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DOE, RFFO

SITE SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PLAN (SSIP) - AMP- 002-01

Ref:  Barbara A. Mazurowski ltr. (01-00021) to Robert G. Card, Safety Concerns.
January 5, 2001

Dear Barbara:

The purpose of this letter is to provide a written update to RFFO on our recent safety progress and to transmit our Site Safety Improvement Plan (Attachment 1). Kaiser-Hill Company, L.L.C., (Kaiser-Hill) acknowledges the need and our responsibility to continually improve the safety of work activities of this complex closure project. This plan outlines the specific corrective actions that we are undertaking to address the deficiencies and concerns in your letter of January 5, 2001. In addition, the plan will be updated as necessary to incorporate other assessment data. For example, corrective actions from the EH review, ISM annual review and the appropriate site-wide actions identified in the 771 uptake corrective action plan will be added in the future. As the new Chief Operating Officer, I recognize my personal role in this process and my entire management team and I are committed to this ongoing effort and we are personally accountable for its effectiveness.

We have been actively developing and implementing initiatives to improve the safety performance since mid-January, while this overall plan was being developed. Initially, project operations that were demonstrating poor safety performance were stopped and remedies instituted to assure both Kaiser-Hill and the Rocky Flats Field Office that systems were in place to recommence work.

Other elements of the plan that have been started include reinforcing safety expectations and individual roles and responsibilities throughout the organization, augmenting and reorganizing the project’s management and supervisory structure and Independent Safety Oversight organization, emphasizing the importance of high quality pre-evolutionary briefs, and revising the work planning process to more clearly identify hazards and implement controls for the work to be performed.
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On an individual project basis it is important to note that key areas of improvement are also already underway:

- The 707 Project's Lessons Learned Program, senior supervisory watch and its Senior Management Review Board
- The 776 Project's Electrical Safety Work Requirements Program and its Beryllium Controls Pilot Program
- The 771 Project's radiological control and work control practice improvements
- The 371 Project's MC&A and IWCP improvements
- The Material Stewardship Project's improvements to waste procedures
- The RISS Project developed a construction foreman IWCP certification process

The plan is divided into five sections that correspond to the concerns expressed in your letter of January 5, 2001 and organizes the actions by their application. The sections are:

1) Management Performance  
2) Work Control and Planning Process  
3) Worker and Supervisor Performance  
4) Lessons Learned/Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence  
5) Independent Safety Oversight

Our strategy in developing the Site Safety Improvement Plan was to change our approach to closure from "focus on schedule" to focusing on the quality and safety of each daily work task and to reinforce our commitment to Integrated Safety Management (ISM) and its guiding principles (Attachment 2). The plan will be a "living document," which supports our continuing ISM commitment. Other elements of the strategy were to effect positive safety changes through leadership and commitment and to reinforce continuous improvement as an organization through the sharing of lessons learned across the site.

Most importantly our strategy is to focus initially on the few key safety basics that we believe have the greatest impact on performance. This will involve implementing near term actions, assessing their effectiveness and then moving on to other improvement areas. The five areas that we believe will have the most impact on safety performance are listed below. These areas are covered in more detail in the attached plan along with other improvements that are underway/planned. I firmly believe that if we are successful in addressing these areas, we will see a noticeable improvement in our site safety performance. The five areas are:

1. Changing the message being communicated to the workforce  
   We are developing a strategy that emphasizes safe performance of the current evolution and de-emphasizes the discussion of the overall schedule. Constant reminders of the closure date targets may have supplanted the safety message to our workers. This is a key effort to refocus the workforce on safe performance of daily work. Our safety message needs to be reinforced daily by all levels of management; our actions must mirror our words.
2. Improving the tools provided to support the first-line supervisors and line management in performing the work
The critical position impacting safety performance on the site is the first-line supervisor. This plan implements numerous initiatives directed at providing the first-line supervisors with the tools they need to get the job done safely.

Our primary emphasis is enhanced training/mentoring and increased worker involvement in all phases of the work process. Additionally, we must support our first line supervisors with improved work packages and a stronger implementation of significant hazard identification and controls.

Additional support for the first-line supervisors is to significantly improve the quality of the work packages provided to the crews through improved work planning and upgraded procedures. This will be accomplished through a more streamlined and focused work control process that clearly identifies the critical hazards associated with the job and the established controls for the hazards. Enhanced training/mentoring and increased worker involvement in the planning process will also be key factors.

Additional tools to be provided to the first-line supervisors are real-time technical assistance for the work crews to resolve issues on the spot, streamlined requirements documents (revised ABs), and improved safety procedures for electrical isolation, beryllium controls, and lockout/tagout.

The first-line supervisors will also receive management skills training and the span of control for the supervisors has been evaluated and adjusted to ensure a balanced workload. Additionally, we have found and will continue to find ways to minimize the administrative burden on supervisors so that they will be able to spend the majority of their time with their work crews at the job site.

3. Improving the pre-evolution briefings
We recognize that the pre-evolution briefing is a key point in our work process. It is the focused time for each member of the work team and their supervision to ensure that:
- The task scope is understood, both what is in the task and what is not;
- The hazards and the controls to keep them all safe are understood;
- The expectation to stop if conditions change or if confusion exists is clear;
- Every member of the team knows their job and what to do as the task progresses.
4. **Improving the corrective action and lessons learned processes**
We recognize the need to improve the effectiveness of the corrective action process. The fact finding process for events has already been improved and efforts are underway to improve the effectiveness of corrective actions.

A revised lessons learned process is being developed to improve the quality and accessibility of lessons learned for the users. Additionally, a plan is under development for the establishment of site-wide centers of excellence for selected functions to promote best practices across the site. Kaiser-Hill will also take over responsibility for the operations review (now conducted by RFFO) in July 2001.

5. **Improving the self assessment and independent oversight processes**
In order to ensure that we understand our safety performance, a significant effort is planned to develop a strong self-assessment process and an improved Independent Safety Oversight function. The self-assessment program will strengthen line management's continual assessment of their project's performance and ensure the performance of their team meets the established expectations.

We commissioned an independent safety and management review by a team of recognized nuclear industry experts. This team has provided their evaluation and recommendations to the management team. We also established a Nuclear Safety Review Board, including independent nuclear industry experts, to provide continuing review and oversight of the closure project's performance. Their role will be to advise senior management of their assessment, our performance and identify areas needing improvement. Input from both of these efforts has been factored into the plan.

We are engaged in a partnering effort with the Rocky Flats Field Office to clearly define safety, how it will be measured and to establish safety goals for the site. Additionally, a process has been established for tracking and trending events to ensure management has clear picture of overall safety performance.

The Independent Safety Oversight group is being restructured to provide a broader base of more independent and objective assessment and the assessment process is being revised to conduct targeted assessments of key safety functions across the site.

This plan will be revised as required to reflect current and future internal and external inputs. Revisions to the plan will be transmitted to RFFO and quarterly status reviews will be conducted to report progress and identify developing issues starting in July 2001. Many of the actions in this plan are continuing in nature but have a date for completion of the initial task. In our updates we will present the ongoing efforts intended to reinforce these key actions. I would also
like to extend an offer to meet with you personally to discuss any aspect of this plan or its status at your request.

We look forward to our ongoing partnership with RFFO, especially in this critical area of worker and public safety. As your contractor, we fully recognize our safety responsibilities and the need for continuous improvement. We understand that we have a solemn obligation to our employees, the surrounding communities, the Department of Energy and the nation to pioneer a “world-class” closure-based safety program.

Respectfully,

Alan M. Parker
Executive Vice President and
Chief Operating Officer
Kaiser-Hill Company, L.L.C.
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# Kaiser-Hill Site Safety Improvement Plan

## 1) Management Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Responsible Manager</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-Lack of COO</td>
<td>a) Improve executive management capacity. Select a deputy and/or COO to organize and implement safety improvements and to improve integration and teamwork between the projects including consistent application of best practices.</td>
<td>Letter naming COO</td>
<td>Chairman of the Board</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Inconsistent communication/ message</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| -Poor teamwork and integration                                        | b) Achieve improved safety measurement understanding. Engage in a partnering process with DOE to achieve improved alignment:  
  - Establish joint Kaiser-Hill/RFFO team  
  - Define safety  
  - Establish performance indicators  
  - Establish goals  
  - Communicate safety definition, performance indicators, and goals to workforce  
  - Establish a formal safety priority protocol | Joint team established  
Safety definition  
Performance indicators  
Goals established  
Communication plan  
Formal Safety Protocol | Voorheis/Golan  
Voorheis/Golan  
Powers/Golan  
Powers/Golan  
Powers/Golan  
Mazurowski/Parker | Complete  
April 30  
May 15  
May 30  
June 30 |
| -Inconsistent application of best practices                           | c) Improve management message including continuity and consistency. Develop a strategy that puts the focus on safe performance of the work being done today and de-emphasizes schedule performance. | Communication strategy | Parker | May 30       |
| -Lack of alignment on safety definition and performance measures      | d) Assess the effectiveness of the safety communication strategy. Perform baseline employee feedback survey  
Perform safety improvement plan evaluation survey | Survey results  
Survey results | Tuor  
Tuor | May 15  
December 31 |
| -Inadequate self-assessment                                           | e) Improve the self-assessment process. Pilot an improved self-assessment process  
Implement self-assessment process  
Evaluate MAP program and develop recommendations | Self-assessment pilot report  
Project specific self-assessment plans  
Revised documentation program | Trice  
Project Managers  
Powers | May 30  
June 30  
June 30 |
| -Lack of formal protocol for safety priorities                        | f) Evaluate utilization of Site resources in support of projects | Evaluation report with recommendations | Powers | September 15 |
| -Limited ISM description scope                                        | g) Expand the ISM description | Revised ISM manual | Powers/Jeffries | May 30       |
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### 2) Work Control and Planning Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Responsible Manager</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Poor work planning                                                   | a) Revise IWCP Process to simplify and clarify requirements and integrate requirements into the work document to achieve work packages that:  
  - Identify and focus on the most important and task unique safety issues, addressing all disciplines of safety  
  - Take appropriate credit for general skills and routine hazard mitigation  
  - Contain only significant hazards and appropriate controls  
  - Reduce complexity and increase quality  
  - Train and implement DCF-7 starting with prototype work package | DCF-7 Issued                                                                                                                                  | Fulton                                   | April 30      |
| - Scope creep                                                          | b) Improve planner performance  
  - Reevaluate and revise initial planner training requirements  
  - Complete development of revised initial planner training plans  
  - Analyze planner qualifications and work load and develop actions to correct deficiencies  
  - Complete remedial training for existing planners  
  - Develop a continuing training/mentoring program for planning team members  
  - Ensure adequate planning resources | Implemented DCF-7                                                                                                                              | Project Managers                        | May 30      |
| - Inadequate hazard identification and implementation of controls       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                          |                    |            |
| - IWCP process does not provide a quality product                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                          |                    |            |
| - Inadequate planning capability                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                          |                    |            |
| - Difficult to use procedures                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                          |                    |            |
| - AB requirements not properly reflected in procedures                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                          |                    |            |
| - Unclear and confusing requirements (AB, Be, electrical, and LOTO)     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                          |                    |            |
| d) Develop and implement project specific expectations for pre-evolution briefings including:  
  - Key controls and hazards  
  - Crew roles and responsibilities  
  - Significance of pre-evs as the final barrier to inadequate preparation (pre-game plan) | d) Develop and implement project specific expectations for pre-evolution briefings including:  
  - Key controls and hazards  
  - Crew roles and responsibilities  
  - Significance of pre-evs as the final barrier to inadequate preparation (pre-game plan) | Written expectations                     | Powers/PMs                        | May 15      |
| e) Develop and implement project specific expectations for post job review performance | e) Develop and implement project specific expectations for post job review performance | Written expectations                     | Powers/PMs                        | May 15      |
| f) Perform a cross project effectiveness assessment to evaluate the quality of the work packages produced, use of post job review, and administrative completeness | f) Perform a cross project effectiveness assessment to evaluate the quality of the work packages produced, use of post job review, and administrative completeness | Assessment Report                      | Spears/PMs                       | November 30|
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2) Work Control and Planning Process (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Responsible Manager</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| g) **Improve procedure usability and accuracy** | - Develop strategy for procedure evaluation and upgrade  
- Develop plan for procedure upgrades | Strategy paper  
Plan for procedure upgrades | Powers  
Project Managers | May 30  
July 30 |
| h) **Revise and submit 700 Bldg. D&D BIOs and Bldg. 440 FSAR** | 771 BIO  
- 440 FSAR  
- 707 BIO  
- 776 BIO | Trice  
Brailsford  
Ferri  
Ferri | Complete  
May 15  
June 30  
July 30 |
| i) **Complete the mapping of AB requirements. Map requirements through all intermediate and referenced documents to implement procedures, check lists, etc. to ensure that field personnel will comply with the AB if they follow the procedures and that they fully understand and can implement the procedures** | Documentation of completed AB mapping for new ABs | Project Managers | Upon imp. of new AB |
| | - Perform pilot AB mapping verification for existing ABs in Bldg. 707 and 776 and in the Material Stewardship Bldgs.  
- Assess whether any further actions are required based on the results of the pilot activities | Completed verification of AB mapping  
Documented decision on further mapping efforts | Ferri/Brailsford  
Trice/Tulton | June 30  
May 30 |
| j) **Develop a plan to enhance site wide radiation controls to reflect the closure mission requirements of deactivation and decommissioning.** | Radiological controls enhancement plan | Powers | May 30 |
| k) **Complete the Be program implementation.** | Be summit | Ferri | Complete |
| | - Complete the Be Pilot in B776, review it for overall safety effectiveness (looking at both Be safety and collateral safety impacts)  
- Issue revised Be standing order  
- Revise CBDPP  
- Implement BE program requirements  
- Revise Chapter 28 | Issue revised Standing Order 74  
Issue revised CBDPP  
Implemented Be program in each project  
Revised Chapter 28 submitted | Powers  
Powers  
Project Managers  
Powers | April 30  
April 30  
June 30  
June 30 |
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2) Work Control and Planning Process (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Responsible Manager</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>l)</td>
<td><strong>Improve electrical safety implementation</strong></td>
<td>New Chapter 36 requirements, Documented review and proposed application</td>
<td>Powers/Ferri Brailsford</td>
<td>April 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop new electrical safety requirements</td>
<td>D&amp;D electrical guidelines, Project electrical safety plans</td>
<td>Trice Project Managers</td>
<td>April 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review new Chapter 36 requirements for construction applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>April 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop D&amp;D guidelines for electrical hazard mitigation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop project electrical safety plans for improved programmatic energy isolation (cold and dark) in D&amp;D activities and improved work instructions and worker awareness and conservative precautions for work on actual or possible energized sources.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m)</td>
<td>Complete development and implementation of revised guidance and procedures for LOTO as a result of lessons learned and worker feedback</td>
<td>Revised LOTO procedures implemented</td>
<td>Fulton/Powers</td>
<td>May 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n)</td>
<td>Perform assessments of the adequacy of controls for significant safety hazards: fall protection, confined spaces, electrical safety, fire protection, hoisting and rigging, Be, and LOTO implementation</td>
<td>Completed assessments</td>
<td>Powers</td>
<td>December 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3) Worker and Supervisor Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Responsible Manager</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Training and qualifications</td>
<td>a) Review and correct span of control</td>
<td>- Completed review of span of control with proposed actions</td>
<td>Project Managers</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Requirement non-compliance</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Identified actions from review completed</td>
<td>Project Managers</td>
<td>May 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Roles and responsibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Formality and prescribed control of operations</td>
<td>b) Improve focus on the work</td>
<td>- Administrative work load evaluation</td>
<td>Project Managers</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Scope creep</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Implemented actions from evaluation</td>
<td>Project Managers</td>
<td>May 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Improve first-line supervisor and line management skills.</td>
<td>Training rosters with at least 80% of target attendees completing each class</td>
<td>Project Managers</td>
<td>August 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Train first-line supervisors and line managers on change management,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>leadership and teamwork, communication and conflict resolution,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conservative decision making, corrective action and fact finding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>process, safety, and contract and labor relations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) Improve first-line supervisor safety alignment.</td>
<td>Plan describing interaction between project management and first-line</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>April 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Establish increased interaction between senior management and first-</td>
<td>supervisors to align and reinforce safety expectations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>line supervisors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e) Provide technical support for the work crews.</td>
<td>- Implemented plan for providing real-time technical assistance for work</td>
<td>Project Managers</td>
<td>April 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Provide Real-time Technical Assistance to deal with field changes</td>
<td>crews and expectations for use</td>
<td>Project Managers</td>
<td>May 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in conditions or needed changes in requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Provide expectations for use by the work crews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f) Train managers and first-line supervisors on the new collective</td>
<td>Completed training rosters with at least 80% completion</td>
<td>Project Managers</td>
<td>April 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bargaining agreement and its allowance to simplify work and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>incent safety.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g) Develop and present an education module for workers that clearly</td>
<td>Completed training rosters with at least 80% completion</td>
<td>Project Managers</td>
<td>May 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lays out our strategy and safety expectations for them,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and their role and responsibility in safety.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h) Perform an assessment of the effects of the above actions on</td>
<td>Assessment report</td>
<td>Powers</td>
<td>September 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>worker and first-line supervisor performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5) Independent Safety Oversight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Responsible Manager</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Ineffective safety oversight  
- Inadequate tracking and trending  
- Inadequate event/trend analysis  
- Readiness process not tailored to D&D | a) Form a Nuclear Safety Review Board.  
- Assemble a team of recognized nuclear safety experts to review our nuclear licensing, compliance and processes to formalize our compliance approach and to identify and implement improvements.  
- Develop an on-going plan for board areas of focus | - Nuclear Safety Review Board  
- On-going area of focus plan | Spears  
Powers/Parker | Complete  
On-going |
| b) Conduct independent safety and management review.  
Assemble a team of recognized nuclear industry experts to conduct a management-level assessment of project safety including contributing management and/or organizational issues | | Exit briefing | Spears | Complete |
| c) Tracking and trending  
- Track and trend events by project/event category  
- Establish a process for developing action plans based on trends | | - Trending matrix  
- Action plan process | Powers  
Powers | On-going  
June 30 |
| d) Modify monthly safety council to present roll-up of trends with analysis of data | | Analysis report/presentation to senior management | Spears | Complete |
| e) Restructure Independent Safety Oversight group to provide broader base of more independent and objective assessment | | New organization in place | Spears | Complete |
| f) Improve the assessment process  
- Develop an assessment plan for vertical and targeted assessments  
- Conduct "vertical" assessments of projects annually  
- Conduct targeted assessments | | Assessment plan  
Pilot "vertical" assessment  
Pilot targeted assessment | Powers  
Powers  
Powers | June 30  
June 30  
May 30 |
Kaiser-Hill Site Safety Improvement Plan

5) Independent Safety Oversight (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Responsible Manager</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| g) Improve readiness assessment process | - Establish joint Kaiser-Hill/RFFO evaluation team for the 425.1 Readiness Determination Process  
- Perform pilot risk evaluation  
- Perform joint review of near term activities to determine required reviews  
- Determine role of Joint Evaluation Team in activity review  
- Determine role of Joint Evaluation Team in the readiness assessment corrective action process | - Establish Joint Evaluation Team  
- Presentation to senior Kaiser-Hill and RFFO managers  
- Risk based readiness determination list  
- Joint agreement on role of JET  
- Joint agreement on role of JET | Mazuwowski/Tiller  
Miller/Sargent  
Miller/Sargent  
Miller/Sargent  
Miller/Sargent | Complete  
Complete  
July 30  
July 30  
October 30 |
SSIP Integration with ISM

- Safety message is “focus on today”
  - Do your job right the first time, every time
- Improve planning team performance

Improve hazard and control identification through simplified JIIA process (IWCP DCFM) and appropriate training/mentoring of planning teams

- Simplify procedures
- Improve supervisory and worker performance
- Improve 771 RadCon Program

- Improve pre-evolution briefings
- Improve support for first line supervisors
  - Span of Control
  - Tech Response Teams

- Improve self assessment, independent safety oversight
- Improve corrective actions/ follow-up and root cause determination