

ROCKY FLATS ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING COUNCIL
 Second Meeting
 Tuesday, March 1, 1988
 7-9:45 p.m.
 North Jefferson County Senior Center, Room E
 6842 Wadsworth, Arvada

AGENDA

- 7:00--INTRODUCTION OF MEMBERS
 --REVIEW SUMMARY OF LAST MEETING
 --REVIEW AGENDA
- 7:15--MONTHLY UPDATE ON PERMIT ACTIVITIES
 Mike Sattler & Judy Rejebian, Colorado Department of Health
 (This session officially replaces the 3 p.m. update
 meeting held during the past several months. The 1:30
 p.m. exchange-of-information meetings will continue.)
- 7:30--CITIZENS COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON MONTHLY UPDATE
- 7:45--PRESENTATIONS ON THE HISTORY OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION
 AT ROCKY FLATS (with questions from the council)
 Jan Pilcher/Citizens Against Rocky Flats Contamination
 Al Hazel/Colorado Department of Health, Radiation Control
 Tom Greengard/Rockwell International
 Nat Miullo/Environmental Protection Agency
- 8:45--CITIZEN COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ON HISTORY OF CONTAMINATION
- 9:15--DISCUSSION OF FUTURE COUNCIL MEETING TOPICS
- 9:30--FUNDING AGREEMENTS FOR COUNCIL WORK
- 9:45--ADJOURNMENT

Beginning March 29, regular meetings of the council will be held at 7 p.m. the last Tuesday of each month. For locations or other information, please call Governor's office (Tim Holeman, 866-2155), Congressman Skaggs' office (Steve Smith, 650-7886), or Chair Jim Wilson, 772-8687.

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION
 REVIEW WAIVER PER
 CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

000024654

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

These notes are provided in paraphrased narrative style to give one a feel for the interests of the audience and the demeanor of the presenters. They focus on the questions and comments from the council and the audience. There were times when note-taking fell behind, and periods when the discussion in other parts of the room could not be heard. Areas marked with a bar in the margin were transcribed from tape which was only useful when those speaking were nearby. Information printed in (*parenthesis and italics*) are comments added by this author.

This was the first public meeting of the Rocky Flats Environmental Monitoring Council which was organized by Representative David Skaggs and Governor Roy Romer. The meeting was held at the Arvada Senior Center 6042 Wadsworth Blvd, Arvada Colorado. Members of the Council present are as follows

Melinda Kassen
George Fedoronko
Beverly Honey
Phil Bailey

Niels Schonbeck
Jim Wilson
Ted Tegeler
Walter Jessel

Absent were:

Sam Williams

Ted Borst

The meeting began with a summary of the organizational meeting held on February 7, 1988. A copy of the executive order creating the council and a printed meeting summary is attached.

A "MONTHLY UPDATE OF PERMIT ACTIVITIES" - was presented by Mike Sattler (Colorado Department of Health).

He began by responding to a question on the differences between RCRA, Part B Operating Permit, Closure Permits and Solid Waste Management Units.

A RCRA waste unit was presented as any unit which received waste after the November 1980 deadline.

A Part B Operating Permit applies to those things like tanks and the incinerators at Rocky Flats. They are reviewed by the Department and EPA and a notice of completeness is issued. A draft permit is issued within 6 months of the notice of compliance. These are not permits for low level mixed waste.

Closure plans apply to the Solar Evaporation ponds, landfill, and spray fields. The plant was asked for a response to comments by April 5, 1988. They have two months to improve or modify them before going to public comment. Draft closure plans are expected in June or July 1988. Post closure permits are expected in early 1989. These only deal with hazardous materials and low level radioactivity mixed with hazardous materials. The post closure permit is to assure that ground water is monitored for 30 years. They are to assure monitoring,

**ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988**

quarterly or more frequent sampling to make sure nothing is leaking out. Double lined tanks will replace the evaporation ponds. Old process waste lines are being replaced with new double ones and the west spray field is no longer used.

TRU mixed waste in the Part B Application is the area that manages the waste on site before going to WIPP.

Solid waste management units fall under CERCLA. They are areas where spills, leaks, etc. occurred before 1980. They are being dealt with by DOE under their CEARP program. Phase I was an identification process. Phase II - Investigations. The 881 hillside and 903 pad have been listed as high priority areas. The final draft was due today. Copies will be at the Westminster City Hall. EPA and CDH will do a joint review. A Final Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study will come out this fall. This is the first time a Federal facility has gone through this process.

A draft of the investigation of the 903 pad was out in January. In December 1988 RFP will prepare a feasibility study. All documents are open for public review. You can check them out and Xerox them.

The offsite contamination schedule will be addressed in June 1988.

DISCUSSION:

Question: Why hasn't CDH done all the monitoring? Why allow the company to do it?

Response: We don't do it because we don't have the resources (funds).

Rebuttal: CDH is supposed to protect the public. You should set up an agency in CDH funded by DOE and charge the cost back to DOE.

Question: Was there a part A permit granted?

Response: Part A is not a permit. It is a document that identifies each unit. Part B restricts and retains those units with regard to how they can operate. Post closure governs a unit to maintain Environmental quality.

Question: The length of the post closure permit is 30 years. Is 30 years long enough?

Response: There is a provision in the regulation to lengthen or shorten the monitoring period. This is done by the Director of the Colorado Department of Health.

Question: How many people were on the staff at CDH working on Rocky Flats problems?

Response: We have three working full time. Names and a brief work history were given for each.

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

- Miullo EPA CDH also has an enforcement group EPA has \$110,000 in contract support plus other resources Second party monitoring should be increased
- Rebuttal Since the plant is close to water it cries out for independent monitoring Are we really getting radiation sickness when we think we have the flu?
- Question: Why aren't they (RFP) identifying the locations where they are monitoring the community? They just put code numbers in their reports
- Question: The samplers are about 2 feet of the ground Shouldn't they be monitoring 100 feet, 200 feet or 1000 feet as well?
- Response That's a topic for an entire meeting I think the locations are in the annual report or monthly report.

A SUMMARY OF THE DOE CEARP PHASE I DOCUMENT - by Jan Pilcher
(Citizens Against Rocky Flats Contamination)

The review was admittedly done in consultation with Dr Edward Martell and Dr Jock Cobb (*The allegations presented by Martell and Cobb are the same as those presented to the preceding Rocky Flats Monitoring committee established by Governor Lamb and Tim Wirth*) The summary was basically read from a 4 page review prepared in September 1987 for Colorado Representative Sam Williams' Ad Hoc Committee on Rocky Flats. (Copy Attached) and from Comments on CEARP phase I prepared by Jan Pilcher in September 1986 (Copy Attached)

In addition to the statements made in the printed material provided comments were made either by Ms Pilcher or the audience that

- 1 The Rocky Flats Plant should be doing **organic analysis of the atmosphere** as well as in water and soil
- 2 The Rocky Flats plant has failed to address the **air pathway to health hazards from spray irrigation**

The committee was advised by Ms Pilcher to look into the following areas

- 1 Obtain a status report on how **pond crete** is being handled, stored, etc Is it still sitting out side in cardboard boxes?
- 2 The status of **tank storage inventory**
- 3 Look at the results of **well testing** in 1987 since new wells were put in (*The implication from Ms Pilcher and comments from the audience were that the new wells were seeing less plutonium than the old ones Therefore they were less sensitive - no good.*)

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

- 4 Get more recent sediment study data (Ms Pilcher was questioned about the units used in her paragraphs on Off Site Water Contamination in the report to Rep Williams (page 4) - was this really millicuries or microcuries - she didn't know)
- 5 Prevent DOE from using EPA contamination standards that have never been accepted
- 6 Get more information on the criticality that must have taken place after the 1957 fire Dr Carl Johnson found elevated levels of cesium that indicate that the Plutonium may have gone critical
- 7 Plutonium contamination on site may be 100 times higher than what CEARP says 1000 curies of plutonium may have been released from the drums - a few million times fallout levels There needs to be discussion of removal of the pad, how and where Clean up will cost hundreds of millions of dollars. The committee needs to address funding

Note: (The emphasis given to the above items is not to deemphasize Ms Pilcher's zeal for pursuing every item addressed in her written materials.)

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH RADIATION CONTROL - by Al Hazle, Director, Radiation Control Division

Mr Hazle began by suggesting to the monitoring council that that there were many documents that they could read, and if they were to do so their year would soon be expended. In his opinion there were some important ones which are as follows

- 1 The EIS which covers how the plant viewed itself and environmental releases from 1953 through 1980
- 2 DOE headquarters environmental survey reports
- 3 National Academy of Sciences Report.
- 4 Emergency Plans
- 5 Plutonium in soil documents
- 6 Plant incidents
- 7 Health Risk Analysis
- 8 1959 Fire
- 9 Plenum Fire in Building 771
- 10 Fire in 1969
- 11 903 pad area
- 12 The Lamb-Wirth task force reports

In 1970 the Colorado Department of Health had "Q" cleared people and began to monitor stacks and began monitoring on site by the mound at the east guard shack There wasn't just solar pond leakage. There were also incidents of water flowing over the dikes They should have removed the ponds. Plutonium was also released to Great Western Reservoir while they were

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

remodeling some of the south walnut creek ponds - they didn't think it was serious Tritium contaminated the Broomfield water supply Just before Governor Lamb took office DOE notified Governor Vanderhoff of burial sites Strong winds over the 903 pad area blew material creating what is known as the lip This is the area where some clean up was done There was a laundry outfall cleanup, and a cleanup in the scrap yards Sulfuric acid was spilled from the heating plant, gaskets failed resulting in high nitrates There was a study of sewage contamination On occupational incidents the plant must notify the state and DOE headquarters Past monitoring efforts have been primarily for radiation and plutonium In 1980 the state did make comments on volatile organic compounds - they are not in the LIS CDH does not have the money to do adequate radiological, waste, plant emissions monitoring We make the responsible party do it CDH does do water supplies

WASTE SITE INVESTIGATIONS UNDER CEARP by Tom Greengard,
Rockwell International

In addition to the prepared presentation which was reviewed prior to its delivery, Tom provided the following information

- 1 CEARP is being expanded Nation-wide by DOE In 1990 the CEARP program will be funded out of DOE Headquarters - not from facilities operating funds.
- 2 Phase III covers feasibility studies and NEPA documentation. The NEPA process will be carried out in full Phase IV is the actual remedial action.

Question: We want EPA to be making the determinations on the CEARP sites Why isn't this being done?

Miullo EPA SARA addresses when EPA gets involved They (RFP) were listed on the NPL on the possibility that things would score high enough EPA will evaluate and buy off at some time EPA agrees with the present priority sites As investigations proceed there will be EPA/CDH verification Call after the June 1988 submittal of investigation evaluations

Rocky Flats doesn't sample or close a site without EPA/CDH concurrence (*One chart statusing each progress at each site as it moves through the various phases would be helpful*)

Question: Did someone say that the runoff from 881 hillside drains down into Woman Creek?

Response That's correct

Question: Is pond-crete stored inside or outside in cardboard boxes?

Response. Outdoors

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

- Question:** What's a solid waste management unit?
Response: Any site which may have contained a hazardous material
- Question:** (*From a council member*) How is your investigation program designed?
Response: In accordance with a guidance document by EPA. There is 17-20 percent QA audits on bore holes. Chemistry data is handled by EPA standards
- Question:** (*From a council member*) How are these programs managed?
Response: There are seven Rockwell departments involved, QA, QC, Safety, Environment, Plutonium Operations, etc. If your question is, "Is Plant management involved?" - Yes very much so. They all meet with the Plant General Manager every Wednesday morning (*The response was cut off by a council member*)
- Request:** What we want to know is who is in charge of what by department. That can be a presentation at a future meeting. Organization charts was also requested
- Question:** What is the relevance of the NPL scoring system? Is a 40 ten times more serious than a four?
Response: The NPL scoring system was used in CEARP because it is a part of the CERCLA process. We (*Rocky Flats*) have further refined it, because it did not include radioactive materials or allow them to be ranked
- Question:** Was Dow management involved in the interviews in preparing the CEARP document?
Response: No. I don't think so - I'll check.
Rebuttal: We think you should interview some of the Dow management people as well as past employees
- Question:** Will off site sites go through the same 5 phase approach?
Miullo EPA: Yes the schedule will be published in June
- Question:** Prior to 1970 DOE did not differentiate between Low Level and TRU waste. Are you likely to dig up potentially high level waste? **Response:** No. How would you know? **Response:** We monitor as we go.
- Question:** Didn't Dow keep records of this stuff?
- Question:** Will there be an EA or EIS for each site?
Response: I can't tell you if it will cover the plant as a whole or site by site. Its under review now. We will follow all NEPA requirements

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

EPA SPEAKER NAT MIULLO

We are not insensitive to the issues I'd like to tell you about some of the regulatory history. Early on the laws we are dealing with didn't exist anywhere. In 1954 the Atomic Energy Act was passed for the development and use of atomic power and arms. RCRA came into being in 1976. Prior to that DOE was watching itself at 16-20 facilities nation-wide. In 1983 EPA realized that it had a role at DOE facilities. In 1984 a MOU was developed between EPA and DOE (a gentlemen's agreement) that DOE would make their programs equivalent to ours. Because of EPA's pressure and CDII's aggression we got onto the Rocky Flats site. We went through an intense negotiations process in 1986. DOE had started the CEARP program prior to these negotiations. There is now clear jurisdiction and there will be more pressure for more jurisdiction. Increased oversight is possible. We now have oversight to a level DOE has never seen before from an independent agency.

Kim Grice: What enforcement authority do you have over DOE? Can you put them in jail? (*Speaker appeared to delight in the thought*)

Response: EPA cannot file law suits against another governmental agency, but we can go after the contractor, and we did fine Rockwell on violations of PCB regulations.

Comment: You didn't go after Dow!

Response: If Rocky Flats is listed on the NPL EPA will most likely go after Dow.

Question: If Rocky Flats becomes part of the NPL when will it be?

Response: Rocky Flats has clearly taken the largest step toward clean up. When we began working with them they had already started and presented CEARP to us.

Question: What about separating hazardous waste from by-product waste? (*A question raised earlier by Jan Pilcher*)

Response: That is an area that Rocky Flats needs to evaluate.

Question: What is the status of the EPA proposed guidelines (*EPA plutonium in soil guidance*) in Sheldon Meyer's office of Radiation Protection?

Response: The proposal last September was to hopefully have it finalized by the summer of 1988.

Question: With high level waste incineration in Building 771 what happens to the filters?

Daugherty: It depends on the filter and the plutonium content. It is possible that we can recover plutonium from the filters that are directly in line with plutonium processing operation. Those can be sent for recovery. By far most of the filters have a minimal amount of plutonium associated with them. Those can be compacted and sent off for disposal or they may be candidates for our low level incinerator, for which we have applied for the part B permit. Fluidized bed incinerator.

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

Question: With this most toxic situation next to the public when do you shut down the plant? What authority does safety have to shut down production?

Comment: (*From a council member*) We need a demonstration / presentation on what a HEPA filter is. Who uses it, and how these filters are disposed of after they are contaminated.

Kim Grice You have over 43 vents out there Well let me rephrase that Is it true that you have over 43 vents that are releasing radionuclides into our environment

Daugherty We have over 43 air effluent emissions points that we do monitor for radioactive materials Some of them are associated with production and research facilities Some of them have a minimal amount of radioactivity associated with them But we conduct a monitoring program for them

Kim Grice And you are releasing radionuclides into our air ?
Daugherty We report at our monthly exchange meeting as you know: Kim minimal releases from our facilities Well within applicable standards Well below anything that would be associated with natural background

Question: Has there been any kind of analysis of the soil and ground water in the Lyden and Jefferson County landfill areas for cesium since the accident? Has there been anything current to monitor cesium levels?

Daugherty I can't answer that question but we have no indication of any criticality which was alluded to earlier for the plant site I can't answer your question as far as the Lyden landfill goes

Greengard We looked for cesium and Strontium-90 during the remedial investigation and didn't find any

Al Hazle In response to your question there have been two studies that address fallout levels One was done by Ed Martell that identifies the plutonium that was released as a result of the wind blown distribution from the 903 area. He used the relationship of strontium-90 to plutonium and the assumption was that there is uniform strontium 90 from world-wide fallout and the levels that he did identify looking at the front range of Colorado Looking at plutonium levels and strontium levels, strontium levels were essentially consistent.

The State Health Department did a study on cesium-137, with the allegations of the criticality question and found that the levels were essentially uniform for the cesium 137 contamination There was no indication of any criticality from the plant site

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

Radioactive contaminated Kerosene
hear the discussion)

(*Couldn't*

Walter: Are you familiar with the ARCO site one mile south of your plant? Its inside the Rocky Flats Industrial Park. My question is two fold. Who owns or operates the Rocky Flats Industrial Park land, and the second question do you consider that offsite contamination, when a spill or drums were removed? At one time there were 8000 barrels stacked in that site when I worked there 10 years ago. Since then EPA has basically along with the state shut them down. And my second part of the two fold question is that we found evidence that some of those barrels were the barrels that were moved off the site so going back to the first part of the question does Rockwell or DOE or who takes care of the land underneath that area?

Greengard I'm not at all sure which barrels you are referring to
(Comment continued but I couldn't hear it)

CDH That's off the government reservation, neither DOE nor Rockwell has anything to do with that site down there. The state has been looking at ARCO for a while. I don't know if you have talked to Jim Keefer of our department. He is involved with the cleanup of that site. Rocky Flats Industrial Park is just the name of an industrial park that various and sundry people have operated and therefore is one that has a notorious disposal problem and very badly organized storing of drums as you mentioned. As far as the drums from DOE being at ARCO I don't know about that. If you have been talking to Jim I think the state is working on that site.

Question Doesn't EPA also have a remedial action at that facility?
Response (by Miullo) Yes. We're actually going into some kind of soil removal remediation project under a lesser program than CERCLA that we have authority to go into these lesser contaminated areas. Now I haven't heard that they actually turned up Rocky Flats drums at that site.

Walter Well it was printed on one of the inspection forms that I have from one of the employees that I used to work with. And the other question or a three fold to that question if they brought contaminated soil off of Rocky Flats Plant what's it doing on ARCO's site. There was a landfill area there. I have copies of soils analyses showing the 200 sites drill hole sites, sample sites, what ever they call them, on that 5 or 10 acre site, so it you know it proves as far as I'm concerned as a citizen that that soil belongs to Rocky Flats.

Question: (Female speaker from audience) Also I'd like to find out, has there been any kind of on-going study for our area which has such a higher incidence of cancer that some people refer to this area as America's Chernoble. With our

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL. MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

daily contamination going on here why are there no health studies to show the incidents of the various cancers here? (referring to Arvada, Westminster, Denver in general - greater metropolitan area)

Al Hazle I had thought that Sharron Norman might be here tonight who is the epidemiologist that's been involved with the Rocky Flats effort I can't specifically answer that question I'm sure that the environmental monitoring aspect will make sure that either Dr Mangioni or Sharron Norman is here to address that

Miullo EPA EPA has asked the National Cancer Institute to outline its study of Nation Wide Nuclear Facilities I have talked to Sharron Norman and its my understanding - and this is just verbal I haven't gotten anything in writing on it that the National Cancer Institute will be looking at facilities like Rocky Flats as well as nuclear power plants like Fort St Vrain in their study I don't know the parameters for the study, but I've requested in writing that they outline those parameters and get it to me as soon as possible Once I have that in my hands I can get it to you This issue is probably one of the biggest ones you are going to wrestle with while you are on the panel I've heard all kinds of discussions on public health problems and I have yet one scientific study to be put in my hands that proves to me that there is this kind concern that has been expressed to me in every single meeting that there is an increased rate of cancer

Question. Related to that why is there not also a study that relates the other contaminated effects such as heart etchings, birth deformities and other damages of ... fetuses in the area?

Comment: We need chromosome studies on site and of the immediate neighborhood

A birth defects registry is needed

From 1979 to 1981 there were 2000 cases of cancer in the area Since 1981 there have been 12,000 cancers All we get is "we will study it more we'll form another committee" People are getting sick and dying while they study

Comment: The new wells in the 903 pad area are not as sensitive as the old ones They are not finding as much plutonium as they have in the past

Miullo EPA The wells that were in place through 1987 were not RCRA quality wells Contamination of those wells could have occurred, Whereas the wells we are currently installing are significantly different in design that will minimize the

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

possibility of contamination of those wells from sources other than contaminated ground water
The new wells are better although EPA and CDH are not convinced that the last round of sampling is conclusive

- Question:** Can one avoid conflict of interest in production versus health We need an independent agency to look at health and safety monitoring The data has been skewed When Carl Johnson found tritium DOE sampled lower than they should have to make the data look good. (*This person has his Carl Johnson issues all confused - or he has been primed to ask the questions and doesn't understand them. 1 Carl Johnson didn't discover the tritium 2 the issue on sampling had to do with soil sampling. Soil samples were collected at a greater depth by DOE than by Johnson because DOE wanted to derive an estimate of the total plutonium in soil inventory - not just what was on the surface*)
- Comment:** The DOE has ignored the healthy worker syndrome
- Comment:** (*From a council member*) This is only our second meeting we are not ready to go out and lobby for bills
- Question:** Does this council have authority to require health risk assessments
- Response** (*Council*) We can request
Greengard Risk assessments are done as a part of the feasibility studies
- Question:** Why doesn't CDH do more air sampling? Why are you sending your samples to DOE contract labs that only work for DOE facilities?
- Response** (by CDH) CDH needs one million dollars for additional monitoring We need to get into this in a subsequent meeting on what kind of funding we do need for additional type monitoring both from air as you mentioned, water, soil, sediment sampling, reservoir sampling etc
- Comment:** We need to move this place to Nevada!
- Comment:** I sat in on a lecture regarding EPA's and Denver's clean up of the air and they told us about a LIDAR radar located on the site at Rocky Flats What I understand its a three dimensional radar Is there anybody in the room that could explain or elaborate on this?
- Response** I think from what I know about it it is a program to better define air currents at the plant and I'm not familiar with that at all. Nat do you know at EPA have they been working with that? Nat No I'd have to ask them. We can follow up on that

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

Question Who's radar is it? "That's one of the other questions I had it wasn't answered in the lecture Who was the lecturer?"
"Carol Lyons"

STAFF PROPOSAL FOR NEXT SEVERAL MONTHS MEETING TOPICS.

- 1 Monthly update on clean up program
- 2 Environmental Management and Monitoring Program.
- 3 Off site contamination in soil, water, and air
- 4 Risk and health assessments
- 5 Mixed waste incineration
- 6 Emission Standards
- 7 Regulatory Authorities
- 8 Plant Waste Management Operations
- 9 Worker Health and Safety
- 10 Data Quality

See also Printed handout titled "FOR DISCUSSION IDEAS FOR COUNCIL MEETINGS/ACTIVITIES"

Request: We want a couple of visits to the Plant. One to see the waste sites and the other to see the waste processes.

Response (Dennis Hurtt - Rockwell) We can arrange a road tour of the clean up activities I'm sorry but the tour will not be open to the public I'll coordinate with Jim and Steve on that. Week days would be better for us. During the day is fine (The state and EPA also asked to be included on the tour - because they felt that there were features of the cleanup that were important that they could point out to the council)

Kim What's the council going to achieve by touring the plant? George has been on a tour he can tell you what it is like

Response Kim its always helpfull to see what it is that we are talking about ...

Request We want to know where Rocky Flats fits into the system What comes into the plant What goes out Where waste is shipped What stays and what's moving. (*I'm not sure "what stays and what's moving" is in reference to waste It may be in reference to facilities at the plant*) We would like to cover the monitoring program next month. We will cover health risks and health assessments in May (There was a request from the audience to include health effects studies - an area where the speaker felt there is a major shortcoming in the amount of information available)

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

Question: (*From the audience*) There should be some correlations between past accidents and how long it takes for these health problems to develop so there can be some kind of statistical tracking. How long does it take for all of these massive flu outbreaks we are having - mono, leukemia. You know there has got to be some kind of correlation that can be tracked time wise with these different accidents they are having. We are right down wind from this place. For Pete's sake!

Response (CDH) Maybe not! We should have this covered at a meeting so you can understand all of the things that go into a study of health effects and that it is not that easy to make a correlation and point a finger.

Comment That's why I asked about the locations of those sites in the annual report, because it looks as if you can see the air current where you can see higher incidents of the contamination right along that crest that goes directly to Arvada. On the air currents, and I would like to see some kind of information that shows us what kind of impact that has.

Question: The Blue Ribbon Citizens committee had \$250,000 for a technical advisory group. Can this council get money from FEMA?

Comment: There is an offer from the Arvada Mayor to provide a \$3000 matching grant for long term funding. Broomfield and Westminster are expected to join in.

Comment: The movie DARK CIRCLE should be shown at one of the meetings.

Comment: A file should be created by this council on Rocky Flats. Westminster has already agreed to receive council materials. Many documents are already there.

Comment: Hanford has a public document room for all declassified materials. Where is Rocky Flats' public reading room?

Al Hazle From 1986 on CDH has a good repository of information.
Rebuttal I'm interested in internal documents from Dow and Rockwell. Where is that location.

Comment: Historical documents from the former Rocky Flats Monitoring Committee are available.

ROCKY FLATS MONITORING COUNCIL MEETING
MARCH 1, 1988

Point of interest -

A man presently known only as Walter was overheard by my wife conversing with friends in the lobby during the meeting. He indicated that he intends to attend all of these meetings and plans to get DOE or Rockwell into filing suit against him. Once that happened he and his friends at the DU law school were ready to file a counter suit. He attends law school at DU, a group of students meet weekly to discuss Rocky Flats issues.

All future meetings will be held at 7 00 p m on the last Tuesday of each month. The location for the next meeting is to be determined. Contacts for additional information are Tim Holeman 866-2155, Steve Smith 650 7996, or Jim Wilson 772-8687.

Bert L. Crist