

177

1-704.1

**WASTE PIT REMEDIAL ACTION PROJECT PUBLIC WORKSHOP, NOVEMBER
14, 1995 - EVALUATION FORMS AND SIGN-IN ROSTERS**

11/14/95

PUBLIC
~~20~~ 14
ROSTER

DOE-FN



U.S. Department of Energy
Waste Pit Remedial Action Project Public Workshop
November 14, 1995

EVALUATION FORM

Thank you for attending the workshop tonight. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. The format for this meeting was somewhat different from past workshops. How satisfied were you with this format?

- Very Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not very well
- Not at all

2. How well do you understand the Remedial Design Process for the Waste Pit Remedial Design Project (Operable Unit 1)?

- Very Well
- Well
- Not Very Well
- Not at all

3. What were the main messages you received from the presentation(s) tonight?

Work is progressing hopefully!

4. Do you think your comments/concerns were adequately summarized on the flipchart?

5. Were your comments appropriately handled throughout the session?

Yes

6. How did you find out about tonight's meeting?

Trash + Postcard

7. What did you like most about the workshop?

8. What did you like least about the workshop?

Carol Schmitt

OPTIONAL

Name _____ Phone _____

U.S. Department of Energy
Waste Pit Remedial Action Project Public Workshop
November 14, 1995

EVALUATION FORM

Thank you for attending the workshop tonight. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. The format for this meeting was somewhat different from past workshops. How satisfied were you with this format?

___ Very Satisfied

___ Not very well

X Somewhat Satisfied

___ Not at all

2. How well do you understand the Remedial Design Process for the Waste Pit Remedial Design Project (Operable Unit 1)?

___ Very Well

___ Not Very Well

X Well

___ Not at all

3. What were the main messages you received from the presentation(s) tonight?

That design is only 30% complete.
Stakeholder input before continuing on is important
Public participation through remedial design

4. Do you think your comments/concerns were adequately summarized on the flipchart?

- OUI's Dave Lopez has always handled the OUI information very well. he seems to know what concerns, & question will be asked.

5. Were your comments appropriately handled throughout the session?

The OUI group answered my comment with sincerity. OUI group always one step ahead.

6. How did you find out about tonight's meeting?

Post Card, F.R.E.S.H

7. What did you like most about the workshop?

The Discussion, The seating arrangement

8. What did you like least about the workshop?

The room was cold.

OPTIONAL

Name _____

Phone _____

0177

U.S. Department of Energy
Waste Pit Remedial Action Project Public Workshop
November 14, 1995

EVALUATION FORM

Thank you for attending the workshop tonight. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. The format for this meeting was somewhat different from past workshops. How satisfied were you with this format?

- Very Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not very well
- Not at all

2. How well do you understand the Remedial Design Process for the Waste Pit Remedial Design Project (Operable Unit 1)?

- Very Well
- Well
- Not Very Well
- Not at all

3. What were the main messages you received from the presentation(s) tonight?

how this process will work, look & function!

4. Do you think your comments/concerns were adequately summarized on the flipchart?

no & didn't do - Kathy took notes!

5. Were your comments appropriately handled throughout the session?

yes

6. How did you find out about tonight's meeting?

card, envoy, meeting, announce mts

7. What did you like most about the workshop?

open discussion, good honest answers

8. What did you like least about the workshop?

nothing very interactive!

Good Meeting - like these much better

OPTIONAL

Name

Lisa Crawford

Phone



U.S. Department of Energy
Waste Pit Remedial Action Project Public Workshop
November 14, 1995

0177

EVALUATION FORM

Thank you for attending the workshop tonight. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. The format for this meeting was somewhat different from past workshops. How satisfied were you with this format?

Very Satisfied Not very well
 Somewhat Satisfied Not at all

2. How well do you understand the Remedial Design Process for the Waste Pit Remedial Design Project (Operable Unit 1)?

Very Well Not Very Well
 Well Not at all

3. What were the main messages you received from the presentation(s) tonight?

The process conceptual design is flexible and simple.

4. Do you think your comments/concerns were adequately summarized on the flipchart?

N/A

5. Were your comments appropriately handled throughout the session?

Yes

6. How did you find out about tonight's meeting?

Mail

7. What did you like most about the workshop?

8. What did you like least about the workshop?

OPTIONAL

Name _____ Phone _____

000004

U.S. Department of Energy
Waste Pit Remedial Action Project Public Workshop
November 14, 1995

EVALUATION FORM

Thank you for attending the workshop tonight. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. The format for this meeting was somewhat different from past workshops. How satisfied were you with this format?

Very Satisfied

Not very well

Somewhat Satisfied

Not at all

2. How well do you understand the Remedial Design Process for the Waste Pit Remedial Design Project (Operable Unit 1)?

Very Well

Not Very Well

Well

Not at all

3. What were the main messages you received from the presentation(s) tonight?

Clean up start

4. Do you think your comments/concerns were adequately summarized on the flipchart?

yes

5. Were your comments appropriately handled throughout the session?

yes

6. How did you find out about tonight's meeting?

workers Ret. meetings

7. What did you like most about the workshop?

8. What did you like least about the workshop?

OPTIONAL

Name

Bernard Smith

Phone



-0172

U.S. Department of Energy
Waste Pit Remedial Action Project Public Workshop
November 14, 1995

EVALUATION FORM

Thank you for attending the workshop tonight. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. The format for this meeting was somewhat different from past workshops. How satisfied were you with this format?

Very Satisfied Not very well
 Somewhat Satisfied Not at all

2. How well do you understand the Remedial Design Process for the Waste Pit Remedial Design Project (Operable Unit 1)?

Very Well Not Very Well
 Well Not at all

3. What were the main messages you received from the presentation(s) tonight?

you HAVE A COHERENT PLAN

4. Do you think your comments/concerns were adequately summarized on the flipchart? *YES*

5. Were your comments appropriately handled throughout the session?

HAD NONE

6. How did you find out about tonight's meeting?

CARD


7. What did you like most about the workshop?

THE FLOW

8. What did you like least about the workshop?

OPTIONAL

Name Jim Chandler

Phone 

000006

0177

U.S. Department of Energy
Waste Pit Remedial Action Project Public Workshop
November 14, 1995

EVALUATION FORM

Thank you for attending the workshop tonight. Please take a few moments to answer the questions below:

1. The format for this meeting was somewhat different from past workshops. How satisfied were you with this format?

- Very Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not very well
- Not at all

2. How well do you understand the Remedial Design Process for the Waste Pit Remedial Design Project (Operable Unit 1)?

- Very Well
- Well
- Not Very Well
- Not at all

3. What were the main messages you received from the presentation(s) tonight?

They are progressing with the clean up.

4. Do you think your comments/concerns were adequately summarized on the flipchart?

yes

5. Were your comments appropriately handled throughout the session?

6. How did you find out about tonight's meeting?

Fresh, Post card & newspaper

7. What did you like most about the workshop?


8. What did you like least about the workshop?

The room was too cool.

Remember no meeting during Dec.
OPTIONAL

Name Betty C McKay

Phon



000007

SIGN-IN SHEET
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
WASTE PIT REMEDIAL ACTION PROJECT PUBLIC WORKSHOP
NOVEMBER 14, 1995

Name: Bernard Smith

Affiliation (optional): Ret.

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Bob Fellman / Bill Weddendorf / Mike Jannelli

Affiliation: FERMCO

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Patricia Kraps

Affiliation: FERMCO - EMON

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Tom Outko + Tim Hull

Affiliation: Ohio EPA

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]

Phone: _____

Name: G.E. Peterson

Affiliation: Fermco (Retired)

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: _____

Name: REGINALD VICTOR

Affiliation: OHIO KENT-IND REGIONAL COUNCIL OF GOV.

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Lisa Crawford

Affiliation: FRESH

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Marlene Grabel

Affiliation: President

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Joan White

Affiliation: FERMCO

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Carlton Schroeder

Affiliation: PARSONS

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: _____

Name: Marvin W. Clawson

Affiliation: Task Force

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Jim Chandler

Affiliation: J. Page - Karcher - RGF

Address: [REDACTED]
City: [REDACTED]
Phone: [REDACTED]

Name: DENNIS DALGA

Affiliation: FERMCO

Address: [REDACTED]
City: [REDACTED]
Phone: [REDACTED]

Name: Bob Fellman

Affiliation: FERMCO

Address: [REDACTED]
City: [REDACTED]
Phone: [REDACTED]

Name: DICK KASPARAK

Affiliation: FERMCO & LOCAL RESIDENT

Address: [REDACTED]
City: [REDACTED]
Phone: [REDACTED]

Name: Carol Schuman

Affiliation: FRESH PRESIDENT

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: DOUG WILSON

Affiliation: FETCMCO

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Meri R. Growell

Affiliation: Meri Rowie

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: THURLE MASS

Affiliation: FETCMCO

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: RS SHIRLEY

Affiliation: OHM REMEDIATION SERVICES CORP

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Betty C McKay

Affiliation: Fresh Citizens

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Scott Mallette

Affiliation: Parsons

Address: Merch

City: Cincinnati OH State: OH Zip: _____

Phone: [Redacted]

Name: John Applegate

Affiliation: Task Force

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: _____

Name: PETER STURDEVANT

Affiliation: HAMILTON Co. DES

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Edwa Yocum

Affiliation: Resident / FRESH

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]

Name: Tommy Hagem

Affiliation: 781700

Address: [Signature]
City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____
Phone: _____

Name: GARY W STORER

Affiliation: Crosby Twp Trustee

Address: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]