011894-13

Copy Reparstyo & Direct reports. WSB 1/24/94

EG&G ROCKY FLATS

GRC
RM
Stiger
Bulby
INFO
RLD
116CC
SM
11700
FOS

INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

DATE. January 14, 1994

TO: Distribution

FROM: G E Marx/Admin & Planning, Bldg 111, X4363 for GEM.

SUBJECT INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT (IAG) REEVALUATION - GEM-019-94

Ref P W Swenson ltr, PWS-004-94, to Distribution, Same Subject January 11, 1994

My staff has reviewed the IAG principals and issues I do not have specific comments on the issues identified, but offer the following general comments

- A strong Baseline Change Process must be agreed upon due to the dynamics associated with Environmental Restoration methodologies and constantly changing funding availabilities
- I recommend that all cost, schedule, and planning estimates be validated, before submittal, using the EM-24 Cost Assessment Handbook to ensure risk has been mitigated/deflected
- It is customary in industry to have binding arbitration as one avenue of resolution for deadlocks in negotiations EG&G may want to consider this option
- A methodology must be developed for allowing an IAG milestone to be late, if outside forces (Congressional funding, new regulations, new statutes), effect our ability to complete the work scope while a Baseline Change is pending, without incurring fines and penalties

DLS lks

Distribution

H S. Berman
R D. Copp
J G Davis
D W Ferrera
T G Hedahl
R E Kell
W A Kirby
F G McKenna
S G Stiger
P W Swenson
F E Wilkinson

cc:
H P Mann

JAN 1994 RECEIVED

JAN 1994 RECEIVED

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

ADMIN RECORD
SW-A-004706