

Current data is available for the remainder of the ponds; however, at this point, Safety Analysis does not have a copy of it. Based on the discrepancies in the data for the B-1 and B-2 ponds, a hazard category cannot be determined without further investigation. Within the next two months, efforts will be made to resolve the inventory of plutonium in the retention ponds in order to make a hazard category determination. This effort is being done in conjunction with the update currently underway for the Site SAR Facilities Hazards Assessment and Classification Report (Phase 1 Summary Report).

- Plutonium Inventory in the Solar Ponds

The activity related to the solar ponds before closure was in the neighborhood of 2 curies. With the removal of the solutions and sludge from the ponds, the activity has dropped dramatically. Sample information received from Kathy London, ER Program Support, indicates the current total activity level of the ponds as they stand now (empty) is 0.06 Ci, which is a Category 3 activity fraction of 0.017. This activity is from the liners in the ponds. After the capping effort is completed, the total activity (using information from K. London) will be approximately 0.09 Ci (Cat 3 fraction = 0.29). The activity after capping comes from the liners, the sludge which will be replaced in the ponds after minimal processing to dry and remove bacteria, and soils removed from IHSS 101 and IHSS 102. It is our understanding the request to replace pondcrete into the ponds has been denied by the State of Colorado. Addition of the pondcrete would have raised the total activity to greater than 2 curies. The analytical data used in the calculations of activity levels was presented in the "OU4 Solar Evaporation Ponds Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action Environmental Assessment Decision Document" dated February 1995.

- Holdup of Enriched Uranium in Building 883

The holdup in Building 883 is considered to be the material in tank B-13. This tank is the subject of a criticality infraction and criticality evaluation (NMSL 950032). The following is a brief history of the situation according to Mike Stanley of Economic Development Operations.

Building 883 processed enriched uranium until operations were stopped in 1965-66. Since then, the process equipment has been cleaned twice, the B-mill and the process waste system. The tanks associated with the waste system were taken out of service and the process system plugged up. All liquid was emptied from the tanks at the time they were taken out of service. Water was subsequently found in the B-13 tank. Speculation is that the water is mop water which was placed in the tank as a method of disposal. Due to residual contamination in the tank, the water then became contaminated. A radiation scan, a low level gamma scan, and a high-level gamma scan were performed on the tank with the results suggesting the presence of enriched uranium.

P. M. McEahern
95-RF-04584
May 26, 1995
Page 3

The analysis of this tank by the Safeguards Measurements Holdup Measurement Team included several conservative assumptions. The material is assumed to be homogeneous in the tank. If settling has occurred, the result overstates the amount of material present. The results of the measurements is not more than 0.5 gram/liter uranium 235 with 95% confidence. The volume of liquid is approximately 100 gallons, or 380 liters, giving an inventory of 190 grams of uranium in the tank, well below Category 3 threshold limits.

In addition to the solution in the tank, there are two drums of solutions which have not been characterized. Low-level gamma scans of these drums indicate nothing more than depleted uranium. A Safety Evaluation Screen/Unreviewed Safety Question Determination has been performed for sampling these drums. It should be noted that Building 883 is currently categorized as a Category 3 nuclear facility because of depleted uranium inventories.

SUMMARY

The above concerns and our responses underscore the need to continue updating the Site SAR hazard assessment baseline based on new information and changing inventories from existing conditions and new activities. As mentioned above, we are in the process of updating the Site SAR Facilities Hazards Assessment and Classification Report (Phase 1 Summary Report). We are planning to have this completed within the next two months but, in order to ensure consistency with the current effort on BIOs, we may not be able to issue the revised report until the BIOs are completed, i.e. September 29, 1995. The concerns above will be addressed further as part of this effort.

RESPONSE REQUIREMENTS

No response is required. If you have any questions or comments, please contact D. R. Swanson at extension 7009.



D. G. Satterwhite
Program Manager
Nuclear Safety
EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc.

KKK:df

Orig. and 1 cc – P. M. McEahern

cc:

J. M. Conti