

## MEETING MINUTES

### OU11 CDPHE CONSERVATIVE SCREEN LETTER REPORT PRESENTATION

March 24, 1995 meeting at EPA

#### Attendees

Arturo Duran, EPA  
Karl Spreng, CDPHE  
Dave George, DOE  
Dan Booco, EG&G  
Rick Roberts, EG&G  
Mary Siders, EG&G  
Cindy Gee, Stoller  
Mark Lewis, Stoller  
Will Barnard, Stoller  
Joe Gordon, Secor  
Fred Duncan, Secor  
Mike Bedan, Secor

This meeting began with introductions of the above listed attendees Dave George (DOE) introduced the subject matter by describing the CDPHE Letter Report and its contents George stated that this letter report submittal should be received by EPA and CDPHE in lieu of the technical memoranda referenced in the IAG George stated that a letter to this effect would be submitted to the agencies next week (week of March 27)

Duran stated that if the agencies agree with the Letter Report and agree with its conclusions, the OU11 process could be accelerated by not submitting an RI Report He stated if the Letter Report contains adequate information to make decisions, the RI may not be necessary George stated that the RI, PP and ROD were necessary documents to present to the public and provide public input Spreng stated that he concurred with Duran that acceleration of the decision process is desirable and should be prioritized Spreng would like to see the public review process undertaken quickly

Gee provided a narrative walk-through of the CDPHE process, its implementation at OU 11, and the report The presentation followed the agenda for the meeting (attached) Duran questioned the status of data validation for OU 11 Gee responded that she estimated at least 80 percent validation is represented in this report Spreng asked Siders about the Background Soils Report and its impact to OU 11 (with a different background data set used for OU 11) Siders responded that she had looked at the differences between the two background data sets and the only potential changes affecting OU 11 would be in americium and plutonium These are OU 11 PCOC's in soils so there is in fact no impact

Lewis was then asked to update the group on the EE work for OU 11 He stated that the ecological risk toxicity screen and the ecological data both point to no further action at the site

ADMIN RECORD

A-OU11-000102

Phthalates were identified at the site but pose no risk to ecological receptors

The meeting concluded with a discussion led by George concerning the schedule. Duran and Spreng agreed to attempt to have comments and edits on the Letter Report to George by April 7. A meeting could be held at that time to discuss the acceleration of the OU 11 schedule. The possible schedule of a public meeting in September followed by a draft CAD/ROD in December was mentioned. Spreng added that the gravel mining scenario for risk assessment would not apply to OU 11 at this point.

Meeting adjourned