

REV 45

DOCUMENT CONTROL SYSTEM - CORRESPONDENCE FILE

WELDON SPRING SITE REMEDIAL ACTION PROJECT
 MK-FERGUSON CO., INC. WO 3589 (314) 441-8086
 7295 Highway 94 South
 St. Charles, MO 63304

Document Number: **83638**

Document Type: **LR-EPA-DOEW**

Originators DCN: _____

SUBJECT **DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR REMEDIAL ACTION FOR THE GROUNDWATER**

OPERABLE UNIT AT THE CHEMICAL PLANT AREA OF THE WELDON SPRING SITE (MARCH 1999)

AUTHOR **WALL, D.** TO **MCCRACKEN, S.** DATE **05/03/99**

SUBJECT CODE/WORK PACKAGE NUMBER **03010**

REFERENCED DOCUMENT(S) _____

ACTION ITEM TRACKING

INITIATE ACTION ITEM

INDIVIDUAL ASSIGNED TO ACTION _____ DEPARTMENT _____

ACTION REQUIRED _____

DUE DATE **//** ACTION ITEM LOG NUMBER _____

CLOSE ACTION ITEM

ACTION ITEM LOG NUMBER _____ INITIATING DOCUMENT DIN _____

COMPLETION DATE _____ APPROVAL _____

501-1.21

COMMENTS _____

DISTRIBUTION	ATTACH W W/O	APPRVL. ROUTE ORDER INITIAL	DISTRIBUTION	ATTACH W W/O	APPRVL. ROUTE ORDER INITIAL	DISTRIBUTION	W	W/O
D. E. STEFFEN			S. L. HODGES			DOE		
K. M. GREENWELL			A. D. SISSON			S. H. MCCRACKEN		
S. D. WARREN						G. A. NEWTOWN		
J. HEITKAMP						P. S. THOMPSON		
D. K. MURANO						J. R. ENRIGHT		
P. D. CATE						K. A. REED		
D. E. HOFFMAN						T. C. PAULING		
W. W. JOHNSON						A. D. PICKETT		
G. B. MCKAY								
D. S. HIXSON								
M. MITCHELL						PAI		
P. J. PINCH						M. B. BALLEW		
C. R. SAVAGE						S. RICHARDSON		
D. C. WIGTON			DOCUMENT CONTROL:			Y. DEYO		
M. L. WISELY			CORRESPONDENCE FILE	X		W. R. OWEN		
S. D. ANDERSON			WORK PACKAGE FILE			J. MARTIN		
G. L. VALETT								
			TECH EDITOR					
			ORIGINATOR:					



Karen Yvonne

83638

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION VII
726 MINNESOTA AVENUE
KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

MAY 03 1999

Mr. Steve McCracken
Project Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Weldon Spring Site Remedial
Action Project Office
7295 Highway 94 South
St. Charles, Missouri 63304

Dear Mr. McCracken:

Re: Draft Supplemental Feasibility Study for Remedial Action for the Groundwater Operable Unit at the Chemical Plant Area of the Weldon Spring Site (March 1999)

General Comments

1. Although there are no prospects for complete groundwater restoration over the foreseeable future, the DOE should consider proposing localized active measures that are cost-effective and have a reasonable prospect of achieving significant reduction of groundwater contamination over a reasonable time-frame. Based on the information provided, it appears that no approach will result in the attainment of ARARs within a time-frame that can be reasonably planned for (in some instances 1000s of years). To the extent this conclusion continues to be verified through remedial action monitoring, the DOE should consider pursuing waiver of these ARARs based on technical impracticability.
2. Likewise, natural attenuation processes will not lead to complete groundwater restoration over the foreseeable future. Over time, however, these processes will have a mitigating effect on groundwater contamination and should be a recognized component of the remedial approach. The processes by which this will occur, i.e, dilution, dispersion, and sorption, will need to be verified through long-term monitoring.
3. Contaminants above health-based levels will remain in groundwater for the foreseeable future and well beyond. Groundwater use restrictions, therefore, will be a fundamental component of any remedial strategy. As with other components of the remedy, institutional controls (IC) must be evaluated for implementability, cost, permanence, etc. Performance standards should be written into the ROD. As much detail as possible should be provided on what uses will need to

023377
MAY - 6 1999



understanding and monitoring the processes that lead to decreasing contaminant availability and concentrations.

Page 41, Methodology—Explain why a “minimum” number of extraction wells was used in this evaluation rather than an “optimum” number? Also, why are the wells located such that they result in “conservatively long” cleanup times? The described approach seems counterintuitive assuming we are trying to estimate optimal effectiveness.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this document. Please call me at (913) 551-7710 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Daniel R. Wall
Remedial Project Manager
Federal Facilities/Special Emphasis Branch
Superfund Division

cc: Larry Erickson, MDNR