Chloroethane | 10 | Toluene | 5 |
| Chloroform | 5 | 1,2-trans-dichloroethylene | 5 |
| Dichlorobromomethane | 5 | 1,1,1-trichloroethane | 5 |
| 1,1-dichloroethane | 5 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | 5 |
| 1,2-dichloroethane | 5 | Trichloroethylene | 5 |
| 1,1-dichloroethylene | 5 | Vinyl chloride | 10 |
| 1,2-dichloropropane | 5 | | |

Appendix C

Colorado Water Quality Control Commission Standards

The Colorado Water Quality Control Commission has promulgated new standards for the Walnut Creek and Woman Creek drainages downstream from the Rocky Flats Plant. The Environmental Protection Agency has not yet written a new National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit that reflects these standards; however, in the spirit of the Agreement in Principle completed between the Department of Energy and the State of Colorado, the plant is attempting to meet the standards at this time.

Appendix D

Corrections and Updates for Previously Reported Information

Table 7 - Errata February 1992

Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Plutonium-239, -240</u> | <u>Americium-241</u> |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <u>Pond A-4</u> | | |
| 02/15/92 - 02/21/92 | a | -0.001 ± 0.002 ^b |
| Volume weighted average concentration | a | -0.001 ± 0.002 ^b |
| <u>Pond B-5</u> - No discharge | | |
| <u>Pond C-1</u> | | |
| 02/01/92 - 02/07/92 | 0.012 ± 0.002 | 0.004 ± 0.002 |
| 02/08/92 - 02/14/92 | 0.025 ± 0.004 | 0.000 ± 0.002 |
| 02/15/92 - 02/21/92 | 0.005 ± 0.003 | -0.002 ± 0.002 |
| 02/22/92 - 02/28/92 | a | 0.002 ± 0.002 ^b |
| Average concentration | a | 0.001 ± 0.002 ^b |
| <u>Pond C-2</u> - No discharge | | |
| <u>Walnut Creek at Indiana</u> | | |
| 02/15/92 - 02/22/92 | 0.000 ± 0.001 | -0.004 ± 0.002 |
| Volume weighted average concentration | 0.000 ± 0.001 | -0.004 ± 0.002 |
| <p>a Incomplete lab analysis. b Previously reported incomplete.</p> | | |

Table 8 - Errata February 1992

Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Uranium-233, -234</u> | <u>Uranium-238</u> |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <u>Pond A-4</u> | | |
| 02/15/92 - 02/21/92 | 0.76 ± 0.17 ^b | 0.70 ± 0.16 ^b |
| Volume weighted average concentration | 0.76 ± 0.17 ^b | 0.70 ± 0.16 ^b |
| <u>Pond B-5 - No discharge</u> | | |
| <u>Pond C-1</u> | | |
| 02/01/92 - 02/07/92 | 0.76 ± 0.11 | 0.49 ± 0.08 |
| 02/08/92 - 02/14/92 | 0.65 ± 0.09 | 0.47 ± 0.07 |
| 02/15/92 - 02/21/92 | 0.91 ± 0.11 | 0.47 ± 0.07 |
| 02/22/92 - 02/28/92 | 0.63 ± 0.11 ^b | 0.40 ± 0.09 ^b |
| Average concentration | 0.74 ± 0.10 ^b | 0.46 ± 0.08 ^b |
| <u>Pond C-2 - No discharge</u> | | |
| <u>Walnut Creek at Indiana</u> | | |
| 02/15/92 - 02/22/92 | 0.94 ± 0.14 | 1.01 ± 0.14 |
| Volume weighted average concentration | 0.94 ± 0.14 | 1.01 ± 0.14 |

a Incomplete lab analysis.

b Previously reported incomplete.

Table 4 - Errata March 1992

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers

(2/17/92 - 3/30/92)

| Location | Number Composited Monthly Samples | Volume (m³) | Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³) | ± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m³) |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
| S-01a | 1 | 24000 | 0.000192 | 0.000040 |
| S-02a | 1 | 26000 | 0.000092 | 0.000016 |
| S-03a | 1 | 41500 | 0.000012 | 0.000003 |
| S-04b | | | | |
| S-05a | 1 | 47000 | 0.000027 | 0.000006 |
| S-06a | 1 | 46000 | 0.000294 | 0.000050 |
| S-07a | 1 | 41000 | 0.000201 | 0.000037 |
| S-08a | 1 | 46000 | 0.000263 | 0.000038 |
| S-09 | 1 | 49000 | 0.000246 | 0.000064 |
| S-10a | 1 | | | |
| S-11a | 1 | 44000 | 0.000004 | 0.000002 |
| S-13a | 1 | 46000 | 0.000003 | 0.000001 |
| S-14a | 1 | 42000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-16a | 1 | 48000 | 0.000002 | 0.000002 |
| S-17a | 1 | 44000 | 0.000014 | 0.000003 |
| S-18a | 1 | 45000 | 0.000020 | 0.000006 |
| S-19a | 1 | 46000 | 0.000045 | 0.000008 |
| S-20a | 1 | 48000 | 0.000015 | 0.000003 |
| S-21c | 1 | | | |
| S-22a | 1 | 37000 | 0.000012 | 0.000003 |
| S-23a | 1 | 48000 | 0.000008 | 0.000002 |
| S-24a | 1 | 46000 | 0.000005 | 0.000002 |
| S-25a | 1 | 30000 | 0.000045 | 0.000011 |
| S-81c | 1 | | | |

- a Previously reported as incomplete lab analysis.
- b Sampler was inoperable during the sampling period.
- c Incomplete data evaluation.

Table 5 - Errata March 1992

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers

(02/25/92 - 03/24/92)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Number Composited Monthly Samples</u> | <u>Volume (m³)</u> | <u>Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³)</u> | <u>± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m³)</u> |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| S-31a | 1 | 34000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-32a | 1 | 34000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-33a | 1 | 33000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-34a | 1 | 31000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-35a | 1 | 30000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-36a | 1 | 33000 | 0.000002 | 0.000001 |
| S-37a | 1 | 32000 | 0.000002 | 0.000002 |
| S-38a | 1 | 31000 | 0.000002 | 0.000001 |
| S-39a | 1 | 32000 | 0.000000 | 0.000001 |
| S-40b | 1 | | | |
| S-41b | 1 | | | |
| S-42b | 1 | | | |
| S-43b | 1 | | | |
| S-44b | 1 | | | |

a Previously reported as incomplete lab analysis.
 b Incomplete lab analysis.

Table 7 - Errata March 1992

Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Plutonium-239, -240</u> | | <u>Americium-241</u> | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| <u>Pond A-4</u> | | | | |
| 03/14/92 - 03/20/92 | | a | 0.012 ± | 0.003 ^b |
| 03/21/92 - 03/27/92 | | a | | a |
| 03/28/92 - 04/03/92 | 0.004 ± | 0.002 ^b | 0.006 ± | 0.002 ^b |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | a | | a |
| <u>Pond B-5 - No discharge</u> | | | | |
| <u>Pond C-1</u> | | | | |
| 02/29/92 - 03/06/92 | 0.000 ± | 0.008 ^b | -0.001 ± | 0.002 |
| 03/07/92 - 03/13/92 | 0.003 ± | 0.001 ^b | 0.002 ± | 0.002 ^b |
| 03/14/92 - 03/20/92 | | a | 0.000 ± | 0.001 ^b |
| 03/21/92 - 03/27/92 | | a | | a |
| 03/28/92 - 04/03/92 | 0.004 ± | 0.002 ^b | 0.006 ± | 0.002 ^b |
| Average concentration | | a | | a |
| <u>Pond C-2</u> | | | | |
| 03/24/92 - 03/27/92 | | a | | a |
| 03/28/92 - 04/03/92 | 0.032 ± | 0.006 ^b | 0.003 ± | 0.002 ^b |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | a | | a |
| <u>Walnut Creek at Indiana</u> | | | | |
| 03/05/92 - 03/06/92 ^c | -0.011 ± | 0.004 ^b | -0.003 ± | 0.002 ^b |
| 03/06/92 - 03/13/92 ^c | 0.005 ± | 0.002 ^b | 0.006 ± | 0.003 ^b |
| 03/14/92 - 03/20/92 | | a | 0.005 ± | 0.003 ^b |
| 03/21/92 - 03/27/92 | 0.014 ± | 0.003 ^b | | a |
| 03/28/92 - 04/03/92 | | a | | a |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | a | | a |

- a Incomplete lab analysis.
- b Previously reported incomplete.
- c Flow from precipitation events.

Table 8 - Errata March 1992

Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Uranium-233, -234</u> | | <u>Uranium-238</u> | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| <u>Pond A-4</u> | | | | |
| 03/14/92 - 03/20/92 | 0.89 | ± 0.11 ^a | 1.05 | ± 0.12 ^a |
| 03/21/92 - 03/27/92 | | b | | b |
| 03/28/92 - 04/03/92 | 1.11 | ± 0.14 ^a | 0.87 | ± 0.12 ^a |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | b | | b |
| <u>Pond B-5 - No discharge</u> | | | | |
| <u>Pond C-1</u> | | | | |
| 02/29/92 - 03/06/92 | 0.73 | ± 0.11 | 0.41 | ± 0.08 |
| 03/07/92 - 03/13/92 | | b | | b |
| 03/14/92 - 03/20/92 | 0.38 | ± 0.09 ^a | 0.25 | ± 0.07 ^a |
| 03/21/92 - 03/27/92 | | b | | b |
| 03/28/92 - 04/03/92 | 0.79 | ± 0.11 ^a | 1.40 | ± 0.15 ^a |
| Average concentration | | b | | b |
| <u>Pond C-2</u> | | | | |
| 03/24/92 - 03/27/92 | | b | | b |
| 03/28/92 - 04/03/92 | 0.79 | ± 0.11 ^a | 1.40 | ± 0.15 ^a |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | b | | b |
| <u>Walnut Creek at Indiana</u> | | | | |
| 03/05/92 - 03/06/92 ^c | 0.73 | ± 0.10 | 0.63 | ± 0.10 |
| 03/06/92 - 03/13/92 ^c | | b | | b |
| 03/14/92 - 03/20/92 | 0.79 | ± 0.11 ^a | 0.76 | ± 0.10 ^a |
| 03/21/92 - 03/27/92 | | b | | b |
| 03/28/92 - 04/03/92 | 1.24 | ± 0.14 ^a | 1.27 | ± 0.14 ^a |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | b | | b |
| ^a Previously reported incomplete. ^b Incomplete lab analysis. ^c Flow from precipitation events. | | | | |

Table 9 - Errata March 1992

Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Number of Samples</u> | <u>Reservoirs (pCi/l)</u> | | <u>Americium-241</u> | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | <u>Plutonium-239, -240</u> | | | |
| Great Western | 1 ^a | 0.004 | ± 0.007 ^b | 0.011 | ± 0.005 ^b |
| Standley Lake | 1 ^a | | ^c | -0.001 | ± 0.003 ^b |
| Community Tap Water (pCi/l) | | | | | |
| Boulder | 1 ^a | 0.007 | ± 0.006 ^b | -0.004 | ± 0.002 ^b |
| Broomfield | 1 ^a | 0.005 | ± 0.010 ^b | -0.002 | ± 0.003 ^b |
| Westminster | 1 ^a | 0.016 | ± 0.009 ^b | 0.001 | ± 0.004 ^b |
| Arvada | 1 | 0.004 | ± 0.002 ^b | -0.003 | ± 0.002 ^b |
| Denver | 1 | -0.002 | ± 0.001 ^b | -0.004 | ± 0.002 ^b |
| Golden | 1 | -0.002 | ± 0.002 ^b | -0.001 | ± 0.002 ^b |
| Lafayette | 1 | 0.002 | ± 0.002 ^b | 0.000 | ± 0.002 ^b |
| Louisville | 1 | 0.002 | ± 0.002 ^b | -0.004 | ± 0.002 ^b |
| Thornton | 1 | -0.002 | ± 0.001 ^b | -0.005 | ± 0.001 ^b |

- a Plutonium and americium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.
- b Previously reported incomplete.
- c Incomplete lab analysis.

Table 10 - Errata March 1992

Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

| Reservoirs (pCi/l) | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Location</u> | <u>Number of Samples</u> | <u>Uranium-233, -234</u> | | <u>Uranium-238</u> |
| Great Western | 1a | 0.52 | ± 0.09 ^b | 0.40 ± 0.08 ^b |
| Standley Lake | 1a | 0.59 | ± 0.16 ^b | 0.44 ± 0.10 ^b |
| Community Tap Water (pCi/l) | | | | |
| Boulder | 1a | -0.22 | ± 0.04 ^b | -0.18 ± 0.04 ^b |
| Broomfield | 1a | -0.09 | ± 0.05 ^b | -0.07 ± 0.05 ^b |
| Westminster | 1a | -0.02 | ± 0.05 ^b | -0.02 ± 0.05 ^b |
| Arvada | 1 | 0.21 | ± 0.07 ^b | 0.20 ± 0.06 ^b |
| Denver | 1 | 0.49 | ± 0.09 ^b | 0.23 ± 0.07 ^b |
| Golden | 1 | 0.41 | ± 0.08 ^b | 0.41 ± 0.07 ^b |
| Lafayette | 1 | -0.12 | ± 0.05 ^b | -0.08 ± 0.04 ^b |
| Louisville | 1 | -0.18 | ± 0.05 ^b | -0.13 ± 0.04 ^b |
| Thornton | 1 | 0.36 | ± 0.08 ^b | 0.30 ± 0.07 ^b |

a Uranium analyses are performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.
 b Previously reported incomplete.

Table 4 - Errata April 1992

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers

(03/30/92 - 04/27/92)

| Location | Number Composited Monthly Samples | Volume (m³) | Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³) | ± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m³) |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
| S-01a | 1 | 34000 | 0.000215 | 0.000045 |
| S-02a | 1 | 33000 | 0.000004 | 0.000002 |
| S-03a | 1 | 27000 | 0.000004 | 0.000002 |
| S-04b | | | | |
| S-05a | 1 | 31000 | 0.000023 | 0.000007 |
| S-06a | 1 | 31000 | 0.000060 | 0.000013 |
| S-07a | 1 | 27000 | 0.000083 | 0.000016 |
| S-08a | 1 | 31000 | 0.000118 | 0.000021 |
| S-09 | 1 | 29000 | 0.000441 | 0.000082 |
| S-10a | 1 | 30000 | 0.000005 | 0.000002 |
| S-11a | 1 | 30000 | 0.000009 | 0.000003 |
| S-13a | 1 | 30000 | 0.000003 | 0.000002 |
| S-14a | 1 | 29000 | 0.000002 | 0.000001 |
| S-16a | 1 | 30000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-17a | 1 | 29000 | 0.000013 | 0.000004 |
| S-18a | 1 | 31000 | 0.000017 | 0.000005 |
| S-19a | 1 | 30000 | 0.000075 | 0.000013 |
| S-20b | 1 | | | |
| S-21a | 1 | 32000 | 0.000009 | 0.000003 |
| S-22a | 1 | 24000 | 0.000005 | 0.000002 |
| S-23a | 1 | 32000 | 0.000003 | 0.000001 |
| S-24a | 1 | 31000 | 0.000002 | 0.000001 |
| S-25b | 1 | | | |
| S-81c | 1 | | | |

- a Previously reported as incomplete lab analyses.
- b Sampler was inoperable during the sampling period.
- c Incomplete data evaluation.

Table 5 - Errata April 1992

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers

(03/24/92 - 04/21/92)

| Location | Number Composited Monthly Samples | Volume (m³) | Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³) | ± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m³) |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| S-31a | 1 | 33000 | 0.000002 | 0.000001 |
| S-32a,b | 1 | 17000 | 0.000000 | 0.000001 |
| S-33a | 1 | 32000 | 0.000003 | 0.000002 |
| S-34a | 1 | 31000 | 0.000003 | 0.000002 |
| S-35a | 1 | 29000 | 0.000003 | 0.000002 |
| S-36a | 1 | 32000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-37a | 1 | 32000 | 0.000006 | 0.000002 |
| S-38a | 1 | 30000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-39a | 1 | 31000 | 0.000003 | 0.000002 |
| S-40 | 1 | 33000 | 0.000000 | 0.000001 |
| S-41 | 1 | 32000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-42 | 1 | 30000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-43 | 1 | 31000 | 0.000000 | 0.000001 |
| S-44 | 1 | 30000 | 0.000000 | 0.000001 |

a Previously reported as incomplete lab analyses.

b This sampler was inoperable for part of the sampling period because of mechanical problems.

Table 6 - Errata April 1992

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers

(03/25/92 - 04/22/92)

| Location | Community Name | Number Composited Monthly Samples | Volume (m³) | Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³) | ± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m³) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
| S-51 ^a | Marshall | 1 | | | |
| S-52 ^a | Jeffco Airport | 1 | | | |
| S-53 ^a | Superior | 1 | | | |
| S-54 ^a | Boulder | 1 | | | |
| S-55 ^b | Lafayette | | | | |
| S-56 ^a | Broomfield | 1 | | | |
| S-57 ^b | Walnut Creek | | | | |
| S-58 ^a | Wagner | 1 | | | |
| S-59 ^a | Leyden | 1 | | | |
| S-60 ^c | Westminster | 1 | 32000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-61 ^d | Denver | | | | |
| S-62 ^c | Golden | 1 | 35000 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| S-68 ^c | Lakeview Pointe | 1 | 34000 | 0.000000 | 0.000001 |
| S-73 ^c | Cotton Creek | 1 | 26000 | 0.000000 | 0.000001 |

^a Incomplete laboratory analysis.

^b Sampler was damaged beyond repair and must be replaced.

^c Previously reported as incomplete laboratory analyses.

^d Sampler S-61 located in Denver was inoperative during this period. This sampler has been temporarily removed because of construction activities on the building where it is installed.

Table 7 - Errata April 1992

Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

| Location | Plutonium-239, -240 | | Americium-241 | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <u>Pond A-4</u> | | | | |
| 04/04/92 - 04/05/92 | 0.002 | ± 0.003 ^a | 0.003 | ± 0.003 |
| 04/10/92 - 04/16/92 | | b | | b |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | b | | b |
| <u>Pond B-5</u> - No discharge | | | | |
| <u>Pond C-1</u> | | | | |
| 04/04/92 - 04/10/92 | | b | | b |
| 04/11/92 - 04/17/92 | | b | | b |
| 04/18/92 - 04/24/92 | | b | 0.005 | ± 0.002 |
| 04/25/92 - 05/01/92 | | b | | b |
| Average concentration | | b | | b |
| <u>Pond C-2</u> | | | | |
| 04/04/92 - 04/07/92 | 0.010 | ± 0.004 ^a | 0.002 | ± 0.003 |
| Volume weighted average concentration | 0.010 | ± 0.004 ^a | 0.002 | ± 0.003 |
| <u>Walnut Creek at Indiana^c</u> | | | | |
| 04/04/92 - 04/10/92 | 0.003 | ± 0.002 ^a | 0.002 | ± 0.003 ^a |
| 04/11/92 - 04/17/92 | | b | | b |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | b | | b |

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Incomplete lab analysis.

c Samples were collected from 04/06/92-04/09/92 and represent continued spring run-off and residual from discharge.

Table 8 - Errata April 1992

Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Uranium-233, -234</u> | | | <u>Uranium-238</u> | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------|
| <u>Pond A-4</u> | | | | | | |
| 04/04/92 - 04/05/92 | 0.83 | ± | 0.12 | 1.12 | ± | 0.14 |
| 04/10/92 - 04/16/92 | 1.04 | ± | 0.13 ^a | 1.16 | ± | 0.14 ^a |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | | b | | | b |
| <u>Pond B-5 - No discharge</u> | | | | | | |
| <u>Pond C-1</u> | | | | | | |
| 04/04/92 - 04/10/92 | 0.40 | ± | 0.09 ^a | 0.34 | ± | 0.08 ^a |
| 04/11/92 - 04/17/92 | 0.41 | ± | 0.08 ^a | 0.30 | ± | 0.07 ^a |
| 04/18/92 - 04/24/92 | 0.60 | ± | 0.10 | 0.32 | ± | 0.08 |
| 04/25/92 - 05/01/92 | 0.58 | ± | 0.10 ^a | 0.39 | ± | 0.08 ^a |
| Average concentration | 0.50 | ± | 0.10 ^a | 0.34 | ± | 0.08 ^a |
| <u>Pond C-2</u> | | | | | | |
| 04/04/92 - 04/07/92 | 0.98 | ± | 0.14 | 1.39 | ± | 0.17 |
| Volume weighted average concentration | 0.98 | ± | 0.14 | 1.39 | ± | 0.17 |
| <u>Walnut Creek at Indiana^c</u> | | | | | | |
| 04/04/92 - 04/10/92 | 1.44 | ± | 0.16 | 1.06 | ± | 0.13 |
| 04/11/92 - 04/17/92 | 1.15 | ± | 0.14 ^a | 1.09 | ± | 0.13 ^a |
| Volume weighted average concentration | | | b | | | b |

^a Previously reported incomplete.

^b Incomplete lab analysis.

^c Samples were collected from 04/06/92-04/09/92 and represent continued spring run-off and residual from discharge.

Table 9 - Errata April 1992

Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium.

| Location | Number of Samples | Reservoirs (pCi/l) | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Plutonium-239, -240 | Americium-241 |
| Great Western | 1a | b | -0.001 ± 0.002 ^c |
| Standley Lake | 1a | b | -0.002 ± 0.002 ^c |
| Community Tap Water (pCi/l) | | | |
| Boulder | 1a | b | -0.003 ± 0.001 ^c |
| Broomfield | 1a | b | -0.004 ± 0.001 ^c |
| Westminster | 1a | b | -0.004 ± 0.001 ^c |

- a Plutonium and americium analyses are performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.
- b Incomplete lab analysis.
- c Previously reported incomplete.

Table 10 - Errata April 1992

Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

| Reservoirs (pCi/l) | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Location</u> | <u>Number of Samples</u> | <u>Uranium-233, -234</u> | | <u>Uranium-238</u> |
| Great Western | 1a | 0.35 | ± 0.07 ^b | 0.34 ± 0.06 ^b |
| Standley Lake | 1a | 0.60 | ± 0.09 ^b | 0.47 ± 0.08 ^b |
| Community Tap Water (pCi/l) | | | | |
| Boulder | 1a | -0.21 | ± 0.04 ^b | -0.16 ± 0.04 ^b |
| Broomfield | 1a | 0.06 | ± 0.06 ^b | 0.10 ± 0.05 ^b |
| Westminster | 1a | 0.19 | ± 0.07 ^b | 0.18 ± 0.06 ^b |

a Uranium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.
 b Previously reported incomplete.

Distribution

Federal Agencies

USDOE, RFO
Attn: R.M. Nelson, Jr.
Bldg. 115

USEPA
Attn: Dr. M. Lammering,
R. Rutherford
One Denver Place - Suite 1300
999 18th Street
Denver, CO 80202-2413

USEPA
Attn: B. Lavelle
999 18th Street, Suite 500
8 HWM-FF
Denver, CO 80202-2405

State Government Agencies

Colorado Water Conservation Board
Attn: N.C. Ioannides
823 State Centennial Building
1313 Sherman Street
Denver, CO 80203

Denver Regional Council of
Governments
Attn: L. Mugler
2480 W. 27th Avenue, #200B
Denver, CO 80211

Department of Natural Resources
Attn: B. Hamlett III
1313 Sherman Street
Denver, CO 80203

Rocky Flats Environmental
Monitoring Council
Attn: G. Swartz
1536 Cole Blvd., Suite 325
Denver West Office Park #4
Golden, CO 80401

City Governments

City of Arvada
Utilities Division
Attn: M. Mauro
8101 Ralston Road
Arvada, CO 80002

City of Boulder
Office of the City Manager
Attn: J. Piper, A. Struthers
P.O. Box 791
Boulder, CO 80302

City of Broomfield
Attn: H. Mahan, K. Schnoor
#6 Garden Office Center
P.O. Box 1415
Broomfield, CO 80038-1415

City of Fort Collins
Office of the City Manager
Attn: S. Burkett
300 La Porte
Fort Collins, CO 80525

City of Northglenn
Attn: T. Ambalam
11701 Community Center Drive
Northglenn, CO 80233-1099

City of Thornton
Attn: J. Ethredge, City Manager
9500 Civic Center Drive
Thornton, CO 80229-1120

City of Westminster
Attn: W. Christopher, S. Ramer
4800 W. 92nd Avenue
Westminster, CO 80030

Denver Water Department
Quality Control
Attn: J. Dice
1600 W. 12th Avenue
Denver, CO 80254

Health Departments

Boulder City/County Health
Department - Division of
Environmental Health
Attn: T. Douville, V. Harris
3450 Broadway
Boulder, CO 80020

Colorado Department of Health
4210 E. Eleventh Avenue
Denver, CO 80020
Attn: B. Barry, J. Bruch, G. Dancik,
D. Fox, P. Frohardt, D. Holme,
J. Jacobi, A. Lockhart, R. Quillin,
J. Sowinski, R. Terry, T. Vernon

Jefferson County Health Department
Attn: Dr. M. Johnson, C. Sanders
260 South Kipling
Lakewood, CO 80226

Tri County District Health
Attn: S. Salyards
4301 E. 72nd Avenue
Commerce City, CO 80022

Environmental

Advance Sciences, Inc.
Attn: D. Kaskie, M.G. Waltermire
405 Urban Street, Suite 401
Lakewood, CO 80228

American Friends Service Co.
Attn: T. Rauch
1535 High Street, 3rd Floor
Denver, CO 80218

Doty and Associates
F.H. Blaha
408 22nd Street
Golden, CO 80401

P. Elofson-Gardine
8470 W. 52nd Place, Suite 9
Arvada, CO 80002-3447