


NOTICE

All drawings located at the end of the document.

ROCKY FLATS ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY SITE AUTOMATED SURFACE-WATER MONITORING FY98 Work Plan

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
Golden, Colorado

Prepared By:



Sitewide Surface Water

Effective Date: October 1, 1997

Approved By:

_____/____/____
Project Manager (Date)

_____/____/____
Surface Water Manager (Date)



1/69

RMRS
98-260

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.PURPOSE.....	5
2.SCOPE.....	6
3.SETTING.....	6
4.WORK PLAN COMPLIANCE.....	8
4.1 Regulatory Requirements.....	8
4.2 Health and Safety Requirements.....	8
4.3 Quality Assurance Requirements.....	8
5.ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS.....	8
5.1 Organizational Responsibilities.....	8
5.2 Personnel Qualifications and Training.....	10
6.PROGRAM OBJECTIVES.....	10
6.1 IDLH Decision Monitoring.....	12
6.1.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	12
6.1.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	13
6.1.3 Data Evaluation.....	14
6.2 Source Location Monitoring.....	14
6.2.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	14
6.2.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	15
6.2.3 Data Evaluation.....	17
6.3 Ad Hoc Monitoring.....	17
6.3.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	18
6.3.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	18
6.3.3 Data Evaluation.....	19
6.4 Monitoring for Correlation of Plutonium with TSS.....	19
6.4.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	20
6.4.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	21
6.4.3 Data Evaluation.....	22
6.5 Performance Monitoring.....	22
6.5.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	23
6.5.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	23
6.5.3 Data Evaluation.....	24
6.6 New Source Detection Monitoring.....	25
6.6.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	25
6.6.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	27
6.6.3 Data Evaluation.....	29
6.7 Stream Segment 5 Point of Evaluation Monitoring.....	30
6.7.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	30
6.7.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	32
6.7.3 Data Evaluation.....	33
6.8 Stream Segment 4 Point of Compliance Monitoring.....	34
6.8.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	35

6.8.2	FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	37
6.8.3	Data Evaluation	39
6.9	Non-Point of Compliance Monitoring at Indiana Street.....	40
6.9.1	Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	41
6.9.2	FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	42
6.9.3	Data Evaluation	42
6.10	Buffer Zone Hydrologic Monitoring.....	42
6.10.1	Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols.....	44
6.10.2	FY98 Monitoring Scope.....	44
6.10.3	Data Evaluation	45
7	GENERAL MONITORING TASKS.....	46
7.1	Monitoring Station Installation	46
7.1.1	Task Descriptions	46
7.1.2	Types of Data Collected.....	47
7.1.3	Records Produced.....	47
7.1.4	Applicable Instructions and Methods.....	47
7.1.5	Required Resources	48
7.1.6	Data Quality.....	49
7.1.7	Work Product Objectives	49
7.1.8	Acceptable Criteria.....	49
7.1.9	Applicable Software	49
7.2	Data Collection.....	49
7.2.1	Types of Data	49
7.2.2	Task Descriptions	50
7.2.3	Records Produced.....	56
7.2.4	Applicable Instructions and Methods.....	56
7.2.5	Required Resources	58
7.2.6	Data Quality.....	59
7.2.7	Work Product Objectives	59
7.2.8	Acceptable Criteria.....	59
7.2.9	Applicable Software	59
7.3	Data Evaluation and Reporting	60
7.3.1	Types of Data and Reports	60
7.3.2	Task Descriptions	61
7.3.3	Records Produced.....	65
7.3.4	Applicable Instructions and Methods.....	65
7.3.5	Required Resources	65
7.3.6	Data Quality.....	65
7.3.7	Data Archival.....	66
7.3.8	Work Product Objectives	66
7.3.9	Acceptable Criteria.....	66
7.3.10	Applicable Software	66
8	ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY AND HEALTH COMPLIANCE	66
9	SCHEDULE.....	66
10	FUNDING.....	67

11. REFERENCES 67

FIGURES

Figure 3-1. RFETS Automated Surface-Water Monitoring Locations and Precipitation Gages. 7
Figure 5-1. Automated Surface-Water Monitoring Organizational Chart 9
Figure 6-1. Conceptual Model of Site Automated Surface-Water Monitoring Objectives..... 11
Figure 7-1. Example of Hydrograph Showing Continuous Flow-Paced Composite Sampling. 52
Figure 7-2. Example of Hydrograph Showing Rising-Limb Flow-Paced Composite Sampling. 53

TABLES

Table 6-1. IDLH Monitoring Locations. 13
Table 6-2. Monitoring Targets (Number of Measurements) for Safe Operation of Dams. 14
Table 6-3. Source Location Monitoring Locations 15
Table 6-4. Source Location Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency. 16
Table 6-5. Source Location Sample Collection Protocols..... 16
Table 6-6. Source Location Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year)..... 16
Table 6-7. Source Location Telemetry Data Collection..... 17
Table 6-8. Ad Hoc Monitoring Locations. 18
Table 6-9. Ad Hoc Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency. 18
Table 6-10. Ad Hoc Sample Collection Protocols..... 18
Table 6-11. Ad Hoc Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year). 19
Table 6-12. Ad Hoc Telemetry Data Collection..... 19
Table 6-13. Pu Correlation Monitoring Locations. 21
Table 6-14. Pu Correlation Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency. 21
Table 6-15. Pu Correlation Sample Collection Protocols..... 21
Table 6-16. Pu Correlation Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year). 22
Table 6-17. Performance Monitoring Locations. 23
Table 6-18. Performance Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency. 24
Table 6-19. Performance Sample Collection Protocols..... 24
Table 6-20 Performance Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year). 24
Table 6-21. Performance Telemetry Data Collection..... 24
Table 6-22. Performance Monitoring Analytical Data Evaluation. 25
Table 6-23. Screening for New Source Detection: AoIs vs. Indicator Parameters. 26

Table 6-24. New Source Detection Monitoring Locations..... 27

Table 6-25. New Source Detection Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency. 27

Table 6-26. New Source Detection Sample Collection Protocols..... 28

Table 6-27. New Source Detection Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year)..... 28

Table 6-28. New Source Detection Telemetry Data Collection..... 28

Table 6-29. New Source Detection Monitoring Analytical Data Evaluation..... 29

Table 6-30. RFCA Segment 5 AoIs..... 31

Table 6-31. POE Monitoring Locations. 32

Table 6-32. POE Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency. 32

Table 6-33. POE Sample Collection Protocols..... 32

Table 6-34. POE Target Sample Distribution..... 32

Table 6-35. POE Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year). 33

Table 6-36. POE Telemetry Data Collection..... 33

Table 6-37. POE Monitoring Analytical Data Evaluation. 34

Table 6-38. RFCA Segment 4 AoIs..... 36

Table 6-39. POC Monitoring Locations. 37

Table 6-40. POC Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency..... 37

Table 6-41. POC Sample Collection Protocols. 38

Table 6-42. POC Target Sample Distribution. 38

Table 6-43. POC Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year)..... 38

Table 6-44. POC Telemetry Data Collection. 39

Table 6-45. POE Monitoring Analytical Data Evaluation. 40

Table 6-46. Non-POC Monitoring Analytes and Parameters..... 41

Table 6-47. Non POC Monitoring Locations. 42

Table 6-48. Non POC Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency..... 42

Table 6-49. Interactions Between Media, Significance at RFETS, and Monitoring to Evaluate Interactions..... 43

Table 6-50. BZ Hydrologic Monitoring Locations..... 44

Table 6-51. BZ Hydrologic Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency. 44

Table 6-52. BZ Hydrologic Sample Collection Protocols..... 45

Table 6-53 BZ Hydrologic Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year)..... 45

Table 6-54. POC Telemetry Data Collection. 45

Table 7-1. RFETS Automated Surface Water Monitoring Network Analyte List, Preservation and
Containerization Requirements..... 50

1. PURPOSE

This work plan describes the automated surface-water monitoring program for implementation of the *Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement* (RFCA, 1996) at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (Site) in accordance with the *Integrated Monitoring Plan* (IMP) and the *Industrial Area Interim Measures/Interim Remedial Action Decision Document* (IA IM/IRA) (EG&G, 1994). The IMP provides a framework for monitoring in support of transition activities at the Site. This framework includes implementation of a high-resolution surface-water monitoring program that supports data-driven decisions determined by the IMP Data Quality Objectives (DQO) process. This monitoring program is intended to provide:

- Monitoring of multiple parameters for the safe and effective operation of the Site detention ponds;
- Monitoring of flows and contaminant levels in subdrainages to allow for the location of contaminant sources;
- Monitoring of various surface-water parameters at various locations on an Ad Hoc basis;
- Monitoring of Pu and TSS values at various locations to determine a correlation between Pu and TSS;
- Detection of a release of contaminants from specific high-risk projects within the Industrial Area (IA);
- Detection of statistically significant increases of contaminants in runoff from within the IA in general;
- Detection of contaminants exceeding RFCA Action Levels in discharges entering Stream Segment 5 and the Site detention ponds;
- Detection of contaminants exceeding RFCA Standards in discharges entering Stream Segment 4;
- Monitoring of indicator parameters in discharges leaving the Site boundary as a prudent management action; and
- Monitoring of flows and water-quality in the Buffer Zone for ecological and water rights issues, as well as supporting studies into the interaction between media.

This is a "living" document which will be updated based on future developments for the automated surface-water monitoring program.

2. SCOPE

This work plan includes:

- an identification of applicable regulatory and quality assurance requirements,
- an identification of organizational responsibilities including personnel qualifications and training,
- a description of the site automated surface-water monitoring program and monitoring network,
- a description of the specific monitoring tasks,
- a brief discussion of applicable health and safety requirements,
- a schedule for program activities and deliverables, and
- a brief overview of project funding.

3. SETTING

The Site is a government-owned, contractor-operated facility in the DOE nuclear weapons complex, located in Golden, Colorado. The Site is owned by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), managed by the DOE Rocky Flats Field Office (DOE, RFFO), and operated by Kaiser-Hill, L.L.C. (K-H). The RFCA surface-water monitoring program is managed and implemented by Rocky Mountain Remediation Services, L.L.C. (RMRS), by the Site Water Management and Treatment Group / Surface Water (SW), under contract to Kaiser-Hill.

This program will be implemented at multiple locations throughout the Site. The Site land area can be divided into two segments: the Industrial Area (IA; the industrialized area inside the inner fence) and the Buffer Zone (the open space surrounding the IA but within the DOE property line). Figure 3-1 shows the locations of the automated surface-water monitoring locations.

Each surface-water monitoring location is equipped with automated environmental instrumentation capable of satisfying the location-specific data acquisition requirements. Precipitation data is also collected at 5 additional locations as a prudent management practice. Section 6 gives details on the objectives for the automated surface-water monitoring program.

This page intentionally left blank.

4. WORK PLAN COMPLIANCE

This program will be implemented in response to requirements under the *Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement* (RFCA, 1996) for clean-up of the Site under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and the Superfund Amendments Reauthorization Act and in accordance with the requirements of the Site IMP. All work performed under this project will be controlled by Site Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and standard analytical methods. This section identifies and addresses the regulatory and quality assurance (QA) requirements that are applicable to the automated surface-water monitoring program.

4.1 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

The automated surface-water monitoring program will be conducted to satisfy the requirements detailed in the following regulatory documents:

- *Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement (RFCA)* (RFCA, 1996)
- *Industrial Area Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action Decision Document (IM/IRA)* (EG&G, 1994)
- *RFETS Integrated Monitoring Plan (IMP)* (required by RFCA)

4.2 HEALTH AND SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

The automated surface-water monitoring program will be conducted to satisfy the requirements detailed following health and safety documents.

- *Health and Safety Plan for Automated Surface-Water Monitoring in Support of the Rocky Flats Clean-up Agreement and the Industrial Area IM/IRA* (Doc# RF/RMRS-97SWHSP.01; Revision 0; 8-15-97).

4.3 QUALITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

Quality assurance requirements contained in the *EPM Quality Assurance Program Description* (EG&G, 1991) are applicable to the work activities described herein. The RMRS QAPD requires project-specific QA requirements to be addressed in project implementation documents.

All work shall be performed in accordance with Site SOPs. Log books shall be kept in accordance with Site procedure to document equipment installation, calibration, maintenance, and sample collection activities. Annual Self-Evaluations will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the quality assurance program for the project.

5. ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS

5.1 ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

The structure of the organizations which are directly involved with the implementation of the work described herein are shown in Figure 5-1. Planning, implementation, and operation of the automated surface-water monitoring network is the responsibility of RMRS SW. SW shall install, maintain, and operate each monitoring station, and provide routine inspection and maintenance of every station. Sample collection and sampler maintenance shall be the responsibility of SW. Data compilation, reduction, evaluation, and reporting shall also be the responsibility of SW.




Figure 5-1. Automated Surface-Water Monitoring Organizational Chart

Other Site departments will provide support to the program through their assigned functional responsibilities. SW is responsible for funding and providing project management functions for most aspects of this activity. Regulatory and programmatic guidance also are provided by SW.

The Ecology and Watershed Management Branch of EPM will be responsible for species and habitat surveys for compliance with the Threatened and Endangered Species Act, Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Executive Order 11990 for protection of wetlands, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and other laws applicable to potential ecological impacts from the installation of the monitoring equipment.

The RMRS Accelerated Actions Group (AAG) along with K-H Construction Management, Industrial Hygiene, Radiological Engineering, and other support organizations, will provide support for excavation (a.k.a. soil disturbance) permits, and hazardous waste determinations.

Subcontracted personnel provided by Advanced Sciences Inc. (ASI) shall provide technical support for RFCA surface-water monitoring tasks. ASI shall provide support for monitoring station installation and sample preparation, shipment, and tracking. SW personnel shall provide ASI with the composite surface-water samples from the field. ASI shall split this composite sample into separate bottles with appropriate preservatives for shipment to laboratories for the required chemical analyses.

5.2 PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS AND TRAINING

The qualifications for the Project Lead include at least a M.S. degree in Civil/Environmental Engineering, or other related discipline plus a minimum of five years of professional experience in surface-water data collection, compilation, and evaluation and/or project management. Training requirements include, at a minimum, current 40-hour OSHA training in compliance with 40 CFR 1910.120, knowledge of Site SOPs, on-the-job training in stream gaging, water sampling, and the use of automated monitoring equipment, personal computer (PC) training/experience, and familiarity with regulatory documents and requirements.

Technical personnel providing assistance to the Project Leads shall have at least five years experience in environmental project work (or an MS degree), including at least one year of field data collection experience. Training requirements for technical personnel include a basic understanding of the contents of this technical design document, training in applicable Site SOPs, 24-hour OSHA training, PC proficiency, and on-the-job training in the use of automated monitoring equipment and surface-water sample collection systems.

All subcontracted field and laboratory personnel shall be familiar with Site SOPs and laboratory procedures applicable to their assigned tasks. They shall also meet any qualification and additional training requirements listed by the procedures that they use.

6. PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

The Site IMP specifies ten monitoring objectives to be accomplished using the Site automated surface-water monitoring network. These objectives for FY98 are described in the following sections. The site monitoring network currently consists of 40 monitoring stations (Figure 3-1) to achieve these objectives. Many of these locations include radio-telemetry¹ for data transmission. In some situations, the same location may serve dual objectives. Monitoring tasks and data collection, compilation, evaluation, and reporting for each objective are detailed in Section 7.

The IMP used a Data Quality Objective (DQO) process to determine necessary and sufficient monitoring requirements. The process yielded over 20 data-driven surface-water monitoring objectives (a.k.a. decision rules under the DQO process), a subset of which is covered in this document. Some decisions need a higher priority than others, and some need greater confidence than others. The DQO process produced descriptions that expose the strengths and weaknesses of each data-driven decision, and the value of the data (resources required) in making each decision. Management decisions often must be made on the basis of incomplete information. The individual DQO sections of the IMP document help management to establish funding priorities for surface water monitoring objectives.

Automated surface-water monitoring objectives have been organized in a roughly upstream-to-downstream direction, beginning with Performance monitoring within the Industrial Area (IA) and ending at the Points of Compliance at Indiana Street downstream, as depicted in Figure 6-1. These monitoring objectives are summarized in the following paragraphs and are discussed in detail in the remainder of this section.

¹ Telemetry is a valuable tool for the efficient operation of extensive automated monitoring networks by limiting time-consuming field visitation. Telemetry provides real-time information routinely used to manage systems such as the Site detention ponds. Similarly, telemetry provides the status of automated sampling equipment (the rate of sample collection is controlled by the weather; i.e. runoff volumes from precipitation events), thereby greatly reducing the need for field visitation.

For almost all data, telemetry is the secondary collection platform. The vast majority of information collected under this program is downloaded (monthly or as needed) by laptop computer from the individual instruments in the field. Analytical data is received from the contracted laboratories.

12




Figure 6-1. Conceptual Model of Site Automated Surface-Water Monitoring Objectives.

Monitoring objectives that do not fit into the upstream-to-downstream sequence are considered as Site-Wide Monitoring Objectives. For example, safe operation of the dams is dependent on some monitoring to avoid breaching a dam. This monitoring objective is placed first (see Section 6.1), in recognition of its unique importance in avoiding imminent danger to life and health (IDLH). Another monitoring objective is Source Location monitoring, which is covered in Section 6.2, to locate a source of contamination detected by other monitoring objectives.

Location of a contaminant source could take place anywhere in the area shown in Figure 6-1; therefore, it does not fall into the upstream-to-downstream order. In addition, some monitoring needs simply cannot be known in advance and are discussed as Ad Hoc monitoring in Section 6.2.1. For example, some monitoring may be performed at various locations to evaluate alternatives for surface water management, such as controlled detention pond management, discharge of the Interceptor Trench System (ITS) effluent into Walnut Creek, or re-routing of wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) effluent.

In the first of the upstream-to-downstream monitoring objectives, the IMP and the Industrial Area Interim Measures/Interim Remedial Action (IM/IRA) Decision Document require the Site to characterize significant surface-water releases within the Industrial Area. Within the IA [usually], individual high-risk projects will sometimes warrant Performance monitoring (Section 6.4.1) to detect a spill or release of contaminants specifically associated with that project.

In the next of the upstream-to-downstream monitoring objectives, the IMP and the IM/IRA require the Site to identify and correct significant accidental or undetected releases of contaminants from the IA to the Site Detention Ponds (surface water leaving the IA and entering Segment 5). Sections 6.6 and 6.7 deal with discharges from the IA to the ponds.

In order to decide whether a significant release has occurred, the Site must perform New Source Detection (NSD) monitoring of IA runoff for significant increases in contaminants (see Section 6.6). Additionally, RFCA specifies monitoring for the upstream reaches of Site drainages (above the ponds) and specifies action levels for contaminants (Action Level Framework). This Stream Segment 5 / Point of Evaluation (POE) monitoring is addressed in Section 6.7.

13

Terminal detention pond discharges and surface water leaving the Site must also be monitored. The Site must monitor at Points of Compliance (POCs) below the terminal ponds to protect state stream standards in Segment 4 (Section 6.8), as specified in RFCA. In addition, there are RFCA POCs that are monitored at the Site boundary and Indiana Street (Section 6.8).

Section 6.10 addresses the interfaces between surface water and other media: soil, groundwater, air, and ecology. For example, groundwater and soil could conceivably contaminate surface water, and surface water could contaminate habitats of endangered species.

6.1 IDLH DECISION MONITORING

This IDLH section uses the term "action level" in reference to dam operations. This is an entirely different usage unrelated to the RFCA Action Levels discussed elsewhere in this document.

The Site has a network of detention ponds with earthen dams (Figure 3-1). Failure of an earthen dam would present an IDLH. Safety and health professionals often refer to such conditions as IDLH conditions. The Site has several ponds formed by dams that can hold a limited amount of water safely. Water may be discharged from these ponds through the outlet works or by pumping. Water does not normally overtop the dams, which are all of earthen construction and would be damaged and could fail under those conditions. Heavy rain or snow melt can challenge the capacity of the ponds faster than the ponds can be predischarged and subsequently batch discharged.

If water levels rise above safety limits that preserve dam integrity, then ponds must be discharged to prevent overflow or breaching². The risk to the public and environment is far greater from a dam breach than from the normally low levels of contaminants that might be found in pond waters.

The actual decision process for managing pond operations and conducting pond and dam monitoring activities is too complex to be treated in this document. Detailed information can be found in the *Pond Operations Plan* (POP), and the *Emergency Response Plan for Failure of Dams A-4, B-5, or C-2*. The following *generalized decisions* must be made on a continuous basis for Pond A4. Similar decisions are made for Ponds A3, B5, and C2. A series of simultaneous equations are solved via an expert system framework to consider actions associated with modeled action levels.

This section deals solely with the *automated* monitoring required for the safe operation of the Site detention ponds.

6.1.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

The decision factors include safe pond capacity, actual pond elevation, current and projected flow rates into and out of the ponds, and several indicators of dam integrity, such as piezometer readings, inclinometer readings, and cracks or sloughs of embankment material. The automated information needs are as follows:

- Pond inflow rates into Ponds A4, B5, and C2 must be continuously monitored (daily to hourly measurements with instantaneous capability).³
- Pond elevation must be monitored continuously (daily to hourly measurements with instantaneous capability) for all three terminal ponds.³

² Maximum discharge rate for earthen dams is one foot per day to achieve drawdown without inducing sloughing of the saturated sides of the dam.

³ Critical measurements, such as pond inflow rates and elevations, require hourly monitoring capability, even though daily monitoring may be adequate for a portion of the year. For example, during FY 1996 (FY96), hourly monitoring was actually used for 85 days during the year.

- Measurements from piezometers in dams are needed to indicate water pore pressure in dam structures.
- Discharge rates from Ponds A3, A4, B5, and C2 must be continuously monitored (pumped or through outlets; daily to hourly measurements with instantaneous capability).³

Flows in streams upgradient to Ponds A3, A4, B5, and C2 are used in decision making. Each individual dam and the water volumes in each pond is included in decision making. The only dams that are normally operated to contain or release water offsite are A4, B5, and C2 in the North Walnut Creek, South Walnut Creek, and Woman Creek drainages, respectively. Pond A3 may also be included in this list as a terminal pond under some conditions, such as during construction activities in Pond A4.

Information can be collected at varying intervals based on the pond conditions and rate of change of the specific parameter. Average hourly inflow rates, average hourly outflow rates, 8-hour average dam piezometer levels, and average hourly pond levels are all transmitted by telemetry. Most decisions are made Monday through Friday on a daily basis; however, during a crisis situation, hourly decisions may be made seven days a week. The Site also maintains instantaneous measurement capability for all telemetry data.

Site personnel determine the frequency and type of automated monitoring as appropriate to identify any structural problems in a timely manner consistent with standard industry practices and applicable regulations.

6.1.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

The following tables detail the planned IDLH monitoring scope for FY98.

Table 6-1. IDLH Monitoring Locations.

Monitoring Station ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry
SW093	N. Walnut Cr. 1000' upstream from the A-1 Bypass; Inflow to A-3	36" Suppressed Rectangular Sharp-Crested Weir	Yes
A3DM	Pond A-3	NA	Yes
GS12	Pond A-3 Outlet; Inflow to A-4	30" Parshall Flume	Yes
A4DM	Pond A-4	NA	Yes
GS11	Pond A-4 Outlet	24" Parshall Flume	Yes
GS09	Pond B-4 Outlet; Inflow to B-5	30" Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir with End Contractions	Yes
B5DM	Pond B-5	NA	Yes
GS08	Pond B-5 Outlet	24" Parshall Flume	Yes
SW027	Pond C-2 Inlet on South Interceptor Ditch (SID)	Dual 120° V-Notch Weirs	Yes
C2DM	Pond C-2	NA	Yes
GS31	Pond C-2 Outlet	24" Parshall Flume	Yes
LFDM	Landfill Dam	NA	Yes

Table 6-2. Monitoring Targets (Number of Measurements) for Safe Operation of Dams.

Data Types Monitored	Pond				
	A3	A4	B5	C2	Landfill
Inflow Rate	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [SW093]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [GS12]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [GS09]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [SW027]	None
Outflow Rate	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [GS12]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [GS11]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [GS08]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [GS31]	None
Pond Elevation	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [A3DM]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [A4DM]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [B5DM]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [C2DM]	hourly average (1-min. measurements) [LFDM]
Piezometers	1-hour average transmitted every 8 hours (5-min. measurements) [A3DM]	1-hour average transmitted every 8 hours (5-min. measurements) [A4DM]	1-hour average transmitted every 8 hours (5-min. measurements) [B5DM]	1-hour average transmitted every 8 hours (5-min. measurements) [C2DM]	1-hour average transmitted every 8 hours (5-min. measurements) [LFDM]

Notes:

Telemetry is the primary data collection platform for pond elevations and piezometer levels. Instantaneous measurement capability exists for all telemetry data. Specific automated monitoring locations shown as: [GS12] for example.

6.1.3 Data Evaluation

The actual decision process for managing pond operations and conducting pond and dam monitoring activities is too complex to be treated in this document. Detailed information can be found in the *Pond Operations Plan (POP) (2)*, and the *Emergency Response Plan for Failure of Dams A-4, B-5, or C-2 (3)*. The information collected through automated monitoring is used to support the decision process. Pond operations personnel employ a series of simultaneous equations which are solved via an expert system framework to consider actions associated with modeled action levels.

6.2 SOURCE LOCATION MONITORING

As used in this section a “source” is a contaminant source. The term “new source” as used in this section means any source that has not yet been located, halted, mitigated, quantified, or corrected.

When new contaminant sources are detected by surface-water monitoring within the Industrial Area, at New Source Detection locations, at Points of Evaluation, at Points of Compliance, or in the downstream reservoirs, additional monitoring may be required to identify⁴ the source and evaluate for corrective actions pursuant to the RFCA Action Level Framework (ALF). The Source Location monitoring objective is to locate the source of contamination when a new source of contamination is detected.⁵

6.2.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

Source Location monitoring may be implemented anywhere within the Site surface water drainage area (especially within the Industrial Area) that a new contaminant source or exceedance is detected. The

⁴ Note that the term “identify” is used here to mean “locate.” Characterization is also implied.

⁵ The various monitoring objectives might “detect” a new source through an increase in baseline or exceedance of an action level, standard, permit limitation, etc., depending on the monitoring objective under which the potential new source was detected.

distribution of monitoring points is determined by the details of the specific source evaluation to quickly determine source location and to efficiently utilize resources. For example, if monitoring (just outside the Industrial Area) for New Source Detection suggests a new source within the Industrial Area, then portable sampling equipment may be installed within the Industrial Area, to locate the source. And if monitoring for compliance in Segment 4 suggests a new source, then monitoring to identify the source may begin in Segment 5.

Source location monitoring should begin as soon as practicable after source detection and continue until the source is identified and evaluated or is no longer detected. The number of samples will be based on the status of the source evaluation, taking into account, but not limited to, weather conditions, water availability, and process knowledge.

Analyte suites under this monitoring objective are determined based on the contaminant of current concern that has caused the exceedance, or related indicators. The information types are entirely dependent on the results of other monitoring objectives under which the source was detected. The analyte suites are limited to parameters which will aid in the identification and evaluation of a contaminant source.

Flow data should be collected, where possible, to allow for contaminant loading analysis. Samples collected should be continuous flow-paced composites to facilitate comparison to POCs and POEs and allow for continuous contaminant loading analysis. Collection of real-time water-quality data may be initiated if such data would facilitate the specific source evaluation.

6.2.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

Table 6-3. Source Location Monitoring Locations

ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry	Notes
GS33	No Name Gulch at confluence with Walnut Creek	9.5" Parshall Flume	Yes	Supports source eval. for GS03
GS34	Walnut Creek above confluence with McKay Ditch	1.5' Parshall Flume	Yes	Supports source eval. for GS03
GS35	McKay Ditch at confluence with Walnut Creek	36" Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir with End Contractions	Yes	Supports source eval. for GS03
GS38	Central Ave. Ditch NW of Building 889	9.5" Parshall Flume	Yes	Supports source eval. for GS10
GS39	Ditch NW of 904 Pad	1' H Flume	Yes	Supports source eval. for GS10; also supports 903 Pad activities
GS40	Drainage Ditch E of Tenth St. S of Building 997	1' Parshall Flume	Yes	Supports source eval. for GS10; also supports 700 Area D&D activities
SW118	N. Walnut Creek W of Portal 3	169.5° V-Notch Weir	Yes	Supports source eval. for SW093
SW120	Ditch north of Solar Ponds inside PA	To be installed	To be installed	Will support source eval. for SW093

Table 6-4. Source Location Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency.

ID Code	Parameter	
	Discharge	Precipitation
GS33	15-min continuous	
GS34	15-min continuous	
GS35	15-min continuous	
GS38	15-min continuous	
GS39	15-min continuous	
GS40	15-min continuous	
SW118	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
SW120	15-min continuous	

Table 6-5. Source Location Sample Collection Protocols.

ID Code	Frequency	Type ^b
GS33	12 per year ^a	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS34	1 per Pond A-4 or B-5 discharge; 1 per intervening period between discharges	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS35	12 per year ^a	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS38	12 per year ^a	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS39	12 per year ^a	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS40	12 per year ^a	Continuous flow-paced composites
SW118	12 per year ^a	Continuous flow-paced composites
SW120	12 per year ^a	Continuous flow-paced composites

Notes: ^a Annual total samples is 12 per year. Frequency of collection is based on expected flow volumes such that each sample collects water representing similar stream discharge volumes; for example, more samples are collected in wet spring months than dry winter months.
^b Sample types are defined in Section 7.2.2.2.

Table 6-6. Source Location Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year).

ID Code	TSS ^a	Pu, Am
GS33	12	12
GS34	12	12
GS35	12	12
GS38	12	12
GS39	12	12
GS40	12	12
SW118	12	12
SW120	12	12

Notes: ^a Ideally, TSS would be analyzed for all samples collected at the above locations. However, continuous flow-paced sampling protocols often result in composite samples which are collected over periods exceeding the 7-day hold time for TSS analyses. Therefore, TSS can not be analyzed for all continuous flow-paced composite samples, but will be analyzed when possible.

Table 6-7. Source Location Telemetry Data Collection.

ID Code	Parameters
GS33	flow; current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a
GS34	flow; current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a
GS35	flow; current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a
GS38	flow; current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a
GS39	flow; current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a
GS40	flow; current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a
SW118	flow; current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a ; precipitation
SW120	flow; current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a

Notes: ^a Provides an indication of equipment malfunction.

6.2.3 Data Evaluation

Data collected at Source Location monitoring locations are analyzed based on their intent to aid in a specific source evaluation. These analyses include, but are not limited to, loading, fate and transport, correlations and trending, and statistical evaluation. These analyses are performed based on established environmental engineering standards to achieve technical defensibility. Source evaluation analysis is not limited to data collected at Source Location monitoring locations.

6.3 AD HOC MONITORING

The Site often monitors surface waters on an *ad hoc* basis for a variety of reasons. This monitoring may be requested by DOE, RFFO, cities, agencies, building managers, and Site facility managers (e.g. the WWTP). It is anticipated that various parties will continue to request such *ad hoc* monitoring in the future, regardless of whether funding is allocated for that purpose. This monitoring will not always require sample analyses. In some cases, only flow or continuously recording water-quality monitoring will be needed. Some examples that may warrant *ad hoc* monitoring include:

- Major precipitation events that disrupt routine pond predischarge monitoring and discharge schedules,
- Community assurance monitoring at the request of downstream cities and the DOE, RFFO,
- Unanticipated changes in regulatory permits, agreements, or funding,
- Anticipated but unfunded changes in permits or agreements,
- Construction projects,
- Spill events, and
- Operational monitoring (i.e. footing drains, septic lift stations).

The Ad Hoc monitoring details in Section 6.3.1 are based on Ad Hoc monitoring currently being performed, or expected to occur in FY98. Scope for Ad Hoc monitoring may change at any time as needs arise or terminate.

6.3.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

The type of data collected depends exclusively on the predetermined intent of the specific Ad Hoc monitoring location. The collected data can then be processed to provide decision support or input to a technical analysis. In most cases, flow is the primary data collected. For example, the B371 footing drain locations provide real-time flow data to confirm the proper operation of the B371 footing drain systems.

6.3.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

Table 6-8. Ad Hoc Monitoring Locations.

ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry	Notes
GS41	Subdrainage SW of GS03; drains to Walnut Creek	0.5' H Flume	Yes	Data collection for Actinide Migration Studies; partially funded by EPA
GS42	Subdrainage N of SW027; drains to SID	3" Parshall Flume	Yes	Data collection for Actinide Migration Studies; partially funded by EPA
B371BAS	Building 371 basement footing drain	11.4° V-Notch Weir	Yes	Data collection to confirm proper operation of footing drain systems; funded by Safe Sites
B371SUBBAS	Building 371 sub-basement footing drain	11.4° V-Notch Weir	Yes	Data collection to confirm proper operation of footing drain systems; funded by Safe Sites

Table 6-9. Ad Hoc Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency.

ID Code	Parameter
	Discharge
GS41	15-min continuous
GS42	15-min continuous
B371BAS	hourly averages of 1-min. measurements
B371SUBBAS	hourly averages of 1-min. measurements

Table 6-10. Ad Hoc Sample Collection Protocols.

ID Code	Frequency	Type ^b
GS41	10-12 as available ^a	Storm-event flow-paced composites
GS42	10-12 as available ^a	Storm-event flow-paced composites
B371BAS	None	NA
B371SUBBAS	None	NA

Notes: ^a Target for the project is 10-12. Frequency of collection is based on opportunistic availability of precipitation runoff; these locations are dry much of the time.

^b Sample types are defined in Section 7.2.2.2.

Table 6-11. Ad Hoc Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year).

ID Code	TSS	Pu, Am
GS41	10-12	10-12
GS42	10-12	10-12
B371BAS	NA	NA
B371SUBBAS	NA	NA

Table 6-12. Ad Hoc Telemetry Data Collection.

ID Code	Parameters
GS41	flow; sampler status (full or waiting);
GS42	flow; sampler status (full or waiting);
B371BAS	flow; alarm on no flow condition
B371SUBBAS	flow; alarm on no flow condition

6.3.3 Data Evaluation

Data collected at GS41 and GS42 will be used for Actinide Migration Studies. Specifically, evaluation will include determination of sediment yield and associated actinide content on the suspended solids. These estimates will be calculated based on the analytical results obtained from TSS, Pu, Am, and U analyses.

Operation of B371BAS and B371SUBBAS provides real-time data confirming the proper operation of the B371 footing drain systems. B371 personnel are notified of a no flow condition alarm, which would initiate investigation of those systems. Flow data are compiled and transmitted to B371 personnel to provide management decision making support and to track long-term footing drain operation.

6.4 MONITORING FOR CORRELATION OF PLUTONIUM WITH TSS⁶

This monitoring objective is intended to establish the relationship of Pu concentrations with several indicator parameters, such as TSS, turbidity, or flow rate. The determination of relationships between Pu and indicator parameters will support future pond operations, investigations into actinide transport, and management decision making.

The Site intends to move toward controlled detention operation of the ponds in FY98. The controlled detention design basis indicator for Pu will be at first TSS, which historical stormwater data have shown to be correlated with Pu activity at several locations. This correlation was a primary assumption in the design basis for the controlled detention *Pond Operations Plan*⁷. To test these hypotheses, it is desired that samples be analyzed for Pu and TSS at selected monitoring locations to be used operationally for controlled detention discharge of the ponds in the future. This evaluation may quantify the correlation between Pu and TSS.

⁶ Note: This section on the relationship of Pu with suspended particulates is *not complete* in the current IMP. The material in this section of the IMP has been retained for future use, but several fundamental issues must be resolved, and a major rewrite will almost certainly be required before monitoring should begin. However, some of the monitoring required for this objective already occurs as part of other monitoring objectives. Consensus on this section may be difficult to achieve due to the concerns surrounding controlled detention. However, all members of the Surface Water IMP Team have agreed that decisions regarding controlled detention should be well-informed decisions based on monitoring data such as is identified in this section.

⁷ Pu is transported primarily on particulates in surface water.

The design basis for controlled detention is that Pu can be estimated as a function of TSS. Under controlled detention, the operational indicator might be turbidity, flow, or other indicators that can be monitored in real-time. This section also addresses the correlation of Pu with other parameters that can be monitored in real-time for operational decision making. TSS requires time for a laboratory analysis, so although it may provide a satisfactory design basis, it cannot be used as an operational indicator.

This section specifies data needed to develop deterministic regression models for estimating Pu concentrations in Segment 4 (below the terminal ponds) on the basis of TSS or turbidity data from Segment 5 (above the terminal ponds) and from within the Industrial Area. This section will also provide data for models that could estimate the magnitude of Pu contaminant sources within the Industrial Area on the basis of data from Segments 4 and 5. With respect to surface water, research indicates a relationship may exist between the amount of Pu activity and the amount of TSS in the water. Radionuclides, including Pu, tend to associate with particulate materials. When particles are carried in surface-water runoff, radionuclides attached to the particles are transported as well. Therefore, measuring the amount of TSS in runoff from a specific drainage area may provide a characteristic ratio of Pu to TSS for that basin and insight into the amount of Pu activity being transported in the water.

If an initial correlation between Pu activity and TSS is determined for a drainage basin, this baseline correlation would prove useful for monitoring future cleanup and containment of Pu within that area. For example, removing a source of Pu-contaminated sediments from a watershed would result in less transport of Pu from the basin, and, barring the creation of new sources of suspended sediments, the Pu activity associated with a given TSS concentration would also have been lowered. Therefore, a decrease in the ratio of Pu activity to TSS would be indicative of the effectiveness of the source removal. In contrast, an increased ratio might indicate a new source of Pu.

Data from this monitoring would also support evaluations of the impact of D&D and watershed improvement activities.

6.4.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

To evaluate the correlation between TSS, turbidity, and flow vs. Pu, monitoring at any three stations would suffice, but six stations should be monitored in case some do not correlate well. Since Pu is already monitored at terminal pond outfalls (POCs) and at the IA boundary (POE and NSD locations), flow, TSS, and turbidity (turbidity monitored real-time) will also be monitored at these eight stations.

To evaluate the predictive capability of the real-time flow and turbidity parameters, the Site must monitor these parameters at locations most likely to be predictive and far enough upstream to provide at least two hours of warning before an exceedance could occur in Segment 4 (at a POC). These stations include POEs GS10, SW093, and SW027 and NSDs SW022 and SW091. Each of these stations will be equipped with real-time water-quality probes to continuously monitor turbidity.

Ideally, TSS would be analyzed for all samples collected at the above locations. However, sampling protocols for these stations (detailed in Sections 6.6, 6.7, and 6.8) often result in composite samples which are collected over periods exceeding the 7-day hold time for TSS analyses. Therefore, TSS can not be analyzed for all composite samples, but will be analyzed when possible. For reference, NSD locations collect composite samples during singular runoff events, while POCs and POEs collect composite samples continuously during all flows.

Data may be acquired as far upstream as Segment 5 or even within the Industrial Area to predict Pu as far downstream as the reservoirs.

6.4.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

Table 6-13. Pu Correlation Monitoring Locations.

ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry	Notes
SW093	N. Walnut Cr. upstream from the A-1 Bypass	36" Suppressed Rectangular Sharp-Crested Weir	Yes	Serves as an NSD and POE location
SW091	Gully NE of Solar Ponds outside inner fence	6" Cutthroat Flume	Yes	Serves as an NSD location
GS10	S. Walnut Cr. upstream from the B-1 Bypass	9" Parshall Flume	Yes	Serves as an NSD and POE location
SW022	Central Avenue Ditch at inner east fence	9.5" Parshall Flume	Yes	Serves as an NSD location
SW027	South Interceptor Ditch at Pond C-2	Dual Parallel 120° V-Notch Weirs	Yes	Serves as an NSD and POE location
GS11	Pond A-4 outlet works	24" Parshall Flume	Yes	Serves as a POC location
GS08	Pond B-5 outlet works	24" Parshall Flume	Yes	Serves as a POC location
GS31	Pond C-2 outlet works	24" Parshall Flume	Yes	Serves as a POC location

Table 6-14. Pu Correlation Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency.

ID Code	Parameter	
	Discharge	Turbidity ^a
SW093	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
SW091	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS10	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
SW022	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
SW027	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS11	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS08	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS31	15-min continuous	15-min continuous

Notes: ^a Turbidity is collected using real-time water-quality probes. These probes can not handle winter icing conditions without being damaged. Therefore, these probes collect data whenever possible, and data collection may not be possible for significant winter periods.

Table 6-15. Pu Correlation Sample Collection Protocols.

ID Code	Frequency ^a	Type ^b
SW093	see Section 6.7	Continuous flow-paced composites
SW091	see Section 6.6	Storm-event rising limb flow-paced composites
GS10	see Section 6.7	Continuous flow-paced composites
SW022	see Section 6.6	Storm-event rising limb flow-paced composites
SW027	see Section 6.7	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS11	see Section 6.8	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS08	see Section 6.8	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS31	see Section 6.8	Continuous flow-paced composites

Notes: ^a Sample collection frequencies are determined by the primary monitoring objective for each location.
^b Sample types are defined in Section 7.2.2.2.

Table 6-16. Pu Correlation Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year).

ID Code	TSS ^a	Pu ^b
SW093	10	see Section 6.7
SW091	12	see Section 6.6
GS10	10	see Section 6.7
SW022	12	see Section 6.6
SW027	10	see Section 6.7
GS11	10	see Section 6.8
GS08	10	see Section 6.8
GS31	3	see Section 6.8

Notes: ^a Ideally, TSS would be analyzed for all samples collected at the above locations. However, continuous flow-paced sampling protocols often result in composite samples which are collected over periods exceeding the 7-day hold time for TSS analyses. Therefore, TSS can not be analyzed for all continuous flow-paced composite samples, but will be analyzed when possible.
^b Sample results are generated under the primary monitoring objective for each location.

6.4.3 Data Evaluation

The correlation between total Pu activity and TSS will be periodically evaluated at three or more monitoring location pairs⁸ for a period of six months or more, including peak spring runoff events and base flow. The Site may then attempt to establish the specific numerical values needed to design protective pond operations and structures. An identical evaluation may be made for a relationship between Pu activity and turbidity, or a combination of TSS and turbidity, or other indicators.

6.5 PERFORMANCE MONITORING

This section addresses monitoring the performance of specific actions⁹ on Site for the release of contaminants to the environment. Project-specific Performance monitoring may be specified in the project plan through the review and approval process when the project poses a concern for a specific contaminant release, especially for a contaminant that may not be adequately monitored by other monitoring objectives downstream. Each Performance monitoring location will target specific contaminants of greatest concern for the specific action being monitored. For example, Performance monitoring for specific analytes may be needed for:

- Specific D&D Actions: The review and approval process for a D&D action may identify the need for Performance monitoring specific to that action.
- Specific Remedial Actions: There are monitoring requirements associated with specific Operable Unit (OU) activities. For example, the existing consolidated treatment plant for OU1 and OU2 has a surface water discharge. Performance monitoring specific to this discharge is specified in the work plans.
- Transition Actions: For example, DOE, RFFO has proposed changes to the operation protocols of the ITS. Specific Performance monitoring may be needed in light of this change if other monitoring in the IMP fails to provide adequate assurance of protecting the environment and public health.
- Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the Control of Plutonium Transport in Surface Water Runoff: For example, when a BMP (barrier, trap, filter, or other watershed improvement) is installed to control a potential source of Pu-contaminated runoff, the Site would like to determine the effectiveness of the BMP so that future resources may be allocated where they are most effective.

⁸ Monitoring location pairs: Theoretically, monitoring for TSS at GS10 (east edge of Industrial Area) may predict Pu activity monitored at GS08 (below Pond B5). In this case, GS10 and GS08 would be a monitoring location pair.

⁹ This is project-specific, versus the global monitoring (NSD and POE) of the Industrial Area discussed in Sections 6.6 and 6.7.

24

Monitoring of activities within the Industrial Area is achieved, in general, through New Source Detection and POE monitoring (see Sections 6.6 and 6.7 for details). Project-specific Performance monitoring stations must be portable to monitor specific high-risk Site activities, such as D&D of a particular building. These mobile, temporary stations will be placed upstream from the routine monitoring stations, closer to specific Site activities to monitor a specific sub-basin for releases of contaminants specific to the activity in the sub-basin.

6.5.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

The types of data to be collected must be specified in the project plan. Analyte suites are generally determined by the constituents of concern associated with a specific activity. Generally, automated samples are flow-paced composites of 15 grabs taken on the rising limb of a stormwater runoff event. However, protocols may be modified depending on the specific conditions for a monitoring location or drainage basin. For example, a location with substantial groundwater seepage or a periodic footing drain discharge may warrant continuous monitoring of those flows. Regardless, the sampling protocols are designed to accurately characterize existing flows, and confidently monitor for changes during the project activities.

Generally, monitoring is initiated with enough time prior to project activities such that 10 - 15 samples¹⁰ over varying flow rates can be collected (preferably 18 months prior to project initiation¹¹). Results from these samples are used to establish a baseline for the sub-basin. Monitoring continues during the activity, attempting to collect one sample per month. After project completion, monitoring continues long enough (approximately 3 months) to determine any impacts to surface-water quality.

Performance monitoring can occur anywhere within the Site surface water drainage area (especially within the Industrial Area), downstream from a BMP, remediation, or high-risk activity.

6.5.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

Table 6-17. Performance Monitoring Locations.

ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry	Project
GS27	Small ditch NW of B884	2" Cutthroat Flume	Yes	D&D of B889; Watershed Improvements evaluation
GS32	Corrugated metal pipe (1.5') north of Solar Ponds in PA draining B779 area	18" cmp ^a	Yes	D&D of B779
GS37	Central Ave. Ditch north of B443	9.5" Parshall Flume	Yes	D&D of B123
GS39	Corrugated metal pipe (1.0') north of 904 Pad draining 903/904 Pads and Contractor Yard areas	1' H Flume	Yes	ER projects for 903 Pad; also serves as Source Location monitoring station for GS10 Source Evaluation

Notes: ^a Due to the current configuration of in place stormwater culverts, flow measurement at this location is not possible without significant construction modifications.

¹⁰ Storm-event sampling at locations which are often dry and normally only receive direct runoff is opportunistic. Some locations may see flow only during wet months. Every attempt is made to collect the target number of samples; however, this is not always possible.

¹¹ Due to the dynamic nature of Site Cleanup, initiation of Performance monitoring 18 months prior to an activity is rarely achieved. However, additional samples are often collected at an increased rate to establish baseline prior to initiation of project activities.

Table 6-18. Performance Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency.

ID Code	Parameter
	Discharge
GS27	15-min continuous
GS37	15-min continuous
GS39	15-min continuous

Table 6-19. Performance Sample Collection Protocols.

ID Code	Frequency ^a	Type ^b
GS27	1 per month	Storm-event rising-limb flow-paced composites
GS32	1 per month	Storm-event rising-limb flow-paced composites
GS37	1 per month	Storm-event rising-limb flow-paced composites
GS39	12 per year ^c	Continuous flow-paced composites

Notes: ^a Storm-event sampling at locations which are often dry and normally only receive direct runoff is opportunistic. Some locations may see flow only during wet months. Every attempt is made to achieve the target sample frequency; however, this is not always possible.

^b Sample types are defined in Section 7.2.2.2.

^c Annual total samples is 12 per year. Frequency of collection is based on expected flow volumes such that each sample collects water representing similar stream discharge volumes; for example, more samples are collected in wet spring months than dry winter months.

Table 6-20 Performance Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year).

ID Code	TSS ^a	Pu, Am	Pu, U, Am	CLP Metals	Tritium	Nitrate/Nitrite	VOA grabs ^b
GS27	12		12				
GS32	12		12	12			
GS37	12		12		12	12	2
GS39	12	12					

Notes: ^a Ideally, TSS would be analyzed for all samples collected at the above locations. However, continuous flow-paced sampling protocols often result in composite samples which are collected over periods exceeding the 7-day hold time for TSS analyses. Therefore, TSS can not be analyzed for all continuous flow-paced composite samples, but will be analyzed when possible.

^b VOA grabs will be collected manually by ASI staff on an opportunistic basis.

Table 6-21. Performance Telemetry Data Collection.

ID Code	Parameters
GS27	flow; sampler status (full or waiting);
GS32	flow; sampler status (full or waiting);
GS37	flow; sampler status (full or waiting);
GS39	flow; current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a

Notes: ^a Provides an indication of equipment malfunction.

6.5.3 Data Evaluation

Data evaluation will be specified for individual projects. A project-specific indicator might be a single monitoring result, a 30-day average for a specific analyte, or an indicator for the analyte of concern. Example decision rules are shown below. Generally, evaluation is performed as data becomes available, especially if an initial qualitative screening based on process knowledge indicates that an analytical result is higher than normal for a particular location.

IF The project-specific indicator is greater than the 95% upper tolerance limit (UTL) of baseline,

THEN The Site will evaluate the specific activity to improve performance.

IF The project-specific indicator is less than the 95% lower tolerance level (LTL),
THEN The Site will conclude that the project has reduced environmental releases of the specific contaminant.

Table 6-22. Performance Monitoring Analytical Data Evaluation.

ID Code	Evaluation Types ^a
GS27	95% UTLs / LTLs
GS32	95% UTLs / LTLs
GS37	95% UTLs / LTLs
GS39	95% UTLs / LTLs; Loading Analysis

Notes: ^a Details on the evaluation of analytical results are given in Section .

6.6 NEW SOURCE DETECTION MONITORING

The New Source Detection (NSD) monitoring objective provides comprehensive coverage of the entire Industrial Area but is not specifically focused on individual actions within the Industrial Area. Performance monitoring of specific activities within the Industrial Area (or elsewhere) may be carried out under the Performance monitoring objective. This NSD objective monitors the performance of all remedial activities within the Industrial Area with respect to their impact on surface waters. However, it does not necessarily identify and locate a specific source within the Industrial Area¹². This monitoring objective provides for monitoring of all main drainages from the Industrial Area into the three main channels of Stream Segment 5.

6.6.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

This objective requires contaminant concentration data from surface water samples taken at permanent monitoring locations located on the five main surface water pathways to the Site detention ponds. Analyses are performed for each of the contaminants and parameters listed below in order to establish a baseline. After a baseline has been established, evaluations will be performed as required by the decision rules. The basis for selecting these contaminants of concern and indicator parameters is described below.

- Pu, uranium (U), and americium (Am) are primary contaminants of concern to regulators and the public.
- Turbidity, pH, nitrate (NO₃⁻), and conductivity are analyses performed continuously because they are inexpensive per measurement and can be used as real-time indicators to provide or negate reasonable cause to analyze for other specific contaminants.
- Turbidity may indicate increased contaminant loads in general and increased Pu specifically. (Pu in surface water is generally bound to particulates).
- pH can be used to detect an acid or caustic spill.
- Nitrate can be used in real-time to detect chemical spills that include plutonium nitrate.
- Conductivity can be used to corroborate a pH reading and to detect salt solution spills or significant concentrations of metals such as chromium, beryllium, silver, or cadmium.

¹² Location of a specific source would be performed under the Source Location monitoring objective described in Section 6.2.

- Precipitation data are used to determine whether a flow event is rain/snow runoff or a spill. Precipitation data is collected at 8 location across the Site. Effective precipitation for a given monitoring location drainage can be calculated.
- Water flow rate is needed to identify an event, trigger an automatic sampler, control the flow-paced sampling, and evaluate the magnitude of the spill or contaminant source (mass loading).
- Small changes to base flow not attributable to rain or snow melt, or unusual runoff hydrograph shapes, may indicate a spill.

This monitoring objective is limited to information collected at the Industrial Area boundary, as represented by surface-water monitoring stations SW022, SW091, SW093, SW027, and GS10¹³ (see Figure 3-1). This monitoring focuses on runoff into the three main drainage areas leaving the Industrial Area: North Walnut Creek, South Walnut Creek, and the South Interceptor Ditch / Pond C2 drainage (see Figure 3-1. SW022 waters are normally monitored subsequently at GS10, so there is some redundancy in this set of monitoring stations. SW022 has been included at the request of the EPA to provide increased sensitivity for its drainage area. SW022 would also be used in the location of any new source detected at GS10.

For SW022 and SW091, sampling is event-specific, focused on the time period during which the first flush conditions prevail; specifically, the time period during the rising limb of a direct runoff hydrograph after any storm event.¹⁴ For SW093, GS10, and SW027, the analytical data used for the NSD objective will be the same data as collected from the continuous flow-paced sampling used for monitoring Segment 5 Action Level compliance (see Section 6.7).

Table 6-23. Screening for New Source Detection: Aols vs. Indicator Parameters.

Aols	Routinely Monitored Parameters							
	Monitored Aols			Indicator Parameters for Aols				
	Pu	U	Am	Turbidity	pH	Conductivity	Nitrate	Flow Rate; Precipitation ^a
Plutonium	X			X			X	X
Uranium		X						X
Americium			X	X				X
Turbidity				X				X
pH					X		X	X
Conductivity						X		X
Nitrate						X	X	X
Chromium					X	X	X	X
Beryllium						X		X
Silver						X		X
Cadmium						X	X	X

Notes: ^a Precipitation data is collected at Sitewide locations. Precipitation data collection is not required at each NSD location, but Sitewide data is used for NSD evaluation.

Only surface-water runoff from the Industrial Area is included, (i.e., baseflow, stormwater runoff flow, and spills to surface water). Spills are only included in this NSD monitoring as a secondary monitoring

¹³ Subdrainage monitoring stations within the Industrial Area are used for Performance monitoring and source location but are excluded from the planned monitoring for this NSD decision rule.

¹⁴ Descriptions of sample collection protocols are given in Section 7.2.2.2

28

objective if an increase in flow rate is detected and cannot be attributed to precipitation, snow melt, or other previously monitored discharge. However, other management controls (e.g., SPCC/BMP) address monitoring of spills as a primary objective. These NSD locations also provide confirmation that containment measures for spills or accidental discharges have been effective through monitoring of the real-time indicator parameters.

Indicator monitoring will be performed for the parameters specified at the top of each column of Table 6-23. The first three columns are Analytes of Interest (AOIs) monitored directly through sample analytical measurements. Although these three columns and rows have a different relationship than the others, they have been included so that all monitored parameters are shown on the same table. The remaining columns are indicator parameters that are monitored with inexpensive real-time probes in lieu of analyzing for the AOIs identified at the left of each row.

6.6.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

Table 6-24. New Source Detection Monitoring Locations.

ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry
SW093	N. Walnut Cr. 1000' upstream from the A-1 Bypass	36" Suppressed Rectangular Sharp-Crested Weir	Yes
SW091	Gully NE of Solar Ponds outside inner fence	6" Cutthroat Flume	Yes
GS10	S. Walnut Cr. upstream from the B-1 Bypass	9" Parshall Flume	Yes
SW022	Central Avenue Ditch at inner east fence	9.5" Parshall Flume	Yes
SW027	South Interceptor Ditch at Pond C-2	Dual Parallel 120° V-Notch Weirs	Yes

Table 6-25. New Source Detection Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency.

ID Code	Parameter		
	Discharge	Real-Time pH, Conductivity, Turbidity, Nitrate	Precipitation
SW093	15-min continuous	15-min continuous	
SW091	15-min continuous	15-min continuous	
GS10	15-min continuous	15-min continuous	
SW022	15-min continuous	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
SW027	15-min continuous	15-min continuous	

Table 6-26. New Source Detection Sample Collection Protocols.

ID Code	Frequency ^a	Type ^b
SW093	12 per year ^c	Continuous flow-paced composites
SW091	1 per month ^d	Storm-event rising-limb flow-paced composites
GS10	12 per year ^c	Continuous flow-paced composites
SW022	1 per month ^d	Storm-event rising-limb flow-paced composites
SW027	12 per year ^c	Continuous flow-paced composites

Notes: ^a Only SW091 and SW022 will be sampled on the rising limb of the hydrograph, as originally specified for this decision rule. Stations SW093, SW027, and GS10 are the Segment 5 Action Level (POE) monitoring stations (see Section 6.7). At these Segment 5 stations, NSD will be performed by statistically testing the continuous flow-paced sample results required for the POE objective. The same test criterion will be used, except that continuous flow-paced samples will be tested against flow-paced variability. These locations will collect more than the target 12 samples for the NSD objective. All results collected at these locations under the POE objective will be used in the NSD objective.
^b Sample types are defined in Section 7.2.2.2.
^c Sample frequency distribution during the year for SW093, GS10, and SW027 (POEs) is given in Section 6.7.
^d Storm-event sampling at locations which are often dry and normally only receive direct runoff is opportunistic. These locations may see flow only during wet months. Every attempt is made to achieve the target sample frequency; however, this is not always possible.

Table 6-27. New Source Detection Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year).

ID Code	TSS ^a	Pu, U, Am
SW093	12	12
SW091	12	12
GS10	12	12
SW022	12	12
SW027	12	12

Notes: ^a Ideally, TSS would be analyzed for all samples collected at the above locations. However, continuous flow-paced sampling protocols often result in composite samples which are collected over periods exceeding the 7-day hold time for TSS analyses. Therefore, TSS can not be analyzed for all continuous flow-paced composite samples, but will be analyzed when possible.

Table 6-28. New Source Detection Telemetry Data Collection.

ID Code	Parameters		
	Hydrologic	Sampling	Real-Time Water Quality
SW093	flow	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity
SW091	flow	sampler status (full or waiting)	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand); high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity
GS10	flow	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity
SW022	flow; precipitation	sampler status (full or waiting)	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity
SW027	flow	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity

Notes: ^a Provides an indication of equipment malfunction.

6.6.3 Data Evaluation

Indicator monitoring will be performed for the parameters specified at the top of each column of Table 6-23. The first three columns are Analytes of Interest (AoIs) monitored directly through sample analytical measurements. The remaining columns are indicator parameters that are monitored with inexpensive real-time probes in lieu of analyzing for the AoIs identified at the left of each row. If a significant increase is detected in any one of these indicator parameters, then there is reasonable cause to suspect the presence of the AoI identified at the left end of the row in which an "X" appears. For example, if the nitrate probe detects a high nitrate concentration, then the Site would have reasonable cause to suspect the presence of plutonium nitrate, extreme pH, cadmium nitrate, and, of course, high nitrate, all of which are AoIs for Segment 5. If there were reasonable cause to suspect the presence of these analytes of interest, then the Site would perform additional analytical procedures specific for the analytes of interest.

Data collected by water quality probes at New Source Detection locations are considered and evaluated, at a minimum, in the following ways:

- Daily average values are checked qualitatively (daily on work days) using the radio telemetry equipment;
- A general qualitative evaluation of data is performed (generally monthly);
- A detailed work-up of 15-minute data is generated and archived (generally monthly); and
- A detailed work-up and evaluation of daily averages (including tolerance limits) is completed and archived (generally monthly).

Each of these data evaluation activities is completed for all water quality parameters measured by the probes. Additional evaluation may be performed for a variety of reasons including spill investigations, special requests, and studies of probe performance. The above listed data evaluation activities are described individually, in greater detail in Section 0.

Generally, analytical data evaluation is performed as data becomes available, especially if an initial qualitative screening based on process knowledge indicates that an analytical result is higher than normal for a particular location. The desired evaluation frequency is semi-monthly, within one week of the 15th and last day of any given month.

Screening for reasonable cause to suspect a new source:

IF The mean concentration of any of the screening indicator variables in Table 6-23 exceeds the 95% UTL/LTL of baseline for that variable,

THEN The Site will evaluate the need for further action under RFCA ALF, such as source evaluation and control. Evaluations will address persistence, trends, and risk of Action Level exceedances at POEs.

Table 6-29. New Source Detection Monitoring Analytical Data Evaluation.

ID Code	Evaluation Type ^a
SW093	95% UTLs / LTLs; Loading Analysis
SW091	95% UTLs / LTLs
GS10	95% UTLs / LTLs; Loading Analysis
SW022	95% UTLs / LTLs
SW027	95% UTLs / LTLs; Loading Analysis

Notes: ^a Details on the evaluation of analytical results are given in Section .

6.7 STREAM SEGMENT 5 POINT OF EVALUATION MONITORING

This monitoring objective deals with POE monitoring of Segment 5 for adherence with the RFCA Action Level Framework (ALF). Responses to exceedances of Action Levels at POEs are different than the responses associated with contaminated runoff before it reaches Segment 5 or after it enters Segment 4. Industrial Area monitoring upgradient of Segment 5 is designed to detect new contaminant sources within the Industrial Area. Downstream, Segment 4 is monitored at POCs to protect designated uses, the ecology, and the public health. This subsection of the document deals with POE monitoring of Segment 5 for compliance with RFCA action levels.

Historical data indicate that several regulated contaminants may exceed their RFCA action level criteria at the designated POEs. Such exceedances will require source evaluation and the development of a mitigation plan. The initial response to these exceedances might be to invoke the Source Location decision rule, perform special monitoring tailored to the specific source evaluation, and take action upstream of Segment 5 to protect Segment 5 from contaminant sources that caused such exceedances.

6.7.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

The analytical decision inputs are those analytes specified as the Segment 5 AoIs per Table 6-30, as sampled at the POEs for Stream Segment 5. RFCA provides specific criteria for virtually every possible contaminant for the main stream channels of Segment 5. In developing the IMP, the DQO team identified a subset of those contaminants that are of sufficient interest to warrant monitoring under ALF.

Segment 5 includes the terminal ponds, and the main stream channels of North and South Walnut Creek, Pond C2, and the SID. Monitoring will be performed for Stream Segment 5 only as represented by POEs SW093, SW027, and GS10 (see Figure 3-1).

Sampling for AoIs at POEs is performed by collecting continuous flow-paced composite samples. The recommended monitoring design detailed in the IMP is to take samples for FY98 as specified in Table 6-34. The intent is to take no less than one sample per quarter, and no more than four composite samples per month from each of the three monitoring points. The ideal sampling rate is one composite sample for each 500,000 gallons of stream flow, and each composite sample should comprise a target of 50 flow-paced grab samples.

Table 6-34 presents the number of samples per month recommended by statisticians at PNNL that worked with the DQO working group. There are both practical and statistical advantages to this sample allocation design. Averaging a larger number of samples is more expensive, but it protects the Site from regulatory action in response to a spurious, non-representative monitoring result.

There are secondary advantages to this monitoring plan. A larger number of samples allows for estimates of variability that can be used to refine the monitoring plan over time. The monitoring program specified here is a technically defensible approach that represents a compromise between a statistical design, a design based on professional judgement, and a design based on budgetary constraints. This design will generate data that are representative of actual contaminant levels and loads.

This design is consistent with the intent of the 30-day moving average specified in RFCA but allows some flexibility. Where there is no significant flow, there may be no samples completed within a 30-day period, and where the flows, loads, and variability are expected to be higher, sample numbers are also higher. Note that flow-paced monitoring will continue during dry periods, even though flows may be so low that it takes more than 30 days to fill the composite sample container.

Indicator parameters are measured using real-time water-quality probes as discussed in Section 6.6 for the NSD monitoring objective. These data may be used in this decision rule for correlations and trending.

Table 6-30. RFCA Segment 5 Aols.

Radionuclides:	Total Pu-239,240	High level of public concern. Known carcinogen. Known past releases (within the past 8 years) have exceeded RFCA stream standards and action levels. This provides reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA Action Levels.
	Total U-233,234, U-235, U-238	Known renal toxicity. Present on Site. Past exceedances provide reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA stream standards and action levels.
	Total Am-241	Known carcinogen. Present on Site. Known past exceedances provide reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA stream standards and action levels.
Metals:	Total Be	Known to cause berylliosis in susceptible individuals when exposed by inhalation. May also cause contact dermatitis. Present on Site. Will be monitored as an indicator of releases from process and waste storage areas.
	Total Cr	Physiological and dermal toxicity. High level of regulatory concern due, in part to the chromic acid incident of 1989. Low levels can cause significant ecological damage.
	Dissolved Ag	Highly toxic to fish at low levels if chronic. State of Colorado has temporarily removed its stream standard for silver, while under study. The study has been completed, and the standard will be reinstated at the next triennial review of South Platte stream standards, if not before. Used on Site only for photographic development. Routinely accepted by POTWs as municipal waste, but discharge is regulated. May be removed from this list later, if data do not support concern.
	Dissolved Cd	Highly toxic to fish at low levels if chronic. Known human carcinogen (prostate cancer) and depletes physiologic calcium. Used on Site in plating processes. Monitoring data for the Interceptor Trench System (ITS) and the proposed discharge of untreated ITS waters into Walnut Creek provide reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA Action Levels.
Real Time Monitoring of Physical and Indicator Parameters: These parameters provide real-time alarms for a wide variety of regulated contaminants, and are also a required component of monitoring for Aols. They require no laboratory analyses, and are the Site's most cost effective defensive monitoring.	Hardness	Required to evaluate metals analyses, due to its effect on solubility of these metals.
	pH	Toxicity to humans and ecology. Regulatory concern due to chromic acid incident. Real-time monitoring is inexpensive and effective method of detecting acid spills such as (chromic acid or plutonium nitrate) or failure of treatment systems.
	Conductivity	Conductivity is an indicator of total dissolved solids, metals, anions, and pH. Real-time monitoring of conductivity is an inexpensive indicator of overall water quality.
	Turbidity	Turbidity is a general indicator of elevated contaminant levels, and may be correlated with Pu.
	Nitrate	Past releases near RFCA stream standards and action levels upstream of ponds provide reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA stream standards and action levels. ITS discharges are often high in nitrate, and may challenge RFCA action levels.
	Flow	Required to detect flow events, pace automated samplers, evaluate contaminant loads, and plan pond operations and discharges. Affects nearly every decision rule, and is the most commonly discussed attribute of Site surface waters.

Notes: VOAs, Fe, and Mn are specifically excluded from this list. The parties recognize that VOAs will not be effectively monitored at these monitoring stations, and defer to the decision rules that drive monitoring closer to the sources of VOA contamination.

ITS = Interceptor Trench System
POTW = Publically owned treatment works
VOA = Volatile organic analysis

6.7.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

Table 6-31. POE Monitoring Locations.

ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry
SW093	N. Walnut Cr. 1000' upstream from the A-1 Bypass	36" Suppressed Rectangular Sharp-Crested Weir	Yes
GS10	S. Walnut Cr. upstream from the B-1 Bypass	9" Parshall Flume	Yes
SW027	South Interceptor Ditch at Pond C-2	Dual Parallel 120° V-Notch Weirs	Yes

Table 6-32. POE Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency.

ID Code	Parameter	
	Discharge	Real-Time pH, Conductivity, Turbidity, Nitrate
SW093	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS10	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
SW027	15-min continuous	15-min continuous

Table 6-33. POE Sample Collection Protocols.

ID Code	Frequency ^a	Type ^b
SW093	36 per year	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS10	34 per year	Continuous flow-paced composites
SW027	15 per year	Continuous flow-paced composites

Notes: ^a Sample frequency distribution during the year for SW093, GS10, and SW027 (POEs) is given in Table 6-34.
^b Sample types are defined in Section 7.2.2.2.

Table 6-34. POE Target Sample Distribution.

Month	SW093	GS10	SW027	Totals
Oct 97	3	3	0	6
Nov 97	4	3	0	7
Dec 97	2	1	1	4
Jan 98	2	1	0	3
Feb 98	2	2	0	4
Mar 98	4	4	1	9
Apr 98	4	4	4	12
May 98	4	4	4	12
Jun 98	4	4	4	12
Jul 98	2	3	0	5
Aug 98	2	2	0	4
Sep 98	3	3	1	7
Totals	36	34	15	85

Table 6-35. POE Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year).

ID Code	TSS ^a	Dissolved Ag, Be, Dissolved Cd, Cr	Hardness	Pu, U, Am
SW093	36	36	36	36
GS10	34	34	34	34
SW027	15	15	15	15

Notes: ^a Ideally, TSS would be analyzed for all samples collected at the above locations. However, continuous flow-paced sampling protocols often result in composite samples which are collected over periods exceeding the 7-day hold time for TSS analyses. Therefore, TSS can not be analyzed for all continuous flow-paced composite samples, but will be analyzed when possible.

Table 6-36. POE Telemetry Data Collection.

ID Code	Parameters		
	Hydrologic	Sampling	Real-Time Water Quality
SW093	flow	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity
GS10	flow	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity
SW027	flow	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity

Notes: ^a Provides an indication of equipment malfunction.

6.7.3 Data Evaluation

Sampling for AoIs at POEs is performed by collecting continuous flow-paced composite samples. Indicator parameters are measured using real-time water-quality probes. These AoIs and indicator parameters are evaluated using 30-day or 1-day moving averages, as specified in RFCA and implemented by the ALF or DQO working groups involving consensus of all parties to RFCA. Pu, Am, U, Be, Cr, dissolved Ag, and dissolved Cd are evaluated using volume-weighted 30-day moving averages at POEs¹⁵. Indicator parameters pH and nitrate are evaluated as 1-day arithmetic averages.

The parties to RFCA agree that continuous monitoring probes will be used as indicators that may suggest a need for additional monitoring, mitigating action, or management decision. The parties agree that compliance and enforcement issues will be resolved on the basis of standard analytical procedures specified by the applicable regulation or agreement, e.g., NPDES, RFCA, or CERCLA. The parties agree that continuous monitoring field probes should NOT be used to determine compliance or serve as a basis for enforcement action, unless the applicable regulation specifies such a probe as the enforceable analytical method for a particular measurement.

¹⁵ The 30-day average for a particular day is calculated as a volume-weighted average of a 'window' of time containing the previous 30-days which had flow. Each day has its own discharge volume (measured at the location with a flow meter) and activity (analytical result from the sample in place at the end of that day). Therefore, there are 365 30-day moving averages for a location which flows all year (366 in a leap year). At locations which monitor pond discharges or have intermittent flows, 30-day averages are reported as averages of the previous 30 days of greater than zero flow. For days where no activity is available, either due to failed lab analysis or NSQ for analysis, no 30-day average is reported. The calculation of 30-day averages is discussed in detail in Section 7.3.2.2.

Moving averages for AoIs are to be calculated for the preceding period, verified by additional analyses at the discretion of the monitoring organization, and formally reported to the DOE, RFFO within 30 days of gaining knowledge that an exceedance may have occurred (i.e., within 30 days of receiving a high analytical result). This 30-day period allows time for verification analyses after the monitoring organization gains knowledge that an exceedance may have occurred before formal notification to DOE, RFFO of an actual exceedance is required. RFCA requires that DOE, RFFO inform regulators within 15 days of DOE, RFFO gaining knowledge (not just a suspicion) that an exceedance (verified) has (actually) occurred. During this 45-day period between first suspicion and formal notification to regulators, the DOE, RFFO may initiate discretionary mitigating action. The delay interval will prevent undue public alarm when the initial high result is not confirmed by subsequent monitoring. Informal communications between the parties are intended during the delay interval.

Generally, analytical data evaluation is performed as data becomes available. If an initial qualitative screening indicates that an analytical result is higher than the action level for a particular AoI, then the 30-day average is calculated immediately. The desired evaluation frequency is semi-monthly, within one week of the 15th and last day of any given month.

- IF The appropriate summary statistic¹⁶ for any AoI in the main stream channels of Stream Segment 5, as monitored at the designated POEs, exceeds the appropriate RFCA action level
- THEN The Site must notify EPA and CDPHE, evaluate for source location, and implement mitigating action¹⁷ if appropriate¹⁸.

Table 6-37. POE Monitoring Analytical Data Evaluation.

ID Code	Evaluation Type ^a
SW093	30-Day Volume-Weighted Moving Averages; Loading Analysis
GS10	30-Day Volume-Weighted Moving Averages; Loading Analysis
SW027	30-Day Volume-Weighted Moving Averages; Loading Analysis

Notes: ^a Details on the evaluation of analytical results are given in Section .

6.8 STREAM SEGMENT 4 POINT OF COMPLIANCE MONITORING

RFCA provides specific standards for Walnut and Woman Creeks below the terminal ponds (Segment 4). These criteria and the responses to them are different than the criteria and actions associated with Segment 5. This section deals only with monitoring discharges from the terminal ponds into Segment 4 and the additional POCs for Segment 4 at Indiana Street. Terminal pond discharges will be monitored by POCs GS11, GS08, and GS31. Walnut Creek will be monitored at Indiana Street by POC GS03. Woman Creek will be monitored at Indiana Street by POC GS01. These locations are shown on Figure 3-1.

¹⁶ Appropriate action levels and standards for volume-weighted 30-day moving averages or 1 calendar day arithmetic averages, are specified for individual contaminants in RFCA.

¹⁷ Mitigating action may include, but not be limited to, the following examples: 1) Immediate action to halt a discharge or contain a spill; or 2) Use of the Source Location decision rule to seek out and mitigate upstream contaminant sources.

¹⁸ RFCA may actually specify consequences for an exceedance of any action level (not just those for AoIs) at any location within the segment (not just at the consensus monitoring points). This decision rule presents the consensus decision rule that drives our monitoring activities. It is an implementation, rather than a reiteration, of RFCA.

With the completion of the Woman Creek Reservoir, located just east of Indiana Street and operated by the city of Westminster, all Woman Creek flows will be detained in cells of the new reservoir until the water quality has been assured by monitoring of Woman Creek at Indiana Street. There is concern that meeting standards for radiologic parameters in Pond C2 discharge does not adequately demonstrate that all water leaving the Site via Woman Creek and entering the Woman Creek Reservoir is meeting the radiologic standards. Woman Creek water (combined with Pond C2 or flowing in the absence of any Pond C2 water) will enter the Woman Creek Reservoir. This is the basis for setting an additional RFCA POC for Woman Creek at Indiana Street (GS01) for those radiologic contaminants that could be directly attributable to the Site (i.e., not naturally occurring).

A similar point of compliance, GS03, will be established at Walnut Creek and Indiana Street. Although the Walnut Creek drainage is not undergoing operational changes like those in Woman Creek, it is possible that contaminated overland runoff or landfill drainage may enter Walnut Creek below the terminal pond monitoring points (GS11 and GS08), yet upstream of Indiana Street.

6.8.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

The analytical decision inputs are those analytes specified as the Segment 4 AoIs per Table 6-38, as sampled at the POCs for Stream Segment 4.

Monitoring will be performed for Stream Segment 4 only as represented by POCs GS11, GS08, GS31, GS03, and GS01 (see Figure 3-1).

Sampling for AoIs at POCs is performed by collecting continuous flow-paced composite samples. The recommended monitoring design detailed in the IMP is to take samples for FY98 as specified in Table 6-42. Flow-paced monitoring is maintained at all times for all five POCs in Segment 4, even though no samples are anticipated from terminal pond stations except during planned pond discharges.

Terminal pond discharges currently occur approximately once per year for Pond C2 and 9 times per year for A4 and B5 combined. Since the DQO process targeted 3 samples per discharge (for FY97), terminal pond POCs targeted 30 composite samples to be collected annually.

During FY97, all routine North and South Walnut Creek water was discharged from A4 (B5 was pump transferred to A4). Starting in FY98, it is expected that Pond B5 will be periodically direct discharged to Walnut Creek, effectively dividing discharges to Walnut Creek between A4 and B5. Therefore, sampling protocols for FY98 will be modified such that the total number of continuous flow-paced composite samples to be collected annually for discharges from both A4 or B5 will be comparable to FY97. For Fiscal Years 1993 through 1997, the total combined discharge volume for A-4 and B-5 was 687 Mgals in 43 discharge batches, or 16Mgals per discharge on average. Targeting three composite samples per discharge gives one composite sample per 5.3 Mgals of discharge volume. This modification will preserve the targeted sampling frequencies (based on discharge volume) while maintaining effective cost controls (based on total sample costs). For planning purposes, 8 samples will be collected from A4, and 19 from B5, resulting in the collection of the targeted 27 composite samples (see Table 6-42). However, this sample planning is dependent on the routing for the WWTP effluent. Any future changes in the management of Walnut Creek water could result in sampling protocol modifications to preserve the initial results of the DQO process.

The source(s) of the water sampled at the Indiana Street POCs (GS01 and GS03) must be determined prior to sample planning at these locations. Monitoring at GS01 and GS03 calls for samples to be segregated based on water origin (natural creek flows or terminal pond discharges commingled with natural flows).

Table 6-38. RFCA Segment 4 Aols.

Terminal Pond POCs		
Radionuclides:	Total Pu-239,240	High level of public concern. Known carcinogen. Known past releases (within the past 8 years) have exceeded RFCA stream standards and action levels. This provides reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA stream standards and action levels.
	Total U-233,234, U-235, U-238	Known renal toxicity. Present on Site. Past exceedances provide reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA stream standards and action levels.
	Total Am-241	Known carcinogen. Present on Site. Known past exceedances provide reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA stream standards and action levels.
Real Time Monitoring of Physical and Indicator Parameters:	pH	Toxicity to humans and ecology. Regulatory concern due to chromic acid incident. Real time monitoring is inexpensive and effective method of detecting acid spills such as (chromic acid or plutonium nitrate) or failure of treatment systems.
These parameters provide real-time alarms for a wide variety of regulated contaminants, and are also a required component of monitoring for Aols.	Conductivity	Conductivity is an indicator of total dissolved solids, metals, anions, and pH. Real time monitoring of conductivity is an inexpensive indicator of overall water quality.
They require no laboratory analyses, and are the Site's most cost effective defensive monitoring.	Turbidity	Turbidity is a general indicator of elevated contaminant levels, and may be correlated with Pu.
	Nitrate	Past releases near RFCA stream standards and action levels upstream of ponds provide reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA stream standards and action levels. ITS discharges are often high in nitrate, and may challenge RFCA action levels.
	Flow	Required to detect flow events, pace automatic samplers, evaluate contaminant loads, and plan pond operations and discharges. Affects nearly every decision rule, and is the most commonly discussed attribute of Site surface waters.
Indiana Street POCs		
Radionuclides:	Total Pu-239,240	High level of public concern. Known carcinogen. Known past releases (within the past 8 years) have exceeded RFCA stream standards and action levels. This provides reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA stream standards and action levels.
	Total Am-241	Known carcinogen. Present on Site. Known past exceedances provide reasonable cause to expect future releases in excess of RFCA stream standards and action levels.
	Tritium	Tritium is an Aol for the cities, due to the past release of tritium (1973).
Real Time Monitoring of Physical and Indicator Parameters:	Water-Quality Parameters	Indiana Street is not a point of compliance for the real-time monitoring parameters.
	Flow	Required to detect flow events, pace automatic samplers, and evaluate contaminant loads. Affects nearly every decision rule, and is the most commonly discussed attribute of Site surface waters.

Note: Non-POC monitoring specified in Table 2-21 is not reflected in this table, because the parties intend that Indiana Street not be a POC for the parameters. ITS = Interceptor Trench System

POC GS01 will collect 3 samples during each C2 discharge, and storm runoff and baseflow samples based on average annual volumes. During storm runoff and baseflow, the target at GS01 is one sample per 500,000 gallons, with a maximum of 3 samples during any one month (see Table 6-42). GS03 will collect the targeted 27 samples during A4 and B5 discharges (GS03 will collect the same number of composite samples as the terminal pond POCs for each discharge). During storm runoff and baseflow periods between pond discharges, GS03 will target 2 samples per period. The goal is to have at least 2 analytical results for any 30-day period for averaging purposes¹⁹. The Site may combine samples of the same flow pacing to reduce analytical costs and avoid samples of non-sufficient quantity for analysis. This sample frequency increase for FY98 at GS03 is a result of sampling protocol changes implemented due to the FY97 exceedances in Walnut Creek and several samples which could not be analyzed due to non-sufficient quantity.

6.8.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

Table 6-39. POC Monitoring Locations.

ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry
GS11	Pond A-4 outlet works	24" Parshall Flume	Yes
GS08	Pond B-5 outlet works	24" Parshall Flume	Yes
GS31	Pond C-2 outlet works	24" Parshall Flume	Yes
GS01	Woman Creek and Indiana St.	9" Parshall Flume	Yes
GS03	Walnut Creek and Indiana St.	6" and 36" Parallel Parshall Flumes	Yes

Table 6-40. POC Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency.

ID Code	Parameter			
	Discharge	Real-Time pH, Conductivity, Turbidity, Nitrate	Real-Time pH and Conductivity	Precipitation
GS11	15-min continuous	15-min continuous		
GS08	15-min continuous	15-min continuous		
GS31	15-min continuous	15-min continuous		
GS01	15-min continuous		15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS03	15-min continuous		15-min continuous	15-min continuous

Notes: ^a Provides an indication of equipment malfunction.

¹⁹ More than 2 samples may be collected between pond discharges depending on the length of time between discharges.

Table 6-41. POC Sample Collection Protocols.

ID Code	Frequency	Type ^b
GS11	8 per year ^a	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS08	19 per year ^a	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS31	3 per year ^c	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS01	28 per year ^c	Continuous flow-paced composites
GS03	47 per year ^c	Continuous flow-paced composites

Notes: ^a Assuming one composite sample per 5.3 Mgals. Number may vary due to pond water management activities.

^b Sample types are defined in Section 7.2.2.2.

^c Assumes 1 C-2 discharge per year; 3 composite samples per discharge.

Table 6-42. POC Target Sample Distribution.

Time Period	Pond			Walnut Creek at Indiana Street	Woman Creek at Indiana Street	Total Number of Samples
	A4	B5	C2			
During Discharge	8	19	3	27	3	60
Storm and Base Flow						
January 98	--	--	--	1	2	3
February 98	--	--	--	1	2	3
March 98	--	--	--	2	3	5
April 98	--	--	--	2	3	5
May 98	--	--	--	2	3	5
June 98	--	--	--	2	3	5
July 98	--	--	--	2	2	4
August 98	--	--	--	2	2	4
September 98	--	--	--	2	0	2
October 97	--	--	--	1	1	2
November 97	--	--	--	2	2	4
December 97	--	--	--	1	2	3
FY98 Totals	8	19	3	47	28	105

Note: -- = Not Applicable

Table 6-43. POC Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year).

ID Code	TSS ^a	Pu, U, Am	Pu, Am, Tritium
GS11	8	8	NA
GS08	19	19	NA
GS31	3	3	NA
GS01	28	NA	28
GS03	47	NA	47

Notes: ^a Ideally, TSS would be analyzed for all samples collected at the above locations. However, continuous flow-paced sampling protocols often result in composite samples which are collected over periods exceeding the 7-day hold time for TSS analyses. Therefore, TSS can not be analyzed for all continuous flow-paced composite samples, but will be analyzed when possible.

Table 6-44. POC Telemetry Data Collection.

ID Code	Parameters		
	Hydrologic	Sampling	Real-Time Water Quality
GS11	flow	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity
GS08	flow	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity
GS31	flow	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	summary statistics, instantaneous measurements (on demand), high/low alarms for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity
GS01	flow; precipitation	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	
GS03	flow; precipitation	current sample volume; expected sample volume based on flow ^a	

Notes: ^a Provides an indication of equipment malfunction.

6.8.3 Data Evaluation

Sampling for AoIs at POCs is performed by collecting continuous flow-paced composite samples. Indicator parameters are measured using real-time water-quality probes. These AoIs and indicator parameters are evaluated using 30-day or 1-day moving averages, as specified in RFCA and implemented by the ALF or DQO working groups involving consensus of all parties to RFCA. Pu, Am, U, and Tritium are evaluated using volume-weighted 30-day moving averages at POCs²⁰. Indicator parameters pH and nitrate are evaluated as 1-day arithmetic averages. Indicators are not evaluated under this monitoring objective for the Indiana Street POCs.

The parties to RFCA agree that continuous monitoring probes will be used as indicators that may suggest a need for additional monitoring, mitigating action, or management decision. The parties agree that compliance and enforcement issues will be resolved on the basis of standard analytical procedures specified by the applicable regulation or agreement, e.g., NPDES, RFCA, or CERCLA. The parties agree that continuous monitoring field probes should NOT be used to determine compliance or serve as a basis for enforcement action, unless the applicable regulation specifies such a probe as the enforceable analytical method for a particular measurement.

Generally, analytical data evaluation is performed as data becomes available. If an initial qualitative screening indicates that an analytical result is higher than the standard for a particular AoI, then the 30-day average is calculated immediately. The desired evaluation frequency is semi-monthly, within one

²⁰ The 30-day average for a particular day is calculated as a volume-weighted average of a 'window' of time containing the previous 30-days which had flow. Each day has its own discharge volume (measured at the location with a flow meter) and activity (analytical result from the sample in place at the end of that day). Therefore, there are 365 30-day moving averages for a location which flows all year (366 in a leap year). At locations which monitor pond discharges or have intermittent flows, 30-day averages are reported as averages of the previous 30 days of greater than zero flow. For days where no activity is available, either due to failed lab analysis or NSQ for analysis, no 30-day average is reported. The calculation of 30-day averages is discussed in detail in Section 0.

week of the 15th and last day of any given month. RFCA requires that DOE, RFFO inform regulators within 15 days of DOE, RFFO gaining knowledge (not just a suspicion) that an exceedance (verified) has (actually) occurred.

IF The volume-weighted 30-day moving average for any AoI in Stream Segment 4, as represented by samples from the specified RFCA POCs (i.e., terminal pond discharges and Indiana Street) exceeds the appropriate RFCA standard

THEN The Site must:

- Notify EPA, CDPHE, and either Broomfield or Westminster, whichever is affected;
- Submit a plan and schedule to evaluate for source location, and implement mitigating action if appropriate; and
- The Site may receive a notice of violation.

Table 6-45. POE Monitoring Analytical Data Evaluation.

ID Code	Evaluation Type ^a
GS11	30-Day Volume-Weighted Moving Averages; Loading Analysis
GS08	30-Day Volume-Weighted Moving Averages; Loading Analysis
GS31	30-Day Volume-Weighted Moving Averages; Loading Analysis
GS01	30-Day Volume-Weighted Moving Averages; Loading Analysis
GS03	30-Day Volume-Weighted Moving Averages; Loading Analysis

Notes: ^a Details on the evaluation of analytical results are given in Section .

6.9 NON-POINT OF COMPLIANCE MONITORING AT INDIANA STREET

The State has proposed to conduct this Non-POC monitoring as a prudent management action, and it is the intent of the RFCA parties that no enforcement action will be taken on the basis of this monitoring. There are several reasons to monitor for certain possible contaminants and nutrients in the water leaving the Site in both drainages. The actions to be taken on the basis of this monitoring are variable and may not be known until the monitoring results are available.

The CWQCC is moving toward waste load allocations for all segments of the Big Dry Creek drainage. Nutrient loadings generated by the Site are carried off Site via Walnut Creek, which can either bypass Great Western Reservoir or be directed into the reservoir. Water bypassing the reservoir enters Segment 1 of Big Dry Creek, which then flows into the South Platte River. The Broomfield water replacement project will result in changes to the quantity and quality of water that could enter Great Western Reservoir. For these reasons, it will be necessary to monitor nutrient loads leaving the Site under all three of these conditions:

- Water leaving the Site via Walnut Creek is 100% Site discharge (used and potentially contaminated by the Site before discharge from terminal ponds).
- Water leaving the Site via Walnut Creek is 100% natural stream flow (no pond discharge included).
- Water leaving the Site via Walnut Creek is a mixture of Site pond discharge and natural stream flow.

With the changes in flow configuration in the Woman Creek drainage, there is a need to monitor to determine new ambient levels for various analytes at monitoring station GS01. The results of these analyses will be used to determine what changes in water quality, if any, have occurred as a result of the new flow configuration.

6.9.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

The complete list of analytes (analyzed by CDPHE) are given in Table 6-46. Only the real-time parameters will be collected by the Site. Note that pH and temperature are needed to calculate un-ionized ammonia, and that the parties intend to drop monitoring for Be, Cd, Ag, and Cr in FY98 monitoring plan, unless FY97 monitoring results provide reasonable cause for concern. Nutrient analysis samples are grab samples. Un-ionized ammonia analyses are for samples from Walnut Creek at Indiana Street.

Table 6-46. Non-POC Monitoring Analytes and Parameters.

Total ammonia
Nitrite
Nitrate
Total phosphate as P
Orthophosphate
Be, Cd, Ag, Cr
Isotopic uranium
pH
Temperature
Conductivity
Flow

Non-POC monitoring is limited to Stream Segment 4, as represented by samples taken from Walnut Creek at Indiana Street and Woman Creek at Indiana Street (GS03 and GS01 respectively, see Figure 3-1).

Sampling for Non POC monitoring is performed by collecting grab samples. The recommended monitoring design detailed in the IMP is to take samples for FY98 as specified in Section 6.9.2. These samples will be collected by the State.

The source(s) of water at these locations during any sampling event must be identified. At different times, the water flowing off Site has differing composition of Site and natural stream flow. Samples will be scheduled so as to be representative of this variable composition.

Sample collection frequency will be as follows:

- Walnut Creek:
 - Five per year for 100% Site effluent
 - Five per year for mixed effluent and natural stream flow
 - Five per year for 100% natural stream flow
- Woman Creek
 - Five per year not during Pond C2 discharge
 - One per year during Pond C2 discharge

6.9.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

Table 6-47. Non POC Monitoring Locations.

ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry
GS01	Woman Creek and Indiana St.	9" Parshall Flume	Yes
GS03	Walnut Creek and Indiana St.	6" and 36" Parallel Parshall Flumes	Yes

Table 6-48. Non POC Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency.

ID Code	Parameters		
	Discharge	Real-Time pH and Conductivity	Precipitation
GS01	15-min continuous	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS03	15-min continuous	15-min continuous	15-min continuous

6.9.3 Data Evaluation

No specific data evaluations are required of the Site for this monitoring objective.

6.10 BUFFER ZONE HYDROLOGIC MONITORING

Some monitoring is performed to characterize interactions between the various environmental media. Possible interactions are presented in Table 7-1, which represents a conceptual model of integrated monitoring at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS or the Site). Some significant interactions that require decision making and data are presented below.

As indicated in Table 6-49, there are interactions between surface water, air, groundwater, and the flora and fauna of the Site. Concerns have been expressed that changes in flow into and out of the Site could impact habitat and species of concern both on Site and downstream (e.g., the Prebles meadow jumping mouse on Site, and whooping cranes in Nebraska). For example, aggregate mining activities west of the Site may alter surface water flowing onto the Site and could impact species of concern on Site and downstream. The Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Field Office (DOE, RFFO) could be held responsible for these impacts. Also, Site closure activities (e.g., closure of the Building 995 wastewater treatment plant and modification of the Interceptor Trench System) could significantly alter drainage and flow patterns. In fact, water is one of the key abiotic components structuring some of the significant habitats. Should the availability or quality of water be affected by upgradient off-Site activities or upgradient on-Site activities, significant habitats could be adversely affected.

Table 6-49. Interactions Between Media, Significance at RFETS, and Monitoring to Evaluate Interactions.

Interactions Between Media	Significance at RFETS	Monitoring to Evaluate Interactions
Surface Water to Ecology	Potentially significant; surface water flow and contamination could impact local ecology. However, the local ecology has remained healthy during a variety of climatic and flow conditions.	Data from existing Site-wide surface water monitoring may be used to assess potential ecological impacts. The ecological monitoring program is also designed to detect ecological changes and assess general ecological health. In addition, project-specific evaluations are conducted to assess potential impacts.
Surface Water to Groundwater	Not significant; groundwater recharge from surface water is not significant.	No monitoring is necessary to characterize or assess groundwater impacts.
Surface Water to Air	Not significant; surface water quality will not significantly impact air quality (i.e., cause exceedances of air quality standards).	Any significant impacts on air or water quality will be detected by existing DOE, CDPHE, and project-specific monitoring.
Surface Water to Soil	Potentially significant; water in drainages and ponds will not significantly increase contaminant concentrations in soil; however, runoff could spread contaminants on surface soils and increase sediment concentrations.	Soil monitoring is conducted to determine the impacts of surface water runoff and the extent of required soil removal before, during, and after individual remediation projects. Results of the actinide migration studies will be used to determine whether existing soil monitoring needs to be modified or expanded.
Groundwater to Surface Water	Significant; most of the Site groundwater flows into Site surface water drainages.	Existing surface water monitoring will detect any impacts from groundwater. Data from Site-wide groundwater monitoring (Site-wide and project-specific) is also used to assess and predict potential surface water impacts.
Air to Surface Water	Potentially significant; point source and fugitive emission sources could degrade surface water quality.	Surface water monitoring (Site-wide and project-specific) will detect increases in contaminant concentrations. Also, any significant impacts on air quality will be detected by existing DOE, CDPHE, and project-specific air monitoring.
Soil to Surface Water	Significant; contaminants in soils are transported to surface water via runoff and surface water quality is degraded.	Site-wide and project-specific surface water monitoring will detect increases in contaminant concentrations. Soil monitoring is also conducted to determine the impacts of runoff and the extent of required soil removal before, during, and after individual remediation projects. Results of the actinide migration studies will be used to determine whether existing soil monitoring needs to be modified or expanded.

In consideration of these potential impacts, watershed-level information is collected regarding water availability in the Buffer Zone. Current flow monitoring in the Buffer Zone, in addition to that performed under RFCA, is shown in Table 6-50. The flow data are collected at 15-minute intervals, downloaded, and compiled monthly. However, data quality objectives (DQOs) for this monitoring have

not yet been developed, and data evaluation to assess ecological impacts has not yet been initiated. Site-specific relationships between water availability and ecological health are not yet known. Therefore, additional data, currently uncollected, could be required (e.g., accurate information on purchased water, data on exfiltration and infiltration of underground pipes, additional water-quality parameters, and data on alluvial flow through the Buffer Zone habitats of concern).

6.10.1 Data Types, Frequency, and Collection Protocols

Buffer Zone (BZ) hydrologic monitoring will be performed only as represented by GS01, GS02, GS03, GS04, GS05, GS06, GS16, SW118, and SW134 (see Figure 3-1).

Sampling at BZ stations is performed by collecting storm-event, rising-limb, flow-paced composites. The recommended monitoring design detailed in the IMP is to take samples for FY98 as specified in Table 6-52.

6.10.2 FY98 Monitoring Scope

Table 6-50. BZ Hydrologic Monitoring Locations.

ID Code	Location	Primary Flow Measurement Device	Telemetry
GS01	Woman Creek and Indiana St.	9" Parshall Flume	Yes
GS02	Mower Ditch and Indiana St.	9" Parshall Flume	No
GS03	Walnut Creek and Indiana St.	6" and 36" Parallel Parshall Flumes	Yes
GS04	Rock Creek at Rte. 128	9" Parshall Flume	Yes
GS05	North Woman Creek at West Site Boundary	9" Parshall Flume	No
GS06	South Woman Creek at West Site Boundary	6" Parshall Flume	No
GS16	Antelope Springs	6" Parshall Flume	No
SW118	N. Walnut Creek W of Portal 3	169.5° V-Notch Weir	Yes
SW134	Gravel Pits on Rock Creek Near West Site Boundary	6" Parshall Flume	Yes

Table 6-51. BZ Hydrologic Field Data Collection: Parameters and Frequency.

ID Code	Parameter	
	Discharge	Precipitation
GS01	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS02	15-min continuous	NA
GS03	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS04	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS05	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
GS06	15-min continuous	NA
GS16	15-min continuous	NA
SW118	15-min continuous	15-min continuous
SW134	15-min continuous	NA

Table 6-52. BZ Hydrologic Sample Collection Protocols.

ID Code	Frequency	Type ^a
GS01	Quarterly with an additional TSS in spring	Storm-event, flow-paced composites
GS02	NA	NA
GS03	Quarterly with an additional TSS in spring	Storm-event, flow-paced composites
GS04	Quarterly with an additional TSS in spring	Storm-event, flow-paced composites
GS05	Quarterly with an additional TSS in spring	Storm-event, flow-paced composites
GS06	Quarterly with an additional TSS in spring	Storm-event, flow-paced composites
GS16	NA	NA
SW118	NA	NA
SW134	Quarterly	Storm-event, flow-paced composites

Notes: ^a Sample types are defined in Section 7.2.2.2.

Table 6-53 BZ Hydrologic Analytical Targets (Analyses per Year).

ID Code	TSS	Sed/Sand	Ca,Mg,Na,K,Cl,F,SO ₄ ,HCO ₃
GS01	5	4	4
GS03	5	4	4
GS04	5	4	4
GS05	5	4	4
GS06	5	4	4
SW134	4	4	4

Table 6-54. POC Telemetry Data Collection.

ID Code	Parameter	
	Hydrologic	Sampling
GS01	flow; precipitation	sampler status (full or waiting)
GS03	flow; precipitation	sampler status (full or waiting)
GS04	flow; precipitation	sampler status (full or waiting)
SW118	flow; precipitation	
SW134	flow	sampler status (full or waiting)

6.10.3 Data Evaluation

Although no routine data evaluations are required, the following preliminary decision rules have been proposed by the IMP:

- IF The seasonal average or yearly average water availability or quality entering Rock Creek, Walnut Creek, or Woman Creek drainages diminishes below baseline due to off-Site activities,

- THEN The Site will notify Jefferson County and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to determine what actions, if any, should be taken to restore availability and/or quality to historical levels.
- IF Activities occurring within Site boundaries result in a depletion of the seasonal or yearly average natural flow greater than the historic baseline, or at rates that are determined to have a negative impact on downstream habitats or individual species,
- THEN The Site will determine what management actions should be taken to ameliorate this problem.
- IF Significant changes to alluvial groundwater availability in a wetlands habitat are determined,
- THEN Notify parties of potential impacts to the wetlands habitat and continue groundwater and ecological monitoring.
- IF A proposed action could adversely affect a listed species or its critical habitat,
- THEN The Site will consult with the USFWS.

Secondary Data Uses Could Include:

- Determining the impact of mining on Rock Creek water quality and availability;
- Interpreting potential causes of declines in any of the valued habitats on Site;
- Supporting water management planning;
- Evaluating cumulative impacts of all actions (on and off Site);
- Validating any predicted impacts of the selected alternative to downstream resources; and
- Supporting the Site's biological assessment and USFWS's biological opinion.

7. GENERAL MONITORING TASKS

All tasks completed for this activity shall be in compliance with the requirements of RFCA, the IA IM/IRA, and the Site IMP. These documents specify monitoring locations, data requirements for each location, and the administrative framework for using the data to make management decisions related to surface water at the Site. Generally, there are three fundamental tasks associated with the implementation of the Site automated surface-water monitoring program. These are: 1) monitoring station installation (instrumentation deployment and testing); 2) data and sample collection (monitoring station operation and maintenance); and 3) data evaluation and reporting.

7.1 MONITORING STATION INSTALLATION

7.1.1 Task Descriptions

Site personnel shall install and/or upgrade instrumentation at the monitoring locations shown in Figure 3-1.²¹ Each station will generally consist of an ISCO® flow meter controlling an ISCO® portable automated sampler. Sampler intakes must be positioned such that representative samples are collected at each station.²² A Geomation® remote radio telemetry system will be used to transmit data in real-time from

²¹ New locations not shown in Figure 3-1 will also be installed and maintained by Site personnel.

²² Intakes are positioned to collect only water that flowed through the flow-control structure. The intakes must be secured high enough off the streambed so as not to collect non-representative sediment quantities, but low enough to

certain stations to Site personnel and other potential users of the transmitted data. Certain locations will also be equipped with dedicated multi-parameter water quality probes capable of transmitting and/or logging data. Water-quality probes must be positioned such that they collect representative data and remain wet at all times.²³ Certain locations will also be equipped with dedicated precipitation gages. Precipitation gages must be installed such that nearby structures do not interfere with precipitation collection. Power for the instrumentation will be provided by AC line power, where available, with battery backup. Where AC power is unavailable, solar/DC power systems will be used. Each station will have a primary flow-control structure. The flow-control structures may be existing culverts or concrete stormwater conveyance structures. However, in most cases, flumes or weirs will be purchased and/or fabricated for installation in natural stream channels and ditches, or fastened to existing concrete or metal stormwater conveyance structures.

The installation task may require minor hand excavation of channel banks and beds for installation of the flow-control structure in ditches or natural channels. All construction and soil disturbance permit requirements will be fulfilled prior to installation. For fastening of flow-control structures to existing structures, a rotary hammer or carbide-tip steel drill may be used to drill holes in the structures for attachment of the flow-control structure by either lag screws or expansion bolts. Alternatively, more temporary flow-control structures may be installed by simply using tarps and sandbags to secure a flume in a channel and ensure that all runoff enters the flume. Each location will require a unique application of flow-control structure and means for securing the structure in place. For excavation applications, an areal impact of no more than 15 square feet is expected per site.

After the flow-control structure is installed, it will be instrumented with the monitoring equipment. The equipment is then programmed and performance checked to complete the installation.

7.1.2 Types of Data Collected

Detailed specifications for each monitoring station shall be recorded in a field log book. Instrument programming information, field observations, repair/modification records, and calibration records shall also be recorded in a field log book. Discharge ratings will be generated for each flow-control structure. Locations will be surveyed using a global positioning system (GPS). Existing flow-control structures will have relative elevations surveyed annually to ensure proper operation.

7.1.3 Records Produced

Quality Assurance records produced as part of this activity are:

1. Field log books
2. Photographs of station installations
3. Discharge ratings

7.1.4 Applicable Instructions and Methods

All flow-control structures, ISCO® instrumentation, water quality probes, telemetry nodes and power equipment shall be installed, programmed, and performance checked per the manufacturer's instructions. Programming and calibration of the ISCO® equipment shall be done in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions which also are referenced in Surface Water SOPs: SW.10 *Event-Related Surface Water Sampling* and SW.11 *Operation and Maintenance of Stream-Gaging and Sampling Stations*.

be submerged during near zero flow rates. Attempts are also made such that intake position minimizes the effects of winter freezing conditions.

²³ Probes must be positioned in the flow path, but in a location such that they will not be damaged by high flows. At locations which are dry during some periods, special flow-through sump systems must be constructed such that the probes remain wet between direct runoff periods.

Additional resources are as follows:

Grant, D.M., 1992, *ISCO Open Channel Flow Measurement Handbook*, Third Edition, ISCO Environmental Division, Lincoln, Nebraska.

Kennedy, E.J., 1984, *Techniques of Water Resource Investigations of the United States Geological Survey, Chapter A10 Discharge Ratings at Gaging Stations*, Book 3 Applications of Hydraulics, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Government Printing Office, Alexandria, Virginia.

Rantz, S.E., 1982, *Measurement and Computation of Streamflow: Volume 1. Measurement of Stage and Discharge*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2175, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Rantz, S.E., 1982. *Measurement and Computation of Streamflow: Volume 2. Computation of Discharge*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2175, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

7.1.5 Required Resources

One to two qualified people (Section 5.2) will be required to install each monitoring station. Common hand tools, power tools, and supplies will be required to install the flow-control structures. Examples of these are:

- rubber tarp material
- 1/2" neoprene rubber gasket material
- 50 lb. - 70 lb. sand bags
- 1/4" to 3/8" nuts, bolts, and washers
- 1/4" plywood
- 2" x 4" lumber
- 4" x 4" lumber
- hose clamps
- plastic wire ties
- plumber's putty
- hand level
- tape measure
- saw
- cordless drill
- screw drivers
- wrenches / pliers
- shovels
- pick-ax

Installation of the ISCO equipment usually is accomplished using screwdrivers, wrenches, and pliers with little need for other equipment.

7.1.6 Data Quality

Monitoring station installation must be adequately documented to ensure flow control structures meet specifications and provide quality flow records. Written notes documenting the specifications for each flow-control structure, including dimensions, relative elevations, and photographs showing the completed monitoring station are required to document that the monitoring station record is scientifically defensible.

7.1.7 Work Product Objectives

The product of this project is a network of fully functional automated surface-water monitoring stations. Forty-one locations²⁴ currently are scheduled for operation in Fiscal Year 1998. These include instrumentation of 7 IDLH locations, 3 POC/IDLH locations, 2 POC/BZ locations, 1 POE/NSD location, 2 POE/NSD/IDLH locations, 2 NSD locations, 6 Source Location locations, 1 Source Location/Performance location, 1 Source Location/BZ location, 3 Performance locations, 4 AdHoc locations, 6 BZ locations, and 3 telemetry repeater nodes.

7.1.8 Acceptable Criteria

The monitoring stations must be properly installed and fully functional as timely as practical to meet desired monitoring goals. Flow control structures must be as level, plumb, and leak free as practical. Instrumentation must be powered, performance checked, and recording representative data. The equipment must be secured and sealed to prevent weather and animal damage to the equipment and to minimize the potential for tampering.

7.1.9 Applicable Software

The ISCO® monitoring equipment operates on proprietary Flowlink 3 software. Geomation® telemetry operates with proprietary Geonet software. YSI® water quality probes operate with proprietary EcoWatch software. Hydrolab® water quality probes operate with any standard terminal emulation software; SW uses SmartTerm 340. This software operates on a lap-top computer which shall be used to program the instrumentation and download data from the field.

7.2 DATA COLLECTION

7.2.1 Types of Data

The types of data that the stations shall collect are as follows may include the following depending on the location-specific monitoring objective:

1. Continuous record of stream stage (later converted to discharge) on 15-minute intervals²⁵.
2. Stormwater runoff sample record, indicating date and time of the collection of individual grab samples that are composited in the sample bottles. Data also includes the sample flow pacing, enable levels for storm-event samples, grab volumes, and missed grab samples (including likely cause, i.e. frozen intakes).
3. Routine instrument inspection and calibration notes recorded in field log books.²⁶

²⁴ This includes 33 gaging stations and 8 telemetry nodes collecting pond information and precipitation data. Five of these telemetry nodes are included in the IDLH section (6.1); the other 3 are repeater nodes (ID codes RPTR, RPTR2, RPTR3) which collect precipitation data.

²⁵ Five-minute stage data is also collected where flow meter memory capabilities permit. This higher resolution data can be used for more detailed data evaluations; all routine evaluations use the 15-minute data.

²⁶ Flow meters are adjusted to match staff gages on an as needed basis. Precipitation gages are performance checked quarterly. Water-quality probes are removed from the field monthly for a performance check and adjustment in the

4. Continuous record at 15-minute intervals of water quality parameters.
5. Continuous record at 5- or 15-minute intervals of precipitation.²⁷
6. Crest stage indicator data to allow for flow estimates when the capacity of the primary structure is exceeded.
7. After sample analyses are returned from the laboratories, data retrievals from the Rocky Flats Soil and Water Database (SWD) may be obtained on magnetic media for subsequent evaluation and reporting.²⁸ The analytical methods that shall be used for the RFETS automated surface-water monitoring program are shown in Table 7-1.

Table 7-1. RFETS Automated Surface Water Monitoring Network Analyte List, Preservation and Containerization Requirements.

Class of Analytes	Volume Required for Analysis	Preservative	Container
Total Radionuclides (Pu, U, Am) or (Pu, Am) Alpha Spectrometry	4 Liters	Nitric Acid to pH<2	Polyethylene
Tritium Alpha Scintillation	250 ml	None, 4°C	Clear Glass
Total Metals (Be, Cr) ICP	1 Liter	Nitric Acid to pH<2	Polyethylene
Dissolved Metals (Cd, Ag) AA	1 Liter	Nitric Acid to pH<2	Polyethylene
Hardness as CaCO ₃	1 Liter	Nitric Acid to pH<2	Polyethylene
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	1 Liter	None, 4°C	Polyethylene
Nitrate/Nitrite as N	250 ml	Sulfuric Acid to pH<2	Polyethylene
CLP Metals, Total ICP	1 Liter	Nitric Acid to pH<2	Polyethylene
Cl ⁻ , F ⁻ , SO ₄ ²⁻ , HCO ₃ ⁻ /CO ₃ ²⁻ , TSS, TDS	1 Liter	None, 4°C	Polyethylene
Sand/Sediment split	1 Liter	None, 4°C	Polyethylene
Rad screen	120 ml	None, 4°C	Polyethylene

7.2.2 Task Descriptions

7.2.2.1 Flow and Precipitation Data Collection

The monitoring stations begin collecting flow data immediately upon installation. The flow meters log stream stage continuously, storing data points on 15-minute intervals. Five-minute stage data is also collected where flow meter memory capabilities permit. This higher resolution data can be used for

laboratory to at least manufacturers recommendations. Equipment may be performance checked and adjusted, repaired, or replaced as needed based on data trends and professional judgment.

²⁷ Precipitation gages are positioned across the Site to collect representative sitewide variations and allow for areal precipitation calculations.

²⁸ Analytical data is often received in hard-copy format and hand entered for evaluation.

52

more detailed data evaluations; all routine evaluations use the 15-minute data. Particular care is needed during winter freezing conditions to prevent damage to the flow meters. This may involve temporary suspension of data collection until more favorable weather conditions resume.

Crest stage indicator data is collected at selected locations to allow for flow estimates when the capacity of the primary structure is exceeded.

Continuous record at 5- or 15-minute intervals of precipitation is collected at selected locations. Precipitation gages are positioned across the Site to collect representative sitewide variations and allow for areal precipitation calculations. Precipitation gages are performance checked quarterly to manufacturer's recommendations. Precipitation equipment may be performance checked, repaired, or replaced as needed based on data trends and professional judgment.

Routine weekly inspection and maintenance of the monitoring stations is required to detect leaks or damage to the flow-control structures, troubleshoot problems with the instrumentation, and provide calibration notes for subsequent computation of the discharge records for each station.²⁹ Flow meters are adjusted to match staff gages on an as needed basis. Flow measurement equipment may be performance checked, repaired, or replaced as needed based on data trends and professional judgment.

At least once a month, the flow and precipitation data shall be downloaded from the flow meters to a lap-top PC. These data shall then be transferred to a desktop PC for compilation and evaluation using Microsoft Excel. All data is backed-up to multiple electronic media to avoid accidental data loss. Many of the collected data are also logged via telemetry as a secondary (redundant) data collection platform.

7.2.2.2 Sample Collection

Location-specific sample collection protocols are detailed in the Site IMP and also given above in Section 6. The telemetry system will centrally monitor the status of field samplers. For sites without telemetry, professional judgment and precipitation data will be used to determine if a composite is likely to have been collected. Stations determined to have completed composites will be visited for collection, and the data from the flow meter will be recorded and downloaded electronically to a lap-top PC to obtain the sampling interval information. Information regarding missed grabs³⁰, flow-pacing, and grab sample volume is recorded directly from the sampler to field logbooks. Information regarding enable levels is recorded directly from the flow meter to field logbooks.

The sample shall be removed from the sampler, capped, and taken to Advanced Sciences Inc. (ASI) for sample preparation, shipment, and tracking.³¹ A clean sample bottle will then be placed in the sampler for the next targeted sample.³² Since some composite samples will remain in the field during filling for as long as a month, samples will be acidified in the container to a pH<2 following collection from the field. The acidified samples will be allowed to sit for 24 hours to remove any constituents which may have sorbed to the inside-surface of the container.³³

²⁹ The telemetry system is also used to detect equipment malfunctions prior to an actual field visit. Receipt of anomalous data initiates a field visit.

³⁰ Missed grab samples may be caused by equipment malfunction or the freezing of sample intake lines. Some locations employ self-regulating heat tape systems where AC power is available. Freeze-protection systems are scheduled for installation at all POC locations in FY98/99.

³¹ ASI prepares, ships, and track all samples according to the applicable Site QA/QC documents.

³² ASI is responsible for the cleaning of sample bottles according to Site QA/QC requirements. All locations have dedicated sample bottles to reduce the risk of cross-contamination. Sample bottle cleaning tools are also dedicated for POCs. Clean sample bottles waiting for deployment are stored in the Surface Water field trailer.

³³ For analyses which can not be acidified prior to analysis (i.e. TSS), the required volume is removed from the sample bottle prior to acidification.

Sample Types

Continuous Flow-Paced Composite Samples

Continuous flow-paced samples are composite samples collected during all flow conditions. Automated samplers collect grab samples year-round at all times. When a composite sample is removed from the sampler for analysis, the next composite sample starts filling immediately. The composite sample consists of multiple grab samples³⁴ which are flow-paced. In other words, one grab sample is collected in the sample bottle each time a specified volume of stream discharge is measured by the flow meter. Figure 7-1 shows a portion of a hydrograph during a composite sample which received a grab sample every 4,390 cubic feet of stream discharge. The chosen flow pace depends on expected stream discharge, the composite volume desired, and the desired composite sampling time period.³⁵ Details on the method used to determine the desired flow pace are given in the following section.

Ideally, by flow-pacing composite samples and effectively collecting more frequent grabs during higher flow rates, an analytical result (concentration [e.g. mg/L] or activity [e.g. pCi/L]) that is representative of the entire sampling period is obtained. This result can then be used with the corresponding discharge volume to calculate a constituent load.

This sampling protocol is currently utilized at POCs, POEs, and Source Location monitoring locations.




Figure 7-1. Example of Hydrograph Showing Continuous Flow-Paced Composite Sampling.

³⁴ Current grab sample volume for continuous flow-paced composite samples is 200ml. This volume was chosen to maximize the number of grabs while achieving adequate repeatability. ISCO® samplers have a sample volume repeatability of ± 10 ml. Therefore, a volume error of $\pm 5\%$ can be expected.

³⁵ The Site IMP specifies the targeted composite sample collection frequency for each monitoring location.

Storm-Event Rising-Limb Flow-Paced Composite Samples

Storm-event, rising-limb, flow-paced samples are composite samples collected during the initial 'first flush' conditions during a direct runoff event. The automated samplers are programmed to wait for direct runoff conditions at all times year-round. When the flow meter measures a predetermined increase in flow rate (manually set as the sampler 'enable level')³⁶, the sampler begins collecting grab samples. Although the samplers will collect samples for all runoff events, not all samples are retained for analysis. Professional judgment is used to select representative samples for analysis.³⁷ When a composite sample is removed from the sampler to be submitted for analysis (or to be discarded), the sampler is reset to wait for the next runoff event.

The composite sample consists of 15 grab samples³⁸ which are flow-paced. In other words, one grab sample is collected in the sample bottle each time a specified volume of stream discharge is measured by the flow meter. Figure 7-2 shows a hydrograph during a rising-limb storm-event sample which received a grab sample every 200 cubic feet of stream discharge. The chosen flow pace depends on expected stream discharge during the rising limb, such that the 15 grab samples are collected. Details on the method used to determine the desired flow pace are given in the following section.

This sampling protocol is currently utilized at Performance, Buffer Zone, and selected NSD monitoring locations.




Figure 7-2. Example of Hydrograph Showing Rising-Limb Flow-Paced Composite Sampling.

³⁶ The enable level is chosen based on professional judgment, considering the seasonal runoff conditions expected for a particular location. The intent is to begin sampling at the first indication of direct runoff. This can either be some level above normal baseflow, or when an ephemeral location first measures runoff.

³⁷ The intent is to collect samples according to the sampling frequencies targeted by the IMP and given in Section 6. For many of the current locations, this frequency is one per month. Samples are also selected for analysis with the intent to sample a range of rising-limb runoff rates, extreme events (i.e. very large precipitation events), and events where samplers at multiple locations enabled for the same runoff event.

³⁸ Current grab sample volume for storm-event rising limb flow-paced composite samples is 500-1000ml. This location-specific volume is chosen to obtain the required volume of sample based on the location-specific analyte suites.

Storm-Event Flow-Paced Composite Samples

Storm-event flow-paced samples are composite samples collected during the entire direct runoff event. The automated samplers are programmed to wait for direct runoff conditions year-round at all times. When the flow meter measures a predetermined increase in flow rate (manually set as the sampler 'enable level')³⁹, the sampler begins collecting grab samples. Although the samplers will collect samples for all runoff events, not all samples are retained for analysis. Professional judgment is used to select representative samples for analysis.⁴⁰ When a composite sample is removed from the sampler to be submitted for analysis (or to be discarded), the sampler is reset to wait for the next runoff event.

The composite sample consists of 15 grab samples⁴¹ which are flow-paced. In other words, one grab sample is collected in the sample bottle each time a specified volume of stream discharge is measured by the flow meter. The chosen flow pace depends on expected stream discharge during the direct-runoff period, such that the 15 grab samples are collected. Details on the method used to determine the desired flow pace are given in the following section.

This sampling protocol is currently utilized only at AdHoc monitoring locations that support the Actinide Migration Studies.

Flow Pacing of Automated Samplers

Continuous Flow-Paced Composite Samples

The chosen flow pacing for a composite sample must satisfy the following criteria:

- The composite sample should fill during the specified time period as determined by the sample collection frequencies targeted by the Site IMP.⁴²
- The collected sample volume must be adequate such that the location-specific analytes can be analyzed at the lab.⁴³

The following steps are used to determine the appropriate flow pace for a continuously collected composite sample.

1. The time period that the sample is targeted must be obtained from the Site IMP.
For example, the IMP targets 4 composite samples for the month of May at GS10 (Table 6-34).
2. The expected discharge volume for the targeted time period must then be calculated using historic flow record.⁴⁴ For locations without any historic flow record, professional judgment, estimations

³⁹ The enable level is chosen in the field using professional judgment such that sampling begins at the first indication of direct runoff (e.g. when an ephemeral location first measures runoff).

⁴⁰ The intent is to collect samples based on the objective of the monitoring location. Samples are also selected for analysis with the intent to sample a range of runoff rates, extreme events (i.e. very large precipitation events), and events where samplers at multiple locations enabled for the same runoff event.

⁴¹ Current grab sample volume for storm-event flow-paced composite samples is 500-1000ml. This location-specific volume is chosen to obtain the required volume of sample based on the location-specific analyte suites.

⁴² Samples are flow-paced as discussed previously. Consequently, samples may fill in periods shorter than the targeted period when flow rates are significantly higher than normal. Similarly, samplers may not fill during the targeted period if flow rates are significantly lower than predicted by historical flow record.

⁴³ Specific analyses each require some minimum volume of sample for analysis. Therefore, the minimum required sample volume depends on the location-specific analyte suite.

⁴⁴ All available flow record after 10/1/92 is used. The period of record depends on monitoring location.

related to basin size, and/or record at upstream/downstream locations are used to determine expected discharge volumes.

For example, at GS10 the expected discharge volume for May is 5.69 Mgals. In order to collect 4 samples for the month, 1 sample is collected for every 1.42 Mgals.

3. The targeted number of 200ml grab samples for the composite sample is then determined. The targeted number of grabs is set between the minimum required sample volume⁴³, and the maximum which can be contained in the sample bottle. This allows for variation in total measured discharge (from the expected discharge based on historic record), while still collecting the composite sample in the targeted time period.

For example, at GS10 the composite sample bottles can contain a maximum of 22 liters, and the minimum required sample volume for analysis is 7.2 liters. Consequently, the sampler at GS10 is programmed to collect sixty 200ml grab samples for a composite sample volume of 12 liters.

4. The expected discharge volume is then divided by the targeted number of grab samples to obtain a discharge volume per grab sample. This is the flow pace for the composite sample.

Continuing the GS10 example, collecting 60 grab samples for a stream discharge of 1.42 Mgals gives a flow pace of 23,667 gallons per grab sample.

Storm-Event and Storm-Event Rising-Limb Flow-Paced Composite Samples

The chosen flow pacing for a composite sample must satisfy the following criteria:

- The composite sample should fill during the specified time period (i.e. during the rising limb or the entire runoff hydrograph as appropriate).

Professional judgment is used in the field to select the flow pace needed to collect a sample during the targeted period. Seasonal adjustments are applied to define the conditions that represent first flush and direct runoff.

7.2.2.3 Real-Time Water Quality Data Collection

At the NSD and terminal pond POC locations, continuous records at 15-minute intervals of pH, conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity are required by the IMP. The telemetry system will be used to provide real-time alarms, and 15-minute interval data will be downloaded from the probes on a monthly basis.

At the Indiana Street POC locations, continuous records at 15-minute intervals of pH and conductivity are required by the IMP. Real-time data acquisition is not required, and 15-minute interval data will be downloaded from the probes on a monthly basis.

These water-quality probes must remain wet at all times. For locations that are intermittently dry, probes shall be kept wet using reservoirs of tap water connected to instream sumps housing the probes. Stormwater flow will flush the sumps to allow for measurement of parameters during runoff.

Water quality probes begin collecting location specific parameter data on 15-minute intervals upon installation. Particular care is needed during winter freezing conditions to prevent damage to the probes. This may involve temporary suspension of data collection until more favorable weather conditions resume.

Water-quality probes are removed from the field monthly for a performance check and adjustment in the laboratory to at least manufacturer's recommendations. Equipment may be performance checked, repaired, or replaced as needed based on data trends and professional judgment.

7.2.2.4 Telemetry Data Collection

The telemetry system will centrally monitor the status of the majority of monitoring locations. Telemetry is a valuable tool for the efficient operation of extensive automated monitoring networks, significantly reducing the amount of time-consuming field visitation. The system is fully flexible to allow for changing management needs. The data transmission needs are determined by the Site personnel responsible for the operation and maintenance of the monitoring network. The telemetry system also serves as a secondary data-collection platform for management decision support.⁴⁵

Telemetry provides real-time information routinely used to manage systems such as the Site detention ponds. Data streams for pond operations include pond water elevation, inflow/outflow rates, and piezometer levels. Flow and precipitation data are also transmitted for network operation decision-making support and to confirm proper operation of flow meters. Real-time water-quality alarms are transmitted to notify personnel of anomalous conditions which may be indicative of acute or chronic degradation of Site surface waters.

Similarly, telemetry provides the status of automated sampling equipment. Since the rate of sample collection is determined by the weather (i.e. runoff volumes from precipitation events control sampling rate), real-time sampler status transmission greatly reduces the need for field visitation. Data streams include storm-event sampler status (enabled or waiting) and current composite sampler volume (i.e. the number of grab samples and the corresponding bottle volume).

7.2.3 Records Produced

Working records produced from the data-collection task shall be kept by SW in building T893A. These records include the following items.

1. Field log books
2. Paper strip charts from flow meters
3. Electronic data from the flow meters, water quality probes, precipitation gages, and telemetry system on magnetic media
4. Chain of Custody forms for sample shipment/disposition
5. Sample Collection forms (SWD)

7.2.4 Applicable Instructions and Methods

Data collection procedures are further outlined in Site SOPs and instrumentation manufacturer instruction manuals. The applicable Site SOPs are as follows.

- 5-21000-OPS-SW.02 - *Field Parameter Measurement*
- 5-21000-OPS-SW.03 - *Surface Water Sampling*
- 5-21000-OPS-SW.04 - *Discharge Measurement*
- 5-21000-OPS-SW.10 - *Event-Related Surface-Water Sampling*
- 5-21000-OPS-SW.11 - *Operation and Maintenance of Stream-Gaging and Sampling Stations*
- 5-21000-OPS-FO.03 - *General Equipment Decontamination*
- 5-21000-OPS-FO.05 - *Base Laboratory Work*
- 5-21000-OPS-FO.06 - *Handling of Personal Protective Equipment*

⁴⁵ Primary data collection of most data is performed by field downloading the data directly from the instrumentation. The telemetry also serves as a redundant data collection system should field instrumentation memory stores malfunction.

- 5-21000-OPS-FO.07 - *Handling of Decontamination Water and Wash Water*
- 5-21000-OPS-FO.11 - *Field Communications*
- 5-21000-OPS-FO.13 - *Containerization, Preserving, Handling, and Shipping of Soil and Water Samples*
- 5-21000-OPS-FO.14 - *Field Data Management*
- 5-21000-OPS-FO.19 - *Base Laboratory Work*

The applicable manufacturer manuals are as follows.

- ISCO, Inc., 1989, *Instruction Manual Model 3210 Ultrasonic Open Channel Flow Meter*, Rev. E, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, June.
- ISCO, Inc., 1989, *Instruction Manual Model 3220 Flow Meter*, Rev. F, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, December.
- ISCO, Inc., 1990, *Instruction Manual Model 3230 Flow Meter*, Rev. C, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, May.
- ISCO, Inc., 1994, *Instruction Manual Model 4230 Flow Meter*, Rev. C, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, June.
- ISCO, Inc., 1994, *FLOWLINK3 Tutorial*, Rev. C, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, July.
- ISCO, Inc., 1994, *Instruction Manual Model 4220 Flow Meter*, Rev. C, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, June.
- ISCO, Inc., 1984, *Instruction Manual Model 2700 Sampler*, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, November.
- ISCO, Inc., 1990, *Model 3700R/ 3740 Refrigerated Sampler Instruction Manual*, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, June.
- ISCO, Inc., 1990, *Model 3700 Portable Sampler Instruction Manual*, Rev. C, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, October.
- ISCO, Inc., 1992, *Model 3710 Portable Sampler Instruction Manual*, Rev. D, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, March.
- ISCO, Inc., 1993, *Instruction Manual Model 6000 Sampler*, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, December.
- ISCO, Inc., 1994, *3710 Portable Sampler Instruction Manual*, Rev. K, ISCO, Inc., Nebraska, April.
- Hydrolab Corporation, 1994, *Recorder Water Quality Multiprobe Logger Operating Manual*, Hydrolab Corporation, Texas, May.
- Orion. 1991. *Model 93-07 Nitrate Electrode Instruction Manual*. Orion Research Incorporated. Boston, MA.
- pHoenix Electrode Company. 1997. *Nitrate Ion Selective Electrodes Instruction Manual*. pHoenix Electrode Company. Houston, TX.
- YSI, Inc., 1996, *6000UPG Multi-Parameter Water Quality Monitor Instruction Manual*, Yellow Springs Instruments Inc., Ohio.
- Geomation Measurement and Control Systems, Inc., 1992, *Geonet Operation Reference*, Geomation, Inc., Colorado, November.

Other applicable resources are as follows.

Chow, V.T., Maidment, D.R., and L.W. Mays, 1988, *Applied Hydrology*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, New York.

Grant, D.M., 1992, *ISCO Open Channel Flow Measurement Handbook*, Third Edition, ISCO Environmental Division, Lincoln, Nebraska.

Kennedy, E.J., 1984, *Techniques of Water Resource Investigations of the United States Geological Survey, Chapter A10 Discharge Ratings at Gaging Stations*, Book 3 Applications of Hydraulics, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Government Printing Office, Alexandria, Virginia.

Rantz, S.E., 1982, *Measurement and Computation of Streamflow: Volume 1. Measurement of Stage and Discharge*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2175, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Rantz, S.E., 1982. *Measurement and Computation of Streamflow: Volume 2. Computation of Discharge*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2175, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Water Measurement Manual, Revised Reprint, 1984, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Government Printing Office, Denver, Colorado.

7.2.5 Required Resources

Three qualified field personnel shall be available within SW to operate and maintain the monitoring locations. Two people are needed to perform routine inspection of the locations. One additional person is needed to be an alternate location inspector and to provide support for sampling events which require a significant amount of work to collect samples from the samplers and refill the samplers with clean containers. Site sampling subcontractor personnel will be used to containerize and ship samples obtained from the composite sample containers and upload sample tracking data to the SWD database.

The use of government vehicles and two-way radios will be required for routine station inspection and maintenance. Vehicles and two-way communication equipment shall be provided by the Site.

Examples of equipment requirements for the data-collection task are as follows.

1. ISCO equipment supplies: desiccant cartridges, strip chart paper, fuses, spare parts, etc.
2. Lap-top PC with programming software and interrogator cables
3. Field log books, pens, markers
4. 15- or 22-Liter composite bottles (with lids)
5. Personal protective equipment (steel-toe boots, coveralls, safety glasses, insect repellent, sunscreen, rain gear, sunglasses)
6. Multimeter
7. Sample collection forms
8. Labels / labeling tape
9. Tape measure
10. Hand level
11. PC with Excel software and a laser printer
12. Pressurizing water sprayer
13. Deionized water
14. Buffers and standards for probe calibration
15. Field pH, nitrate, and conductivity meters
16. Office and base laboratory space

Consumable field equipment and supplies will need to be procured for each FY. Equipment may also need to be procured to support increased scope (i.e. new location) and replace broken (unfixable) or obsolete units.

7.2.6 Data Quality

Field data must be of sufficient quality to make management decisions concerning Site surface water. Field and analytical data must be scientifically defensible and consistent with sound scientific principles and standards for data collection and evaluation. Data quality objectives are specified in the *Surface Water Data Management Plan*.

The analytical methods that will be used to obtain data for chemical constituent concentration or activity are shown in Table 7-1. These methods imply detection limits which are of the appropriate degree of sensitivity to determine if the presence and concentration (or activity) of constituents in the surface water samples are indicative of influence by Site activities. All metals, radionuclides, and organic analyte data may eventually be validated by an independent data validator through the Kaiser-Hill Analytical Services Division unless the users of the data specifically request in writing to SW to forego validation.

At least 10% of the chemical analysis data shall be quality control samples to ascertain reproducibility of analytical results and evaluate the potential for cross-contamination between samples collected by the automated samplers and composited in the dedicated sample containers. Duplicate samples shall be submitted to ascertain field and analytical reproducibility. Equipment rinsewater samples will be analyzed to evaluate potential cross-contamination.

7.2.7 Work Product Objectives

The work products resulting from this task are the data required to satisfy the requirements of the monitoring decision rules outlined in the IMP and the other project plans which call for surface-water monitoring. The collected data must also comply with the needs of the appropriate regulatory requirements such as RFCA.

7.2.8 Acceptable Criteria

SW personnel will evaluate the data to determine its reliability. Criteria applicable to acceptable data collected under this task are as follows.

1. Flow, precipitation, and water-quality parameter data is collected using industry standard criteria, manufacturers recommendations, and according to the resources given in Section 7.2.4.
2. Analytical data should be "non-rejected" as determined by the data validator or SW personnel, and hold-times for sample analysis should not be exceeded.
3. Recorded field notes should be clear and concise.

7.2.9 Applicable Software

The ISCO monitoring equipment operates on proprietary Flowlink 3 software. Geomatics telemetry operates with proprietary Geonet software. YSI water quality probes operate with proprietary EcoWatch software. Hydrolab water quality probes operate with any standard terminal emulation software; SW uses SmartTerm 340. This software will operate on a lap-top computer which will be used to program the instrumentation and download data from the field. Microsoft Excel is needed to perform data-reduction tasks for the stream stage / discharge data and is required to work with the chemical analysis data obtained from SWD.

7.3 DATA EVALUATION AND REPORTING

7.3.1 Types of Data and Reports

A description of the data collected for this task can be found in Section 7.2 of this work plan. These data will be the subject of interpretive evaluations detailed in this Section that will be performed by Site personnel on a routine basis. Additional project or investigation-specific analyses are not covered in this work plan.⁴⁶ Many of these routine evaluations are delivered to parties as specified in the IMP, RFCA, and the IA IM/IRA. These report(s) may contain some or all of the following items:

- Daily mean discharge for each monitoring location,
- Daily means for real-time water quality parameters,
- Data tables presenting analytical results,
- Summary statistics for each analyte of interest, including computation of required statistics including UTL/LTLs and 30-day averages,
- Summary of significant findings and conclusions drawn from evaluation of the data, and
- Highlights of the program management and operation.

7.3.1.1 Semi-Monthly Evaluation and Reporting

For each monitoring objective (NSD, ALF, etc.), applicable summary statistics (95% UTL, 30-day flow proportional moving average, 1-day average) for each AOI will be calculated semi-monthly as specified by the IMP. The notification and reporting process, depending on the AOI and the monitoring location, will be followed as specified by RFCA and the IMP.

7.3.1.2 Quarterly Reports

Information for each quarter will be presented in Quarterly Reports which will be made available at the Quarterly Information Exchange Meetings in Broomfield.

These data include:

- Daily mean discharge for each monitoring location,
- Data tables presenting analytical results, and
- Highlights of the program management and operation.

7.3.1.3 Annual Reports

Information for each fiscal year will be presented in an Annual Report that will be made available during the subsequent fiscal year.

Annual Reports shall include:

- Daily mean discharge and summary statistics for each monitoring location,
- Daily means and summary statistics for real-time water quality parameters,
- Data tables presenting analytical results,
- Summary statistics for each analyte of interest, including computation of required statistics including UTL/LTLs and 30-day averages,
- An evaluation of water-quality correlations,

⁴⁶ These types of analyses will be performed by skilled professionals to industry standards and subject to peer review.

- A loading analysis for Pu and Am for all locations,
- Summary of significant findings and conclusions drawn from evaluation of the data, and
- Highlights of the program management and operation.

7.3.2 Task Descriptions

The data evaluation and reporting task involves routine data compilation, evaluation, and reporting of the data collected in the data collection task.

7.3.2.1 Flow Data Evaluation

Flow and precipitation data is compiled and evaluated using industry standard criteria, manufacturer recommendations, and the resources given in Section 7.3.4. Data are routinely considered and evaluated in the following ways:

- Values are checked using the radio telemetry equipment for project management decision support;
- A detailed work-up of 15-minute data is generated and archived; and
- A detailed work-up and evaluation of daily averages is completed and archived.

Additional evaluation may be performed for a variety of reasons including spill investigations, special requests, and hydrologic studies.

7.3.2.2 Analytical Data Evaluation

Analytical data is compiled and evaluated using industry standard criteria, manufacturers recommendations, and according to the resources given in Section 7.3.4. Data are routinely considered and evaluated in the following ways:

- Evaluation of data using UTLs/LTLs is currently performed for the Performance and NSD monitoring objectives,
- Evaluation of data using 30-day volume-weighted moving averages is currently performed for the POE and POC monitoring objectives, and
- Actinide loading analysis is performed monthly to quarterly, on an as needed basis.

Additional evaluation may be performed for a variety of reasons including source evaluations, spill investigations, special requests, and water-quality studies.

95% UTLs / LTLs

Evaluation of analytical and real-time water-quality data using UTLs/LTLs is currently performed for the Performance and NSD monitoring objectives. The method is as follows:

- Tolerance limits are calculated semi-monthly for each monitoring location.
- Data sets are selected to cover a moving 3-year window of time.⁴⁷ The intent is to evaluate for significant changes in water-quality while attempting to minimize seasonal fluctuations.⁴⁸

⁴⁷ A 3-year window is chosen where possible. For many Performance locations, monitoring only lasts a year or two. Under those circumstances, all data is used, and particular qualitative attention is given to the effects of seasonality on the results.

⁴⁸ Closure activities are expected to result in modifications to contaminant source areas, drainage pathways, and runoff distribution. Such changes in water quality would not be indicative of a release. Consequently, tolerance limits are being used here to help identify acute releases of contaminants as opposed to long-term changes in water quality. The shortcoming of this approach is that chronic releases may not be indicated by comparison with tolerance limits; however, significant chronic trends should be measured through the POE and POC monitoring objectives.

- The distribution of the data is established (normal or lognormal) using probability plotting (histogram), skewness, and the W test.
- Based on the distribution, 95% tolerance limits with 95% confidence are calculated.
- Individual data are then compared to these tolerance limits, and decision are made based on that comparison tempered by professional judgment.

30-Day Volume-Weighted Moving Averages

Evaluation of analytical data using 30-day volume-weighted moving averages is currently performed for the POE and POC monitoring objectives. The method is as follows:

- 30-day averages are calculated semi-monthly for each POC and POE (within one week of the 15th and last day of each month).
- Calculations are performed using daily time steps. The 30-day average for a particular day is calculated using a 'window' of time which includes the previous 30 days which had both flow and measurements of radionuclide activity. Therefore, for a location with continuous flow and complete analytical results, 365 (366 in a leap year) 30-day average values are calculated.
- When no radionuclide result is available for a particular day, the no 30-day average is calculated for that day (per IMP guidelines). No analytical result may be available either due to a non-sufficient quantity for analysis (referred to as an NSQ condition in the IMP) or a failed lab analysis.
- Each calendar day is assigned the activity (analytical result in pCi/l) of the composite sample that was filling at the end of that day (specifically, at 23:59:59). When a negative analytical result (e.g. - 0.002 pCi/l) is returned from the lab due to blank correction, then a value of 0.0 pCi/l is used for calculation purposes.
- Each calendar day has an associated surface-water volume (liters) that was measured by the flow meter. Flow record may contain estimated values for certain conditions.⁴⁹
- The daily surface-water volume is then multiplied by the corresponding activity to calculate a load in pCi for each day.
- The sum of the daily loads (pCi) for the preceding 30-days is divided by the sum of the daily surface-water volumes (liters) for the preceding 30-days to calculate the volume-weighted 30-day average activity (pCi/L).
- These 30-day averages are then compared to the appropriate Action Levels and Standards and reported according to the requirements of the IMP and RFCA.

Loading Analysis

Storm-Event Sampling Analytical Results

Load estimation for storm-event sampling is generally used to evaluate the relative radionuclide loads at monitoring locations which are tributary to POEs and POCs. The method is as follows:

- The time period for loading comparison is selected (e.g., monthly, seasonal, annual, etc.).
- The arithmetic average of the analytical results (pCi/L) for the selected time period is calculated.⁵⁰

⁴⁹ Estimation is required when flow rates exceed the capacity of the flow-control structure (e.g., a flume), or when there is an equipment failure.

⁵⁰ It is unknown if the activity of storm-event runoff is representative of the overall activity of the surface-water discharge for a particular location. If it is assumed that actinide transport increases during high runoff periods (as TSS transport increases), then the average storm-event activity may be an overestimation of the overall activity. For example, at a location with a significant relative proportion of baseflow (assuming baseflow to be of lower activity),

- The average activity is multiplied by the associated flow volume (liters) to obtain a load in pCi.

Continuous Flow-Paced Sampling Analytical Results

Load estimation for continuous flow-paced sampling is generally used to evaluate the relative radionuclide loads of tributary monitoring locations and as a closer estimation of actual loads at specific monitoring locations. The nature of the continuous sampling during all flow conditions allows for more accurate load calculations compared to storm-event sampling. The method is as follows:

- The time period for loading comparison is selected (e.g., monthly, seasonal, annual, etc.).
- The analytical result (pCi/L) for a particular composite sample period is multiplied by the associated flow volume (streamflow in liters) to obtain a load for each composite sample period (pCi).⁵¹
- The sum of the individual composite-sampling period loads (for the selected time period) is calculated in pCi.

7.3.2.3 Real-Time Water Quality Data Evaluation

Data collected by real-time water quality probes at NSDs, POEs, and POCs is compiled and evaluated using industry standard criteria, manufacturer's recommendations, and according to the resources given in Section 7.3.4. Data are routinely considered and evaluated in the following ways:

- Daily average values are checked using the radio telemetry equipment for project management decision support;
- A general qualitative evaluation of data is performed;
- A detailed compilation of 15-minute data is generated and archived; and
- A detailed calculation and evaluation of daily averages is completed and archived.

Each of these data evaluation activities is completed for all water quality parameters measured by the probes. Additional evaluation may be performed for a variety of reasons including spill investigations, special requests, and studies of probe performance. These four minimum data evaluation activities are described individually, in greater detail below.

Telemetry Check

- Daily average values for the previous day are checked using the radio telemetry equipment on all normal working days, or roughly 4 to 5 times per week. This check is made for all parameters measured at the given location.
- The intended purpose of this daily check is to help identify otherwise undetected releases as well as possible water quality probe malfunctions. In the event of an unusual reading, or an apparent suspicious trend in readings, hourly average and even instantaneous 15-minute records may be retrieved from telemetry for more information.

a higher load may be estimated than was actually transported. On the other hand, for a location with no flow other than direct runoff, the estimation may be more accurate. Regardless, for most loading estimations the intent is to examine relative loads for multiple tributary monitoring locations. When a relationship between flow rate and activity can be determined, this relationship may be used in conjunction with the measured flow rates to estimate load.

⁵¹ When a composite sample period overlaps the selected time period for loading, then a proportion of the load for the entire sampling period is calculated based on relative streamflow volume.

General Qualitative Evaluation

- Following monthly collection of data from the water quality probes, a general, qualitative evaluation is performed using water quality data, flow data, and precipitation record. An informal, qualitative discussion of the results is recorded which may include, but is not limited to the following types of observations:
 1. apparent trends with time,
 2. apparent correlations between parameters,
 3. apparent effects of Site activities.
- Initial speculation as to the explanation of observations may also be included in the informal discussion. This preliminary, qualitative evaluation is performed to develop and maintain a working knowledge of the dynamic and interrelated nature of the measured water quality parameters at each location.

Compilation of 15-Minute Data

- Water-quality record at 15-minute intervals, collected monthly from the water quality probes, is compiled into spreadsheets including 15-minute flow record. This is the most detailed record maintained, and consequently may be useful in the investigation of the duration and impact of acute releases.
- Water quality measurements are also plotted individually with flow record as a function of time for visual inspection as a backup to the preliminary, qualitative evaluation of results.
- From the 15-minute data, summary tables are created containing daily averages for each parameter.

Calculation and Evaluation of Daily Averages

- Daily average values for each parameter are transferred to a spreadsheet file summarizing all mean daily probe results for that location for that water year. This file is also updated with mean daily flow rates.
- Updated results are used to calculate 95% UTL values for pH, specific conductivity, nitrate, and turbidity (95% LTL is also calculated for pH).
- Data sets for tolerance limits are selected to cover a moving 1-year window of time. Tolerance limits are recalculated with each additional data entry in recognition of the expectation that Site surface water quality will change as the Site approaches closure.⁵² The intent is to evaluate for significant changes in water-quality while attempting to minimize the effects of seasonal fluctuations.
- Mean daily water quality results are plotted with updated UTL and LTL values for a visual check.
- Summary statistics, consisting of monthly averages for each parameter, are prepared from daily average values.

⁵² Closure activities are expected to result in modifications to drainage pathways and runoff distribution. Such changes in water quality would not be indicative of a release. Consequently, tolerance limits are being used here to help identify acute releases of contaminants as opposed to long-term changes in water quality. The shortcoming of this approach is that chronic releases may not be indicated by comparison with tolerance limits; however, significant chronic trends should be obvious in summary statistics.

7.3.3 Records Produced

The records produced for this task include reports containing the items listed in Sections 7.3.1 and 7.3.2 of this document. Letters of transmittal of the reports also are records produced by this task. Electronic copies of the data evaluations are also produced.

7.3.4 Applicable Instructions and Methods

The applicable resources are as follows.

Bedient, P.B. and W.C. Huber, 1992, *Hydrology and Floodplain Analysis*, Second Edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts.

Chow, V.T., Maidment, D.R., and L.W. Mays, 1988, *Applied Hydrology*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, New York.

Evett, J.B. and L. Cheng, 1987, *Fundamentals of Fluid Mechanics*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, New York.

Gilbert, R.O., 1987, *Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, New York.

Grant, D.M., 1992, *ISCO Open Channel Flow Measurement Handbook*, Third Edition, ISCO Environmental Division, Lincoln, Nebraska.

Kennedy, E.J., 1984, *Techniques of Water Resource Investigations of the United States Geological Survey, Chapter A10 Discharge Ratings at Gaging Stations, Book 3 Applications of Hydraulics*, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Government Printing Office, Alexandria, Virginia.

Rantz, S.E., 1982, *Measurement and Computation of Streamflow: Volume 1. Measurement of Stage and Discharge*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2175, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Rantz, S.E., 1982. *Measurement and Computation of Streamflow: Volume 2. Computation of Discharge*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2175, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Soil Conservation Service, 1964, *SCS National Engineering Handbook*, Section 4: Hydrology, Updated 1974, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington D.C.

Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th Ed., 1995, American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.

Thomann, R.V. and J.A. Mueller, 1987, *Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control*, Harper and Row, Publishers, Inc., New York, New York.

Urbonas, B. and P. Stahre, 1993, *Stormwater Best Management Practices and Detention for Water Quality, Drainage, and CSO Management*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

Water Measurement Manual, Revised Reprint, 1984, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Government Printing Office, Denver, Colorado.

7.3.5 Required Resources

Two Environmental Engineers are currently required to produce the required reports for this activity. Two PCs equipped with the applicable software are needed for this task.

7.3.6 Data Quality

Data quality will be evaluated as part of the data evaluation and reporting task. Duplicate chemical analysis data will be compared to determine reproducibility of analytical results. Equipment rinseate

samples will be examined to determine whether or not cross-contamination from automatic sampling equipment is occurring.

The stream discharge data will be evaluated to provide descriptive qualification of the discharge record quality. For example, if flow-control device leakage is observed, an estimation of the relative quantity of flow not measured will be provided and estimated data will be properly labeled as such.

7.3.7 Data Archival

Data will be archived to Site Servers, dedicated Iomega Jaz cartridges, and/or Site databases (e.g. SWD) for backup and storage.

7.3.8 Work Product Objectives

The work products for this task are reports that summarize the data and provide management criteria. The report(s) shall at least contain the following material.

- Daily mean discharge for each monitoring location;
- Daily means for real-time water quality parameters;
- Data tables presenting analytical results;
- Summary statistics for each analyte of interest, including computation of required statistics including UTL/LTLs and 30-day averages;
- Summary of significant findings and conclusions drawn from evaluation of the data;
- Highlights of the program management and operation.

7.3.9 Acceptable Criteria

The reports shall be scientifically defensible, understandable to a non-technical audience, and have a professional appearance. The reports shall contain all available data. The reports shall be prepared in a timely fashion so as to meet Site schedules.

7.3.10 Applicable Software

Software programs Microsoft Excel, Microsoft Word, Microsoft Access, ISCO Flowlink, YSI PC6000, YSI Ecowatch, SmarTerm, LapLink, GeoNet, and an applicable statistical package (for functions not supported by other programs) are needed for this task.

8. ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY AND HEALTH COMPLIANCE

Compliance with an existing Health and Safety Plan will be required to perform the work in the field. This project complies with a plan titled *Health and Safety Plan for Automated Surface-Water Monitoring in Support of the Rocky Flats Clean-up Agreement and the Industrial Area IM/IRA* (Doc# RF/RMRS-97SWHSP.01; Revision 0; 8-15-97).

9. SCHEDULE

The schedule of tasks/activities for this program is given in the associated Sections above. Implementation of the current Site surface-water monitoring requirements began on October 1, 1996. As requirements change, complete implementation is achieved as timely as practicable pending receipt and deployment of procured equipment. The implementation is completed to facilitate collection of complete and quality data sets subject to work schedule, weather, and funding constraints.

10. FUNDING

Funding for this program comes from two WADlets within SW. The Surface Water Monitoring WADlet (WBS# 1.1.03.06.01.01) has three line items that fund surface water monitoring. These are RFCA Monitoring, Flow Monitoring, and Hydrologic Monitoring (line items 07, 08, and 10, respectively). This covers monitoring sites for pond operations, RFCA Points of Compliance, and other Buffer Zone flow monitoring.

The other SW WADlet that provides funding for surface water monitoring is the Industrial Area IM/IRA (WBS # 1.1.03.08.02.02). Line Items 01 and 08, IA IM/IRA SW Monitoring and IA IM/IRA BMP Monitoring, respectively, provide funding for monitoring at former IM/IRA sites (now known as RFCA New Source Detection/Action Level Framework Sites), and at RFCA Performance Monitoring Sites where the effectiveness of Best Management Practices (BMPs) is measured.

Fiscal Year 1997 funding for this program from all sources, including supplies and equipment costs, was approximately \$302,000.

11. REFERENCES

Many references are listed previously in the associated Sections.

- EG&G, 1994. *Industrial Area Interim Measure / Interim Remedial Action Decision*, EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc., Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, Colorado, Section 5.
- EG&G, 1992. *Rocky Flats Plant Drainage and Flood Control Master Plan, Woman Creek, Walnut Creek, Upper Big Dry Creek, and Rock Creek*, EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc., Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, Section VII.
- EG&G, 1991. *Quality Assurance Program Planning*, EG&G manual number 1-50000-ADM-02.01, EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc., Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado.
- Rocky Mountain Remediation Services (RMRS), 1996. *Surface Water Draft Submittal for FY97 RFCA Integrated Monitoring Plan*, RMRS L.L.C., Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, Colorado.
- RFCA, 1996. *Final Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement*, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency.

6/9/69

Figure 3

RFETS Automated Surface Water Monitoring Locations and Precipitation Gages

EXPLANATION

⊕ Precipitation Gage

Monitoring Location Objective*

○ Buffer Zone Hydrologic

○ New Source Detection

○ Point of Compliance

○ Point of Evaluation

○ Performance

○ Source Location

▲ Ad Hoc

□ IDLH**

Standard Map Features

▭ Buildings and other structures

▨ Solar evaporation ponds

▧ Lakes and ponds

▤ Streams, ditches, or other drainage features

--- Fences and other barriers

- - - Rocky Flats boundary

== Paved roads

DATA SOURCES:
Buildings, fences, hydrography, roads and other structures from 1994 aerial fly-over data captured by EGM FSL, Las Vegas.
Digitized from the orthophotograph, 1998



Scale = 1 : 21330
1 inch represents approximately 1776 feet



State Plane Coordinate Projection
Colorado Central Zone
Datum: NAD27

U.S. Department of Energy
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site


Prepared by:



Rocky Mountain Remediation Services, L.L.C.
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
P.O. Box 266
Golden, CO 80402-0266

MAP ID: 88-0262

August 25, 1998



Rocky Flats Lakes