2.0 Remediation Status and Compliance Summary

This chapter provides a summary of CERCLA remediation activities in 2007 and summarizes compliance activities with other applicable environmental laws, regulations, and legal agreements. CERCLA (the Superfund Act) is the primary driver for environmental remediation of the Fernald Preserve.

EPA and OEPA enforce the environmental laws, regulations, and legal agreements governing work at the Fernald Preserve. EPA develops, promulgates, and enforces environmental protection regulations and technology-based standards. EPA regional offices and state agencies enforce these regulations and standards by review of data collected at the Fernald Preserve. EPA Region V has regulatory oversight of the CERCLA process at the Fernald Preserve, with active participation from OEPA.

For some programs, such as those under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) as amended, the Clean Air Act as amended (excluding NESHAP compliance), and the Clean Water Act as amended, EPA has authorized the State of Ohio to act as the primary enforcement authority. For these programs, Ohio promulgates state regulations that must be at least as stringent as federal requirements. Several legal agreements among DOE, EPA Region V, and OEPA identify site-specific requirements for compliance with the regulations. To comply with these regulations, DOE Headquarters issues directives to its field and area offices and conducts audits to ensure compliance with all regulations.

2.1 CERCLA Remediation Status

In October 2006, remedial actions were completed for four of the five operable units. As of October 29, 2006, the only active remedy implementation efforts remaining involved the continuation of the groundwater remedy under Operable Unit 5. Other activities under CERCLA during 2007 involved monitoring the performance of the completed remedies under Operable Units 1, 2, 3, and 4, administrative activities related to finalizing soil certification reports under Operable Unit 5 as well as other closure-related documentation, and implementing the requirements of the Legacy Management and Institutional Controls Plan.

All cleanup-related CERCLA documentation, including a copy of the Administrative Record, is available to the public at the Public Environmental Information Center, located at 10995 Hamilton Cleves Highway in Harrison, Ohio, and is open Monday through Thursday, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. A copy of the Administrative Record is also located at EPA’s Region V office in Chicago, Illinois.

The completion and closure of a National Priorities List (NPL) site encompasses several milestones and specific documentation requirements for each milestone completed (Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response Directive 9320.2-09A-P, Close Out Procedures for National Priorities List Sites [EPA 2000]). These milestones begin with remedial action completion and end with deletion from the NPL and include:

- Remedial action completion (Final or Interim Remedial Action Reports).
- Construction completions (Preliminary Closeout Report)—all construction activities are complete, immediate threats are addressed, and long-term threats are under control.
• Site completion (Final Closeout Report)—all site cleanup goals are met, all Records of Decision are complete, institutional controls are in place, and the site is protective of human health and the environment.

• Site deletion from the NPL (Notice of Intent to Delete).

Final Remedial Action Reports have been prepared and approved by both EPA and OEPA for Operable Units 1, 2, 3 and 4. An Interim Remedial Action Report for Operable Unit 5 was submitted to the agencies in December 2007. The Interim Remedial Action Report for Operable Unit 5 details the ongoing aquifer restoration activities, future decontamination and demolition of groundwater infrastructure, and final soil remediation (as necessary beneath the remaining groundwater infrastructure) remain as open items that will be closed out with a future final Remedial Action Report for Operable Unit 5 once groundwater actions are complete (estimated completion date in 2026, based on modeling projections). In addition, EPA issued the Preliminary Closeout Report in December 2006.

CERCLA also requires a 5-year review process of remedial actions implemented under the signed Record of Decision for each operable unit. The purpose of a 5-year review is to determine, through evaluation of performance of the selected remedy, whether the remedy at a site remains protective of human health and the environment. The first 5-year review report for the Fernald Preserve (DOE 2001b) was approved by EPA in September 2001. The second 5-year report was submitted in April 2006 (DOE 2006c) and approved by EPA in July 2006.

CERCLA remediation highlights during 2007 included the following:

• No remediation activities were conducted for Operable Units 1, 2 and 3. Final Remedial Action Reports have been approved for each of these operable units.

• The performance of the on-site disposal facility was satisfactory during 2007. The cap underwent four formal inspections. Leachate generation has continued to decline, and leakage is significantly less than established action levels. Cap performance is discussed further in Chapter 7, and leachate/leak detection performance is discussed in Chapter 3.

• Under Operable Unit 4, Silos 1 and 2 treated waste material remains in interim storage and in safe configuration at the Waste Control Specialists facility in Andrews, Texas, pending a formal permanent disposal decision.

• All soil certification reports were approved by the end of 2007. Figure 2−1 indicates those areas that remain uncertified pending the end of the groundwater remedy and the decontamination and decommissioning of the related facilities. Elevated uranium concentrations in surface water in an area adjacent to former Waste Pit 3 necessitated a maintenance activity to be undertaken. This issue is explained in detail in Chapter 4.

• Ecological restoration of the entire property continued during 2007 and required site inspections were performed. There were no instances of breaches in or violations of the institutional controls established in the Legacy Management and Institutional Controls Plan. Further discussion of the site inspection process is included in Chapter 7.

The ongoing groundwater remedy resulted in a total of 2,228 million gallons (M gal) (8,433 million liters [M liters]) of groundwater extracted from the Great Miami Aquifer, and 653 lb (296 kg) of uranium were removed from the aquifer in 2007. Chapter 3 discusses groundwater monitoring and remediation performance.
Figure 2–1. Uncertified Areas
On-Site Disposal Facility After Completion of all Caps

The Converted Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility
2.2 Summary of Compliance with Other Requirements

CERCLA requires compliance with other laws and regulations as part of remediation of the Fernald Preserve. These requirements are referred to as applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs). ARARs that are pertinent to remediation of the Fernald Preserve are specified in the Record of Decision for each operable unit. This section of the report highlights some of the major requirements related to environmental monitoring and waste management and describes how the Fernald Preserve complied with these requirements in 2007.

The regulations discussed in this section have been identified as ARARs within the Records of Decision. The Fernald Preserve must comply with these regulations while site remediation under CERCLA is underway; compliance is enforced by EPA and OEPA. Some of these requirements include permits for controlled releases, which are also discussed in this section.

2.2.1 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

RCRA regulates the treatment, storage, and disposal of hazardous waste and mixed waste that contains radioactive and hazardous waste components. These wastes are regulated under RCRA and Ohio hazardous waste management regulations; therefore, the Fernald Preserve must comply with legal requirements for managing hazardous and mixed wastes. OEPA has been authorized by EPA to enforce its hazardous waste management regulations in lieu of the federal RCRA program. In addition, hazardous waste management is subject to the 1988 Consent Decree, the 1993 Stipulated Amendment between the State of Ohio and DOE, and a series of Director’s Final Findings and Orders issued by OEPA.

In 1996, OEPA issued Director’s Findings and Orders to integrate RCRA closure requirements with CERCLA response actions for the Fernald Preserve hazardous waste management units (HWMUs).

Although the above regulations remain applicable, the Fernald Preserve had no hazardous waste treatment, storage, or disposal activities during 2007. The Fernald Preserve completed several administrative activities related to mixed waste storage and treatment and RCRA closures during 2007, including:


- As a part of the Interim Remedial Action Report for Operable Unit 5 and in accordance with Section V.4 of the 1996 Director’s Findings and Orders, DOE certified that the HWMUs within Operable Units 1, 3, and 5 and all associated contaminated environmental media were managed in accordance with the associated Records of Decision and OEPA’s closure performance standards. Included with this certification was supporting information demonstrating that the HWMU closures met an unrestricted land use exposure criteria. This information was included in Appendix C of the Site-Wide Soil and Sediment Section of the Interim Remedial Action Report for Operable Unit 5. OEPA had yet to act on this certification at the end of 2007.
2.2.1.1 RCRA Property Boundary Groundwater Monitoring

The Director’s Findings and Orders, which were signed September 10, 1993, described an alternate monitoring system for RCRA groundwater monitoring. A revision of this document was approved on September 7, 2000, to align with the groundwater monitoring strategy identified in the IEMP. The Property Boundary Groundwater Monitoring program is discussed in Chapter 3.

2.2.1.2 Waste Management

With the completion of remediation, DOE also completed the disposition of the containerized waste inventory. The last shipment of hazardous waste occurred October 2, 2006, ending hazardous waste management activities. Wastes managed during 2007 were limited to small quantities of low-level radioactive wastes and uncontaminated solid wastes.

2.2.2 Clean Water Act

Under the Clean Water Act, as amended, the Fernald Preserve is governed by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) regulations that require the control of discharges of nonradiological pollutants to waters of the state of Ohio. The NPDES permit, issued by the State of Ohio, specifies discharge and sample locations, sampling and reporting schedules, and discharge limitations. The Fernald Preserve submits monthly reports on NPDES activities to OEPA. The Fernald Preserve’s current NPDES permit, number 11O00004*GD, became effective on July 1, 2003.

An NPDES renewal application was submitted to OEPA on December 28, 2007. This application was submitted in anticipation of permit expiration in June 2008 and reflected the current treatment and discharge characteristics envisioned during legacy management of the Fernald Preserve. Chapter 4 discusses the surface water and treated effluent information in detail.

2.2.3 Clean Air Act

NESHAP Subpart H imposes a limit of 10 millirem (mrem) per year on the effective dose equivalent to the maximally exposed individual as a result of all air emissions (with the exception of radon) from the facility in a single year. For 2007, the Fernald Preserve was in compliance with the NESHAP dose limit as determined by ambient air monitoring at the Fernald Preserve's boundary. Appendix D contains the NESHAP Annual Report for 2007.

OEPA is authorized to enforce the State of Ohio’s air standards for particulate matter at the Fernald Preserve. Compliance is accomplished by implementing the Fugitive Dust Control Policy negotiated between DOE and OEPA in 1997. The policy allows for visual observation of fugitive dust and implementation of dust control measures.

2.2.4 Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986

The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA) amended CERCLA and was enacted, in part, to clarify and expand CERCLA requirements. SARA Title III is also known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act.
The SARA Title III, Section 312, Emergency and Hazardous Chemical Inventory Report for 2006, was submitted to OEPA, to the local emergency planning committees of Hamilton and Butler Counties, and to the Crosby Township Fire Department on February 26, 2007. This report listed the amounts and locations of hazardous chemicals and substances stored or used in amounts greater than the minimum reporting threshold (generally 10,000 lb [4,540 kg] for hazardous chemicals, and 500 lb [227 kg] for extremely hazardous substances) at any time during 2006. During 2007 there were no chemicals stored on the Fernald Preserve above threshold planning quantities.

Another SARA Title III report, the Section 313 Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Report (Form R), is required if the Fernald Preserve exceeds an applicable threshold for any SARA 313 chemical. If required, the Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Report lists routine and accidental releases and information about the activities, uses, and waste for each reported toxic chemical. No chemicals have exceeded the threshold for several years. On June 25, 2007, a negative survey report was submitted to OEPA documenting that no such chemicals above thresholds were on site at any time during 2006. No chemical exceeded a reporting threshold during 2007.

Also under SARA Title III, any off-site release meeting or exceeding a reportable quantity as defined by SARA Title III, Section 304, requires that immediate notifications be made to local emergency planning committees and the state emergency response commission. Notifications are also made to the National Response Center and other appropriate federal, state, and local regulatory entities. All releases occurring at the Fernald Preserve are evaluated and documented to ensure that proper notifications are made in accordance with SARA, and under CERCLA Section 103, RCRA, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and Ohio environmental laws and regulations. There were no releases at the Fernald Preserve that met the reporting criteria under CERCLA during 2007.

2.2.5 Other Environmental Regulations

The Fernald Preserve is also required to comply with other environmental laws and regulations in addition to those described above. Table 2–1 summarizes compliance with each of these requirements for 2007.

2.2.6 Other Permits

Certain environmental laws are implemented through permits. However, there are no other permits currently in effect other than the Fernald Preserve’s permit for discharging water under NPDES regulations discussed in Section 2.2.2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation and Purpose</th>
<th>Background Compliance Issues</th>
<th>2007 Compliance Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)</strong></td>
<td>The last routine TSCA inspection of the Fernald Preserve's program was conducted by EPA Region V on September 21, 1994. No violations of PCB regulations were identified during the inspection.</td>
<td>No PCB liquids were shipped in 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulates the manufacturing, use, storage, and disposal of toxic materials, including polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) and PCB items.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ohio Solid Waste Act</strong></td>
<td>The Fernald Preserve was registered with OEPA as a generator of infectious waste (generating more than 50 pounds [23 kg] per month) until December 6, 1999, when OEPA concurred with the Fernald Preserve’s qualification as a small quantity generator.</td>
<td>No infectious waste activities were required in 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulates infectious waste.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act</strong></td>
<td>The last inspection of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act program conducted by EPA Region V on September 21, 1994, found the Fernald Preserve to be in full compliance with the requirements mandated by the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.</td>
<td>Pesticide applications at the Fernald Preserve were conducted according to federal and state regulatory requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulates the registration, storage, labeling, and use of pesticides (such as insecticides, herbicides, and rodenticides).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Environmental Policy Act</strong></td>
<td>An environmental assessment for proposed final land use was issued for public review in 1998. It was prepared under DOE’s guidelines for implementation of National Environmental Policy Act, 10 CFR 1021. The assessment requires consulting the public before any decisions on land use are made; it includes previous DOE commitments.</td>
<td>No National Environmental Policy Act activities were required in 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requires the evaluation of environmental, socioeconomic, and cultural impacts before any action, such as a construction or cleanup project, is initiated by a federal agency.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endangered Species Act</strong></td>
<td>Ecological surveys conducted by Miami University and DOE, in consultation with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, have established the following list of threatened and endangered species and their habitats existing on site:</td>
<td>A survey was conducted for the presence of Indiana bat in several locations at the Fernald Preserve. This effort was conducted to see if modifications to the former rail trestle attracted bats. No Indiana bats were identified. A more detailed discussion can be found in Chapter 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requires the protection of any threatened or endangered species found at the site as well as any critical habitat that is essential for the species' existence.</td>
<td>Cave salamander, state-listed endangered—marginal habitat, none found; Sloan’s crayfish, state-listed threatened—found on northern sections of Paddys Run; Indiana brown bat, federally listed endangered—found in riparian areas along Paddys Run.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation and Purpose</td>
<td>Background Compliance Issues</td>
<td>2007 Compliance Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Floodplains/Wetlands Review Requirements</strong></td>
<td>A wetlands delineation of the Fernald Preserve, completed in 1992 and approved by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in August 1993, identified 36 acres (15 hectares) of freshwater wetland on the Fernald Preserve property.</td>
<td>No assessments were performed in 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Historic Preservation Act</strong></td>
<td>The Fernald Preserve is located in an area of sensitive historic and prehistoric cultural resources that are eligible for or on the National Register of Historic Places. These cultural resources include historic structures, buildings, and bridges, plus Native American villages and campsites.</td>
<td>No cultural resource surveys were necessary in 2007. Monitoring for unexpected discoveries was conducted during site-wide field activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act</strong></td>
<td>Native American remains have been discovered during remediation activities at the Fernald Preserve. Native American remains and artifacts have been removed or left in place, with consultation from Native American nations, tribes, and groups.</td>
<td>No Native American remains were discovered or repatriated to Native American nations, tribes, or groups in 2007. As stated above, monitoring for unexpected discoveries was conducted during sitewide field activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Natural Resource Requirements Under CERCLA and Executive Order 12580</strong></td>
<td>DOE and the other trustees, which include the U.S. Department of the Interior, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, OEPA, the Ohio Attorney General’s Office, and EPA, meet regularly to discuss potential impact to natural resources and to coordinate trustee activities. The trustees also interact with the Fernald Citizens Advisory Board and Community Reuse Organization.</td>
<td>In 2007, the Trustees and DOE continued to pursue settlement of the 1986 Natural Resource injury claim at Fernald. While the components of restoration have been established through a 2001 Memorandum of Understanding (DOE 2001c) and restoration of the site continues, the trustees and DOE continue to negotiate issues such as maintenance and monitoring at the Fernald Preserve.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.7 Pollution Prevention and Source Reduction

The Fernald Preserve is actively involved in an effort to reduce solid, hazardous, radioactive, and mixed waste generation and to eliminate or minimize pollutants released to all environmental media. Various waste streams were recycled during 2007, including:

- 3,695 lb (1,680 kg) of paper
- 800 lb (364 kg) of cardboard
- 92 lb (42 kg) of plastic
- 163 lb (73 kg) of aluminum
- 1,500 lb (682 kg) of electronic equipment
- 15 gal (57 liters) of used oil
- 36 toner cartridges
- 2 tires

The Fernald Preserve’s affirmative procurement program involves source reduction and the use of EPA designated materials to increase the market for recovered materials. In accordance with Executive Order 13423, Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy and Transportation Management, the Fernald Preserve uses 30 percent post-recycled-content copier paper. The Fernald Preserve generated and submitted an annual report demonstrating compliance with this order in December 2007.

As part of the Annual Waste Reduction Report under DOE Order 450.1, the Fernald Preserve generated and submitted a summary report of waste generated and pollution prevention progress in December 2007.

2.2.8 Site-Specific Regulatory Agreement

2.2.8.1 Federal Facility Compliance Agreement

In July 1986, DOE entered into a Federal Facility Compliance Agreement with EPA, which requires the Fernald Preserve to:

- Maintain a sampling program for the South Plume extraction wells and report the results to the EPA, OEPA, and Ohio Department of Health. The sampling program conducted to address this requirement has also been modified over the years and is currently governed by an agreement reached with EPA and OEPA on May 1, 1996. These data are reported through IEMP reports (refer to Appendix A).
- Maintain a continuous sample collection program for radiological constituents at the treated effluent discharge points and report the results to EPA, OEPA, and the Ohio Department of Health. The sampling program to address this requirement has been modified over the years and is currently governed by an agreement reached with EPA and OEPA that became effective May 1, 1996. These data are reported through IEMP reports (refer to Appendix B).
2.2.8.2 Federal Facility Agreement, Control, and Abatement of Radon-222 Emissions

The Federal Facility Agreement between DOE and EPA, signed in November 1991, ensures that DOE takes all necessary actions to control and abate radon-222 emissions at the Fernald Preserve, under the authority of 40 CFR 61 Subpart Q. This agreement acknowledged that Silos 1 and 2 exceed the radon flux rate of 20 picocuries per square meter per second. But it allowed the Fernald Preserve to address this exceedance by implementing a removal action (installation of a bentonite cap in 1991) to take radon emissions from the silos to a level as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA), and to attain the NESHAP Subpart Q standard upon completion of final remediation. Chapter 5 further discusses the results of the radon monitoring program for 2007.

2.2.9 Environmental Management Systems Requirement

DOE requires that sites develop and implement an Environmental Management System (EMS) as a means of systematically planning, implementing, evaluating, and improving processes and actions undertaken to achieve environmental goals. This requirement is specified in DOE Order 450.1, Environmental Protection Program.

The implementation of EMS ensures that sound stewardship practices protective of the air, water, land, and other natural and cultural resources potentially affected by operations are employed throughout the project. EMS is a systematic process for reducing the environmental impacts resulting from DOE and contractor work activities, products and services, and directs work to occur in a manner that protects workers, the public, and the environment. The process adheres to “Plan-Do-Check-Act” principles, mandates environmental compliance, and integrates green initiatives into all phases of work, including scoping, planning, construction, subcontracts, and operations. Proposed site maintenance activities will be assessed for opportunities to improve environmental performance and sustainable environmental practices. Some areas for consideration include reusing and recycling products or wastes, using environmentally preferable products (i.e., products with recycled content, such as office furniture; products with reduced toxicity; and energy efficient products), using alternative fuels and renewable energy, and making environmental habitat improvements.

2.3 Split Sampling Program

Since 1987, DOE has participated in the split sampling program with the State. Split samples are obtained when technicians alternately add portions of a sample to two individual sample containers. This collection method helps ensure that both samples are as identical as possible. The split samples are then submitted to two analytical laboratories; this allows for an independent comparison of data to ascertain laboratory analysis and field quality assurance. In addition to split sampling, OEPA performs independent sampling.

In 2007, DOE and OEPA cooperated in the split sampling program. Samples of groundwater were split (refer to split sample locations in Figure 2–2) and the results are provided in Table 2–2.
Figure 2–2. 2007 DOE and OEPA Groundwater Split Sample Locations
Table 2–2. 2007 DOE/OEPA Split Sampling Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>Sample Date</th>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>DOE Result</th>
<th>OEPA Result</th>
<th>FRL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2060 (12)</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>Total Uranium</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2060 (12)</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>Total Uranium</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>Total Uranium</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>Total Uranium</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>Total Uranium</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>Total Uranium</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Refer to Figure 2-2 for groundwater split sample locations.