DOE/RFO
CORRESPONDENCE
INCOMING LETTER

93 DOE 17425
SCHASSBURGER
ACTION 11/4

DUE DATE

	LTR	ENC
NELSON, R.M.		
PAUDLE, R.H.		
BISHOP, M.L.		
BRAINARD, B.		
CANNODE, G.R.		
HARTMAN, J.	X	
IZELL, K.		
KABOL, M.S.		
McBRIDE, M.H.		
SARGENT, D.		
WITHERILL, U.F.		
ADAMS, J.J.		
ANDERSON, T.W.		
CRAUN, R.L.		
DUFFY, G.G.		
HOFFMAN, R.B.		
LEVERNIER, R.J.		
LOCKHART, F.R.	X	
LUKOW, T.E.		
OLINGER, S.		
RASK, W.C.		
RUSCITTO, D.G.		
SCHASSBURGER	X	
BRAKKEN, K.T.		
GRETHEL, T.		
HARGREAVES, M.		
HICKS, D.R.		
HUFFMAN, G.N.		
MALCHESKI, D.		
McCORMICK, M.S.		
MILLER, H.G.		
OSTMEYER, R.M.		
PEWTSCH, E.		
POSLUSZNY, J.		
RAMPE, J.		
REECE, J.		
STEWARD, J.D.		
VANDERPUY, M.		
WALLIN, B.		
BIRK	X	
O/E	H	
RECORDS	H	H

NOTE:

RECEIVED FOR ADDRESSEE

BY: DATE:

MK 10/21

EG&G ROCKY FLATS

EG&G ROCKY FLATS, INC.
ROCKY FLATS PLANT, P.O. BOX 464, GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402-0464 · (303) 966-7000

U.S.D.O.E.

'93 OCT 20 PM 3 25

000023182

October 19, 1993

93-RF-12918

Richard J. Schassburger
Acting Director
Environmental Restoration Division
DOE, RFO

Attn: R. H. Birk

REQUEST FOR WRITTEN GUIDANCE TO ADOPT ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION PROCESS FOR OPERABLE UNITS 7 AND 11 - WSB-428-93

Ref: W. S. Busby ltr, WSB-424-93, to Richard J. Schassburger, Recommended Field Approach Changes for the Industrial Area Environmental Evaluation, October 13, 1993

Environmental Evaluations (EEs) for Operable Units (OUs) 7 and 11 are presently being conducted in accordance with format changes as recommended and outlined in the above-referenced letter. These changes provide for a three-phased approach that deviates from original Work Plans, but matches the most current and approved regulatory guidance for implementing EEs at the Rocky Flats Plant. This guidance was developed jointly between the Department of Energy (DOE), the Colorado Department of Health (CDH), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. technical staff. To date, no written guidance to adopt this approach has been issued.

Operable Units 7 and 11 are currently at different stages of EE development. Both presently need DOE written guidance to adopt the agency-approved process. The EE for OU 7 has been incorporated with the OU 6 EE. The Work Plans for OU 7 and OU 6 do not incorporate the recently approved EE format. For OU 11, EE field work has also been completed, however, no additional data was collected and the process in the original EE in the Work Plan was not changed. The format for performing the EE Risk Assessment in the conditionally-approved OU 11 Work Plan does not conform with the new process. Utilization of the new presentation format for both OUs requires DOE written approval.

Written concurrence is necessary for EE work to continue in a manner consistent with the new process. Your immediate attention to this matter is appreciated. Please call T. P. O'Rourke of Remediation Project Management at extension 8577 if you have any questions.

T. P. O'Rourke for WS Busby

W. S. Busby
Acting Director
ERM/Remediation Project Management

KKO:dmf

Orig. and 1 cc - R. J. Schassburger

MHS 28-5

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

17425

ADMIN RECORD

A-OU11-000060