

Data Validation Package

August 2012
Groundwater Sampling at the
Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site

October 2012



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Legacy
Management

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

Sampling Event Summary	1
Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site Sample Location Map	3
Data Assessment Summary.....	5
Water Sampling Field Activities Verification Checklist.....	7
Laboratory Performance Assessment	9
Sampling Quality Control Assessment	17
Certification	19

Attachment 1—Assessment of Anomalous Data

Potential Outliers Report

Attachment 2—Data Presentation

Groundwater Quality Data
Static Water Level Data
Hydrograph
Time-Concentration Graphs

Attachment 3—Sampling and Analysis Work Order

Attachment 4—Trip Report

This page intentionally left blank

Sampling Event Summary

Site: Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site

Sampling Period: August 14, 2012

The 1998 *Interim Long-Term Surveillance Plan for the Cheney Disposal Site Near Grand Junction, Colorado* requires annual monitoring to assess the performance of the disposal cell. Monitoring wells 0731, 0732, and 0733 were sampled as specified in the plan. Sampling and analysis were conducted in accordance with *Sampling and Analyses Plan for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Sites (LMS/PLN/S04351, continually updated)*.

The water level was measured at each sampled well. The water level in well 0733, located in the disposal cell, is lower than water levels in adjacent wells 0731 and 0732, indicating a hydraulic gradient toward the disposal cell. The attached hydrograph shows stable water levels in well 0733 over the past several years.

Results from this sampling event were generally consistent with results from the past several years as shown in the attached concentration versus time graphs. There have been no large changes in contaminant concentration observed over the last several years.

- Molybdenum concentrations continue to be significantly below the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 0.1 milligram per liter (mg/L).
- Nitrate + nitrite as nitrogen concentrations exceed the standard in both wells adjacent to the cell (0731 and 0732). This contaminant is below the MCL of 10.0 mg/L and continues to trend downward in well 0733, which is located in the cell.
- Selenium concentrations continue to exceed the MCL of 0.1 mg/L in wells 0731 and 0732, but remain below the standard in well 0733. This is to be expected as selenium levels are typically elevated in sediments of the Mancos Shale in the area.
- Uranium concentrations remain below the MCL of 0.044 mg/L in wells 0731 and 0732, but exceed the MCL in well 0733 after trending upward since 2003. Higher uranium concentrations are expected in this well, located in the disposal cell.
- No polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) were detected in any of the wells.

Wells with sample concentrations that exceeded U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) groundwater standards (40 CFR 192) are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Grand Junction Disposal Site Wells Where EPA Standards Were Exceeded in August 2012

Analyte	Standard ^a	Location	Concentration
Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	10	0731	42
		0732	41
Selenium	0.01	0731	0.60
		0732	0.33
Uranium	0.044	0733	0.13

^a Standards are listed in 40 CFR 192.02 Table 1 to subpart A; units are in mg/L.



 Gary Baur
 Site Lead, S.M. Stoller Corporation

Nov. 1ST 2012
 Date



LEGEND

- WELL TO BE SAMPLED
- - - SITE BOUNDARY

N



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY <small>GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO</small>	<small>Work Performed by</small> S.M. Stoller Corporation <small>Under DOE Contract No. DE-AM01-07LM00060</small>
Planned Sampling Map Grand Junction, CO, Disposal Site August 2012	
<small>DATE PREPARED</small> July 31, 2012	<small>FILENAME</small> S0925300

M:\LTS\11110001\16\0001\S09253\S0925300_11x17.mxd smithw 07/31/2012 12:54:18 PM

Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site Sample Location Map

This page intentionally left blank

Data Assessment Summary

This page intentionally left blank

Water Sampling Field Activities Verification Checklist

Project	<u>Grand Junction Disposal Site</u>	Date(s) of Water Sampling	<u>August 14, 2012</u>
Date(s) of Verification	<u>September 28, 2012</u>	Name of Verifier	<u>Gretchen Baer</u>

	Response (Yes, No, NA)	Comments
1. Is the SAP the primary document directing field procedures? List other documents, SOPs, instructions.	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Work Order letter dated July 10, 2012.</u>
2. Were the sampling locations specified in the planning documents sampled?	<u>Yes</u>	
3. Was a pre-trip calibration conducted as specified in the above-named documents?	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Pre-trip calibration was performed on August 13, 2012.</u>
4. Was an operational check of the field equipment conducted daily? Did the operational checks meet criteria?	<u>Yes</u> <u>Yes</u>	<u>Operational checks were performed before and after the samples were collected.</u>
5. Were the number and types (alkalinity, temperature, specific conductance, pH, turbidity, DO, ORP) of field measurements taken as specified?	<u>Yes</u>	
6. Was the category of the well documented?	<u>Yes</u>	<u>All wells were Category I.</u>
7. Were the following conditions met when purging a Category I well: Was one pump/tubing volume purged prior to sampling? Did the water level stabilize prior to sampling? Did pH, specific conductance, and turbidity measurements stabilize prior to sampling? Was the flow rate less than 500 mL/min? If a portable pump was used, was there a 4-hour delay between pump installation and sampling?	<u>Yes</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>NA</u>	

Water Sampling Field Activities Verification Checklist (continued)

	Response (Yes, No, NA)	Comments
8. Were the following conditions met when purging a Category II well: Was the flow rate less than 500 mL/min? Was one pump/tubing volume removed prior to sampling?	NA	
9. Were duplicates taken at a frequency of one per 20 samples?	Yes	A duplicate sample was collected from location 0733.
10. Were equipment blanks taken at a frequency of one per 20 samples that were collected with nondedicated equipment?	NA	Dedicated equipment was used to sample all wells.
11. Were trip blanks prepared and included with each shipment of VOC samples?	NA	
12. Were QC samples assigned a fictitious site identification number? Was the true identity of the samples recorded on the Quality Assurance Sample Log or in the Field Data Collection System (FDCS) report?	Yes	Location ID 2978 was used for the duplicate sample.
13. Were samples collected in the containers specified?	Yes	
14. Were samples filtered and preserved as specified?	Yes	
15. Were the number and types of samples collected as specified?	Yes	
16. Were chain of custody records completed and was sample custody maintained?	Yes	
17. Are field data sheets signed and dated by both team members (hardcopies) or are dates present for the "Date Signed" fields (FDCS)?	Yes	
18. Was all other pertinent information documented on the field data sheets?	Yes	
19. Was the presence or absence of ice in the cooler documented at every sample location?	Yes	
20. Were water levels measured at the locations specified in the planning documents?	Yes	
	NA	

Laboratory Performance Assessment

General Information

Requisition Index No. (RIN): 12084759
Sample Event: August 14, 2012
Site(s): Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site
Laboratory: ALS Laboratory Group
Work Order No.: 1208184
Analysis: Metals, Organics, and Wet Chemistry
Validator: Gretchen Baer
Review Date: September 28, 2012

This validation was performed according to the *Environmental Procedures Catalog* (LMS/PRO/S04325, continually updated), “Standard Practice for Validation of Laboratory Data.” The procedure was applied at Level 3, Data Validation. See attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. All analyses were successfully completed. The samples were prepared and analyzed using accepted procedures based on methods specified by line item code, which are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Analytes and Methods

Analyte	Line Item Code	Prep Method	Analytical Method
Molybdenum, Selenium, Uranium, Vanadium	LMM-02	SW-846 3005A	SW-846 6020A
Nitrate + Nitrite as N	WCH-A-022	MCAWW 353.2	MCAWW 353.2
PCBs	PEP-A-006	SW-846 3520C, 3665A	SW-846 8082
Sulfate	MIS-A-044	SW-846 9056	SW-846 9056
Total Dissolved Solids	WCH-A-033	MCAWW 160.1	MCAWW 160.1

Data Qualifier Summary

Analytical results were qualified as listed in Table 3. Refer to the attached validation worksheets and the sections below for an explanation of the data qualifiers applied.

Table 3. Data Qualifiers

Sample Number	Location	Analyte	Flag	Reason
All	All	Vanadium	J	Interference check failure
All	All	Nitrate + Nitrite as N	J	Matrix spike failure

Sample Shipping/Receiving

ALS Laboratory Group in Fort Collins, Colorado, received four water samples on August 15, 2012, accompanied by Chain of Custody (COC) forms. The air waybill numbers

were listed in the receiving documentation. The COC forms were checked to confirm that all of the samples were listed with sample collection dates and times, and that signatures and dates were present indicating sample relinquishment and receipt. The COC form was complete with no errors or omissions.

Preservation and Holding Times

The sample shipment was received intact with the temperature inside the iced cooler at 4.8 °C, which complies with requirements. All samples were received in the correct container types and had been preserved correctly for the requested analyses. All samples were analyzed within the applicable holding times.

Detection and Quantitation Limits

The method detection limit (MDL) was reported for all analytes as required. The MDL, as defined in 40 CFR 136, is the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero. The practical quantitation limit (PQL) for these analytes is the lowest concentration that can be reliably measured, and is defined as 5 times the MDL. The reported MDLs for all analytes demonstrate compliance with contractual requirements.

Laboratory Instrument Calibration

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data for all analytes. Initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance in the beginning of the analytical run and of producing a linear curve. Compliance requirements for continuing calibration checks are established to ensure that the instrument continues to be capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data. All laboratory instrument calibrations were performed correctly in accordance with the cited methods. All calibration and laboratory spike standards were prepared from independent sources.

Method MCAWW 160.1

There are no calibration requirements associated with the determination of total dissolved solids.

Method MCAWW 353.2

Calibrations for nitrate + nitrite as N were performed using seven calibration standards on August 21, 2012. The calibration curve correlation coefficient values were greater than 0.995 and the absolute values of the intercepts were less than 3 times the MDL. Initial and continuing calibration verification checks were made at the required frequency resulting in 12 verification checks. All calibration check results were within the acceptance criteria.

Method SW-846 6020A

Calibrations for molybdenum selenium, uranium, and vanadium were performed on August 21, 2012, using five calibration standards. The calibration curve correlation coefficient values were greater than 0.995 and the absolute values of the intercepts were less than 3 times the MDL. Initial and continuing calibration verification checks were made at the required frequency resulting in eight verification checks. All calibration checks met the acceptance

criteria. Reporting limit verification checks were made at the required frequency to verify the linearity of the calibration curve near the PQL and all results were within the acceptance range. Mass calibration and resolution verifications were performed at the beginning of each analytical run in accordance with the analytical procedure. Internal standard recoveries associated with requested analytes were stable and within acceptable ranges.

Method SW-846 8082

The initial calibrations for PCBs were performed using five calibration standards on August 21, 2012. Calibration curves were established using the calibration factor approach. The relative standard deviations for the calibration factors were ≤ 20 percent. Initial and continuing calibration verification checks were made at the required frequency resulting in three verification checks. All calibration checks met the acceptance criteria for all analytes on both gas chromatography columns. PCBs were not detected in any field sample.

Method SW-846 9056

Calibrations for sulfate were performed using six calibration standards on August 9 and 16, 2012. The calibration curve correlation coefficient values were greater than 0.995 and the absolute values of the intercepts were less than 3 times the MDL. Initial and continuing calibration verification checks were made at the required frequency resulting in three verification checks. All calibration check results were within the acceptance criteria.

Method and Calibration Blanks

Method blanks are analyzed to assess any contamination that may have occurred during sample preparation. Calibration blanks are analyzed to assess instrument contamination prior to and during sample analysis.

Metals and Wet Chemistry

All method blank and calibration blank results were below the MDL for all analytes.

Organics

The method blank results were below the MDLs for all target compounds.

Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check samples ICSA and ICSAB were analyzed at the required frequency to verify the instrumental interelement and background correction factors. All check sample results met the acceptance criteria with the exception of the vanadium ICSAB, which was above the acceptance range. The vanadium results that are greater than the MDL are qualified with a “J” flag (estimated).

Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate samples are used to measure method performance in the sample matrix. The matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate samples data are not evaluated when the concentration of the unspiked sample is greater than 4 times the spike concentration. The spikes met the recovery and precision criteria for all analytes evaluated with the following

exception. A spike recovery for nitrate + nitrite as N was above the acceptance range. Associated results above the MDC are qualified with a “J” flag (estimated).

Laboratory Replicate Analysis

Laboratory replicate analyses are used to determine laboratory precision for each sample matrix. The relative percent difference for results that are greater than 5 times the PQL should be less than 20 percent. For results that are less than 5 times the PQL, the range should be no greater than the PQL. The replicate results met these criteria.

Laboratory Control Sample

Laboratory control samples were analyzed at the correct frequency to provide information on the accuracy of the analytical method and the overall laboratory performance, including sample preparation. All control sample results were acceptable.

Metals Serial Dilution

Serial dilutions were prepared and analyzed for the metals analyses to monitor chemical or physical interferences in the sample matrix. Serial dilution data are evaluated when the concentration of the undiluted sample is greater than 50 times the MDL. All evaluated serial dilution data were acceptable.

PCB Surrogate Recoveries

Laboratory performance for individual samples is established by monitoring the recovery of surrogate spikes. The PCB surrogate recoveries were within the acceptance ranges for all samples.

Completeness

Results were reported in the correct units for all analytes requested using contract-required laboratory qualifiers.

Chromatography Peak Integration

The integration of analyte peaks was reviewed for all PCB and sulfate data. All manual integrations that were performed were acceptable and all peak integrations were satisfactory.

Electronic Data Deliverable (EDD) File

The EDD file arrived on September 4, 2012. The Sample Management System EDD validation module was used to verify that the EDD file was complete and in compliance with requirements. The module compares the contents of the file to the requested analyses to ensure all and only the requested data are delivered. The contents of the EDD were manually examined to verify that the sample results accurately reflect the data contained in the sample data package.

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

General Data Validation Report

RIN: 12084759 Lab Code: PAR Validator: Gretchen Baer Validation Date: 9/28/2012
Project: Grand Junction Disp/Proc Sites Analysis Type: Metals General Chem Rad Organics
of Samples: 4 Matrix: WATER Requested Analysis Completed: Yes

Chain of Custody

Present: OK Signed: OK Dated: OK

Sample

Integrity: OK Preservation: OK Temperature: OK

Select Quality Parameters

- Holding Times
- Detection Limits
- Field/Trip Blanks
- Field Duplicates

All analyses were completed within the applicable holding times.

The reported detection limits are equal to or below contract requirements.

There was 1 duplicate evaluated.

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM Metals Data Validation Worksheet

RIN: 12084759 **Lab Code:** PAR **Date Due:** 9/12/2012
Matrix: Water **Site Code:** GRJ03 **Date Completed:** 9/5/2012

Analyte	Method Type	Date Analyzed	CALIBRATION						Method Blank	LCS %R	MS %R	MSD %R	Dup. RPD	ICSAB %R	Serial Dil. %R	CRI %R
			Int.	R^2	ICV	CCV	ICB	CCB								
Molybdenum	ICP/MS	08/21/2012	-0.0020	1.0000	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	97.0	102.0	99.0	3.0	94.0		97.0
Molybdenum	ICP/MS	08/21/2012														91.0
Selenium	ICP/MS	08/21/2012	-0.0110	1.0000	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	101.0			2.0	102.0	8.0	102.0
Selenium	ICP/MS	08/21/2012											3.0			91.0
Uranium	ICP/MS	08/21/2012	-0.0070	1.0000	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	104.0	98.0	91.0	2.0	104.0	7.0	100.0
Uranium	ICP/MS	08/21/2012											4.0			90.0
Vanadium	ICP/MS	08/21/2012	0.0140	1.0000	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	89.0	97.0	95.0	2.0	183.0		103.0
Vanadium	ICP/MS	08/21/2012														105.0

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Wet Chemistry Data Validation Worksheet

RIN: 12084759 **Lab Code:** PAR **Date Due:** 9/12/2012
Matrix: Water **Site Code:** GRJ03 **Date Completed:** 9/5/2012

Analyte	Date Analyzed	CALIBRATION						Method Blank	LCS %R	MS %R	MSD %R	DUP RPD	Serial Dil. %R
		Int.	R^2	ICV	CCV	ICB	CCB						
Nitrate+Nitrite as N	08/21/2012	0.000	0.9996	OK	OK	OK	OK	107.00	110.0	142.0	9.00		
SULFATE	08/09/2012	0.443	0.9999	OK		OK							
SULFATE	08/15/2012				OK		OK	98.00					
SULFATE	08/16/2012	0.194	1.0000	OK	OK	OK	OK		114.0	114.0	0		
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	08/17/2012						OK	104.00			1.00		

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
Organics Data Validation Summary

RIN: 12084759

Project: Grand Junction Disp/Proc Site: **Lab Code:** PAR

Validation Date: 9/28/2012

LCS Recovery: All LCS recoveries were within the laboratory acceptance limits.

Method Blank(s): All method blanks results were below the method detection limit.

MS/MSD Recovery: All MS/MSD recoveries were within the laboratory acceptance limits.

Surrogate Recovery: All surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory acceptance limits.

Sampling Quality Control Assessment

The following information summarizes and assesses quality control for this sampling event.

Sampling Protocol

Sample results for all monitoring wells were qualified with an “F” flag in the database, indicating the wells were purged and sampled using the low-flow sampling method. All wells met the Category I criteria.

Equipment Blank Assessment

An equipment blank was not required because samples were collected using dedicated equipment.

Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate samples are collected and analyzed as an indication of overall precision of the measurement process. The precision observed includes both field and laboratory precision and has more variability than laboratory duplicates, which measure only laboratory performance. The relative percent difference for duplicate results that are greater than 5 times the PQL should be less than 20 percent. For results less than 5 times the PQL, the range should be no greater than the PQL. A duplicate sample was collected from location 0733. The duplicate results met these criteria, demonstrating acceptable overall precision.

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
Validation Report: Field Duplicates

Page 1 of 1

RIN: 12084759 Lab Code: PAR Project: Grand Junction Disp/Proc Sites Validation Date: 9/28/2012

Duplicate: 2978

Sample: 0733

Analyte	Sample				Duplicate				RPD	RER	Units
	Result	Flag	Error	Dilution	Result	Flag	Error	Dilution			
Aroclor 1016	0.35	U		1	0.34	U		1			UG/L
Aroclor 1221	0.21	U		1	0.2	U		1			UG/L
Aroclor 1232	0.35	U		1	0.34	U		1			UG/L
Aroclor 1242	0.35	U		1	0.34	U		1			UG/L
Aroclor 1248	0.35	U		1	0.34	U		1			UG/L
Aroclor 1254	0.35	U		1	0.34	U		1			UG/L
Aroclor 1260	0.35	U		1	0.34	U		1			UG/L
Molybdenum	1.6			10	1.5			10			UG/L
Nitrate+Nitrite as N	3			5	3.2			5	6.45		MG/L
Selenium	3.7			10	3.6			10	2.74		UG/L
SULFATE	6600			100	6500			100	1.53		MG/L
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	13000			1	13000			1	0		MG/L
Uranium	130			10	130			10	0		UG/L
Vanadium	0.25	B		1	0.42			1	50.75		UG/L

Certification

All laboratory analytical quality control criteria were met except as qualified in this report. The data qualifiers listed on the SEEPro database reports are defined on the last page of each report. All data in this package are considered validated and available for use.

Laboratory Coordinator:

Steve Donivan
Steve Donivan

10-22-2012
Date

Data Validation Lead:

Gretchen Baer
Gretchen Baer

10/22/12
Date

This page intentionally left blank

Attachment 1
Assessment of Anomalous Data

This page intentionally left blank

Potential Outliers Report

This page intentionally left blank

Potential Outliers Report

Potential outliers are measurements that are extremely large or small relative to the rest of the data and, therefore, are suspected of misrepresenting the population from which they were collected. Potential outliers may result from transcription errors, data-coding errors, or measurement system problems. However, outliers may also represent true extreme values of a distribution and indicate more variability in the population than was expected.

Statistical outlier tests give probabilistic evidence that an extreme value does not "fit" with the distribution of the remainder of the data and is therefore a statistical outlier. These tests should only be used to identify data points that require further investigation. The tests alone cannot determine whether a statistical outlier should be discarded or corrected within a data set.

There are three steps involved in identifying extreme values or outliers:

1. Identify extreme values that may be potential outliers by generating the Outliers Report using the Sample Management System from data in the SEEPro database. The application compares the new data set with historical data and lists the new data that fall outside the historical data range. A determination is also made if the data are normally distributed using the Shapiro-Wilk Test.
2. Apply the appropriate statistical test. Dixon's Extreme Value test is used to test for statistical outliers when the sample size is less than or equal to 25. This test considers both extreme values that are much smaller than the rest of the data (case 1) and extreme values that are much larger than the rest of the data (case 2). This test is valid only if the data without the suspected outlier are normally distributed. Rosner's Test is a parametric test that is used to detect outliers for sample sizes of 25 or more. This test also assumes that the data without the suspected outliers are normally distributed.
3. Scientifically review statistical outliers and decide on their disposition.

There were no potential outliers identified, and the data for this event are acceptable as qualified.

Data Validation Outliers Report - No Field Parameters

Comparison: All Historical Data

Laboratory: ALS Laboratory Group

RIN: 12084759

Report Date: 9/28/2012

Site Code	Location Code	Sample ID	Sample Date	Analyte	Current			Historical Maximum			Historical Minimum			Number of Data Points		Statistical Outlier
					Result	Qualifiers Lab Data		Result	Qualifiers Lab Data		Result	Qualifiers Lab Data		N	N Below Detect	
GRJ03	0731	N001	08/14/2012	Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	42	N	JF	35		F	7.9		FQ	10	0	No
GRJ03	0732	0001	08/14/2012	Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	41		JF	36		F	26		F	13	0	No
GRJ03	0732	0001	08/14/2012	Sulfate	4600		F	4400		F	963		L	41	0	No
GRJ03	0732	0001	08/14/2012	Vanadium	0.00013	B	JF	0.05	UI		0.0002	U	FQ	39	17	No
GRJ03	0733	N001	08/14/2012	Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	3		JF	24		FQ	3.4		F	9	0	No
GRJ03	0733	N002	08/14/2012	Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	3.2		JF	24		FQ	3.4		F	9	0	No
GRJ03	0733	N001	08/14/2012	Uranium	0.13		F	0.11		F	0.0175		F	22	0	No
GRJ03	0733	N002	08/14/2012	Uranium	0.13		F	0.11		F	0.0175		F	22	0	No

Data Validation Outliers Report - Field Parameters Only

Comparison: All Historical Data

Laboratory: Field Measurements

RIN: 12084759

Report Date: 9/28/2012

Site Code	Location Code	Sample ID	Sample Date	Analyte	Current			Historical Maximum			Historical Minimum			Number of Data Points		Statistical Outlier
					Result	Qualifiers		Result	Qualifiers		Result	Qualifiers		N	N Below Detect	
						Lab	Data		Lab	Data		Lab	Data			
GRJ03	0733	N001	08/14/2012	Specific Conductance	13339		F	13260		F	1283		FQ	14	0	No

STATISTICAL TESTS:

The distribution of the data is tested for normality or lognormality using the Shapiro-Wilk Test

Outliers are identified using Dixon's Test when there are 25 or fewer data points.

Outliers are identified using Rosner's Test when there are 26 or more data points.

See Data Quality Assessment: Statistical Methods for Practitioners, EPA QC/G-9S, February 2006.

This page intentionally left blank

Attachment 2

Data Presentation

This page intentionally left blank

Groundwater Quality Data

This page intentionally left blank

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE GRJ03, Grand Junction Disposal Site
 REPORT DATE: 9/28/2012
 Location: 0731 WELL

Parameter	Units	Sample Date	Sample ID	Depth Range (Ft BLS)	Result	Qualifiers			Detection Limit	Uncertainty
						Lab	Data	QA		
Alkalinity, Total (As CaCO ₃)	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	202		F	#		
Aroclor - 1016	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.31	U	F	#	0.31	
Aroclor - 1221	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.18	U	F	#	0.18	
Aroclor - 1232	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.31	U	F	#	0.31	
Aroclor - 1242	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.31	U	F	#	0.31	
Aroclor - 1248	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.31	U	F	#	0.31	
Aroclor - 1254	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.31	U	F	#	0.31	
Aroclor - 1260	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.31	U	F	#	0.31	
Molybdenum	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.003		F	#	0.00032	
Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	42	N	JF	#	0.5	
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	162		F	#		
pH	s.u.	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	7.31		F	#		
Selenium	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.6		F	#	0.00032	
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	8144		F	#		
Sulfate	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	4100		F	#	50	
Temperature	C	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	15.01		F	#		
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	7400		F	#	200	
Turbidity	NTU	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	2.88		F	#		
Uranium	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.033		F	#	0.000029	
Vanadium	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	17 - 32	0.00026	B	JF	#	0.000015	

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE GRJ03, Grand Junction Disposal Site
 REPORT DATE: 9/28/2012
 Location: 0732 WELL

Parameter	Units	Sample Date	Sample ID	Depth Range (Ft BLS)	Result	Qualifiers			Detection Limit	Uncertainty
						Lab	Data	QA		
Alkalinity, Total (As CaCO ₃)	mg/L	08/14/2012	0001	17.52 - 33	196		F	#		
Aroclor - 1016	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1221	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	0.21	U	F	#	0.21	
Aroclor - 1232	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1242	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1248	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1254	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1260	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Molybdenum	mg/L	08/14/2012	0001	17.52 - 33	0.0022		F	#	0.00032	
Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	mg/L	08/14/2012	0001	17.52 - 33	41		JF	#	0.5	
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	71.6		F	#		
pH	s.u.	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	7.18		F	#		
Selenium	mg/L	08/14/2012	0001	17.52 - 33	0.33		F	#	0.00032	
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	9502		F	#		
Sulfate	mg/L	08/14/2012	0001	17.52 - 33	4600		F	#	50	
Temperature	C	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	17.31		F	#		
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	08/14/2012	0001	17.52 - 33	8400		F	#	200	
Turbidity	NTU	08/14/2012	N001	17.52 - 33	25.2		F	#		
Uranium	mg/L	08/14/2012	0001	17.52 - 33	0.026		F	#	0.000029	
Vanadium	mg/L	08/14/2012	0001	17.52 - 33	0.00013	B	JF	#	0.000015	

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE GRJ03, Grand Junction Disposal Site
 REPORT DATE: 9/28/2012
 Location: 0733 WELL

Parameter	Units	Sample Date	Sample ID	Depth Range (Ft BLS)		Result	Qualifiers			Detection Limit	Uncertainty
							Lab	Data	QA		
Alkalinity, Total (As CaCO ₃)	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	491		F	#		
Aroclor - 1016	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.35	U	F	#	0.35	
Aroclor - 1016	ug/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1221	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.21	U	F	#	0.21	
Aroclor - 1221	ug/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.2	U	F	#	0.2	
Aroclor - 1232	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.35	U	F	#	0.35	
Aroclor - 1232	ug/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1242	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.35	U	F	#	0.35	
Aroclor - 1242	ug/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1248	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.35	U	F	#	0.35	
Aroclor - 1248	ug/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1254	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.35	U	F	#	0.35	
Aroclor - 1254	ug/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Aroclor - 1260	ug/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.35	U	F	#	0.35	
Aroclor - 1260	ug/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.34	U	F	#	0.34	
Molybdenum	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.0016		F	#	0.00032	
Molybdenum	mg/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.0015		F	#	0.00032	
Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	3		JF	#	0.05	
Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen	mg/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	3.2		JF	#	0.05	
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	87		F	#		
pH	s.u.	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	6.83		F	#		

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE GRJ03, Grand Junction Disposal Site
REPORT DATE: 9/28/2012
Location: 0733 WELL

Parameter	Units	Sample Date	Sample ID	Depth Range (Ft BLS)		Result	Qualifiers			Detection Limit	Uncertainty
							Lab	Data	QA		
Selenium	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.0037		F	#	0.00032	
Selenium	mg/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.0036		F	#	0.00032	
Specific Conductance	umhos /cm	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	13339		F	#		
Sulfate	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	6600		F	#	50	
Sulfate	mg/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	6500		F	#	50	
Temperature	C	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	15.77		F	#		
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	13000		F	#	400	
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	13000		F	#	400	
Turbidity	NTU	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	1.9		F	#		
Uranium	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.13		F	#	0.000029	
Uranium	mg/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.13		F	#	0.000029	
Vanadium	mg/L	08/14/2012	N001	63.8	- 73.8	0.00025	B	JF	#	0.000015	
Vanadium	mg/L	08/14/2012	N002	63.8	- 73.8	0.00042		JF	#	0.000015	

SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered sample (0.45 µm). N00X = Unfiltered sample. X = replicate number.

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- * Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- I Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compound (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Aroclor concentrations between 2 columns.
- U Analytical result below detection limit.

W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
X,Y,Z Laboratory defined qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

F	Low flow sampling method used.	G	Possible grout contamination, pH > 9.	J	Estimated value.
L	Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.	Q	Qualitative result due to sampling technique.	R	Unusable result.
U	Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.	X	Location is undefined.		

QA QUALIFIER:

Validated according to quality assurance guidelines.

This page intentionally left blank

Static Water Level Data

This page intentionally left blank

STATIC WATER LEVELS (USEE700) FOR SITE GRJ03, Grand Junction Disposal Site
REPORT DATE: 9/28/2012

Location Code	Flow Code	Top of Casing Elevation (Ft)	Measurement Date	Measurement Time	Depth From Top of Casing (Ft)	Water Elevation (Ft)
0731	D	5218.52	08/14/2012	09:25:25	23.3	5195.22
0732	C	5202.5	08/14/2012	10:50:01	27.26	5175.24
0733	N	5232.84	08/14/2012	10:15:23	68.23	5164.61

FLOW CODES:

B BACKGROUND
 F OFF SITE
 U UPGRADIENT

C CROSS GRADIENT
 N UNKNOWN


D DOWN GRADIENT
 O ON SITE

This page intentionally left blank

Hydrograph

This page intentionally left blank

Grand Junction Disposal Site Hydrograph




This page intentionally left blank


Time-Concentration Graphs

This page intentionally left blank


**Grand Junction Disposal Site
Molybdenum Concentration**
Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) = 0.1 mg/L




Grand Junction Disposal Site
Nitrate + Nitrite as Nitrogen Concentration
Maximum Contaminant Limit (MCL) = 10.0 mg/L



**Grand Junction Disposal Site
Selenium Concentration**
Maximum Contaminant Limit (MCL) = 0.1 mg/L




Grand Junction Disposal Site Sulfate Concentration




Grand Junction Disposal Site Uranium Concentration

Maximum Contaminant Limit (MCL) = 0.044 mg/L



Grand Junction Disposal Site Vanadium Concentration



Attachment 3
Sampling and Analysis Work Order

This page intentionally left blank



established 1959

Task Order LM00-501
Control Number 12-0750

July 10, 2012

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Legacy Management
ATTN: Richard Bush
Site Manager
2597 Legacy Way
Grand Junction, CO 81503

SUBJECT: Contract No. DE-AM01-07LM00060, S.M. Stoller Corporation (Stoller)
August 2012 Environmental Sampling at Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site

REFERENCE: Task Order LM00-501-02-106-402, Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site

Dear Mr. Bush:

The purpose of this letter is to inform you of the upcoming sampling event at Grand Junction, Colorado. Enclosed are the map and tables specifying sample locations and analytes for monitoring at the Grand Junction site. Water quality data will be collected from monitoring wells at this site as part of the routine environmental sampling currently scheduled to begin the week of August 13, 2012.

The following list shows the monitoring wells (with zone of completion) scheduled to be sampled during this event.

Monitoring Wells*

0731 AI 0732 AI 0733 TI

*NOTE: AI = Alluvium; TI = Tailings

All samples will be collected as directed in the *Sampling and Analysis Plan for U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Sites*. Access agreements are being reviewed and are expected to be complete by the beginning of fieldwork.

Please contact me at (970) 248-6391 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Gary K. Baur
Site Lead

The S.M. Stoller Corporation 2597 Legacy Way Grand Junction, CO 81503 (970) 248-6000 Fax (970) 248-6040

Richard Bush
Control Number 12-0750
Page 2

GB/lcg/lb

Enclosures (3)

cc: (electronic)

Karl Stoeckle, DOE
Gary Baur, Stoller
Steve Donovan, Stoller
Bev Gallagher, Stoller
Lauren Goodknight, Stoller
EDD Delivery
re-grand.junction
File: GRJ410.02 (A)

Sampling Frequencies for Locations at

Location ID	Quarterly	Semiannually	Annually	Biennially	Not Sampled	Notes
Monitoring Wells						
731			X			Download data logger
732			X			Download data logger
733			X			Download data logger

Sampling conducted in August

Constituent Sampling Breakdown

Site	Grand Junction Disposal Site		Required Detection Limit (mg/L)	Analytical Method	Line Item Code
	Groundwater	Surface Water			
Approx. No. Samples/yr	3	0			
Field Measurements					
Alkalinity	X				
Dissolved Oxygen					
Redox Potential	X				
pH	X				
Specific Conductance	X				
Turbidity	X				
Temperature	X				
Laboratory Measurements					
Aluminum					
Ammonia as N (NH3-N)					
Calcium					
Chloride					
Chromium					
Gross Alpha					
Gross Beta					
Iron					
Lead					
Magnesium					
Manganese					
Molybdenum	X		0.003	SW-846 6020	LMM-02
Nickel					
Nickel-63					
Nitrate + Nitrite as N (NO3+NO2)-N	X		0.05	EPA 353.1	WCH-A-022
PCBs	X		0.0005	SW-846 8082	PEP-A-006
Potassium					
Radium-226					
Radium-228					
Selenium	X		0.0001	SW-846 6020	LMM-02
Silica					
Sodium					
Strontium					
Sulfate	X		0.5	SW-846 9056	MIS-A-044
Sulfide					
Total Dissolved Solids	X		10	SM2540 C	WCH-A-033
Total Organic Carbon					
Uranium	X		0.0001	SW-846 6020	LMM-02
Vanadium	X		0.0003	SW-846 6020	LMM-02
Zinc					
Total No. of Analytes	8	0			

Note: All private well samples are to be unfiltered. The total number of analytes does not include field parameters.

Attachment 4 Trip Report

This page intentionally left blank

Memorandum

DATE: August 28, 2012
TO: Gary Baur
FROM: Dan Sellers
SUBJECT: Trip Report

Site: Grand Junction, CO, Disposal Cell

Date of Sampling Event: August 13-14, 2012

Team Members: Dan Sellers and Jeff Price

Number of Locations Sampled: Samples were collected from 3 monitoring wells (0731, 0732, and 0733). PCB sample collected from 0733 in triplicate for lab QC. **Note:** Sampling at monitoring well 0733 was monitored by Scott Ficklin, Radiation Control Technician.

Locations Not Sampled/Reason: All wells were sampled.

Quality Control Sample Cross Reference: The following is the false identification assigned to the quality control sample.

False ID	True ID	Ticket Number	Sample Type	Associated Matrix
2978	0733	KJU 169	Duplicate	Groundwater

Report Identification Number (RIN) Assigned: 12084759

Sample Shipment: Samples were shipped overnight via FedEx to ALS Laboratory Group, Fort Collins, CO, from Grand Junction, CO, on August 14, 2012.

Water Level Measurements: Water levels were measured in sampled wells. Data loggers were downloaded.

Well Inspection Summary: All wells were in good condition.

Field Variance: None. All samples were collected according to the *Sampling and Analysis Plan for the U. S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Sites*. On August 13, 2012, roots were removed from well GJO03-0732 from 27.0 to 28.5 feet (middle of screen casing) and the well was redeveloped using a Grundfos pump. Well purge was started at 0830 on August 14, 2012. The well was purged using the bladder pump for two hours at 155 ml per

Gary Baur
August 28, 2012
Page 2

minute and then sampled. Samples were filtered because turbidity did not reach <10 NTUs. Samples collected for PCBs were not filtered.

Equipment: All equipment functioned properly. All wells were equipped with a dedicated bladder pump. The water level indicator used in monitoring well 0733 was scanned and kept on site by Scott Ficklin until the radon level on it decays.

Regulatory: Nothing to note.

Institutional Controls:

Fences, Gates, and Locks: Acceptable

Signs: Acceptable

Trespassing/Site Disturbances: None observed. Stoller personnel on site are accepting shipments.

Site Issues: Cell phone service (Verizon) was weak but available at the site.

Disposal Cell/Drainage Structure Integrity: No issues observed

Vegetation/Noxious Weed Concerns: Saltbush had been sprayed 3 weeks prior to sampling at well GJO03-0732 to help prevent roots from clogging the screen.

Maintenance Requirements: None

Safety Issues: None

Access Issues: None

Corrective Action Required/Taken: Continue to monitor root problem; the datalogger needs to be checked regarding the water level at well 0732.

cc: (electronic)
Rich Bush, DOE
Steve Donovan, Stoller
Jody Waugh, Stoller
EDD Delivery