

action: FARRER

CORRES CONTROL
INCOMING LTR NO

102RF 90 ed States Government

Department of Energy
Rocky Flats Office

Memorandum

DUE DATE *as stated*
ACTION *F Hobbs*

JAN 24 1990



000024675

DIST	LTR	ENCL
ALLHOFF F H	X	
BREEN, J H	X	
BRETZKE J C		
BURLINGAME A H		
DAVIS, J G	X	
FERRERA D W		
FERRIS, L R		
FRANCIS, G E	X	
GOODWIN R	X	
HEALY, T J		
IDECKER E H		
KERSH J M	X	
KIRBY W A	X	
MAJESTIC J R		
MCKINLEY K B	X	
MELLEN J B		
PARNELL R F		
POTTER, G L		
RHODES J L		
RISNER V L		
SANFORD T W		
SMITH, W M		
VALENZUELA, D B	X	
WAGNER, B P	X	
WELLS, E R		
BETCHER D H		
CARNIVAL G J		
HARMAN L K		
HEBERT, J L		
HOFFMAN, R B		
KLAMMAN R L		
KRIEG D M		
LOUDENBERG G E		
NAIMON F R		
NEWBY R L		
TURNER, H L		
VELASQUEZ R N		
<i>F Hobbs</i>	X	
CORRES CONTROL	X	X
CONTRACT ADMIN		

TO: EPD CW
 FROM: Weed Control on Test Sites
 SUBJECT: B. P. Warner
 General Manager
 EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc

In November 1989, the Department of Energy's Rocky Flats Office received a draft report entitled "Weed Control on Remediation Sites". Several points discussed in the report have resulted in a need for clarification to ensure a proper understanding of the program.

One point particularly important in light of the recent Colorado Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) ruling on surface waters flowing through the Rocky Flats Plant is whether the pesticides to be tested are presently listed on the respective tables attached to the WQCC ruling. Any new compound or material that is not listed is subject to the "toxics in toxic amounts" action, interpreted by the WQCC as meaning zero. This interpretation, and in consideration of the mobility and longevity of the compounds in question, raises concern over this program.

A concern related to the actual materials to be tested is the specific location of the test plot(s). As pointed out in the draft report, the test site is located in the east buffer zone, approximately 1/8 mile north of Guard Post 920, adjacent to Indiana Street, this location would diminish opportunities for clean up should unintentional over-application or spills occur. A location on the west side of the plant appears to be more appropriate in that it would ensure that no off-site migration occurs, either in surface water or groundwater.

Associated with the location issue is the potential stream or surface water hazard associated with this program. Any potential spillage or source of contamination must be seriously considered, evaluated, and fully understood. Spill control plans and measures for prevention of further degradation should accompany this report to ensure its safety.

In consideration of the timing of this program, a schedule with milestones and seasonal progress assessment, would be appropriate. What specific milestones or goals will be achieved within the first year and based upon these data, what is to be expected in the second year?

Received for Addressee
Corres. Control RFP

24-90
By: *JB*

JAN 24 10 10 AM '90
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
ROCKY FLATS OFFICE
SPECIAL INVOICE CONTROL

ADMIN RECORD
MIN RECORD
SW-8-000003

1/20

11 24 1990

B P Warner

2

If you have any questions concerning this matter you can contact me, or have your staff contact Richard Schassburger, of my staff, on extension 4888 or Chris Woods, assistant to my staff, at extension 2305.


David P Simonson
Manager

cc
F Hobbs, EG&G