

**NOTICE!**

**ALL DRAWINGS  
ARE LOCATED  
AT THE END OF  
THE DOCUMENT**



## MEETING MINUTES

**Meeting Date/Time:** October 21, 1994/0830

**Meeting Location:** Advanced Sciences, Inc (ASI), Lakewood, CO

**Meeting Subject:** Identification of Source Areas/Areas of Concern for CDPHE  
Conservative Screen, Operable Unit No 5, Rocky Flats  
Environmental Technology Site

<b>Attendees</b>	<b><u>Name</u></b>	<b><u>Affiliation</u></b>
	Carol Bicher	EG&G
	Robert Cygnarowycz	EG&G
	Doug Dennison	ASI
	Fred Duncan	Dames & Moore
	Dave Gallaher	ASI
	Mary Lee Hogg	ICF Kaiser
	Scott Hollowell	EG&G
	Paul Jordan	ASI
	Mike Kelly	Dames & Moore
	Bonnie Lavelle	EPA
	Ed Mast	EG&G
	Elizabeth Mooney	Dames & Moore
	Kurt Muenchow	DOE
	Rotha Randall	EG&G
	Joe Schieffelin	CDPHE
	Mary Siders	EG&G
	Paul Singh	ORNL/DOE

Copies of materials that were handed out during this meeting are attached

**Introduction** C Bicher restated the purpose of this meeting D Dennison introduced the ASI and Dames & Moore personnel who would be presenting the source area information

**P. Jordan** - Discussed the handling of data and the CDPHE screening process Discussed the presentation of data and the types of information that can be presented using ArcView All results exceeding the CDPHE screening values (detection limit for organics and background mean plus two standard deviations for inorganics) for all media pertinent to OU5 were displayed

**K. Muenchow** - Questioned whether data from implementation of TM15 are included

**P Jordan** - Responded that data from implementation of TM15 are not available yet and are not included in this presentation The data for only IHSS 115 were presented, and a source area that encompasses the previously defined area of IHSS 115, including IHSS 196, and extending to Woman Creek was proposed

**B. Lavelle** - Questioned whether Woman Creek should be included in a source area specific to a particular IHSS Inclined to not tie specific segments of Woman Creek to an IHSS but treat the drainage as a system

**K. Muenchow** - Agreed with Bonnie's view Questioned whether the data support treating Woman Creek as a complete system

**P Jordan** - Responded that many constituents exceed the CDPHE screening values in many segments of Woman Creek

**B Lavelle** - Questioned if some segments pass the CDPHE screen while others fail should each segment be treated separately

**C. Bicher** - Suggested that all of the proposed source areas be displayed so that all attendees could see the way in which Woman Creek is proposed to be treated

**P. Jordan** - Presented the proposed source areas - 1 IHSS 115 source area as described above, 2 all 133-Series IHSSs as a single source area, 3 the Surface Disturbance South of the Ash Pits as a single source area, 4 the Surface Disturbance West of IHSS 209 as a single source area, and 5 the C-1 and C-2 Ponds and the segment of Woman Creek between the two ponds as a single source area Also discussed that only calcium exceeded the CDPHE screening value at IHSS 209, so this IHSS was not delineated as a source area

**J Schieffelin** - Related that the CDPHE screen is designed to eliminate areas from further consideration rather than include them Agreed with the proposed IHSS 115 and surface disturbance source areas but questioned the inclusion of all of the 133-Series IHSSs and the C-Ponds into single source areas If these areas are treated as smaller units, it may be possible to eliminate some parts of these areas

**B Lavelle** - Areas with similar contamination should be included in a single source area/area of concern that is carried throughout the risk assessment process

**K. Muenchow** - Prefer including all of the ash pits into a single source area rather than treating each one separately

**J. Schieffelin** - Expressed concern that this approach defeats the purpose of the CDPHE screen

**K. Muenchow** - Questioned whether there are sampling locations in the IHSS 133 area that do not have constituents that exceed the CDPHE screening values (These data were displayed)

**J Schieffelin** - Presented what he feels should be the source areas in the IHSS 133 area (e g , each of the ash pits as a different source area)

**B. Lavelle** - Expressed concern that this presentation may not portray a realistic approach to the risk assessment

**B. Cygnarowycz** - Related that the Feasibility Study (FS) is currently considering IHSSs 133 1 to 133 4 as one unit and IHSSs 133 5 and 133 6 each as individual units

**K. Muenchow** - The CDPHE screen seems to apply well to areas with a relatively large geographical separation, however, in the case of the ash pits, breaking these units into individual source areas does not seem to make sense

**J. Schieffelin** - The CDPHE screen is designed to eliminate those areas that don't have risk and shouldn't be addressed in the FS

**B. Lavelle** - The recently developed risk assessment agreement states that if an area is eliminated by the CDPHE screen, the area of concern is redrawn and the data in the eliminated area are not included in future risk assessment evaluations The small areas that would remain by separating the ash pits into individual units that are not meaningful to the baseline risk assessment

**M. Hogg** - Based on the metals, particularly manganese, data for subsurface soil samples in the IHSS 133 area, none of the individual IHSSs would be eliminated by the CDPHE screen. Based on this information, treating these IHSSs as a single source area appears to make sense.

**J. Schieffelin** - Agreed that this grouping of the 133-Series IHSSs now appears to make sense.

**C. Bicher** - Requested that stream sediment, pond sediment, and surface-water data be displayed so that treatment of Woman Creek and the C-Ponds could be discussed.

**B. Lavelle** - One proposal would be to treat all surface water and sediments in Woman Creek and the C-Ponds as a single system. The second proposal would be to treat Woman Creek as individual segments related to other IHSSs.

**R. Randall** - OU6 treated the A-Series Ponds as one source area and the B-Series Ponds as another source area.

**K. Muenchow** - Questioned whether sediments should be treated differently than surface water.

**R. Randall** - In the case of OU6, each pond was treated as a separate source area and the stream sediments were treated as a separate source area.

**J. Schieffelin** - Interested in how the C-Ponds are to be treated.

**C. Bicher** - Suggested that the ponds should be separated for the CDPHE screen. If the ponds do not pass the screen, then group them together for remaining risk assessment activities.

**B. Lavelle** - Not comfortable with making decisions solely based upon the data and not considering the system as a whole. It may be possible to treat the South Interceptor Ditch (SID) and Pond C-2 as one system and Woman Creek and Pond C-1 as another system.

**K. Muenchow** - Questioned whether treating pond sediments and creek sediments together as one area of concern was a valid approach.

**M. Hogg** - Suggested keeping them separate due to different exposure scenarios for the two sediment types. A suspension model is being considered for the pond sediments whereas a different exposure scenario is being considered for the creek sediments.

**B. Lavelle** - Suggested that keeping the creek and the pond together as a single system is appropriate.

**B. Cygnarowycz** - For a FS standpoint, it is reasonable to keep the creek and the ponds together.

**B. Lavelle** - Suggested keeping the creek and the ponds together for the purposes of the CDPHE screen but possibly aggregating them differently for the exposure assessment. Suggested keeping Woman Creek and Pond C-1 together and the SID and Pond C-2 together and possibly separate them into a western half and an eastern half.

A group consensus was reached on the following source areas (see attached maps) -

- 1 The IHSS 133 area,
- 2 The IHSS 115/196 area,
- 3 The Surface Disturbance West of IHSS 209,
- 4 The Surface Disturbance South of the Ash Pits,
- 5 Woman Creek and Pond C-1 system, and
- 6 South Interceptor Ditch (SID) and Pond C-2 system

**Additional Discussions -**

- A meeting date of November 18, 1994 was agreed to for the meeting to discuss data aggregation. This meeting will be held at AST's Office (405 Urban Street, Suite 401, Lakewood) beginning at 8:30 a.m.
- The OU5 Exposure Assessment Technical Memorandum (TM) will need to be revised to address modified exposure parameters. It was agreed that the changes in this TM would be highlighted to facilitate review.
- Submittal of a follow-up letter rather than preparation of a toxicity TM to document use of toxicity factors from sources other than IRIS or HEAST was agreed to.
- Bonnie Lavelle discussed additional EPA comments on the modeling TM.

October 21, 1994 DDH Construction Series

Identification of Source Areas

pg 1b

Introduction

Full Name - Dave Reengstera

• goal - identify source areas w/ DUS

• you are mutually agreed upon by DOE/EM/COH

• you have direct - 4 spec notes replaced w/ det limit

mean + 2 std dev based on dist of data

today looking at data above mean + 2 std dev  
on above det limit of organics

• reference materials

• computer graphics - shows roads, parks, etc.

• organics

• data not include data from TMLIS

but sampling locations are well

be encompassed, in source areas

proposed today

• IHSS 115

- propose Outland, down south to creek

- Bonnie - the creek is integrated, why

break it out & group w/ IHSS

Page 5

• Big picture = 5 source areas, proposed

Use IHSS 115 OK, det limit OK, each path,

maybe should be broken out from SD

as to diagnostic areas

04  
5

• IHSS 133.1-133.6

Bonnie - risk assessment on one only

put rest very well

Que - one hot hot on one path put

will keep all in

Maybe - track out western IHSS -

they yield due to Management

they are all IHSS 133 well yield

Concomina - group together but break

at each one individually &

if it would fall out make case

and report agency

• Umanee Creek

SID and Q2

Quek and Q1

stream bed & pond and grounds

• IHSS 115 separate from Umanee Creek

• IHSS 409 2 Quekum huts

Que - don't need to do anything

• Any difference in tracking sed & soil?

• maps attached

CDHPE *[Signature]*

EPA *[Signature]*

DOE *[Signature]*

Summary

SD report  
@ IHSS  
B 4/11/94  
11/10/94

pg 2b

**MEETING FOR**  
**SOURCE AREA IDENTIFICATION FOR OU5**

**DATE:** October 20, 1994

**TIME:** 8:30 am

**LOCATION:** ASI's Lakewood, Colorado Office

**GOAL:** Identify source areas associated with OU5 that are mutually agreed upon by EPA, CDPHE, DOE, and EG&G.

**AGENDA:**

<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>DOUG I. DENNISON, ASI</b>
<b>DATA DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>PAUL J. JORDAN, ASI</b>
<b>SOURCE AREAS</b>	<b>FRED DUNCAN, DAMES &amp; MOORE</b>
<b>DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>EVERYONE</b>
<b>CLOSURE</b>	<b>DOUG I. DENNISON, ASI</b>

## LEGEND

**RADIONUCLIDES are in RED**

**METALS are in BLUE**

**ORGANIC COMPOUNDS are in GREEN**

<b>SUB-SURFACE SOIL</b>	<b>=</b>	<b>●</b>
<b>SURFACE SOIL</b>	<b>=</b>	<b>★</b>
<b>SEEP SEDIMENT</b>	<b>=</b>	<b>○</b>
<b>STREAM SEDIMENT</b>	<b>=</b>	<b>△</b>
<b>POND SEDIMENT</b>	<b>=</b>	<b>◇</b>
<b>SEEP WATER</b>	<b>=</b>	<b>+</b>
<b>SURFACE WATER</b>	<b>=</b>	<b>×</b>
<b>GROUND WATER</b>	<b>=</b>	<b>⊠</b>

**MEDIA GROUNDWATER**

GRP	CHEMICAL	NORM-LOG?	CDH VALUE
DISSOLVED	BARIUM	LOG	80 68
DISSOLVED	CALCIUM	NORMAL	120 550 94
DISSOLVED	CESIUM	LOG	379 22
DISSOLVED	COBALT	LOG	20 99
DISSOLVED	IRON	LOG	41 38
DISSOLVED	MANGANESE	LOG	16 88
DISSOLVED	POTASSIUM	LOG	1,106 76
DISSOLVED	RADIUM-226	NORMAL	0 48
DISSOLVED	STRONTIUM-89/90	NORMAL	0 96
DISSOLVED	URANIUM-238	LOG	6 26
TOTAL	ALUMINUM	LOG	910 89
TOTAL	ANTIMONY	LOG	28 90
TOTAL	ARSENIC	NORMAL	7 15
TOTAL	BARIUM	NORMAL	244 97
TOTAL	BERYLLIUM	LOG	5 18
TOTAL	CADMIUM	LOG	4 93
TOTAL	CALCIUM	LOG	47,309 83
TOTAL	CESIUM	LOG	378 36
TOTAL	CHROMIUM	LOG	10 76
TOTAL	COBALT	LOG	23 05
TOTAL	COPPER	LOG	13 14
TOTAL	IRON	LOG	944 59
TOTAL	LEAD	LOG	6 79
TOTAL	LITHIUM	LOG	23 05
TOTAL	MAGNESIUM	LOG	8 211 34
TOTAL	MANGANESE	LOG	41 63
TOTAL	MERCURY	LOG	2 35
TOTAL	MOLYBDENUM	LOG	46 57
TOTAL	NICKEL	LOG	19 62
TOTAL	POTASSIUM	LOG	1,469 17
TOTAL	RADIUM-226	LOG	3 29
TOTAL	SELENIUM	LOG	7 65
TOTAL	SILICON	LOG	11,967 43
TOTAL	SILVER	LOG	7 22
TOTAL	SODIUM	LOG	17,752 73
TOTAL	STRONTIUM	LOG	236 13
TOTAL	STRONTIUM-89/90	NORMAL	0 78
TOTAL	TIN	LOG	83 49
TOTAL	URANIUM-233/234	LOG	10 37
TOTAL	URANIUM-235	LOG	5 17
TOTAL	URANIUM-238	LOG	8 64
TOTAL	VANADIUM	LOG	15 37
TOTAL	ZINC	LOG	29 08

**MEDIA POND SEDIMENT**

GRP	CHEMICAL	NORM-LOG?	CDH VALUE
TOTAL	ARSENIC	NORMAL	7 30
TOTAL	BARIUM	NORMAL	190 67
TOTAL	CALCIUM	NORMAL	12,979 86
TOTAL	COPPER	NORMAL	25 78
TOTAL	IRON	NORMAL	21,379 01
TOTAL	MAGNESIUM	NORMAL	3,948 92
TOTAL	MERCURY	NORMAL	0 21

**MEDIA . POND SEDIMENT**

GRP	CHEMICAL	NORM-LOG?	CDH VALUE
TOTAL	NICKEL	NORMAL	17 35
TOTAL	POTASSIUM	NORMAL	2 353 84
TOTAL	STRONTIUM	NORMAL	156 26

**MEDIA SEEP SEDIMENT**

GRP	CHEMICAL	NORM-LOG?	CDH VALUE
TOTAL	ANTIMONY	NORMAL	21 80
TOTAL	BERYLLIUM	NORMAL	1 64
TOTAL	NICKEL	LOG	12 86
TOTAL	POTASSIUM	LOG	1,076 32
TOTAL	URANIUM-233/234	NORMAL	1 58
TOTAL	URANIUM-238	NORMAL	1 56
TOTAL	ZINC	LOG	51 60

**MEDIA STREAM SEDIMENT**

GRP	CHEMICAL	NORM-LOG?	CDH VALUE
TOTAL	COPPER	LOG	12 30
TOTAL	SILVER	LOG	6 10
TOTAL	TRITIUM	LOG	151 51
TOTAL	ZINC	LOG	40 97

**MEDIA SUB-SURFACE SOIL**

GRP	CHEMICAL	NORM-LOG?	CDH VALUE
TOTAL	ANTIMONY	LOG	2 53
TOTAL	ARSENIC	LOG	2 42
TOTAL	BARIUM	LOG	5 72
TOTAL	BERYLLIUM	LOG	2 99
TOTAL	CADMIUM	LOG	0 05
TOTAL	CALCIUM	LOG	10 02
TOTAL	CHROMIUM	LOG	4 06
TOTAL	COBALT	LOG	2 75
TOTAL	COPPER	LOG	3 52
TOTAL	IRON	LOG	10 44
TOTAL	LEAD	LOG	3 51
TOTAL	MANGANESE	LOG	6 46
TOTAL	MOLYBDENUM	LOG	3 98
TOTAL	NICKEL	LOG	4 05
TOTAL	POTASSIUM	LOG	8 24
TOTAL	SILVER	NORMAL	24 50
TOTAL	SODIUM	NORMAL	1 657 73
TOTAL	STRONTIUM	LOG	5 26
TOTAL	THALLIUM	LOG	0 97
TOTAL	URANIUM-233/234	LOG	3 96
TOTAL	URANIUM-235	LOG	6 30
TOTAL	URANIUM-238	LOG	3 68
TOTAL	ZINC	LOG	5 16

**MEDIA SURFACE SOIL**

**MEDIA : SURFACE SOIL**

GRP	CHEMICAL	NORM-LOG?	CDH VALUE
TOTAL	ANTIMONY	LOG	15 55
TOTAL	CALCIUM	LOG	4,820 68
TOTAL	COPPER	LOG	15 54
TOTAL	LEAD	LOG	39 36
TOTAL	PLUTONIUM-239/240	NORMAL	4 04
TOTAL	SILVER	LOG	6 61
TOTAL	URANIUM-233/234	LOG	5 58
TOTAL	URANIUM-235	LOG	4 59
TOTAL	URANIUM-238	LOG	5 70
TOTAL	ZINC	LOG	58 85

**MEDIA SURFACE WATER**

GRP	CHEMICAL	NORM-LOG?	CDH VALUE
DISSOLVED	CALCIUM	NORMAL	46,137 39
DISSOLVED	IRON	LOG	103 92
DISSOLVED	LITHIUM	LOG	30 88
DISSOLVED	MAGNESIUM	LOG	5,236 32
DISSOLVED	SODIUM	NORMAL	31,566 80
DISSOLVED	URANIUM-238	LOG	4 22
TOTAL	CALCIUM	NORMAL	45,422 42
TOTAL	LITHIUM	LOG	30 06
TOTAL	MAGNESIUM	LOG	5,548 02
TOTAL	PLUTONIUM-239/240	NORMAL	0 02
TOTAL	SODIUM	NORMAL	31 569 04
TOTAL	URANIUM-233/234	LOG	4 09
TOTAL	URANIUM-238	LOG	4 51

**LIST OF DETECTED ORGANIC COMPOUNDS**  
**Number of Samples, Percent Detected, and Maximum Concentration**

---

Sub-Surface Soil Samples

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Number of Samples</u>	<u>Percent Detect.</u>	<u>Maximum Concentration</u>
<b>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
2-Methylnaphthanlene	82	14.6	15,000 (ug/kg)
Acenaphthene	80	23.8	31,000 (ug/kg)
Acenaphthylene	82	24.4	84 (ug/kg)
Anthracene	82	23.2	46,000 (ug/kg)
Aroclor-1254	76	11.8	960 (ug/kg)
Aroclor-1260	77	3.9	1,300 (ug/kg)
Alpha-BHC	77	1.3	15 (ug/kg)
Benzo(a)anthracene	82	26.8	48,000 (ug/kg)
Benzo(a)pyrene	82	25.6	43,000 (ug/kg)
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	82	26.8	48,000 (ug/kg)
Benzo(ghi)perylene	82	23.2	19,000 (ug/kg)
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	82	24.4	19,000 (ug/kg)
Benzoic Acid	80	21.3	974 (ug/kg)
Bis(2-Ethylexyl)phthalate	82	15.9	290 (ug/kg)
Butyl Benzy Phthalate	82	2.4	360 (ug/kg)
Chrysene	82	26.8	53,000 (ug/kg)
Di-n-butyl Phthalate	82	2.4	300 (ug/kg)
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	82	14.6	700 (ug/kg)
Dibenzofuran	82	17.1	20,000 (ug/kg)
Fluoroanthene	82	30.5	160,000 (ug/kg)
Fluorene	82	23.2	35,000 (ug/kg)
Heptachlor Epoxide	77	1.3	11 (ug/kg)
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	81	21	22,000 (ug/kg)
Isophorone	82	1.2	82 (ug/kg)
Naphthalene	82	15.9	61,000 (ug/kg)
Pentachlorophenol	82	1.2	160 (ug/kg)
Phenanthrene	82	31.7	220,000 (ug/kg)
Phenol	82	4.9	140 (ug/kg)
Pyrene	82	31.7	150,000 (ug/kg)
<b>Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	193	0.5	2 (ug/kg)
2-Butanone	157	5.1	69 (ug/kg)
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	194	0.5	2 (ug/kg)
Acetone	181	9.9	280 (ug/kg)
Ethylbenzene	195	0.5	66 (ug/kg)
Methylene Chloride	195	14.4	66 (ug/kg)
Tetrachloroethene	195	13.3	920 (ug/kg)
Toluene	194	45.4	310 (ug/kg)
Total Xylenes	195	0.5	150 (ug/kg)
Trichloroethene	195	11.3	440 (ug/kg)

**LIST OF DETECTED ORGANIC COMPOUNDS**  
**Number of Samples, Percent Detected, and Maximum Concentration**

---

Surface Soil Samples

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Number of Samples</u>	<u>Percent Detect.</u>	<u>Maximum Concentration</u>
<b>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
2-Methylnaphthalene	34	17.6	12,000 (ug/kg)
4,4'-DDT	60	14	21 (ug/kg)
Acenaphthene	60	33.3	44,000 (ug/kg)
Acenaphthylene	46	22	600 (ug/kg)
Aldrin	74	1.4	17 (ug/kg)
Anthracene	67	32.8	47,000 (ug/kg)
Aroclor-1254	74	12.2	3,900 (ug/kg)
Benzo(a)anthracene	53	52.8	45,000 (ug/kg)
Benzo(a)pyrene	57	38.6	41,000 (ug/kg)
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	58	41.4	49,000 (ug/kg)
Benzo(ghi)perylene	50	28	6,900 (ug/kg)
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	47	38.3	25,000 (ug/kg)
Benzoic Acid	29	55.2	770 (ug/kg)
Bis(2-Ethylexyl)phthalate	48	33.3	200 (ug/kg)
Butyl Benzy Phthalate	32	3.1	220 (ug/kg)
Chrysene	68	42.6	46,000 (ug/kg)
D1-n-butyl Phthalate	41	22	424.5 (ug/kg)
D1-n-octyl Phthalate	33	3	83 (ug/kg)
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	43	16.3	7,000 (ug/kg)
Dibenzofuran	40	27.5	20,000 (ug/kg)
Dieldrin	74	1.4	34 (ug/kg)
Endosulfan Sulfate	74	1.4	24 (ug/kg)
Endrin Ketone	74	1.4	36 (ug/kg)
Fluoranthene	69	56.5	140,000 (ug/kg)
Fluorene	63	28.6	39,000 (ug/kg)
Heptachlor Epoxide	74	1.4	10 (ug/kg)
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	54	37	32,000 (ug/kg)
Isophorone	33	3	96 (ug/kg)
Methoxychlor	74	1.4	450 (ug/kg)
Naphthalene	57	17.5	41,000 (ug/kg)
Phenanthrene	77	46.8	170,000 (ug/kg)
Pyrene	73	52.1	120,000 (ug/kg)

D R A F T

D R A F T

**LIST OF DETECTED ORGANIC COMPOUNDS**  
**Number of Samples, Percent Detected, and Maximum Concentration**

---

Pond Sediment Samples

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Number of Samples</u>	<u>Percent Detect.</u>	<u>Maximum Concentration</u>
<b>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
Benzoic Acid	5	80	410 (ug/kg)
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	4	25	110 (ug/kg)
Fluoranthene	4	25	140 (ug/kg)
Phenol	4	25	150 (ug/kg)
<b>Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
Toluene	6	100	562.5 (ug/kg)

DRAFT

Seep Sediment Samples

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Number of Samples</u>	<u>Percent Detect.</u>	<u>Maximum Concentration</u>
<b>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
Benzo(a)anthracene	4	25	38 (ug/kg)
Bis(2-ethylexyl)phthalate	4	50	80 (ug/kg)
Chrysene	4	25	41 (ug/kg)
Fluoranthene	4	50	97 (ug/kg)
Phenanthrene	4	50	82 (ug/kg)
Pyrene	4	50	97 (ug/kg)
<b>Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
Acetone	7	42.9	17 (ug/kg)
Methylene Chloride	4	75	5 (ug/kg)
Tetrachloroethene	7	14.3	1 (ug/kg)

DRAFT

**LIST OF DETECTED ORGANIC COMPOUNDS**  
**Number of Samples, Percent Detected, and Maximum Concentration**

---

Groundwater Samples

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Number of Samples</u>	<u>Percent Detect.</u>	<u>Maximum Concentration</u>
<b>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
Acenaphthene	15	20	5 (ug/l)
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	15	20	3 (ug/l)
Di-n-butyl Phthalate	15	67	2 (ug/l)
Diethyl Phthalate	15	67	6 (ug/l)
Fluoranthene	15	20	4 (ug/l)
Fluorene	15	20	4 (ug/l)
Naphthalene	17	118	13 (ug/l)
Phenanthrene	15	20	6 (ug/l)
Pyrene	15	20	65 (ug/l)
<b>Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
Methylene chloride	21	48	6 (ug/l)

DRAFT

Stream Water Samples

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Number of Samples</u>	<u>Percent Detect.</u>	<u>Maximum Concentration</u>
<b>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
Benzoic Acid	27	37	28 (ug/l)
Pentachlorophenol	27	37	5 (ug/l)
<b>Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
Methylene Chloride	28	36	35 (ug/l)


DRAFT

Seep Water Samples

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Number of Samples</u>	<u>Percent Detect.</u>	<u>Maximum Concentration</u>
<b>Volatile Organic Compounds</b>			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	4	25	2 (ug/l)
1,1-dichloroethene	4	25	4 (ug/l)
1,2,dichloroethene	4	25	4 (ug/l)
Acetone	1	100	65 (ug/l)
Tetrachloroethene	4	25	28 (ug/l)
Trichloroethene	4	25	7 (ug/l)

MAP LEGEND

- STREAMS, DITCHES, DRAINAGE FEATURES
- PAVED ROADS
- DIRT ROADS
- INDIVIDUAL HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SITES
- 1331
- SS5012AS SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- SS5002AS SEEP SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATION
- F10 HFG SURVEY STATION



Drawn	NIM	5/11/24
Checked	7/17	5/11/24
Approved	EGAG	Date
Approved	BOE	Date

SEEP SEDIMENT AND SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP IHSS 133

THIS - ANNUAL FIELD SAMPLING PLAN

008 PHASE 1 IFA/FE IMPLEMENTATION




0001823

E2079000

N749,000

N747,000





INCORRECT LOCATION OF IHSS 1331 SHOWN IN OUS WORK PLAN

← TO WEST FENCE

MAP LEGEND

- STREAMS, DITCHES, DRAINAGE FEATURES
- PAVED ROADS
- DRIFT ROADS
- LANDFILL BOUNDARY SURFACE DISTURBANCE PRE SD
- SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS

- SEEP SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATION




Drawn MM 5/11/99  
 Checked JL 5/11/99  
 Approved SD 5/11/99  
 Approved SD 5/11/99

SURFACE SOIL AND SEEP SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATIONS IHSS 115

THIS - AEROSOL FIELD SAMPLING PLAN FOR PHASE I EPT/RE IMPLEMENTATION

FIGURE 2.4.3 1-1




E2 081 000

6000

MAP LEGEND

- STREAMS, DITCHES, DRAINAGE FEATURES
- DIRT ROADS
- INDIVIDUAL HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SITES (IHSS)
- 209
- SS50082AS SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS



Drawn: ANN SULLIVAN  
 Checked: 7/27/04  
 Approved: EGG  
 Approved: IDE


Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS IHSS 209 AND SURFACE DISTURBANCE WEST OF IHSS 209**

THIS IS AN APPROVED FIELD SAMPLING PLAN FOR PHASE I RPT/RI INVESTIGATION



FIGURE 2.7.3.8-1A



MAP LEGEND

STREAMS, DITCHES,  
DRAINAGE FEATURES

DIRT ROADS

INDIVIDUAL HAZARDOUS  
SUBSTANCE SITES (IHSS)

SURFACE SOIL  
SAMPLING LOCATION

SS50089AS  
▲

SCALE. 1 = 200

Drawn	NM	5/11/94
Checked	TEJ	5/10/94
Approved EG&G		
Approved BDE		

SURFACE SOIL  
SAMPLE LOCATIONS  
SURFACE DISTURBANCE  
SOUTH OF THE ASH PITS

THIS - AMENDED FIELD SAMPLING PLAN  
FOR PHASE I RPT/RA IMPLEMENTATION

FIGURES 2.7.3.2-1B

E20823.000



000788723

3719

N746.000

MAP LEGEND

- STREAMS, DITCHES, DRAINAGE FEATURES
- PAVED ROADS
- DIRT ROADS
- SURFACE WATER INFOUNDMENTS
- INDIVIDUAL HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SITES
- 142
- 51193 WELL LOCATION
- 63393 WELL POINTS
- CROSS SECTION LOCATION



Drawn	NM	5/11/94
Checked	TEP	5/11/94
Approved		
EG&G		
Approved		
DCI		

MONITORING WELL  
LOCATION MAP IHSS 142

THIS - APPROVED FIELD SAMPLING PLAN  
OUR PRIME I SP/WE IMPLEMENTATION



FIGURE 2.6.3.1-1

