

STATE OF COLORADO

Bill Owens, Governor
Jane E Norton, Executive Director

Dedicated to protecting and improving the health and environment of the people of Colorado

4300 Cherry Creek Dr S
Denver, Colorado 80246-1530
Phone (303) 692-2000
TDD Line (303) 691-7700
Located in Glendale, Colorado

Laboratory and Radiation Services Division
8100 Lowry Blvd
Denver, Colorado 80230-6928
(303) 692-3090

<http://www.cdphe.state.co.us>



Colorado Department
of Public Health
and Environment

June 17, 2002

Joseph A Legare
Asst Manager for Environment and Stewardship
Department of Energy
Rocky Flats Field Office
10808 Highway 93, Unit A
Golden, CO 80403-8200

Timothy Rehder
RFCA Project Coordinator
U S Environmental Protection Agency
999 18th Street, Suite 500, EPR-FT
Denver, CO 80202-2466

CDPHE Comments on the
Public Review Draft of the CERCLA Five-Year
Review Report, Dated April 30, 2002

Gentlemen

The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment has reviewed the public review version of the Five-Year Review and is providing specific comments below

Section 4 1 The third objective does not appear to have been addressed in the review Where is this information presented? See comment on Section 7 3, below

Section 4 2, Page 19 The fifth paragraph is part of the quote from the OU# CAD/ROD and should be italicized

Section 4 4, Last paragraph, Sixth sentence Insert the receptor for the calculated cancer risk

Section 4 9 Our information shows one additional objective should be added

- Evaluate long-term effectiveness of the treatment system once it has been in operation for several years

1/2
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION
REVIEW WAIVER PER
CLASSIFICATION OFFICE



ADMIN RECORD

SW-A-004521

Messrs Legare and Rehder
June 17, 2002
Page 2

Section 7 3 Remedy Cost This section should present the projected cost versus actual cost for each action discussed in this document This information provides an initial assessment of remedy effectiveness

Section 8 3 4, Page 57 In the response to Question B, it should be noted that modifications to the action level values already implemented result in comparisons that approach Tier I for PCE


Section 8 3 9, Page 68, 1st Paragraph There appears to be no support for the statement that the underlying stream standard for nitrate will be met In fact, the data presented shows that the standard is routinely violated at both the discharge gallery and downstream The answers to Questions B and C on Page 68 and Section 9 0 on page 73 should be revised to reflect these differences

Section 8 3 11, Page 71 Question B and Conclusion indicate the remedy meets its objectives, however, the objectives are not specified

Section 9 0, Page 74, Sitewide Actions, Second Paragraph Most of the areas that will require access restrictions have been defined, therefore the first sentence is inaccurate

If you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact me at 303-693-3367 or Steve Tarlton at 303-692-3423

Sincerely,



Steven H Gunderson
RFCA Project Coordinator

Dave Shelton, KH

~~Admin Record #1000~~

2/2

