219

Rocky Flats Plant

FEBRUARY
1994
ER-4180110-222

Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND WASTE REPORTING:

C. M. Madore, Coordinator
J. B. Novy, Supervisor
J. B. Novy, Technical Editor
D. R. Stanton, Desktop Publisher

CONTRIBUTORS:

B. M. Bowen
L. A. Dunstan
G. R. Euler
B. J. Pauley
L. C. Pauley
General Laboratories
Environmental Radiochemistry Laboratory

EG&G ROCKY FLATS

EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc.
Rocky Flats Plant
P.O. Box 464
Golden, Colorado 80402-0464

A prime contractor to the
United States Department of Energy

Table of Contents

List of Figures i
List of Tables ii
February Highlights iii

1. Introduction 1-1

2. Air 2-1
   2.1 Airborne Effluent 2-1
   2.2 Ambient 2-10

3. Water 3-1
   3.1 Radionuclide 3-1
   3.2 Nonradionuclide 3-8
   3.3 Flow 3-14

4. Meteorology and Climatology 4-1

Appendix A Radiation Standards for Protection of the Public A-1
Appendix B National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement Volatile Organic Compounds B-1
Appendix C Colorado Water Quality Control Commission Standards C-1
Appendix D Distribution D-1

List of Figures

Figure 1 Radiological Effluent Air Sampling System 2-3
Figure 2 Location of Onsite and Perimeter Air Samplers 2-11
Figure 3 Location of Community Air Samplers 2-12
Figure 4 Holding Pond and Liquid Effluent Water Courses 3-2
Figure 5 Daytime and Nighttime Wind Roses for the Rocky Flats Plant - February 1994 4-5
Figure 6 Stream Segmentation and Classification - February 1994 ..... C-2

List of Tables

Table 1 Plutonium and Americium Airborne Effluent Data 2-4
Table 2 Uranium Airborne Effluent Data 2-6
Table 3 Tritium and Beryllium Airborne Effluent Data 2-8
Table 4 Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers 2-13
Table 5 Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers 2-14
Table 6 Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers 2-15
Table 7 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-3
Table 8 Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-4
Table 9 Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium 3-7
Table 10 NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results 3-9
Table 11 NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring 3-11
Table 12 Water Sample Results, Nonradioactive Parameters 3-13
Table 13 Daily Flow Data Recorded at the Walnut Creek at Indiana Gaging Station, Ponds A-4 and B-5 3-15
Table 14 Daily Flow Data Recorded at Ponds C-1 and C-2 (Woman Creek) 3-16
Table 15 Daily Transfer Flow Data Recorded for Pond B-5 to Pond A-4 3-17
Table 16 Rocky Flats Plant Wind Direction Frequency (Percent) by Four Wind-Speed Classes 4-3
Table 17 Climatic Summary 4-4
Table 18 Water Quality Standards Comparison C-3

Rocky Flats Plant
Environmental Monitoring Report

February Highlights

Summarized below are highlights from the major data categories. The remaining data presented in this report are within the ranges historically measured for their respective parameters and locations.

Effluent Air Sampling Results - Effluent air sampling results for the month of February are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3. The data for 43 americium locations are being reported one month in advance. For tritium, the data for two samples are missing, due to a failure of quality assurance criteria. These samples are being re-run. For beryllium, the February data are missing, due to incomplete laboratory analysis. Missing data will be reported when they become available.

Ambient Air Sampling Results - Ambient air sampling results for the month of February are presented in Tables 4, 5, and 6. All data are within expected ranges. This month, Air Quality Division (AQD) personnel discovered that the data reported in January were calculated using February air flow volumes. Errata sheets will be included in next month's report to correct this error.

Onsite Water Sampling Results - Onsite water sampling results for the month of February are presented in Tables 7, 8, and 9. All data are within expected ranges. Errata sheets provide corrected and/or previously unreported uranium and tritium data for the months of December and January.

NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sampling Results - Water sampling results associated with the NPDES/FFCA permit are presented in Tables 10, 11, and 12. No NPDES exceedances were reported during the month of February, and all results are within expected ranges.

Groundwater Monitoring - Groundwater samples are collected quarterly from a network of more that 400 alluvial and bedrock wells, which are spatially distributed across Rocky Flats to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements and plant protection guidelines for monitoring groundwater at hazardous

waste sites. Some wells are used to help characterize hydrogeologic conditions, while others are used to monitor background groundwater quality.

On March 16, 1994, the Department of Energy (DOE) issued a press release (Rocky Flats News Release, 94-41), stating that unfiltered water samples taken from a monitoring well located along the eastern boundary of Rocky Flats may indicate plutonium and americium concentrations above the site-specific groundwater standard of 0.05 picocuries per liter.

Federal, state, and local officials have been briefed, and a technical plan is being developed to identify an appropriate course of action(s). More detailed information will be provided at the next Environmental Monitoring Exchange of Information Meeting. At that time, representatives from the EG&G Geosciences Division will describe the Rocky Flats Groundwater Monitoring Program and discuss the activities that have been undertaken to analyze results from the subject monitoring well.

Also, beginning next month, quarterly groundwater monitoring data will be reported in this document to expand the scope of information presented, and to provide the technical basis for an ongoing discussion about groundwater quality at Rocky Flats.

1. Introduction

The Rocky Flats Plant (RFP) has been part of a nationwide Department of Energy (DOE) complex for the research, development, and production of nuclear weapons. The plant was responsible for fabricating nuclear weapons components from plutonium, uranium, beryllium, and stainless steel. The primary production activities included metal fabrication and assembly, chemical recovery and purification of process-produced transuranic radionuclides, and related quality control functions.

This mission changed with the announcement in early 1992 that certain planned weapons systems had been canceled. RFP no longer produces weapons components, and is now in a transition phase into decontamination and decommissioning (D&D). Primary objectives of this new mission include achieving and maintaining compliance with environmental regulatory requirements, as well as effecting proper D&D steps that are under development.

Because radioactive and chemically hazardous materials may be used or handled at RFP during transition, the plant maintains an extensive environmental protection program. Included in that program is regular monitoring for radioactive and hazardous constituents at onsite, plant boundary, and offsite locations.

This Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report summarizes the effluent and environmental monitoring programs at the RFP for February 1994. Data presented herein reflect the best information available to the RFP at this time. If subsequent analyses indicate that any data presented herein are inaccurate or misleading, revisions will be issued promptly.

The Highlights section summarizes the major data categories presented. Remaining data presented in this report are within the ranges historically measured for their respective parameters and locations.

Radiation standards for protection of the public are discussed in Appendix A of this report. The primary standards are based on calculations of radiation dose. These calculations are performed annually using monitoring data presented in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report. Radiation doses to the public from RFP operations are typically well below any regulatory limit and far less than are received from naturally occurring radiation sources in the Denver metropolitan area.

Appendix B lists the Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) for which monitoring is required under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (NPDES/FFCA). Appendix C describes Colorado Water Quality Control Commission (CWQCC) standards for the Walnut Creek and Woman Creek drainages downstream of RFP.

Error terms in the form of "a+b" are included with some of the data. For a single sample, "a" is the analytical-blank corrected value; for multiple samples it represents the arithmetic mean, the volume-weighted mean, or the annual total, as indicated in the table. The error term "b" accounts for the propagated statistical counting uncertainty of the sample(s) and the associated analytical blanks at the 95 percent confidence level. These error terms represent a minimum estimate of error for the data.

Plutonium, uranium, americium, tritium, and beryllium measured concentrations are given in this report. Most of the measured concentrations are at or very near background levels, and often there is little or no amount of these materials in the media analyzed. When this occurs, the results of the laboratory analyses can be expected to show a statistical distribution of positive and negative numbers near zero and numbers that are less than the calculated minimum detectable concentration for the analyses. The laboratory analytical blanks, used to correct for background contributions to the measurements, show a similar statistical distribution around their average values. Negative sample values result when the measured value for a laboratory analytical blank is subtracted from a sample analytical result smaller than the analytical blank value. Results that are less than calculated minimum detectable levels indicate that the results are below the level of statistical confidence in the actual numerical values. All reported results, including negative values and values that are less than minimum detectable levels, are included in any arithmetic calculations on the data set. Reporting all values allows all of the data to be evaluated using appropriate statistical treatment. This assists in identifying any bias in the analyses, allows better evaluation of distributions and trends in environmental data, and helps in estimating the true sensitivity of the measurement process.

The reader should use caution in interpreting individual values that are negative or less than minimum detectable levels. A negative value has no physical significance. Values less than minimum detectable levels lack statistical confidence as to what the actual number is, although it is known with high confidence that it is below the specified detection level. Such values should not

be interpreted as being the actual amount of material in the sample, but should be seen as reflecting a range (from zero to the minimum detectable level) in which the actual amount would likely lie. These values are significant, however, when taken together with other analytical results that indicate that the distribution is near zero.

The data in this report are provided as a matter of courtesy and should not be construed as an application for a permit or license, or in support of such an application. Approval of the DOE should be obtained before publication of any data contained in this report.

Abbreviations used within this report are as defined.

Abbreviations

BOD_5	Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5 day test
C Average	Average concentration
$CBOD_5$	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5 day test
C Maximum	Maximum concentration
C Minimum	Minimum concentration
EFF	Efficiency
LC_{50}	Lethal concentration to 50 percent of the organisms
m^3	Cubic meter
m/s	Meters per second
mCi	Millicurie
mg/l	Milligrams per liter
mrem	Millirem
pCi/l	Picocuries per liter
pCi/m^3	Picocuries per cubic meter
pH	Hydrogen ion concentration
SU	Standard Unit
$\mu g/m^3$	Micrograms per cubic meter
#/100 ml	Number per 100 milliliter
µCi	Microcurie
µg/l	Micrograms per liter

2. Air

2.1 Airborne Effluent

RFP continuously monitors radionuclide air emissions at 53 locations in 17 buildings. The requirements outlined in the "General Environmental Protection Programs" (DOE Order 5400.1) and the "National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From DOE Facilities" (40 CFR 61, Subpart H), mandate the continuous monitoring of air emissions at all release points with the potential of discharging radionuclides into the air in quantities that could result in an effective dose equivalent (EDE) greater than 0.1 millirem per year.

The radiological particulate monitoring and sampling program uses a three-tier approach comprising Selective Alpha Air Monitors (SAAMs), total long-lived alpha screening of routine air duct emission sample filters, and radiochemical analysis of isotopes collected from air duct emission samples. This approach balances both sensitivity and timeliness of desired results. Figure 1 shows a typical radiological emission sampler configuration within an exhaust duct at the RFP.

For immediate detection of abnormal conditions, RFP building ventilation systems that service areas containing plutonium are equipped with SAAMs. SAAMs are sensitive to specific alpha particle energies and are set to detect plutonium-239 and -240. These detectors are subjected to daily operational checks, monthly performance testing and calibration for airflow, and an annual radioactive source calibration to maintain sensitivity and reliability. Monitors alarm automatically if out-of-tolerance conditions are experienced.

At regular intervals, particulate material samples from a continuous sampling system are removed from each exhaust system and radiometrically analyzed for long-lived alpha and beta emitters. The concentration of long-lived alpha and beta emitters is indicative of effluent quality and overall performance of the High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filtration system. If the total long-lived alpha concentration for an effluent sample exceeds the RFP action value of 0.020 x 10-12 microcuries per milliliter, a follow-up investigation is conducted to determine the cause and to evaluate the need for corrective action. The action value is equal to the most restrictive offsite Derived Concentration Guide (DCG) for plutonium activity in air.

At the end of each month, individual samples from each exhaust system are composited by location. An aliquot of each dissolved composite sample is analyzed for beryllium particulate materials. The remainder of the dissolved sample is subjected to radiochemical separation and alpha spectral analysis that quantifies specific alpha-emitting radionuclides. Analyses for uranium isotopes are conducted for each composite sample.

Forty-one of the ventilation exhaust systems are located in buildings where plutonium processing is conducted. Particulate material samples from these exhaust systems are analyzed for specific isotopes of plutonium and americium. Typically, americium contributes only a small fraction of the total alpha activity release from RFP.

Processes ventilated from several exhaust systems potentially exhibit trace quantities of tritium contamination. Impinger-type samplers are used to collect samples three times each week from the monitored locations. Tritium concentrations in the sample are measured using a liquid scintillation photospectrometer.

The calibration methodology for the beryllium analyses was changed beginning with the September 1990 samples to improve quality assurance. The previous procedure used the single-point, "simple method of additions," one of the methods recommended by the manufacturer of the graphite furnace atomic absorption analytical equipment. The current method is based on Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Contract Laboratory Program protocol. It uses multi-point calibration curves, periodic validation of the curve with EPA validation standards, and periodic blank and sample checks to ensure absence of equipment contamination and matrix effects during the analysis.

Tables 1 through 3 show monitoring results for radioactive and nonradioactive airborne effluents continuously sampled from plant buildings.



Figure 1: Radiological Effluent Air Sampling System

Table 1

Plutonium and Americium Airborne Effluent Data

Month	Plutonium-239, -240 (1/13/94 - 2/15/94) Release (µCi)			C Maximum (pCi/m³)			Americium-241 (12/13/93 - 1/14/94) Release (µCi)			C Maximum (pCi/m³)		
CY1993	0.1492	±	0.0299	0.0006	±	0.0001	0.1575	±	0.0407	0.0001	±	0.0000
1994												
January	0.0076	±	0.0016[a]	0.0001	±	0.0000	-0.0002	±	0.0017[b]	0.0001	±	0.0000
February	0.0225	±	0.0019	0.0001	±	0.0000	0.0057	±	0.0025[c]	0.0001	±	0.0000
Year to Date	0.0301	±	0.0035	0.0001	±	0.0000	0.0055	±	0.0042	0.0001	±	0.0000

a The data for one location was missing because of failure of quality assurance criteria and was not available because no additional sample remained for analysis. Best estimates of release activity for this sample was included in this Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report.

b Previously reported as incomplete data.

c The data for 43 americium locations are being reported 1 month in advance.


PLUTONIUM MEASURED IN EFFLUENT AIR
(µCi)
5
4
3
2
1
0
-1
0.0075
0.0017
0.0092
0.0107
0.0156
0.0108
0.0104
0.0087
0.0127
0.0101
0.0076
0.0225
MAR
APR
MAY
JUN
JUL
AUG
SEPT
OCT
NOV
DEC
JAN
FEB
1993
1994


AMERICIUM MEASURED IN EFFLUENT AIR
(µCi)
0.25
0.2
0.15
0.05
0
-0.05
0.0091
0.0053
0.0049
0.0091
0.0059
0.0082
0.0323
0.0376
0.0143
0.0177
-0.0002
0.0057
MAR
APR
MAY
JUN
JUL
AUG
SEPT
OCT
NOV
DEC
JAN
FEB
1993
1994

Table 2

Uranium Airborne Effluent Data

Month	Uranium-233, -234 (1/13/94 - 2/15/94) Release (µCi)			C Maximum (pCi/m³)			Uranium-238 (1/13/94 - 2/15/94) Release (µCi)			C Maximum (pCi/m³)		
CY1993	0.7029	±	0.1200	0.0004	±	0.0004	0.8940	±	0.1257	0.0005	±	0.0004
1994												
January	-0.0118	±	0.0074	0.0000	±	0.0000	-0.0107	±	0.0075	0.0001	±	0.0000
February	0.1018	±	0.0106	0.0001	±	0.0000	0.1267	±	0.0111	0.0002	±	0.0000
Year to Date	0.0900	±	0.0180	0.0001	±	0.0000	0.1160	±	0.0186	0.0001	±	0.0000


URANIUM-233, -234 MEASURED IN EFFLUENT AIR
(µCi)
5
4
3
2
1
0
-1
0.0559
-0.0056
0.0551
0.0519
0.0291
0.0561
0.083
0.1456
0.1162
0.0485
-0.0118
0.1018
MAR
APR
MAY
JUN
JUL
AUG
SEPT
OCT
NOV
DEC
JAN
FEB
1993
1994


URANIUM-238 MEASURED IN EFFLUENT AIR
(µCi)
5
4
3
2
1
0
-1
0.0733
0.0047
0.0741
0.0839
0.0512
0.0768
0.0941
0.146
0.1296
0.0527
-0.0107
0.1267
MAR
APR
MAY
JUN
JUL
AUG
SEPT
OCT
NOV
DEC
JAN
FEB
1993
1994

Table 3

Tritium and Beryllium Airborne Effluent Data

	Tritium (H-3) (1/31/93 - 2/28/94)		Beryllium (1/13/94 - 2/15/94)	
Month	Release (mCi)	C Maximum (pCi/m^3)	Release (grams)	C Maximum ($\mu g/m^3$)
1993				
January	0.1886	51 ± 7	0.0280 ± 0.0019	0.00038
February	0.8773	91 ± 7	0.0477 ± 0.0038	0.00038
March	0.4892	32 ± 7	0.0504 ± 0.0039	0.00043
April	0.1674	22 ± 3	0.0391[a] ± 0.0028	0.00016
May	0.1037	32 ± 4	0.0635 ± 0.0045	0.00034
June	0.3265	102 ± 8	0.0640 ± 0.0043	0.00023
July	0.2121	45 ± 7	0.0530 ± 0.0036	0.00018
August	0.4414	35 ± 6	0.0422 ± 0.0036	0.00031
September	0.8382	3135 ± 38	0.0597 ± 0.0092	0.00022
October	0.1750	25 ± 6	0.0474[b] ± 0.0039	0.00018
November	-0.1284[b]	17 ± 6	0.0374[b] ± 0.0030	0.00021
December	0.0356	24 ± 11	0.0466[b] ± 0.0037	0.00022
Year to Date	3.9290	3135 ± 38	0.5789 ± 0.0481	0.00043
1994				
January	0.2856[c]	823 ± 11	0.0315 ± 0.0019	0.00047
February	0.2227[d]	15 ± 5	e	e

NOTE: Beryllium measured at the remaining 44 locations was below the screening level of 0.1 gram per month. Beryllium emissions from the RFP are regulated by the State of Colorado under Colorado Air Quality Control Regulation #8. The limit for beryllium air emissions is 10 grams per stationary source in a 24-hour period. No blank corrections are made to any beryllium data.

a The data for one location was missing because of failure of quality assurance criteria and was not available because no additional sample remained for analysis. Best estimates of release activities for this sample was included in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report.
b Previously reported as incomplete data.
c The data for 10 tritium samples are missing due to incomplete laboratory analysis.
d The data for two tritium samples are missing due to failure of quality assurance criteria . The samples are being rerun.
e Incomplete laboratory analysis.


TRITIUM MEASURED IN EFFLUENT AIR
(mCi)
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
-1
0.4892
0.1674
0.1037
0.3265
0.2121
0.4414
0.8382
0.175
0.074
0.0356
0.2856
0.2227
MAR
1993
APR
MAY
JUN
JUL
AUG
SEPT
OCT*
NOV
DEC
JAN
FEB
1994
* Incomplete data


BERYLLIUM MEASURED IN EFFLUENT AIR
(grams)
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0
-0.1
0.0504
0.0391
0.0635
0.064
0.053
0.0422
0.0597
0.0474
0.0374
0.0466
0.0315
0
MAR
1993
APR
MAY
JUN
JUL
AUG
SEPT
OCT
NOV
DEC
JAN
FEB**
1994
* Not blank corrected
** Incomplete data

2.2 Ambient

Ambient air samplers monitor plutonium concentrations in air in the surrounding environment. This monitoring is performed in accordance with DOE Order 5400.1. The data are used to determine the air-inhalation dose to the public for comparison with the DOE standard of 100 millirem per year EDE from all modes of exposure from routine plant operations.

Samplers are designated in three categories by their proximity to the main facilities area.

1. Twenty-three onsite samplers are located within RFP, generally downwind of RFP production facilities areas and near areas of known plutonium contamination (Figure 2).

2. Fourteen perimeter samplers border RFP along major highways on the north (Highway 128), east (Indiana Street), south (Highway 72), and west (Highway 93) (Figure 2).

3. Eleven community samplers are located in metropolitan areas adjacent to RFP (Figure 3).

Samplers operate continuously at a volumetric flow rate of approximately 0.84 cubic meters per minute, collecting air particulates on 20- by 25-centimeter fiberglass filters. Manufacturer's test specifications rate this filter media to be 99.97 percent efficient for relevant particle sizes under conditions typically encountered in routine ambient air sampling.

Ambient air filters are collected biweekly and composited monthly by location before isotopic analysis. All routine ambient air filters are analyzed for plutonium-239 and -240.

Tables 4 through 6 summarize environmental monitoring data from the RFP ambient air sampling network.



Figure 2: Location of Onsite and Perimeter Air Samplers



Figure 3: Location of Community Air Samplers

Table 4

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers

(01/10/94 - 02/7/94)

Location	Volume (m^3)	Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m^3)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m^3)
S-01[a]			
S-02[a]			
S-03	27842	.000001	.000001
S-04	25945	.000008	.000002
S-05	35535	.000027	.000004
S-06	30676	.000077	.000008
S-07	27710	.000078	.000009
S-08	32388	.000198	.000017
S-09	31993	.000111	.000011
S-10	32829	.000002	.000001
S-11	31549	.000005	.000002
S-13	31842	.000001	.000001
S-14	27491	.000000	.000001
S-16	34436	.000002	.000002
S-17	39299	.000003	.000001
S-18	26054	.000015	.000003
S-19	32713	.000008	.000002
S-20	36007	.000003	.000001
S-21	34689	.000003	.000001
S-22	25775	.000005	.000002
S-23	31460	.000002	.000001
S-24[a]			
S-25[a]			
S-81[b]			

a These samplers were out of service. Samplers 1, 2, and 81 will not be reported in the future monthly reports.

b Unable to incorporate new calibration data.

Table 5

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers

(01/11/94 - 02/8/94)

Location	Volume (m^3)	Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m^3)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m^3)
S-31	34566	.000001	.000001
S-32	34279	.000006	.000002
S-33	34364	.000003	.000001
S-34	32699	.000000	.000001
S-35	37490	.000003	.000001
S-36	33889	.000000	.000001
S-37	33727	.000002	.000001
S-38	36079	.000009	.000002
S-39	35148	.000001	.000001
S-40	30679	.000001	.000001
S-41	31884	.000001	.000001
S-42	29649	.000001	.000001
S-43	32702	.000001	.000001
S-44	29658	.000001	.000001

Table 6

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers

(01/12/94 - 02/9/94)

Location	Community Name	Volume (m^3)	Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m^3)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m^3)
S-51	Marshall	29524	.000000	.000000
S-52	Jeffco Airport	29131	.000000	.000001
S-53	Superior	31776	.000000	.000000
S-54	Boulder	33881	.000000	.000001
S-55[a]	Lafayette			
S-56	Broomfield	28255	.000001	.000001
S-57[a]	Walnut Creek			
S-58	Wagner	30559	.000000	.000001
S-59[b]	Leyden	33941	.000001	.000001
S-61[a]	Denver			
S-62[b]	Golden			
S-68	Lakeview Pointe	34841	.000001	.000001
S-73	Cotton Creek	28258	.000001	.000001

a Samplers 55, 57, and 61 were damaged or removed, they will not be reported in the future monthly reports.

b This sampler was out of service.


(pCi/m³)
PLUTONIUM CONCENTRATIONS FOR COMMUNITY AMBIENT AIR SAMPLERS
February 1994
Annual Mean
* Incomplete data
** Damaged sampler
*** Inoperative sampler
**** Out of Service
0.000014
0.000012
0.000010
0.000008
0.000006
0.000004
0.000002
0.000000
-0.000002
-0.000004
S-51 S-52 S-53 S-54 S-55** S-56 S-57** S-58 S-59**** S-61**S-62**** S-68 S-73


(pCi/m³)
PLUTONIUM CONCENTRATIONS FOR PERIMETER AMBIENT AIR SAMPLERS
February 1994
Annual Mean
* Incomplete data
0.000016
0.000011
0.000006
0.000001
-0.000004
S-31 S-32 S-33 S-34 S-35 S-36 S-37 S-38 S-39 S-40 S-41 S-42 S-43 S-44

3. Water

3.1 Radionuclide

RFP samples for and analyzes radionuclides that may be present in the plant surface-water control ponds and drinking water reservoirs. Radionuclide standards for discharge of surface-water effluents are given in DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." In addition, the CWQCC has issued stream segment standards for drainages downstream of RFP. These standards address both radioactive and nonradioactive parameters.

Water sampling is performed at several locations at RFP. These include Ponds A-4, B-5, C-1, and C-2, as well as Walnut Creek at Indiana Street. Daily samples are collected during discharges or periods of flow for these locations and composited into weekly samples. Analyses are then performed for plutonium, americium, and uranium isotopic concentrations.

Water sampling results for radioactive constituents are given in Tables 7 through 10.



Note: Stream flow in the Rocky Flats area is to the east.

Figure 4: Holding Pond and Liquid Effluent Water Courses

Table 7

Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutonium-239, -240			Americium-241		
Pond A-4 - No Discharge						
Pond B-5 - No Discharge						
Pond C-1						
01/29/94 - 02/04/94	0.001	±	0.002	0.007	±	0.003
02/05/94 - 02/11/94	0.003	±	0.002	-0.001	±	0.001
02/12/94 - 02/18/94	0.001	±	0.001	0.000	±	0.002
02/19/94 - 02/25/94	0.004	±	0.003	0.002	±	0.002
Average concentration	0.002	±	0.002	0.002	±	0.005
Pond C-2 - No Discharge						
Walnut Creek at Indiana - No Flow						

Table 8

Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Uranium-233, -234			Uranium-238		
Pond A-4 - No Discharge						
Pond B-5 - No Discharge						
Pond C-1						
01/29/94 - 02/04/94	1.98	±	0.10	1.56	±	0.08
02/05/94 - 02/11/94	1.93	±	0.09	1.49	±	0.07
02/12/94 - 02/18/94	1.48	±	0.08	1.09	±	0.06
02/19/94 - 02/25/94	1.45	±	0.07	1.09	±	0.05
Average concentration	1.71	±	0.09	1.31	±	0.07
Pond C-2 - No Discharge						
Walnut Creek at Indiana- No Flow						


Plutonium in Pond A-4 Effluent Water
* No Discharge
** Previously reported as incomplete data
*** Incomplete Data
Maximum measured concentration
Minimum measured concentration
Average concentration
WQCC Standard=0.05 pCi/l
pCi/l
0.150
0.120
0.090
0.060
0.030
0.000
-0.030
-0.060
MAR
APR
MAY
JUN
JUL
AUG
SEPT*
OCT*
NOV
DEC*
JAN
FEB*
1993
1994


Plutonium in Pond C-1 Effluent Water
* No Flow
** Previously reported as incomplete data
*** Incomplete Data
Maximum measured concentration
Minimum measured concentration
Average concentration
WQCC Standard=0.05 pCi/l
pCi/l
0.240
0.210
0.180
0.150
0.120
0.090
0.060
0.030
0.000
-0.030
-0.060
MAR
APR
MAY
JUN
JUL*
AUG*
SEPT
OCT
NOV
DEC
JAN
FEB
1993
1994


Plutonium in Pond C-2 Effluent Water
* No Discharge
** Previously reported as incomplete data
*** Incomplete Data
Maximum measured concentration
Minimum measured concentration
Average concentration
WQCC Standard=0.05 pCi/l
pCi/l
0.150
0.120
0.090
0.060
0.030
0.000
-0.030
-0.060
MAR*
APR
MAY*
JUN
JUL*
AUG*
SEPT*
OCT*
NOV*
JAN*
FEB*
1993
1994


Plutonium in Walnut Creek at Indiana Street
* No Flow
** Previously reported as incomplete data
*** Incomplete Data
Maximum measured concentration
Minimum measured concentration
Average concentration
WQCC Standard=0.05 pCi/l
pCi/l
0.150
0.120
0.090
0.060
0.030
0.000
-0.030
-0.060
MAR
APR
MAY*
JUN
JUL
AUG
SEP*
OCT*
NOV
DEC*
JAN
FEB*
1993
1994

Table 9

Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium

Location	Number of Samples	Tritium (pCi/l) C Minimum	C Maximum	C Average
Pond C-1	4	0 ± 80	220 ± 90	110 ± 90

3.2 Nonradionuclide

RFP conducts sitewide surface-water sampling programs to monitor discharges from detention ponds, evaluate potential contaminant releases, and characterize baseline water quality. Nonradioactive parameters requirements for this monitoring are derived from the NPDES permit as modified in March 1991 by an FFCA. The NPDES/FFCA permit sets limits for nonradioactive pollutants in effluent water from federal facilities.

The EPA has issued to the RFP an NPDES permit for control of surface-water discharges. The RFP NPDES permit establishes effluent limitations for seven surface-water discharge points that may discharge into drainages leading off of the RFP.

Water sampling results associated with the NPDES/FFCA permit are reported in Table 10. Applicable NPDES/FFCA limits are included in Table 10 for comparison. Monitoring results for which no limits have been established under the NPDES/FFCA are reported in Table 11. Analytical results for nonradioactive parameters in water at Walnut Creek at the Indiana Street location are summarized in Table 12.

Table 10

NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results

Discharge 001-A (Pond B-3) - Pond discharged continuously 02/01/94 - 02/28/94

Parameters		Measured 30-Day Average	Limit 30-Day Average	Measured Max. 7-Day Average	Limit Max. 7-Day Average
Nitrate	mg/l	1.2	10	2.2	20

		Measured Maximum	Limit Maximum
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	0.10	0.5

Discharge 001-B (Sewage Treatment Plant) - Discharged continuously 02/01/94 - 02/28/94

Parameters		Measured 30-Day Average	Limit 30-Day Average	Measured Maximum	Limit Maximum
$CBOD_5$	mg/l	3.2	10	9.4	25
Total Phosphorus	mg/l	2.2	8	5	12
Total Chromium	mg	0.0041	0.05	0.0044	0.10

		Measured 30-Day Average	Limit 30-Day Average	Measured Max. 7-Day Average	Limit Max. 7-Day Average
Fecal Coliforms	#/100 ml	1 (Geometric)	200 (Geometric)	2 (Geometric)	400 (Geometric)
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	12	30	24	45

		Measured Minimum	Limit Minimum	Measured Maximum	Limit Maximum
pH	SU	6.5	6.0	7.3	9.0

	Observed Sheen	Limit Sheen
Oil and Grease	No visual	No visual

Table 10

NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results (Continued)

Discharge 002 (Pond A-3) - Pond discharged continuously 02/14/94 - 02/18/94

Parameters		Measured 30-Day Average	Limit 30-Day Average	Measured Maximum	Limit Maximum
Nitrates as N	mg/l	1.9	10	3.5	20
		Measured Minimum	**Limit Minimum**	**Measured Maximum**	**Limit Maximum**
pH	SU	6.9	6.0	7.2	9.0

Discharge 003 (RO Pilot Plant) and Discharge 004 (RO Plant) are inactive outfalls and will be eliminated from the new NPDES permit.

Discharge 005 (Pond A-4) - No Discharge

Parameters		Measured Maximum	Limit Maximum
Total Chromium	mg/l		0.05

Discharge 006 (Pond B-5) - No Discharge

Parameters		Measured 30-Day Average	Limit 30-Day Average	Measured Max. 7-Day Maximum	Limit Max. 7-Day Maximum
Nitrate as N[a]	mg/l		10		20
			Measured Maximum	**Limit Maximum**	
Total Residual Chlorine[a]	mg/l			0.5	
Total Chromium	mg/l			0.05	

Discharge 007 (Pond C-2) - No Discharge

Parameters		Measured Maximum	Limit Maximum
Total Chromium	mg/l		0.05

a These parameters are measured only in the event that Waste Water Treatment Plant effluent bypasses Pond B-3 and flows directly into Pond B-5.

Table 11

NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring

Discharge 001-A (Pond B-3) - Pond discharged continuously 02/01/94 - 02/28/94

Parameters		Measured Maximum	Measured 30-Day Average
BOD_5	mg/l	17.2	9.5
$CBOD_5$	mg/l	7.1	3.4
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	11	8

Discharge 001-B (Sewage Treatment Plant [STP]) - Discharged continuously 02/01/94 - 02/28/94

Parameters		Measured Maximum	Measured 30-Day Average
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	0.14	0.02

Whole Effluent Toxicity[a] - Sampled quarterly; data reported 12/93

Ceriodaphnia	% EFF to LC_{50}:
Fathead Minnows	% EFF to LC_{50}:

Metals	µg/l	Measured 30-Day Average
Metals were sampled on 02/02/94 and 02/09/94		
Antimony		<24.0
Arsenic		<1.9
Beryllium		<1.0
Cadmium		0.3
Copper		5.2
Iron		106.6
Lead		<1.0
Manganese		19.9
Mercury		<0.2
Nickel		<19.0
Silver		0.8
Zinc		53.2

		PQL[b]	Concentrations that were above PQL
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	µg/l		
No compounds measured above PQLs			

Table 11

NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring (Continued)

Discharge 003 (Reverse Osmosis Pilot Plant) and Discharge 004 (Reverse Osmosis Plant) are inactive outfalls and will be eliminated from the new NPDES permit.

Discharge 005 (Pond A-4) - No Discharge

Whole Effluent Toxicity[a]
Ceriodaphnia % EFF to LC_{50}:
Fathead Minnows % EFF to LC_{50}:

Discharge 006 (Pond B-5) - No Discharge

Whole Effluent Toxicity[a]
Ceriodaphnia % EFF to LC_{50}:
Fathead Minnows % EFF to LC_{50}:

Discharge 007 (Pond C-2) - No Discharge

Whole Effluent Toxicity[a]
Ceriodaphnia % EFF to LC_{50}:
Fathead Minnows % EFF to LC_{50}:

a Results for whole effluent toxicity are given in percentage of effluent sample that will cause mortality to half the test result organisms within the time frame of the test. For example, >100 percent indicates that 100 percent pure effluent did not cause acute toxicity to at least half of the organisms. A lower percentage LC_{50} (lethal concentration to 50 percent of test organisms) indicates a greater toxic effect since less of the sample is required to observe a sufficiently extensive adverse effect.

b PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) is equal to ten times the Method Detection Limit and represents the quantity at which 70 percent of laboratories can report in the 95 percent confidence interval.

Table 12

Water Sample Results, Nonradioactive Parameters

Walnut Creek at Indiana Street

No Flow

Parameters		Number of Samples	C Minimum	C Maximum	C Average
pH	SU				N/A
Nitrates as N	mg/l				

3.3 Flow

Daily flow data for surface water from the two plant drainage systems (Walnut Creek and Woman Creek) are given in Tables 13 and 14. The current NPDES/FFCA permit requires flow measurement for terminal ponds when discharged offsite (A-4, B-5, and C-2). Other flow data are reported for informational purposes.

Daily flow data for water transferred from Pond B-5 to Pond A-4, for subsequent discharge offsite, are given in Table 15. Discharges from Pond A-4, which include transfers from Pond B-5, enter Walnut Creek and are diverted around Great Western Reservoir through the Broomfield Diversion Ditch. Discharges from Pond C-2 are pumped through a pipeline into the Broomfield Diversion Ditch, and also diverted around Great Western Reservoir.

Table 13

Daily Flow Data Recorded at the Walnut Creek at Indiana Gaging Station, Ponds A-4 and B-5

Date	Walnut Creek at Indiana (Gallons)	Pond A-4 (Gallons)	Pond B-5 (Gallons)
02/01/94	No Flow	No Discharge	No Discharge
02/02/94			
02/03/94			
02/04/94			
02/05/94			
02/06/94			
02/07/94			
02/08/94			
02/09/94			
02/10/94			
02/11/94			
02/12/94			
02/13/94			
02/14/94			
02/15/94			
02/16/94			
02/17/94			
02/18/94			
02/19/94			
02/20/94			
02/21/94			
02/22/94			
02/23/94			
02/24/94			
02/25/94			
02/26/94			
02/27/94			
02/28/94	No Flow	No Discharge	No Discharge
Total	No Flow	No Discharge	No Discharge

Table 14

Daily Flow Data Recorded at Ponds C-1 and C-2 (Woman Creek)

Date	Pond C-1 (Gallons)	Pond C-2 (Gallons)
02/01/94	102,000	No Discharge
02/02/94	102,000	
02/03/94	97,000	
02/04/94	96,000	
02/05/94	99,000	
02/06/94	116,000	
02/07/94	141,000	
02/08/94	139,000	
02/09/94	99,000	
02/10/94	122,000	
02/11/94	100,000	
02/12/94	59,000	
02/13/94	60,000	
02/14/94	80,000	
02/15/94	94,000	
02/16/94	127,000	
02/17/94	145,000	
02/18/94	148,000	
02/19/94	105,000	
02/20/94	88,000	
02/21/94	71,000	
02/22/94	72,000	
02/23/94	67,000	
02/24/94	130,000	
02/25/94	121,000	
02/26/94	117,000	
02/27/94	140,000	
02/28/94	149,000	No Discharge
Total	2,986,000	No Discharge

Table 15

Daily Transfer Flow Data Recorded for Pond B-5 to Pond A-4

Date	Pond B-5 to Pond A-4 (Gallons)
02/01/94	No Transfer
02/02/94	
02/03/94	
02/04/94	
02/05/94	
02/06/94	
02/07/94	
02/08/94	
02/09/94	
02/10/94	
02/11/94	
02/12/94	
02/13/94	No Transfer
02/14/94	652,000
02/15/94	1,023,000
02/16/94	1,115,000
02/17/94	1,098,000
02/18/94	1,029,000
02/19/94	1,012,000
02/20/94	692,000
02/21/94	No Transfer
02/22/94	
02/23/94	
02/24/94	
02/25/94	
02/26/94	
02/27/94	
02/28/94	No Transfer
Total	6,621,000

Errata

Table 8 - Errata December 1993

Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Location	Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l) Uranium-233, -234			Uranium-238		
Pond A-4 - No Discharge						
Volume weighted average concentration						
Pond B-5 - No Discharge						
Pond C-1						
11/27/93 - 12/03/93	1.54	±	0.09	1.10	±	0.07
12/04/93 - 12/10/93	1.62	±	0.09	1.16	±	0.07
12/11/93 - 12/17/93	1.44	±	0.09[a]	1.07	±	0.08[a]
12/18/93 - 12/24/93	1.60	±	0.08[b]	1.14	±	0.06[b]
12/24/93 - 12/31/93	1.70	±	0.10	1.26	±	0.08
Average concentration	1.58	±	0.10[b]	1.15	±	0.07[b]
Pond C-2 - No Discharge						
Volume weighted average concentration						
Walnut Creek at Indiana - No Flow						
Volume weighted average concentration						

a Incorrect results previously reported.

b Previously reported incomplete.

Table 8 - Errata January 1994

Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Uranium-233, -234			Uranium-238		
Pond A-4						
01/08/94 - 01/14/94	0.68	±	0.04	0.75	±	0.04
01/15/94 - 01/21/94	0.64	±	0.05[a]	0.64	±	0.05[a]
01/22/94 - 01/24/94	0.67	±	0.05	0.68	±	0.05
Volume weighted average concentration	0.66	±	0.03[a]	0.69	±	0.03[a]
Pond B-5 - No Discharge						
Pond C-1						
01/01/94 - 01/07/94	1.61	±	0.08	1.14	±	0.06
01/08/94 - 01/14/94	1.89	±	0.09	1.41	±	0.07
01/15/94 - 01/21/94	1.67	±	0.16	1.23	±	0.12
01/22/94 - 01/28/94	1.61	±	0.09	1.21	±	0.10
Average concentration	1.70	±	0.13	1.25	±	0.12
Pond C-2						
Volume weighted average concentration						
Walnut Creek at Indiana						
01/09/94 - 01/14/94	0.71	±	0.04	0.78	±	0.04
01/15/94 - 01/21/94	0.70	±	0.05[a]	0.73	±	0.05[a]
01/22/94 - 01/24/94	0.80	±	0.09	0.76	±	0.08
Volume weighted average concentration	0.72	±	0.03[a]	0.75	±	0.03[a]

a Previously reported incomplete.

Table 9 - Errata January 1994

Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium

Location	Number of Samples	Tritium (pCi/l) C Minimum		C Maximum		C Average	
Pond A-4[a]	17	-70	± 90	260	± 100	40	± 20
Pond C-1	4	-120	± 110	190	± 80	10	± 130
Walnut at Indiana[a]	16	140	± 110	190	± 80	20	± 20

a Volume weighted average concentration.

4. Meteorology and Climatology

Meteorological data are routinely collected on the plantsite from instrumentation installed on a 61-meter (200-foot) tower located in the west buffer zone at an elevation of 1,870 meters (6,140 feet) above sea level. The frequency of wind direction and speed are shown in Table 16. The compass points indicate the direction from which the wind blows. Day and night wind roses display these frequencies graphically in Figure 5 to illustrate the large diurnal wind changes. The wind rose sectors also represent the direction from which the wind blows (i.e., wind along each sector blows toward the center).

Winds at RFP generally occur from the west through northwest, especially when speeds are greater than 4 m/s (9 mph). At lighter wind speeds less than 4 m/s (9 mph), the distribution of wind direction is more even. Wind speeds greater than 5 m/s (11 mph) from the east sector rarely occur. The distribution of winds during February 1994 indicates predominant, strong large-scale winds from the west during the day and night. During times with light large-scale winds, thermally driven winds formed and flowed up the slope southeast of RFP during the daytime. There were few northerly winds during the month because there were few storms and arctic air masses. The frequency of westerly winds increased slightly at night because of gentle, low-level drainage winds flowing down the Rocky Flats slope.

February had slightly below-normal temperatures. Precipitation, and snowfall were close to average. The Front Range was under the influence of an upper high pressure ridge most of the month, interrupted by occasional storminess. The polar jet stream was often situated over Colorado on a few occasions, thereby causing frequent, strong downslope winds. Very strong west winds with a peak gust reaching 95 mph (42 m/s) occurred on February 24. This gust was the greatest since December 1982 when a few gusts exceeded 100 mph. The high temperatures reached at least 50° F (10 °C) on 8 days and soared to above 56° F (13° C) on 5 days. The monthly maximum of 61° F (16° C) was reached on February 16.

Most of the month's snowfall fell in the last week on February 21 and 28. A modified arctic air mass and clear skies allowed the lowest temperature to reach -3° F (-19° C) on February 8, only to be followed by a daytime high of 41° F (5° C) as chinook

winds quickly scoured out the air mass. The high temperature remained below freezing on only 4 days during the month.

The mean wind speed during February 1994 was 10.7 mph (4.7 m/s). This was slightly windier then average. The mean temperature was 29.4° F (-1.4° C), or about 2° F (1.7° C) above normal. The high temperatures averaged about 3° F (1.7° C) above normal while overnight low temperatures were 5° F (2.1° C) below normal. Precipitation was near the normal during the month, totalling 0.77 inches (2.0 cm). The monthly snowfall of 9.4 inches (23.8 cm) was very close to average. Snowfall is near normal so far this winter season, equaling about 52 inches (132 cm).

Table 16

Rocky Flats Plant Wind Direction Frequency (Percent) by Four Wind-Speed Classes

(Fifteen-Minute Averages - February 1994)

	Calm	0.5-2.5 (m/s)	2.5-4 (m/s)	4-8 (m/s)	>8 (m/s)	Total (m/s)
N	-	1.94	2.72	3.58	0.00	8.24
NNE	-	2.16	1.97	1.90	0.26	6.29
NE	-	1.71	1.64	0.63	0.00	3.98
ENE	-	1.04	1.12	0.34	0.00	2.50
E	-	1.01	1.30	0.48	0.00	2.79
ESE	-	0.93	0.97	0.71	0.00	2.61
SE	-	2.05	1.64	0.67	0.00	4.36
SSE	-	1.60	1.53	1.53	0.00	4.66
S	-	1.42	2.05	2.01	0.00	5.48
SSW	-	1.75	1.97	1.75	0.11	5.58
SW	-	1.56	1.34	1.71	0.41	5.02
WSW	-	1.94	2.50	3.31	2.79	10.54
W	-	1.56	1.90	2.12	5.62	11.20
WNW	-	2.38	1.34	3.54	5.44	12.70
NW	-	2.46	1.94	2.09	0.52	7.01
NNW	-	2.35	1.68	2.87	0.04	6.94
TOTAL	0.07	27.86	27.61	29.24	15.19	100.00

Table 17

Climatic Summary

Date	TEMPERATURE (deg. F) High	Low	Mean	DEW-POINT (deg. F) Mean	WIND SPEED (mph) Mean	Peak gust (1 sec)	PRESS. (mb) Mean	SOLAR (kW-h/m2) Total	WATER-EQUIV.-PRECIP. (inches) Total	Peak (15 min)	SNOW (inches) Total
02/01/94	29.3	10.0	19.7	-3.1	19.0	56.6	811.8	4.08	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/02/94	41.0	13.3	27.2	-0.9	14.3	57.0	809.2	4.19	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/03/94	43.5	7.2	25.4	4.3	8.5	36.7	807.1	4.18	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/04/94	42.1	9.9	26.0	11.7	7.2	37.4	808.4	3.06	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/05/94	41.2	9.9	25.6	7.3	21.5	57.3	807.4	4.21	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/06/94	45.1	23.9	34.5	7.0	11.2	44.7	807.3	3.76	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/07/94	41.2	15.1	28.2	20.1	7.2	28.4	802.2	2.79	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/08/94	41.4	-2.6	19.4	7.9	8.5	25.1	800.5	2.23	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/09/94	44.8	-1.3	21.8	9.3	10.5	52.3	806.2	4.38	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/10/94	44.4	20.3	32.4	18.1	12.3	51.2	807.4	2.68	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/11/94	41.0	16.0	28.5	22.6	8.5	33.8	802.1	2.68	0.26	0.02	3.8
02/12/94	31.5	1.8	16.7	5.4	9.4	31.5	814.4	4.72	0.02	0.01	0.4
02/13/94	48.2	19.9	34.1	5.9	12.3	55.3	816.6	4.83	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/14/94	50.7	24.8	37.8	5.9	13.4	39.8	812.8	4.22	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/15/94	52.7	17.2	35.0	12.7	7.6	23.7	815.0	4.61	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/16/94	61.0	32.7	46.9	6.8	8.1	34.2	815.0	4.19	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/17/94	59.5	29.8	44.7	21.0	7.2	19.7	806.3	2.97	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/18/94	57.9	28.4	43.2	20.7	14.3	48.3	794.2	3.23	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/19/94	40.5	21.4	31.0	14.5	7.4	26.6	802.8	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/20/94	42.8	15.6	29.2	15.8	7.2	22.8	809.9	4.54	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/21/94	28.9	16.0	22.5	21.4	8.1	16.8	808.8	1.40	0.11	0.02	1.8
02/22/94	23.2	6.8	15.0	15.4	4.5	11.4	806.8	3.20	0.02	0.01	0.4
02/23/94	39.0	5.9	22.5	3.6	19.5	66.0	806.2	5.28	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/24/94	50.0	7.2	28.6	14.7	27.5	94.8	800.2	4.78	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/25/94	30.2	2.8	16.5	10.2	5.6	14.3	810.7	3.74	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/26/94	57.7	13.1	35.4	23.5	5.6	23.7	810.3	3.78	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/27/94	56.1	30.9	43.5	24.8	7.6	21.9	807.6	2.65	0.00	0.00	0.0
02/28/94	46.6	16.7	31.7	31.1	6.5	24.6	811.3	2.22	0.36	0.04	3.0

MONTHLY TEMPERATURES Mean High	Mean Low	Mean	Dew-point	WIND SPEED Mean (mph)	Monthly Max.	PRESS. Monthly Avg.	SOLAR Monthly Total	PRECIPITATION Total	Monthly Max.	SNOW Total
44.0	14.7	29.4	12.8	10.7	94.8	807.8	101.30	0.77	0.04	9.4



Figure 5: Daytime (top) and Nighttime (bottom) Wind Rose for the Rocky Flats Plant - February 1994

Appendix A

Radiation Standards for Protection of the Public

Calculation of Potential Plant Contribution to Public Radiation Dose

The primary standards for protection of the public from radiation are based on radiation dose. Radiation dose is a means of quantifying the biological damage or risk of ionizing radiation. The unit of radiation dose is the rem or the millirem (1 rem = 1,000 mrem). Radiation protection standards for the public are annual standards, based on the projected radiation dose from a year's exposure to or intake of radioactive materials.

Radiation dose is a calculated value. It is calculated by multiplying radioactivity concentrations in air and water or on contaminated surfaces by assumed intake rates (for internal exposures) or by exposure times (for external exposure to penetrating radiation), then by the appropriate radiation dose conversion factors. That is:

Radiation Dose = Radioactivity Concentration x Intake Rate/Exposure Time x Dose Conversion Factor

Radioactivity concentrations can be determined either by measurements in the environment or by calculations using computer models. These computer models perform airborne dispersion/dose modeling of measured building radioactivity effluents and estimated diffuse source term emissions (e.g., from resuspension from contaminated soil areas).

DOE Radiation Protection Standards for the Public

ICRP-Recommended Standards for all Pathways:

Temporary Increase - 500 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent (with prior approval of DOE EH-2)

Normal Opertions - 100 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent

EPA Clean Air Act Standards for the Air Pathway Only:

10 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent

Assumed intake rates and dose conversion factors used are based on recommendations of national and international radiation protection advisory organizations, such as the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) and the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP).

Radioactive materials of importance in calculating radiation dose to the public from RFP activities include plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium. Alpha radiation emissions from plutonium, uranium, and americium are primary contributors to the projected radiation dose.

Potential public radiation dose commitments, which could have resulted from plant operations and from background (i.e., non-Plant) contributions, are calculated from average radionuclide concentrations measured at the DOE property boundary and in surrounding communities. Inhalation and water ingestion are the principal potential pathways of human exposure.

DOE Derived Concentration Guides for Radionuclides of Interest at the Rocky Flats Plant

Air Inhalation:

Radionuclide	DCG (pCi/m^3)
Plutonium-239, -240	0.02

Water Ingestion:

Radionuclide	DCG (pCi/l)
Plutonium-239, -240	30
Americium-241	30
Uranium-233, -234	500
Uranium-238	600
Hydrogen-3 (Tritium)	2,000,000

On February 8, 1990, DOE adopted DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," a radiation protection standard for DOE environmental activities (US 90). This standard incorporates guidance from the ICRP, as well as from the EPA Clean Air Act (CAA) air emission standards (as implemented in 40 CFR 61, Subpart H). Included in DOE Order 5400.5 is a revision of the dose limits for members of the public. Tables of radiation dose conversion factors currently used for calculating dose from intakes of radioactive materials were issued in July 1988 (US88a, US88b). The dose factors are based on the ICRP Publications 30 and 48 methodology and biological models for radiation dosimetry. The DOE Order 5400.5 and the dose conversion factor tables are used for assessment of any potential RFP contribution to public radiation dose. On December 15, 1989, EPA published revised CAA air emission standards for DOE facilities (US89). DOE radiation standards for protection of the public are given in this Appendix and include the December 15, 1989, EPA CAA air pathway standards.

DOE Derived Concentration Guides

Secondary radioactivity concentration guides can be calculated from the primary radiation dose standards and used as comparison values for measured radioactivity concentrations. DOE provides tables of these DCGs in DOE Order 5400.5. DCGs are the concentrations that would result in an EDE of 100 mrem from 1 year's chronic exposure or intake. In calculating air inhalation DCGs, DOE assumes that the exposed individual inhales 8,400 cubic meters of air at the calculated DCG during the year. Ingestion DCGs assume a water intake of 730 liters at the calculated DCG for the year. The table on this page lists the most restrictive air and water DCGs for the principal radionuclides of interest at the RFP.

Compliance with EPA Clean Air Act Standards

To determine compliance with the EPA air emissions standards, measured airborne effluent radioactivity emissions are entered into the EPA-approved atmospheric dispersion/dose calculation computer code, CAP88-PC, for calculation of the maximum radiation dose that an individual in the public could receive from the air pathway only.

For comparison with the annual radiation dose standards for protection of the public, the maximum annual EDE that a member of the public could receive as a result of RFP activities is typically less than 1 mrem, or less than 1 percent of the recommended annual standard for all pathways.

Dose Equivalent and Effective Dose Equivalent

Dose equivalent is a calculated value used to quantify radiation dose; it reflects the degree of biological effect from ionizing radiation. Differences in the biological effect of different types of ionizing radiation (e.g., alpha, beta, gamma, or x-rays) are accounted for in the calculation of dose equivalent.

EDE is a calculated value used to allow comparisons of total health risk (based primarily on the risk of cancer mortality) from exposures of different types of ionizing radiation to different body organs. It is calculated by first calculating the dose equivalent to those organs receiving significant exposures, multiplying each organ dose equivalent by a health risk weighing factor, and then summing those products. One millirem EDE from natural background radiation would have the same health risk as one millirem EDE from an artificially produced source of radiation.

References

US88a DOE/EH-0070, "External Dose-Rate Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," United States Department of Energy, Asst. Secretary for Environment, Safety and Health, July 1988.

US88b DOE/EH-0071, "Internal Dose Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," United States Department of Energy, Asst. Secretary of Environment, Safety and Health, July 1988.

US89 United States Environmental Protection Agency, Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 61, Subpart H, "National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides other than Radon from Department of Energy Facilities," Washington, D.C., December 15, 1989.

US90 United States Department of Energy, DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," Washington, D.C., February 8, 1990.

Appendix B

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement Volatile Organic Compounds

The following is a list of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) for which monitoring is required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (NPDES/FFCA).

Compound	PQL (µg/l)	Compound	PQL (µg/l)
Benzene	5	1,3-dichloropropylene	5
Bromoform	5	Ethylbenzene	5
Methyl bromide	10	Methyl chloride	10
Carbon Tetrachloride	5	Methylene chloride	5
Chlorobenzene	5	1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	5
Chlorodibromomethane	5	Tetrachloroethylene	5
Chloroethane	10	Toluene	5
Chloroform	5	1,2-trans-dichloroethylene	5
Dichlorobromomethane	5	1,1,1-trichloroethane	5
1,1-dichloroethane	5	1,1,2-trichloroethane	5
1,2-dichloroethane	5	Trichloroethylene	5
1,1-dichloroethylene	5	Vinyl chloride	10
1,2-dichloropropane	5		

Appendix C

Colorado Water Quality Control Commission Standards

The Colorado Water Quality Control Commission has finalized new standards for the Walnut Creek and Woman Creek drainages. The EPA has not yet written a new NPDES permit that reflects these standards; however, in the spirit of the Agreement in Principle (AIP) completed between the DOE and the State of Colorado, the RFP is attempting to meet the standards at this time (Figure 6).

Standards for CWQCC are summarized in Table 18.



Figure 6: Stream Segmentation and Classification

Table 18

Water Quality Standards Comparison

Parameter Organics	CURRENT Segment 5 Standard µg/l	CURRENT Segment 4 Standard µg/l	footnotes
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	30	30	6
ACENAPHTHENE	520	520	6
ACENAPHTHYLENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
ACROLEIN	21	21	6
ACRYLONITRILE	0.058	0.058	3
ALDICARB	10	10	2
ALDRIN	0.00013	0.00013	3,4
ANTHRACENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
ATRAZINE	3	3	3
BENZENE	1	1	2
BENZIDINE	0.00012	0.00012	2
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BENZO(a)PYRENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BENZO(ghi)PERYLENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	0.3	0.3	3
BROMOFORM	4	4	3
BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE	3000	3000	6
CARBOFURAN	36	36	2
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	18	0.25	2,5
CHLORDANE	0.00058	0.00058	3,4
CHLOROBENZENE	100	100	2
CHLOROETHYL ETHER (BIS-2)	0.03	0.03	2,3
CHLOROFORM	6.0	6.0	3
CHLOROMETHYL ETHER (BIS)	0.0000037	0.0000037	3
CHLOROPHENOL	2000	2000	6
CHLOROPYRIFOS	0.041	0.041	6
CHRYSENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
DDD 4'4	0.00083	0.00083	6
DDE 4'4	0.001	0.001	2
DDT 4'4	0.00059	0.00059	3,4
DEMETON	0.1	0.1	3
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE	2700	2700	6
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	6	6	3
DICHLOROBENZENE 1,2	620	620	2
DICHLOROBENZENE 1,3	400	400	2
DICHLOROBENZENE 1,4	75	75	2
DICHLOROBENZIDINE	0.039	0.039	3
DICHLOROETHANE 1,2	0.4	0.4	2
DICHLOROETHYLENE 1,1	0.057	0.057	2
DICHLOROETHYLENE 1,2-CIS	70	70	2
DICHLOROETHYLENE 1,2-TRANS	100	100	2
DICHLOROPHENOL 2,4	21	21	6

DICHLOROPHENOXYACETIC ACID (2,4-D)	70	70	3,4
DICHLOROPROPANE 1,2	0.56	0.56	2
DIELDRIN	0.00014	0.00014	3,4
DIETHYL PHTHALATE	23000	23000	6
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE	313000	313000	6
DIMETHYLPHENOL 2,4	2120	2120	6
DINITRO-O-CRESOLE	13	13	6
DINITROPHENOL 2,4	14	14	2
DINITROTOLUENE 2,4	0.11	0.11	6
DINITROTOLUENE 2,6	230	230	6
DIOXIN (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	0.000000013	1.3E-08	3,4
DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE 1,2	0.04	0.04	2
ENDOSULFAN	0.056	0.056	3
ENDRIN	0.0023	0.0023	3,4
ENDRIN ALDEHYDE	0.2	0.2	6
ETHYLBENZENE	680	680	2
ETHYLHEXYL PHTHALATE (BIS-2)	1.8	1.8	6
FLUORANTHENE	42	42	3
FLUORENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
GUTHION	0.01	0.01	3
HEPTACHLOR	0.00021	0.00021	3,4
HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE	0.0001	0.0001	2
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	0.00072	0.00072	3,4
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	0.45	0.45	3,4
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE, ALPHA (BHC)	0.0039	0.0039	3
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE, BETA (BHC)	0.014	0.014	3
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE, GAMMA (BHC)	0.019	0.019	3,4
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE, TECHNICAL (BHC)	0.012	0.012	3
HEXACHLOROETHANE	1.9	1.9	3
HEXACHLOROROCYCLOPENTADIENE	5	5	2
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
ISOPHORONE	8.4	8.4	2
MALATHION	0.1	0.1	3
METHOXYCHLOR	0.03	0.03	3,4
METHYL BROMIDE	48	48	3
METHYL CHLORIDE	5.7	5.7	3
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	4.7	4.7	3
MIREX	0.001	0.001	3
NAPHTHALENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
NITROBENZENE	3.5	3.5	2
NITROSO-DI-n-PROPYLAMINE-N	0.005	0.005	6
NITROSODI-N-BUTYLAMINE-N	0.0064	0.0064	3
NITROSODIETHYLAMINE-N	0.0008	0.0008	3
NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE-N	0.00069	0.00069	3
NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE-N	4.9	4.9	3
NITROSOPYRROLIDINE-N	0.016	0.016	3
PARATHION	0.4	0.4	3
PCBs	0.000044	0.000044	3,4
PENTACHLOROBENZENE	6	6	2
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	5.7	5.7	2
PHENANTHRENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
PYRENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
SIMAZINE	4	4	3
TETRACHLOROBENZENE 1,2,4,5	2	2	2
TETRACHLOROETHANE 1,1,2,2	0.17	0.17	6
TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	76	0.8	3,4,5
TOLUENE	1000	1000	2
TOXAPHENE	0.0002	0.0002	2

TRICHLOROETHANE 1,1,1	200	200	2
TRICHLOROETHANE 1,1,2	0.6	0.6	2
TRICHLOROETHYLENE	66	2.7	2,5
TRICHLOROPHENOL 2,4,5	700	700	2
TRICHLOROPHENOL 2,4,6	2.0	2.0	2
TRICHLOROPHENOXYPROPIONIC (2,4,5-TP)	50.0	50.0	3
VINYL CHLORIDE	2	2	2

Parameter	*CURRENT* *Segment 5 Standard*	*CURRENT* *Segment 4 Standard*	
Metals	***µg/l***	***µg/l***	***footnotes***
ALUMINUM	150	150	6
ARSENIC	50	50	2
BARIUM	1000	1000	2
BERYLLIUM	4	4	1
CADMIUM	TVS = 1.50	TVS=1.50	1,2
CHROMIUM III	50	50	2
CHROMIUM VI	11	11	2
COPPER	23	TVS=16	1,4
IRON (d)	300	300	2
IRON	13200	1000	5,6
LEAD	28	TVS=6.5	2
MANGANESE (d)	560	50	2
MANGANESE	1000	1000	1
MERCURY	0.01	0.01	2
NICKEL	TVS= 125	TVS=125	1
SELENIUM	10	10	2
SILVER	TVS= 0.59	TVS=0.59	2
THALLIUM	0.012	0.012	2
ZINC	350	TVS=45	1,4

TVS = TABLE VALUE STANDARD - TVSs, promulgated by the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission, are variable standards subject to the measured values for other parameters, such as total hardness.
d = DISSOLVED METAL

1 Statewide agricultural standard.
2 Statewide water supply standard.
3 Site-specific standard.
4 This standard is more restrictive than the statewide water supply standard.
5 Segment 5 standard is a temporary modification.
6 Statewide aquatic standard.

Parameter	CURRENT Segment 5 Standard µg/l	CURRENT Segment 4 Standard µg/l	footnotes
Physical & Biological			
MINIMUM DISSOLVED OXYGEN (mg/l)	5.0	5.0	1,2
pH (s.u.)	6.5-9.0	6.5-9.0	2
FECAL COLIFORMS PER 100 ML	2000	2000	2
Inorganics			
UNIONIZED AMMONIA - March through June	1800	calculated	2
UNIONIZED AMMONIA - July through February	700	calculated	2
Note: Statewide water supply unionized ammonia standard of 0.5 µg/l applied at water supply intake.			
AMMONIA	100	100	
BORON	750	750	1
CHLORIDE	250000	250000	2
CHLORINE (ACUTE)	19	19	6
CHLORINE (CHRONIC)	11	11	6
CYANIDE (FREE)	5	5	1,2
FLUORIDE	2000		2
NITRATE	10000	10000	2
NITRITE	500	500	2
SULFATE	250000	250000	2
SULFIDE (AS H2S)	2	2	2

Parameter	CURRENT Segment 5 Standard Woman Creek pCi/l	CURRENT Segment 4 Standard Walnut Creek pCi/l
Radionuclides		
Gross Alpha	7	11
Gross Beta	5	19
Americium	0.05	0.05
Curium 244	60	60
Neptunium 237	30	30
Plutonium	0.05	0.05
Uranium	5	10
Uranium 233 & 234		
Uranium 238		
Cesium 134	80	80
Radium 226 & 228	5	5
Strontium 90	8	8
Thorium 230 & 232	60	60
Tritium	500	500

Appendix D

Distribution

Federal Agencies

US DOE, RFO
Attn: J.K. Hartman
Assistant Manager
Bldg. 115

US EPA
Attn: Dr. M. Lammering,
R. Rutherford
One Denver Place - Suite 1300
999 18th Street
Denver, CO 80202-2413

US EPA
Attn: B. Lavelle
999 18th Street, Suite 500
8 HWM-FF
Denver, CO 80202-2405

State Government Agencies

Colorado Council on Rocky Flats
Attn: G. Swartz
1536 Cole Blvd., Suite 325
Denver West Office Park #4
Golden, CO 80401

Colorado Water Conservation Board
Attn: N.C. Ioannides
823 State Centennial Building
1313 Sherman Street
Denver, CO 80203

Denver Regional Council of Governments
Attn: L. Mugler
2480 W. 27th Avenue, #200B
Denver, CO 80211

Department of Natural Resources
Attn: K. Salazar
1313 Sherman Street
Denver, CO 80203

City Governments

City of Arvada
Utilities Division
Attn: M. Mauro
8101 Ralston Road
Arvada, CO 80002

City of Boulder
Office of the City Manager
Attn: J. Piper, A. Struthers
P.O. Box 791
Boulder, CO 80302

City of Broomfield
Attn: H. Mahan, K. Schnoor
#6 Garden Office Center
P.O. Box 1415
Broomfield, CO 80038-1415

City of Fort Collins
Office of the City Manager
Attn: S. Burkett
300 La Porte
Fort Collins, CO 80525

City of Northglenn
Attn: N. Renfroe
11701 Community Center Drive
Northglenn, CO 80233-1099

City of Thornton
Attn: J. Ethredge, City Manager
9500 Civic Center Drive
Thornton, CO 80229-1120

City of Westminster
Attn: D. Cross, S. Nechtrieb
4800 W. 92nd Avenue
Westminster, CO 80030

Denver Water Department
Quality Control
Attn: J. Dice
1600 W. 12th Avenue
Denver, CO 80254

Health Departments

Boulder City/County Health Department - Division of Environmental Health
Attn: T. Douville, V. Harris
3450 Broadway
Boulder, CO 80020

Colorado Department of Health
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, CO 80222-1530
Attn: J. Bruch, R. Fox, D. Holm,
E. Kray, A. Lockhart, R. Quillin,
J. Sowinski

Colorado Department of Health
Office of Environmental Multimedia
Focal Group
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, CO 80222-1530
Attn: S. Tarlton

Jefferson County Health Department
Attn: Dr. M. Johnson, C. Sanders
260 South Kipling
Lakewood, CO 80226

Tri County District Health
Attn: S. Salyards
4301 E. 72nd Avenue
Commerce City, CO 80022

Environmental

Advance Sciences, Inc.
Attn: D. Kaskie, M.G. Waltermire
405 Urban Street, Suite 401
Lakewood, CO 80228

American Friends Service Co.
Attn: T. Rauch
1535 High Street, 3rd Floor
Denver, CO 80218

W. Gale Biggs Associates
Attn: Dr. W. Gale Biggs
P.O. Box 3344
Boulder, CO 80307

F.H. Blaha
2303 Table Heights Drive
Golden, CO 80401

L.C. Holdings
Attn: M. Jones
5650 York Street
Commerce City, CO 80022

IT Corporation
Attn: C. Rayburn
5600 S. Quebec, Suite 280D
Englewood, CO 80111

National Renewable Energy Laboratory
Attn: R. Noun
1617 Cole Blvd.
Golden, CO 80402

PRC Environmental Management, Inc.
Attn: R.J. Fox
1099 18th Street, Suite 1960
Denver, CO 80202

Peak Rock Spring Water
Attn: S. Dolson
4615 Broadway Street
Boulder, CO 80304-0509

Rocky Flats Cleanup Commission
Attn: K. Korkia
1738 Wynkoop, Suite 302
Denver, CO 80202

Sierra Club - Rocky Mountain Chapter
Attn: Dr. E. DeMayo
11684 Ranch Elsie Road
Golden, CO 80203

Woodward Clyde/ERCE
Attn: W. Glasgow
Stanford Place 3, Suite 415
4582 S. Ulster Street Pkwy.
Denver, CO 80237

Wright Water Engineers
Attn: J. Jones, S. Kribs
2490 W. 26th Avenue, Suite 100A
Denver, CO 80211

Other

R.M. Borinsky
13004 Lowell Court
Broomfield, CO 80020

W.J. Jones
10986 W. 77th Avenue
Arvada, CO 80005

T.T. Matsuo
11746 W. 74th Way
Arvada, CO 80005

R.D. Morgenstern
3213 W. 133rd Avenue
Broomfield, CO 80020

J.K. Natale
11767 W. 74th Way
Arvada, CO 80005

National Center for Atmospheric Research
Attn: S. Sadler
P.O. Box 3000
Boulder, CO 80307-3000

L.S. Newton
5993 W. 75th Avenue
Arvada, CO 80003

M. Peceny
Fluor Daniels
1726 Cole Blvd., Suite 150
Golden, CO 80401

Physicians for Social Responsibility
Attn: T. Perry
1000 16th NW, Suite 810
Washington, D.C. 20036

F.H. Shoemaker
13631 W. 54th Avenue
Arvada, CO 80002

D.S. Smith
11122 Seton Place
Westminster, CO 80030

D.L. Weiland
7648 Owens Court
Arvada, CO 80005

S.M. Yasutake
6381 West 74th Place
Arvada, CO 80003

EG&G Rocky Flats

S.J. Bender
Measure & Analysis

B.M. Bowen, EPM/Air Quality Division

E.A. Brovsky, General Chemistry

M.S. Brugh, Gen. Spect. Laboratory

J.A. Cuicci, Regulated Waste

S.L. Cunningham, Info. Security

N.M. Daugherty, EPM/Air Quality Division

N.S. Demos, ERM/Facility Operations

R.A. Deola, EPM/Air Quality Division

J.R. Dick, Analytical Labs

L.A. Doerr, Op. Health Physics

L.A. Dunstan, EPM/Surface Water Division

G.D. Elliott, FPM Program Management

E.W. Ellis, Technical Development

Environmental Master File
c/o M. Paliani, EPM/Records and Reporting

G.R. Euler, EPM/Air Quality Division

T.G. Hedahl, Associate General Manager Environmental & Waste Management

D.I. Hunter, General Laboratory

H. Jordan, Nuclear Safety Engineering

R.D. Lindberg, ERM/Env. Science and Technology

H.P. Mann, General Manager

S.C. McGlochlin, END

F.G. McKenna, Chief Counsel

J.I. McLaughlin, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting

C.M. Madore, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting

W.E. Osborne, EPM/Air Quality Division

J.G. Paukert, Media Relations

B.J. Pauley, EPM/Air Quality Division

L.C. Pauley, EPM/Air Quality Division

V.L. Peterson, Safety Analysis Engineering

D.R. Pierson, Pondrete Ops.

F. Primozic, Waste Quality Engineering

A.J. Read, Analytical Labs

Rocky Flats Plant
Public Reading Room
c/o Front Range Community College
3645 W. 112th Avenue
Westminster, CO 80037

R.S. Roberts, Remediation Programs Division

C.M. Sanda, Community Relations

J.K. Schwartz, Media Communications

C.A. Sedlmayr, Administration

G.H. Setlock, Director
Environmental Protection Management

T.A. Smith, Community Relations

N.R. Stallcup, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting

D.R. Stanton, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting

D. Stein, Mechanical Utilities

M.T. Sullivan, Radiation Protection

P.V. Thomas, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting

C. Trice, Analytical Labs

J.M. Wilson, Director, Communications

J. Zarret, Analytical Labs

K. Zbryk, Liquid Residue Management